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Stillbirth: Why invest?

Alexander E P Heazell, Dimitrios Siaissakos, Hannah Blencowe, Zulfiquar A Bhutta, Joanne Cacciapuoti, Nghia Dang, Jai Das, Vicki Flenady, Katherine J Gold, Olivia K Mensah, Joseph Millum, Daniel Nuzum, Keelin O’Donoghue, Maggie Redshaw, Arjumand Rizvi, Tracy Roberts, H E Toyin Saraki, Claire Storey, Aleena M Wojcieszek, Soo Downe, for The Lancet Ending Preventable Stillbirths Series study Group*

Despite the frequency of stillbirths, the subsequent implications are overlooked and underappreciated. We present findings from comprehensive, systematic literature reviews, and new analyses of published and unpublished data, to establish the effect of stillbirth on parents, families, health-care providers, and societies worldwide. Data for direct costs of this event are sparse but suggest that a stillbirth needs more resources than a livebirth, both in the perinatal period and in additional surveillance during subsequent pregnancies. Indirect and intangible costs of stillbirth are extensive and are usually met by families alone. This issue is particularly onerous for those with few resources. Negative effects, particularly on parental mental health, might be moderated by empathic attitudes of care providers and tailored interventions. The value of the baby, as well as the associated costs for parents, families, care providers, communities, and society, should be considered to reduce stillbirths and reduce associated morbidity.

Introduction

Despite the 2.7 million stillbirths worldwide,1 the costs of stillbirth are largely unknown and therefore unappreciated in contrast to other adverse pregnancy outcomes.2–5 For the most part, health metrics, such as quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), have neglected stillbirth. No value is generally given for the loss of life or the loss to parents and families. Most economic analyses have focused on the cost of stillbirth prevention.6,7 In low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), costs vary from US$4781 to $10 571 per stillbirth averted (in 2013 prices).8,9 In high-income countries (HICs) with lower stillbirth rates, prevention costs are greater than in LMICs, for example smoking cessation costs $125 961 per stillbirth averted.8 If stillbirths are included in analyses of the effect of antenatal and intrapartum care on maternal and newborn deaths, the cost per death averted reduces substantially from $27 551 to $2143 (panel 1).9 However, to accurately assess whether these programmes are cost-effective, a better appreciation of the costs of stillbirth is needed and so far, no comprehensive estimates have been made.

In this Series paper, the costs associated with stillbirths are described as direct (including the cost of medical care) or indirect financial costs (such as welfare payments). Outcomes are divided into psychological and social effects of bereaved parents and families,3 and [A: overall effects?] effects on health professionals. We identify these costs and outcomes through systematic reviews and new analyses of published and unpublished data (panel 2). We also evaluate interventions to reduce negative effects [A: such as?]. To address the cost-effectiveness of these interventions and those to prevent stillbirth, we consider the effects of different methods used to value the loss of fetal life. [A: please add a line in this paragraph about data being mostly from HICs and MICs/LMICs]

Direct financial costs of stillbirth

Three studies described direct costs, including investigations into the cause of death, ranged from $1450,10 and £195111 to $806712 Care costs for stillbirths were 10–70% greater than with a livebirth.13,14 Direct costs of health-care provision were typically met by government or insurance companies, although in some cases this expenditure was passed on to parents; 14% of respondents from HICs and 32% from middle-income countries (MICs) had medical bills ranging from $27 551 to $10 571 per stillbirth averted.

Key messages

• Stillbirth is associated with substantial direct, indirect, and intangible costs to women, their partners and families, health-care providers, the government, and the wider society. Appreciation of the costs of stillbirth is essential to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of interventions to prevent stillbirth or ameliorate negative effects of stillbirth.
• Data for the cost of stillbirth in high-burden countries are inadequate. In addition to collection of data for the number of stillbirths, data should also be collected for the resource implications.
• Adverse experiences including stigma, social isolation, and disenfranchised grief are widespread among parents whose baby is stillborn and need to be addressed through focused interventions and supportive activities including parents, communities, care providers, and relevant stakeholders.
• Empathic behaviours during every encounter between bereaved parents and caregivers are essential to minimise additional emotional and psychological burdens in the short, medium, and long term.
• Caring for families during and after stillbirth places a substantial personal and professional burden on staff. Negative effects on staff could be addressed by education, training, and provision of formal and informal support.
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Panel 1: Modelled scenario—the effect and cost of 90% coverage for quality antenatal and intrapartum care

We used Lives Saved Tool (LiST) (version 5.28) to model the results of effective proven interventions on stillbirths and maternal and neonatal deaths. We modelled the potential effect of introducing selected interventions within health systems of the 75 high-burden Countdown countries [A: please reference to specify these countries, eg in the appendix] (which account for 99% of all deaths) [A: Please provide a reference]. For each of the 75 Countdown countries, baseline scenarios were created that represent the most up-to-date details about the health status of these countries, including mortality, cause of death structure, and present coverage of interventions. The base year was set as 2015 and coverage of selected interventions was scaled up linearly to reach 90% by 2030. The modelled interventions were grouped into four packages along the continuum of care.

- Preconception nutrition care: balanced energy and protein supplementation, folic acid supplementation or fortification, and micronutrient supplementation (various micronutrients, including iron and folic acid).
- Basic antenatal care: prevention of malaria with insecticide-treated bednets or intermittent preventive treatment with antimalarial drugs, syphilis detection and treatment, and tetanus toxoid immunisation. Intermittent preventive treatment was only scaled up in countries where malaria is endemic and the effect would only apply to the proportion of women exposed to malaria.
- Advanced antenatal care: detection and management of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, including treatment with magnesium sulphate and hospital care or caesarean section if needed, detection and management of diabetes in pregnancy, detection and management of fetal growth restriction, identification, and induction of mothers at 41 weeks of gestation or more.
- Child birth care: skilled birth attendance, antenatal steroids for preterm labour, antibiotics for preterm premature rupture of the membranes, active management of the third stage of labour, neonatal resuscitation, immediate assessment, and stimulation of the newborn.

For costing, we used the LiST costing submodule to assess the running costs of the interventions for which we used an ingredients-based approach, identifying and valuing every resource [A: added a qualifier of ingredients-based approach, ok?]. The costing submodule draws its assumptions about staffing, drugs, and need for services from the UN’s OneHealth Tool database [A: please provide a ref that will be added in as a margin link]. We have only included running costs and that was divided in four components: capital costs, drug and supply costs, labour costs, and other recurrent costs.

The results suggest that scaling up these proven antenatal and intrapartum interventions in the 75 high-burden countries can prevent 823 000 stillbirths, 1 145 000 neonatal deaths, and 166 000 maternal deaths annually by the year 2030 (figure [A: figure does not estimate these figures, are you referring to something in the appendix?] at an additional annual running cost of US$4.6 billion or $2143 for each life saved (including stillbirth, maternal, and neonatal deaths; table [A: table does not relate directly to this sentence, please explain or okay to delete table citation?]). The analysis suggests that interventions in the preconception, basic, and advanced antenatal care packages are crucial, but most of the deaths including stillbirths and neonatal and maternal deaths are prevented by intervening in the intrapartum period alone and with a lower estimated cost of $1370 [A: lower than what?] to save each life. This analysis reaffirms previous estimates that not only is prevention of stillbirths possible but prevention can be achieved at a reasonable cost of $2143 for each life saved.

1 No direct reports of the cost of care in subsequent pregnancