

Unlikely Friends of the Authoritarian and Atheist Ruler: Religious Groups and Collective Contention in Rural China

Yu Tao
University of Central Lancashire
ytao2@uclan.ac.uk

This is an author's pre-publication draft. Please cite the published version as appropriate.

Suggested citation:

Tao, Y. (2015). Unlikely Friends of the Authoritarian and Atheist Ruler: Religious Groups and Collective Contention in Rural China. *Politics and Religion*, 8(01), 86-110.

Publisher's link:

<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=9585186&fileId=S1755048314000789>

Acknowledgement:

This paper was developed from various parts of my doctoral thesis, which benefits much from the advices and insights offered by Nancy Bermeo, Reza Hasmath, Lianjiang Li, Mingxing Liu, Anna Lora-Wainwright, Vivienne Shue, and, especially, Patricia Thornton. I would also like to thank the editors and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Abstract

This paper examines the roles played by rural religious groups in China's local contentious politics. More specifically, it aims to explore whether religious groups stimulate or reduce collective contention when the ruler is both authoritarian and atheist. Drawing on national survey data and comparative case studies, this paper finds that collective contention is less likely to occur in villages with religious groups that simultaneously overlap with secular social organisations and local authorities and are hence more likely to serve as credible communication channels between local states and discontent citizens. This finding highlights two issues that are often side-lined, if not outright neglected, in the existing literature: First, the relationship between religious groups and collective contention is diverse rather than uniform. Second, this relationship is shaped not only by religious groups but also by other important players in the local political arena.

Introduction

Religious groups are powerful social forces; in addition to shaping people's daily lives, they also frequently engage in many issues often considered to be 'secular', including elections, political campaigns, and national identity building (Broughton and ten Napel 2000; Limaye et al. 2004; van der Veer and Lehmann 1999). Even China – a country ruled by an authoritarian and atheist regime – has witnessed a great wave of religious revival since the state lifted the total ban religious groups in 1982 (e.g. Ying 2006; Lai 2003). Today, despite the strict restrictions, religious groups are playing active roles in China; in fact, given the limited freedom of association, these groups are among the country's most resilient social organisations (Dean 2003; Tsai 2007). This paper examines the roles played by rural religious groups in China's local contentious politics. More specifically, it aims to explore whether religious groups stimulate or reduce collective contention and to make sense of the underlying mechanisms involved in such issues.

Although reports on state vs. religion conflicts in China are not rare (e.g. Wong 2001; Tong 2009), there is yet to be a comprehensive and systematic examination of the actual roles of religious groups in China's contentious politics. This paper intends to serve as the first step in bridging this gap by studying original data and cases collected from rural China. In so doing, it hopes to engage with, and contribute to, the ongoing theoretical debates as to whether religious groups increase or decrease collective contention. According to the empirical findings, overall the occurrence of collective contention appears to exhibit no significant difference between villages with different

amounts of religious groups; however, collective contention is less likely to occur in villages with religious groups that simultaneously overlap with secular social organisations and local authorities. Such findings highlight two issues that are often side-lined, if not outright neglected, in the existing literature. First, the relationship between religious groups and collective contention is diverse rather than uniform. Second, this relationship is shaped not only by religious groups but also by other important players in the local political arena. To elaborate on these findings, the rest of this paper first reviews the relevant theoretical debates and operationalises the competing arguments into two hypotheses. It then examines both hypotheses against representative survey data from rural China and finds that neither holds. A new explanatory framework is thus raised to decompose the heterogeneous association between religious groups and collective contention, suggesting that religious groups with different relational statuses may play different roles in contentious politics. This new framework is subsequently verified through statistical tests. The paper concludes with a brief discussion on its theoretical contributions and practical implications.

Theoretical Debates

The roles of religious groups in contentious politics have long been studied; however, despite the rich literature in this field, no clear consensus has been reached. While religious groups have been widely regarded as socio-political tools of control, used by the rulers to suppress collective contention, as Trejo (2009) notes, ‘one of the most consistent and surprising’ social scientific findings in recent years is the religious

origins of some of the most powerful secular social and political movements. The theoretical debates on the relationship between religious groups and collective contention are thus still ongoing. While many theorists suggest that religious groups help to maintain social stability, acting as tranquillisers that reduce the likelihood of collective contention, others argue that religious groups frequently challenge existing social orders, serving as agitators that stimulate collective contention.

Scholars who view religious groups as tranquillisers believe that these groups can discourage conflicts either by blurring the differences between different social groups or reducing people's awareness of them. Marx (1977), for example, famously claimed that religion served as the 'opium of the people'. Although there are divergences as to what Marx actually intended by drawing the comparison, it is generally agreed that he accused religion of reducing people's awareness of class conflict and suppressing people's willingness to engage in class struggle by providing them with a 'fantastic realisation of the human essence' that 'has not acquired any true reality'. Similarly, in his discussions of the theodicy of good fortune and misfortune, Weber indicates that religion and religious groups can 'mollify those at the bottom of the social structure' and thus 'sanctify the status quo' (Pyle and Davidson 1998). In addition, Stark (1972) suggests that the aspects of faith that serve as a relief for suffering are more likely to attract the poor, while Pope (1942) and Howe (1981) argue that the wealthy and powerful are able to control and manipulate belief systems, often appropriating religious ideas to legitimate existing social structures. In addition, many scholars consider religious groups as important sources of social trust (e.g. Smidt 1999; Veenstra

2002; Wuthnow 2002; Welch et al. 2004) and thus contributors towards democracy (Putnam et al. 1994), social integration (Inglehart 1997), prosperity, and social harmony (Fukuyama 1995). These theories give rise to the following hypothesis: *Religious groups in contemporary rural China correlate **negatively** with collective contention: other things being equal, the more religious groups in a sample village, the lower the likelihood of collective contention [Hypothesis 1].*

The aforementioned view, however, has recently come under increasing fire. Many researchers argue that religious groups actually agitate rather than tranquillise collective contention, offering three explanations for this finding. Firstly, religious doctrines and values do not always perpetuate the *status quo*; instead, they may ‘serve a prophetic function, promoting social action to redress society’s ills’ (Pyle and Davidson 1998). For example, Lam (2006), Morris (1986), and Woodberry and Shah (2004) all argue that the participatory and civic attitudes embedded in Protestantism make Protestants more likely to engage with collective contention. Similarly, Nepstad (2004) demonstrates how some Christian clergy skillfully employ religious rituals, stories of martyrs, and biblical teachings to establish a link between faith and activism, while many scholars find that the ‘liberation theology’ contributed much to pro-democratic protests in and beyond Latin America (e.g. Adriance 1986; Neal 1987; Berryman 1987). Second, religious groups may cause or reinforce a so-called clash of civilisations and the associated or underlying socio-political conflicts. Huntington (1996) argues that the primary axis of conflict in the post-Cold-War world falls not along ideological or economic lines but cultural and religious ones, and his thesis is

supported by empirical evidence drawn from across the globe, including Southeast Asia (Searle 2002; Houben 2003), South Asia (Cady and Simon 2006; Gould 2011), the Middle East (Baumgartner et al. 2008), Africa (Ukiwo 2003; Ellis and Haar 2004) and the Balkan Peninsula (Bax 2000). Lastly, religious groups may provide organisational structures and personnel for collective contention. Empirical research in Eastern Europe and the Arabic world demonstrate that powerful collective protests that eventually overthrew the ruling order often benefitted from pre-existing organisations and the leadership of religious groups (Salehi 1988; Osa 1996). These theories imply an alternative hypothesis: *Religious groups in contemporary rural China correlate positively with collective contention: other things being equal, the more religious groups in a sample village, the higher the likelihood of collective contention* [Hypothesis 2].

Data and the Initial Test

Contemporary China is an ideal setting to test the competing theories summarised in the previous section thanks to its richness and diverseness of both religious groups and collective contention (Cai 2010; Goossaert and Palmer 2011; Yang 2012). To systematically study religious groups and collective contention in rural China, working with colleagues I conducted a national survey covering 119 sampled villages (in 59 townships, 30 counties, and six provinces) selected through a stratified random sampling strategy in 2008. In addition to information on religious groups and collective contention in each sample village, the data also cover a list of demographic,

socioeconomic, and political indicators, allowing us to better understand the background situations of our sample.¹ Table 1 reports the descriptive statistics of the sample villages.

Table 1 is here.

Based on the original survey data, **Hypotheses 1 and 2**, which share the same independent and dependent variables but vary in the direction of the correlation, are tested through the following ordinary least squares (OLS) regression model.²

$$IfCC_i = \alpha + NRG_i\beta + CV_i\gamma + TD_i\theta + \mu_i \quad [A]$$

In Model A, *IfCC* is the dependent variable, which refers to occurrences of collective contention involving 30 or more participants in the sample village since 2000; *NRG* is the independent variable, which refers to the ‘number of religious groups’ in a sampled village; *CV* refers to a vector of controls; *TD* refers to the township-level dummy variables; α is the intercept; β is the coefficient of *NRG*; γ is the coefficient of *CV*; θ is the coefficient of *TD*; μ is the residual error of the model; and i is the village code.³ The set of controls, which are the same in all models, include ten variables measuring the capability of local authorities, the incentives of village cadres, the quality of village elections, the extent and frequency of land requisition, and the geographic, demographic, and economic backgrounds of the sample.⁴ The set of township-level dummy variables are included to ensure that comparisons are actually made within each pair of the sampled villages in the same township. In so doing, it is possible to eliminate the impacts that cultural traditions or local government policies have on collective contention, which are otherwise difficult to control for.

Table 2 is here.

The statistical results of Model A are reported in Table 2. The insignificant coefficient of the independent variable suggests that the occurrence of collective contention appear to be on the same level in villages with and without religious groups. That is to say, neither of the two hypotheses formed from the established theories holds true against the empirical survey data. A new framework is thus necessary for understanding the actual relationship between religious groups and collective contention in rural China.

The Diverse Roles of Religious Groups in Contentious Politics

The insignificant statistical results in Table 2 could be interpreted as implying that religious groups and collective contention are not related to each other in rural China. However, my field experience suggests that at least some groups are closely associated with collective contention in a way or another. Moreover, while religious uprising may only form a very tiny proportion of the collective contention in contemporary China,⁵ it is not uncommon for religious groups – the most resilient independent social organisations in the country – to get involved in contentious politics triggered by non-religious issues (Liu et al. 2010). To understand the apparent mismatch among the theories, the statistical results, and my field experience, more attention should be paid to the special features of collective contention in authoritarian countries like China.

The Pathology of Collective Contention in Authoritarian Countries

The skyrocketing increase of collective contention in China is widely regarded as a

result of the public anger and grievance fuelled by corruption and government failures (O'Brien 2002a). While such an observation appears compelling, on its own it fails to explain two features of China's collective contention. For one, corruption and rent-seeking seem inevitable due to the absence of effective institutional arrangements against the abuse of power (Lü 2000; Sun 2004), so these problems are ubiquitous across China (Manion 2004). Collective contention, however, is distributed quite unequally across different localities (Thornton 2012; Hurst 2004). In addition, collective contention often starts from trivial events that could happen anywhere, and participants of collective contention do not always have a clear picture of the events that triggered the entire episode (Liu et al. 2010).

The solution to such a puzzle, I suggest, emerges when we follow Melucci (1989) by shifting attention from *why* collective contention occurs to *how* they occurs. Grievances are prevalent among citizens in many societies (Oberschall 1978; McCarthy and Zald 1977), but only under some circumstances can they trigger collective contention. In democracies, the mandates of governments are granted through elections, and citizens can express their grievances through votes. Facing the pressure of an election, politicians have to pay serious attention to citizens' claims. As a result, negotiation channels between the state and society are generally sufficient and efficient, and citizens find it relatively easy to express their grievances through institutionalised means.⁶ Moreover, the boundary between contained and transgressive behaviours is normally explicit and credible in democracies thanks to the rule of law, so citizens are less likely to participate in collective contention when they have legitimate channels

through which to express positions and demands. Officials in autocracies and authoritarian regimes, however, often turn a blind eye to people's grievances since their power normally comes from above (Bendix 1977). Absolute autocracies can still maintain stability through strict social controls (Lau 1990), but authoritarian countries like contemporary China are particularly vulnerable to collective contention because their citizens often use the rights granted by the constitution and laws as weapons of 'rightful resistance' (O'Brien and Li 2006).⁷ Moreover, due to the unclear and unstable boundary between contained and transgressive contention, authoritarian states have to face the constant risk that 'more concessions beget more contention' (O'Brien 2002b).

Dealing with such problems is not a straightforward matter in authoritarian countries, as the leading newspapers and TV channels – typical sources of credible information in democracies – are controlled by the state, such that 'more reliance is placed on oral and unofficial means of communications' (Fandy 2000). Moreover, the recent commercialisation of media in China has provided more space for journalists to 'push the envelope of what the regime considers off-limits by investigating stories about local corruption and abuses of power' (Nathan 2003). Therefore, the news media in China today, as Chen and Shi (2001) argue, have 'negative effects on people's attitudes toward political institutions in general' and 'make people distrust government'. Lacking credible communications, misperceptions between the state and the society, which are fuelled by widespread rumours, can easily make trivial incidents into triggers of collective contention (Liu et al. 2010). Moreover, without effective channels of communication, discontented citizens and the government find it impossible to credibly

and explicitly demonstrate their true position and the price they are willing to pay to defend them. Under such circumstances, mutual agreements are hard to reach and collective contention becomes unavoidable.

However, some Chinese villages are still less vulnerable to the aforementioned problems than their neighbours. Despite the lack of trustworthy formal institutions for information exchange, citizens may still consider the signals and messages from the authoritarian state as credible if they personally believe in the political figures around them (Fewsmith 2001; Gibson 2001). In rural China, local cadres are the political figures who have the closest relations with villagers (Tao and Liu 2013). Therefore, if a religious group can facilitate credible communications between local cadres and villagers, it has a better chance of preventing everyday grievances and conflicts from fermenting into collective contention.

Bridging Religious Groups with Secular Social Forces

Religious groups are widely regarded as important sources, containers, and maintainers of trust among their members (e.g. Smidt 1999; Veenstra 2002; Wuthnow 2002; Welch et al. 2004). However, the mutual trust felt among religious group members is not necessarily extendable to the rest of the local community (Larsen et al. 2004). As a result, not every religious group is willing and able to serve as the communication channel between cadres and villagers, and the roles played by religious groups in contentious politics may thus vary.

To better understand this difference, we first look at Stream East (SE) and Stream West (SW), two adjacent villages in Southeast China. The two villages are separated by

a narrow stream and were in fact artificially divided from the same settlement in the 1950s for purely administrative purposes. Thanks to their close location and shared history, SE and SW are very similar in cultural, historical, demographical, economic, and general policy terms. There is a temple in each village, which is the focal point of the only religious group. Both temples worship the same deity and each is managed by a board consisting of a dozen villagers. Cadres in both villages regularly participate in the collective ceremonies and public projects organised by the local temple. Yet the two temples behaved very differently after similar policy decisions made by the two village authorities in the late 1990s, when cadres in both SE and SW launched ambitious projects to convert public lands into industrial zones.

Both aiming at ‘making the villagers rich’, the industrial zone projects in SE and SW started at almost the same time. However, the project in SE soon reached a premature end due to frequent collective contention, whereas the project in SW was highly successful. Today, while SE is often described by locals as ‘poor and chaotic’, SW has become one of the richest villages in the county thanks to its industrial zone. However, things were not so promising for SE at the beginning: as well as their colleagues in SE, cadres in SW were also accused by some villagers of being arbitrary and fractious. Luckily, thanks to the mediation of the temple, villagers in SW eventually came to believe that they could benefit from the industrial zone. They accepted the decision of their cadres without launching any collective protest, and many even voluntarily moved the graves of their ancestors to clear the fields for the industrial zone. While the temple in SE also has a close relationship with local cadres, it failed to deal

with the emerging divergence between the cadres and some villagers as to whether or not the public land should be converted into an industrial zone. Without credible negotiations between the two parties, rumours soon spread that the project was simply taking place in order for cadres to embezzle public money. Even though the official investigation from the township government found no evidence of corruption or maleficence, villagers in SE nonetheless launched several collective protests against the industrial zone project and eventually forced their village authority to withdraw the plan. However, few villagers in SE today seem not to envy what their neighbours have achieved.

The two temples are very similar in religious belief and organisational structure. Why, then, was only the one in SW able to successfully mediate in the conflict between cadres and villages? The answer to this puzzle, I suggest, lies in the relationship between religious groups and secular social organisations: the head of SW's Elderly Citizens Association – a vibrant secular social organisation – also sits on the temple board, whereas the temple in SE is completely isolated from any secular social organisation. The overlap with the secular Elderly Citizens Association enables the temple in SW to approach a wider public beyond its own boundaries, and it also reduces the temple's political sensitivity by allowing it to put forward its agendas through the overlapping secular social organisation. On the other hand, without the cover of secular social organisations, temple leaders in SE were afraid that becoming involved in a source of contention between cadres and villagers might entail trouble for them, given that the government is highly suspicious of the influence of religious groups in the

secular domain. Moreover, without the assistance of secular social organisations, the temple in SE was hardly able to reach out to those villagers who do not share the religious faith of the group.

The difference between the temples in SE and SW reveals the importance of the relationship between religious groups and secular social organisations. Overlapping membership domains with secular social organisations such as elderly citizens associations, folk culture societies and local dancing groups, as demonstrated by the case of SW, allows religious groups in rural China to extend their influence to a wider public despite the hostile political environment. Religious groups that overlap with secular social organisations are, therefore, more likely to have vigorous interactions with other groups and individuals in the local community. As a result, they have a better chance of meaningful involvement in secular affairs, including mediating in conflicts between local cadres and discontented villagers. Moreover, religious groups that overlap with secular social organisations have a better chance of acting as ‘nodes’ in the local nexus of power and governance. Existing research has confirmed that social stability and good governance are often associated with strong cooperation and efficient coordination between different social organisations, which could integrate divergent opinions, foster consensus, generate general social trust, and discourage ‘Jacobinical’ populist mobilisation by increasing mutual understanding among different social actors (Stone 2003; Tocqueville 2000; Kornhauser 1959; Fukuyama 1995). In other words, when religious groups overlap with secular social organisations, they bridge hierarchical and horizontal social relations, thus fitting their members into the

‘structural holes’ of local interpersonal networks (Burt 1992). In so doing, such religious groups can simultaneously exchange information and resources with multiple networks, and they are thus more capable of facilitating credible negotiations between different actors in the local community.

It should be underlined that a religious group needs to overlap with *secular* social organisations rather than other religious groups in order to increase its chances of proactive engagement with local public affairs. The reason for this lies in the country’s strict religious restrictions. Religious groups remain politically sensitive in China today. A considerable proportion, if not the vast majority, of Chinese rural religious groups are not registered with the government, either because they are unwilling or not allowed to do so or simply because their beliefs do not fit into the five official religions. In order to survive and grow in such a hostile environment, religious groups without connections with secular social organisations have to deliberately distance themselves from sensitive issues like contentious politics so as to avoid unnecessary attention and possible suppression by the state. Overlapping with other religious groups could not reduce, and may even increase, the suspicions of the government. Therefore, only religious groups overlapping with secular social organisations can push their agendas through secular partners and engage with public affairs without significantly arousing the suspicions of the government.

Linking Religious Groups to Local Authorities

Overlapping with secular social organisations allows some religious groups to better engage with contentious politics; however, such a feature alone cannot guarantee that

these groups will always successfully mediate rather than intensify contention. Thanks to their close relationship with secular social organisations, religious groups overlapping with secular social organisations are more capable to launch collective protests as well as to mediate in conflicts. Clearly, there is another factor that serves to differentiate religious groups that are effective in preventing collective contention from others.

To identify this factor, we look at the temples in another pair of villages not far from SE and SW. Grand Rock (GR) and Little Rock (LR) are two villages separated by a narrow street. As was the case with SE and SW, GR and LR had been one settlement until the recent separation for administrative purposes. Their close geographical location and common history make GR and LR highly similar in their cultural, historical and demographic backgrounds, and the economic and general policy situations are also highly similar in the two villages today. Moreover, the village temples in GR and LR worship the same deity, have similar organisational structures, and overlap with the local Elderly Citizens' Association. However, the temple in LR includes local cadres as members and hence overlaps with the village authority – this difference led to the different roles played by the two temples in the same contentious episode.

In 2010, GR and LR almost simultaneously received an order to construct fire barriers in their public woods from the County Fire Department, and both villages had to raise funding for this from among their villagers. Many residents in both villages became quite unhappy. They regarded the order as unreasonable and unfair, and some

even planned to protest against the Fire Department, hoping to force the latter either to withdraw its order or to provide sufficient funding for the construction. The temple in GR became a keen organiser of protests. Under the cover of the overlapping Elderly Citizens Association, it not only called for its members to resist the order but also tried to blockade the woods when the village authority sent a team to clear the site for the fire barriers. The order from the Fire Department was interpreted as a conspiracy in which village cadres would spend public money in exchange for personal benefits or opportunities. The protests caused significant trouble for the village cadres, and GR was heavily fined for not finishing the fire barriers on time. The order from the Fire Department remains the same as when I visited GR in 2012. The village authority had to borrow money to pay for both the fire barriers and the fines. Rumours spread that village cadres embezzled many of the construction funds, and collective protests became a constant issue in GR.

The situation in LR, however, was quite different. A trustee of the village's Elderly Citizens Association came up with an innovative idea to solve the problem. Instead of resisting the order to build fire barriers, he proposed the building of a walking trail that could serve as both a fire barrier and a footpath. Such a project, obviously, requires much more funding, and the temple in LR played a vital role in persuading villagers to support it. Thanks to the members who also hold positions in the village authority and the Elderly Citizens Association, the temple board firmly stated that its followers and other villagers would benefit from the project, and it thus mobilised believers to donate to the project and framed the donation as a virtuous action. In

addition, through the Elderly Citizens Association, it also persuaded other villagers, including some Christians, to support the project. Moreover, the temple, in tandem with the Elderly Citizens Association, supervised the process and the accounts of the project, making sure that no donation was misused. At the time of my visit in 2012, although the walking trail in LR was yet to be completed, it was already attracting more than 200 visitors during each weekend. Villagers in LR are proud of the project. Many seemed to have completely forgotten how unpopular the order to build fire barriers had been in the first place.

Thus, the temples in GR and LR, albeit highly similar in many ways, reacted to the same exogenous shock in completely different ways. Such a phenomenon highlights the importance of the relationship between religious groups and local authorities. Religious groups in China overlap with local authorities when they include incumbent or retired cadres as members. As in the case of LR, despite the strict religious restrictions in the country, it is possible for incumbent or retired Chinese township and village cadres to become religious group members in some parts of the country. These cadres play important roles in creating mutual understanding between the religious groups to which they belong and the local governments for which they work. Thanks to the dual memberships held by these incumbent or retired local cadres, religious groups with a membership that overlaps with the local authorities' membership are more likely to serve as creditable negotiation channels between the state and society.

In villages with religious groups that overlap with the local authority then, trivial conflicts between the state and society are far less likely to grow into collective

contention for several reasons. First, thanks to incumbent and retired cadres who simultaneously occupy positions in local authorities and religious groups, local authorities and ordinary villagers have a better chance of communicating with each other, and both parties are more likely to regard the information and signals from each other as credible. As a result, both local authorities and ordinary villagers can better understand the actual demands and true position from the other side, and therefore enjoy a better chance of reaching compromises and agreements before getting trapped in collective contention, which is usually a lose/lose outcome in the context of China.⁸ Moreover, the existing literature also suggests that collective contention in contemporary China is often triggered by rumours, many of which have later been proven heavily exaggerated or simply untrue (Liu et al. 2010). Such rumours, however, are less likely to spread in villages such as LR, where residents can efficiently communicate with cadres thanks to the credible channels provided by religious groups overlapping with local authorities.

A New Framework

The foregoing comparisons suggest important lessons for better understanding the roles played by religious groups in contentious politics in authoritarian countries such as China. The different actions taken by similar religious groups during similar episodes of contention in two pairs of adjacent villages remind us of two issues that are often side-lined, if not completely neglected, in the existing theories. First, it seems more reasonable to consider the relationship between religious groups and collective contention as diverse rather than as uniform. Second, instead of assuming that such a

relationship is simply determined by the stance of religious groups, it seems more reasonable to also look at other important actors in local communities.

Prior research has shown that political trust in authoritarian countries often exists in terms of multiple layers and in various dimensions, and citizens in authoritarian countries often trust the central government more than local cadres (Li 2008, 2013). While the mismatch of political trust in rural China provides some ‘breathing space’ for the central government because ‘dissatisfaction with lower levels does not immediately generate demands for fundamental political reforms’ (Li 2004), it also highlights that the key to preventing trivial conflicts from growing into collective contention is the mutual understanding between *local* cadres and ordinary citizens. Religious groups, as many have observed, have strong potential to bridge different local social forces and to link them with the state (e.g. Hillman 2004; Chau 2005). However, like the temples in SE and GR, not every religious group is able to be accepted by both villagers and cadres as credible communication channels. To make full sense of religious groups’ roles in contentious politics, I suggest that we need to take their relational status into consideration. First, when religious groups overlap with secular social organisations, they can better reduce political sensitivities and reach a wider public by presenting agendas through their secular partners. As a result, religious groups overlapping with secular social organisations are more likely to get involved in public affairs and have a positive impact in terms of reducing the likelihood of collective contention. Moreover, among religious groups that overlap with secular social organisations, those that also overlap with local authorities (i.e. that include incumbent or retired cadres as group

members) are more capable to be able to reduce the likelihood of collective contention, because these groups are more likely to be trusted by both ordinary villagers and local officials and hence have a better chance of serving as negotiating channels between the state and society.

Figure 1 is here.

Figure 1 illustrates the new explanatory framework. Drawing on the two criteria highlighted in the figure, we can categorise religious groups into four groups: Type 1 groups overlap with secular social organisations but are isolated from local authorities; Type 2 groups are isolated from both secular social organisations and local authorities; Type 3 groups overlap with local authorities but are isolated from secular social organisations; and Type 4 groups simultaneously overlap with both secular social organisations and local authorities. The descriptive statistics of the four types of religious groups are reported in Table 3.

Table 3 is here.

As illustrated in Figure 1 and Table 3, among the four types of religious groups, only **Type 4** groups are capable in facilitating credible communications between different actors in the local community and hence reduce collective contention. Therefore, collective contention should be less likely to occur in villages with more Type 4 groups, whereas other religious groups should have no significant impact on the occurrence of collective contention. This argument gives rise to the following testable hypothesis: *Other things being equal, the likelihood of collective protest significantly correlates with the frequency of Type 4 religious groups but does NOT significantly*

correlate with the frequencies of Types 1, 2 and 3 religious groups, respectively

[Hypothesis 3].

$$If CP_i = \alpha + NT1_i\psi_1 + NT2_i\psi_2 + NT3_i\psi_3 + NT4_i\psi_4 + CV_i\gamma + TD_i\theta + \mu_i \quad [B]$$

Hypothesis 3 is tested through Model B, which includes four independent variables: *NT1*, *NT2*, *NT3*, and *NT4* refer to the frequencies of Type 1, Type 2, Type 3 and Type 4 religious groups in a sample village, respectively. ψ_1 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 , and ψ_4 are their coefficients, respectively. The meaning of the other symbols is the same as those explained earlier in relation to Model A.

Table 4 is here.

The statistical results of Model B are reported in Table 4, which shows that, among the four independent variables, only the coefficient of *NT4* is significantly negative. In other words, while collective contention is less likely to occur in villages with more **Type 4** religious groups, the frequency of other types of religious groups in a sample village does not have a significant impact on the occurrence of collective contention. That is to say, the results in Table 3 fully confirm the new explanatory framework illustrated by Figure 1.

It is worth noting that some recent studies have also implied that the roles of religious groups in local politics may vary or change over time. Trejo (2009), for example, finds that Catholic clergy in Latin America were traditionally in favour of the *status quo* but became major institutional promoters of rural indigenous causes when ‘confronted by the expansion of U.S. mainline Protestantism’. Tsai (Tsai 2007), in addition, finds that temples are more likely to push local cadres to provide public goods

in Chinese villages than churches are. Therefore, the occurrence of collective contention might possibly vary between villages with different levels of religious competition or between those with groups practising different religious faiths. However, neither alternative hypothesis holds when tested against the same data set used to validate the new explanatory framework. In other words, the key factor that differentiates the religious groups that can influence collective contention from those that cannot is indeed the relational status of these groups rather than the competition they face or the faith they have.⁹

Conclusion

This paper systematically examines the roles played by Chinese rural religious groups in contentious politics. According to the empirical findings, collective contention is less likely to occur in villages with a higher number of religious groups that simultaneously overlap with secular social organisations and local authorities, while other types of religious groups have no significant impact on the occurrence of collective contention. That is to say, at least in rural China, the relationship between religious groups and collective contention is multifaceted, and this relationship is determined not only by religious groups but also by their relations with other important actors in local communities, particularly secular social organisations and local authorities.

These findings invite us to reflect on the ongoing debate on the roles of religious groups in contentious politics. Given that religious groups may act as both social agitators and tranquilisers, each of the competing theories seems to have captured

certain aspects of the relationship between religious groups and collective contention. However, to make better sense of such a relationship, we need to understand the factors that differentiate the roles of different religious groups in contentious politics, especially the political settings of, and the important actors in, local communities. For students of Chinese politics, this paper confirms that the variation in local cadres' social relations and everyday actions may lead to different state/society relations in Chinese villages despite the apparently powerful party-state machine (e.g. Tsai 2007; O'Brien and Li 1999). It also suggests that social groups with different relational statuses may take up different attitudes and actions vis-à-vis the state.

In practical terms, this paper suggests that the Chinese government would benefit from more flexible and sophisticated policies towards religious affairs. The current coercive policy is failing to stop the rapid development of religious groups. Moreover, it will probably undermine the local state/religion relationship in the long run and may eventually become a cause of contention. Indeed, homogeneous suppression of religious activities may unintentionally force religious groups with different faiths and practices to unite into a powerful oppositional social force. Therefore, to better maintain social stability, the party-state may want to adopt different policies to manage its relationship with different religious groups. Finally, lifting the ban on religious believers joining the ruling party would offer the authoritarian regime the chance of more informal but credible communications with citizens, and hence make it better able to prevent trivial conflicts from developing into collective contention.

Tables and Figures

Table 1 Background Information of the Sampled Villages

Variables	Possible Direction of Impact on the Dependent Variable (The Occurrence of Collective Contention)	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimal Value	Maximal Value
Village population	positive	1580.05	1008.03	145	5082
Frequency of Settlements	mixed	5.00	8.01	1	60
Village government revenue (1000 <i>yuan</i>)	mixed	27.35	164.25	-81	1650
Total villagers' income (1000 <i>yuan</i>)	mixed	7009.09	6944.36	39	44005
Frequency of Party members	negative	38.13	21.17	10	116
Subsidy percentage in cadres' salary	mixed	82.63	29.58	0	100
Possibility of promotion	mixed	0.30	0.46	0	1
Score on the Election Fairness Index	negative	7.45	1.68	2	10
Households influenced by land requisition	positive	64.470	146.54	0	820
Frequency of land requisition	positive	0.82	1.17	0	5

N = 119.

Table 2 Statistical Results for Model A

Variables	Model [A] The Occurrence of Collective Contention
<i>Independent variable</i>	
Frequency of religious groups	0.0088 (0.28)
<i>Control variables</i>	
Village population	-0.0002* (-1.74)
Frequency of Settlements	0.0121 (1.19)
Village government revenue (1000 yuan)	0.5700 (1.62)
Total villagers' income (1000 yuan)	0.0000 (0.24)
Frequency of Party members	0.0043 (0.73)
Subsidy percentage in cadres' salary	0.0077** (2.35)
Possibility of promotion	0.0663 (0.48)
Score on the Election Fairness Index	-0.0299 (-0.94)
Households influenced by land requisition	0.0006 (1.40)
Frequency of land requisition	0.1180* (1.89)
Township-level dummies	<i>controlled</i>
<i>Constant</i>	-0.4330 (-1.20)
R²	0.7041

N=119. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Significance at the 10% level is represented by *, at the 5% level by **, and at the 1% level by ***.

Dimension I Dimension II		Does the religious group overlap with a secular social group (e.g. elderly citizens associations, folk culture groups, etc.)?	
		No	Yes
Does the religious group overlap with a local authority?	No	<u>Type 2</u> should NOT be significantly correlated with the occurrence of collective contention	<u>Type 1</u> should NOT be significantly correlated with the occurrence of collective contention
	Yes	<u>Type 3</u> should NOT be significantly correlated with the occurrence of collective contention	<u>Type 4</u> should be NEGATIVELY correlated with the occurrence of collective contention

Figure 1 A New Explanatory Framework on the Relationship between Religious Groups and Collective Contention in Rural China

Table 3 Descriptive Statistics on the Four Types of Religious Groups

	<u>Type 1</u> Groups	<u>Type 2</u> Groups	<u>Type 3</u> Groups	<u>Type 4</u> Groups
<u>Classification Dimensions</u>				
Overlap with secular social organisations	Yes	No	No	Yes
Overlap with local authorities	No	Yes	No	Yes
<u>Expected Impacts</u>				
Prevent collective contention	No	No	No	Yes
<u>Basic Information</u>				
Total frequency	25	15	65	16
Percentage of certain type of groups in all religious groups	20.66	12.40	53.72	13.22
Average frequency in each village	0.21	0.13	0.55	0.13
Frequency of villages with certain type of groups	15	5	41	10
Percentage of villages with certain type of groups in all sampling villages	12.61	4.20	34.45	8.40
Percentage of villages with certain type of groups in villages that have at least one religious group	23.08	7.69	63.08	15.38
Maximal frequency in a village	6	8	9	3

Table 4 Statistical Results for Model B

Variables	Model	[B] The Occurrence of Collective Contention
<i>Independent variable</i>		
Frequency of Type 1 groups		-0.0216 (-0.16)
Frequency of Type 2 groups		0.0206 (0.63)
Frequency of Type 3 groups		0.0714 (0.30)
Frequency of Type 4 groups		-0.1762** (-2.14)
<i>Control variables</i>		
Village population		-0.0002 (-1.22)
Frequency of Settlements		0.0052 (0.53)
Village government revenue (1000 yuan)		0.6355* (1.84)
Total villagers' income (1000 yuan)		0.0000 (0.20)
Frequency of Party members		0.0062 (0.98)
Subsidy percentage in cadres' salary		0.0095** (2.61)
Possibility of promotion		0.0169 (0.12)
Score on the Election Fairness Index		-0.0336 (-1.00)
Households influenced by land requisition		0.0007 (1.68)
Frequency of land requisition		0.1383** (2.16)
Township-level dummies		<i>controlled</i>
<i>Constant</i>		-0.5080 (-1.30)
<i>R</i>²		0.7226

N=119. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. Significance at the 10% level is represented by *, at the 5% level by **, and at the 1% level by ***.

Bibliography

- Adrianne, Madeleine. 1986. *Opting for the Poor: Brazilian Catholicism in Transition*. Kansas City: Sheed & Ward.
- Baumgartner, Jody C., Peter L. Francia, and Jonathan S. Morris. 2008. "A Clash of Civilizations? The Influence of Religion on Public Opinion of U.S. Foreign Policy in the Middle East." *Political Research Quarterly* 61 (2):171-9.
- Bax, Mart. 2000. "Warlords, Priests and the Politics of Ethnic Cleansing: a Case-study from Rural Bosnia Hercegovina." *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 23 (1):16-36.
- Bendix, Reinhard. 1977. *Max Weber: An Intellectual Portrait*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Berryman, Phillip. 1987. *Liberation Theology: Essential Facts about the Revolutionary Religious Movement in Latin America and Beyond*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Broughton, David, and H.-M. T. D. ten Napel, eds. 2000. *Religion and Mass Electoral Behaviour in Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Burt, Ronald S. 1992. *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Cady, Linell Elizabeth, and Sheldon W. Simon, eds. 2006. *Religion and Conflict in South and Southeast Asia: Disrupting Violence*. New York: Routledge.
- Cai, Yongshun. 2008. "Power Structure and Regime Resilience: Contentious Politics in China." *British Journal of Political Science* 38 (03):411-32.
- . 2010. *Collective Resistance in China: Why Popular Protests Succeed or Fail*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Chau, Adam Yuet. 2005. "The Politics of Legitimation and the Revival of Popular Religion in Shaanbei, North-Central China." *Modern China* 31 (2):236-78.
- Chen, Xi. 2012. *Social Protest and Contentious Authoritarianism in China*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Chen, Xueyi, and Tianjian Shi. 2001. "Media Effects on Political Confidence and Trust in the People's Republic of China in the Post-Tiananmen Period." *East Asia* 19 (3):84-118.
- Dean, Kenneth. 2003. "Local Communal Religion in Contemporary South-East China." *The China Quarterly* (174):338-58.
- Ellis, Stephen, and Gerrie ter Haar. 2004. *Worlds of Power: Religious Thought and Political Practice in Africa*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fandy, Mamoun. 2000. "Information Technology, Trust, and Social Change in the Arab world." *Middle East journal* 54 (3):378-94.
- Fewsmith, Joseph. 2001. *China since Tiananmen: the Politics of Transition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fukuyama, Francis. 1995. *Trust: The Social Virtues and the Creation of Prosperity*. New York: Free Press.
- Gessner, Guy, Naresh K. Malhotra, Wagner A. Kamakura, and Mark E. Zmijewski. 1988. "Estimating Models with Binary Dependent Variables: Some Theoretical and Empirical Observations." *Journal of Business Research* 16 (1):49-65.
- Gibson, James L. 2001. "Social Networks, Civil Society, and the Prospects for Consolidating Russia's Democratic Transition." *American Journal of Political Science* 45 (1):51-68.
- Goossaert, Vincent, and David A. Palmer. 2011. *The Religious Question in Modern China*.

- Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gould, William. 2011. *Religion and Conflict in Modern South Asia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hillman, Ben. 2004. "The Rise of the Community in Rural China: Village Politics, Cultural Identity and Religious Revival in a Hui Hamlet." *The China Journal* (51):53-73.
- Houben, Vincent J. H. 2003. "Southeast Asia and Islam." *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 588 (1):149-70.
- Howe, Gary N. 1981. "The Political Economy of American Religion." In *Political Economy: A Critique of American Society*, ed. S. G. McNall. Glenview: Scott, Foresman.
- Huntington, Samuel P. 1996. *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Hurst, William. 2004. "Understanding Contentious Collective Action by Chinese Laid-off Workers: The Importance of Regional Political Economy." *Studies in Comparative International Development* 39 (2):94-120.
- Inglehart, Ronald. 1997. *Modernization and Postmodernization: Cultural, Economic, and Political Change in 43 Societies*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kornhauser, William. 1959. *The Politics of Mass Society*. Glencoe: Free Press.
- Lü, Xiaobo. 2000. "Booty Socialism, Bureau-Preneurs, and the State in Transition: Organizational Corruption in China." *Comparative Politics* 32 (3):273-94.
- Lai, Hongyi Harry. 2003. "The Religious Revival in China." *The Copenhagen Journal of Asian Studies* 18:40-64.
- Lam, Pui-Yan. 2006. "Religion and Civic Culture: A Cross-National Study of Voluntary

- Association Membership." *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 45 (2):177-93.
- Larsen, Larissa, Sharon L. Harlan, Bob Bolin, Edward J. Hackett, Diane Hope, Andrew Kirby, Amy Nelson, Tom R. Rex, and Shaphard Wolf. 2004. "Bonding and Bridging: Understanding the Relationship between Social Capital and Civic Action." *Journal of Planning Education and Research* 24 (1):64-77.
- Lau, Siu-kai. 1990. "Institutions Without Leaders: The Hong Kong Chinese View of Political Leadership." *Pacific Affairs* 63 (2):191-209.
- Li, Lianjiang. 2004. "Political Trust in Rural China." *Modern China* 30 (2):228-58.
- . 2008. "Political Trust and Petitioning in the Chinese Countryside." *Comparative Politics* 40 (2):209-26.
- . 2013. "The Magnitude and Resilience of Trust in the Center: Evidence from Interviews with Petitioners in Beijing and a Local Survey in Rural China." *Modern China* 39 (1):3-36.
- Limaye, Satu P., Mohan Malik, and Rober Wirsing, eds. 2004. *Religious Radicalism and Security in South Asia*. Hobolulu, Hawaii: Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.
- Liu, Mingxing, Yongdong Liu, Yu Tao, and Ran Tao. 2010. "Zhong guo nong cun she tuan de fa yu, jiu fen tiao jie yu qun ti xing shang fang (Farmers' Associations, Contention Mediation and Collective Petitions in Rural China)." *She hui xue yan jiu (Sociological Studies)* 2010 (6):179-200.
- Manion, Melanie. 2004. *Corruption by Design: Building Clean Government in Mainland China and Hong Kong*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Marx, Karl. 1977. *Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- McCarthy, John D., and Mayer N. Zald. 1977. "Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory." *American Journal of Sociology* 82 (6):1212-41.
- Melucci, Alberto. 1989. *Nomads of the Present: Social Movements and Individual Needs in Contemporary Society*. Edited by J. Keane and P. Mier. London: Hutchinson Radius.
- Morris, Aldon D. 1986. *The Origins of the Civil Rights Movement: Black Communities Organizing for Change*. New York: Free Press.
- Nathan, Andrew J. 2003. "Authoritarian Resilience." *Journal of Democracy* 14 (1):6-17.
- Neal, Marie Augusta. 1987. *The Just Demands of the Poor : Essays in Socio-theology*. New York: Paulist Press.
- Nepstad, Sharon Erickson. 2004. *Convictions of the Soul: Religion, Culture, and Agency in the Central America Solidarity Movement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- O'Brien, Kevin J. 1996. "Rightful Resistance." *World Politics* 49 (1):31-55.
- . 2002a. "Collective Action in the Chinese Countryside." *The China Journal* (48):139-54.
- . 2002b. "Neither Transgressive Nor Contained: Boundary-Spanning Contention In China." *Mobilization: An International Quarterly* 8 (1):51-64.
- O'Brien, Kevin J., and Lianjiang Li. 1999. "Selective Policy Implementation in Rural China." *Comparative Politics* 31 (2):167-86.
- . 2006. *Rightful Resistance in Rural China*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oberschall, Anthony. 1978. "Theories of Social Conflict." *Annual Review of Sociology* 4:291-315.
- Osa, Maryjane. 1996. "Pastoral Mobilization and Contention: The Religious Foundations of

- the Solidarity Movement in Poland." In *Disruptive Religion: the Force of Faith in Social Movement Activism*, ed. C. S. Smith. London: Routledge.
- Pope, Liston. 1942. *Millhands and Preachers: A Study of Gastonia*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Putnam, Robert D., Robert Leonardi, and Raffaella Nanetti. 1994. *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Pyle, Ralph E., and James D. Davidson. 1998. "Stratification." In *Encyclopedia of religion and society*, ed. W. H. Swatos and P. Kivisto. Walnut Creek: Rowman Altamira.
- Salehi, Mohammad M. 1988. *Insurgency through Culture and Religion: the Islamic Revolution of Iran*. New York: Praeger.
- Searle, Peter. 2002. "Ethno-religious Conflicts: Rise or decline? Recent developments in Southeast Asia." *Contemporary Southeast Asia* 24 (1):1-11.
- Smidt, Corwin E. 1999. "Religion and Civic Engagement: A Comparative Analysis." *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 565:176-92.
- Stark, Rodney 1972. "The Economics of Piety." In *Issues in social inequality*, ed. G. W. Thielbar and S. D. Feldman. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Stone, Wendy. 2003. "Bonding, Bridging and Linking with Social Capital." *Stronger Families Learning Exchange Bulletin* 4:13-6.
- Sun, Yan. 2004. *Corruption and Market in Contemporary China*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Tao, Yu, and Mingxing Liu. 2013. "Intermediate Associations, Grassroots Elites and Collective Petitioning in Rural China." In *Elites and Governance in China*, ed. X. Zang and C.-W.

- Kou. London: Routledge.
- Thornton, Patricia M. 2012. "Mapping Dynamic Events: Popular Contention in China over Space and Time." *Annals of GIS* 18 (1):31-43.
- Tocqueville, Alexis de. 2000. *Democracy in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Tong, James. 2009. *Revenge of the Forbidden City: The Suppression of the Falungong in China, 1999-2005*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Trejo, Guillermo. 2009. "Religious Competition and Ethnic Mobilization in Latin America: Why the Catholic Church Promotes Indigenous Movements in Mexico." *American Political Science Review* 103 (03):323-42.
- Tsai, Lily L. 2007. *Accountability without Democracy: Solidary Groups and Public Goods Provision in Rural China*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ukiwo, Ukoha. 2003. "Politics, Ethno-Religious Conflicts and Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria." *The Journal of Modern African Studies* 41 (1):115-38.
- van der Veer, Peter, and Hartmut Lehmann. 1999. *Nation and Religion: Perspectives on Europe and Asia*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Veenstra, Gerry. 2002. "Explicating Social Capital: Trust and Participation in the Civil Space." *The Canadian Journal of Sociology* 27 (4):547-72.
- Welch, Michael R., David Sikkink, Eric Sartain, and Carolyn Bond. 2004. "Trust in God and Trust in Man: The Ambivalent Role of Religion in Shaping Dimensions of Social Trust." *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 43 (3):317-43.
- Wong, Betty L. 2001. "A Paper Tiger? An Examination of the International Religious Freedom Act's Impact on Christianity in China." *Hastings International and Comparative Law*

Review 24:539-60.

Woodberry, Robert D., and Timothy S. Shah. 2004. "The Pioneering Protestants." *Journal of Democracy* 15 (2):48-61.

Wuthnow, Robert. 2002. "Religious Involvement and Status-Bridging Social Capital." *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* 41 (4):669-84.

Yang, Fenggang. 2006. "The Red, Black, and Gray Markets of Religion in China." *Sociological Quarterly* 47 (1):93-122.

———. 2012. *Religion in China: Survival and Revival under Communist Rule*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Ying, Fuk-Tsang. 2006. "New Wine in Old Wineskins: An Appraisal of Religious Legislation in China and the Regulations on Religious Affairs of 2005." *Religion, State and Society* 34 (4):347-73.

Notes

¹ Please refer to the online appendix for more detailed information on the sampling and data collection strategies.

² Logistic or probit models are normally recommended for binary dependent variables, thanks to their better capability in value predicting (Gessner et al. 1988). However, models in this paper each include a large number of dummy variables, which would inevitably lead to the omission of a large number of cases if logistic or probit models were to be applied. Moreover, OLS models are as efficient as the logistic and probit models in testing the significance and direction of the coefficient of the dependent variable based on existing data. Given that the purpose of my regression models is not to predict future collective contention but to test whether religious groups actually had an impact on pre-existing examples of contention, OLS models are adopted so as to keep as many sample villages as possible and avoid unnecessary complexity.

³ The threshold scale is set consistently with prior research on collective contention in contemporary China, such as, for example, Chen (2012).

⁴ Please refer to the online appendix for more detailed information on the rationales to control these variables.

⁵ None of the episodes of collective contention in my dataset were directly triggered by religious issues. This is consistent with the existing body of research, which shows that religious groups may pay an enormous price for challenging the ruling order in authoritarian countries such as contemporary China (Tong 2009; Yang 2006).

⁶ This, however, does not mean that democracies are always free from collective contention. On the contrary, when the grievances of certain social groups cannot be channelled out through formal institutions, collective violence may be triggered by trivial incidents. A famous recent example is the 2011 London riots.

⁷ ‘Rightful resistance’ refers to ‘popular contention that (1) operates near the boundary of an authorized channel, (2) employs the rhetoric and commitments of the powerful to curb political or economic power, and (3) hinges on locating and exploiting divisions among the powerful’ (O'Brien 1996).

⁸ To local officials, collective protests in their jurisdiction may lead to intervention and punishment from higher-level governments, especially in cases wherein they fail to cope with these protests. Protesters may face serious repression from local governments. For example, according to Cai's (2008) survey of 78 collective protests, only 20 cases were solved after a collective protest, with the other 58 cases being either repressed or ignored. Furthermore, in 10 of the solved cases, although citizens' demands were met, some or all of the participants were punished. For more detailed and comprehensive discussions on the possible consequences of collective protests, see also Cai (2010) and Chen (2012).

⁹ Due to the limited space, please refer to the online appendix for detailed models and results.
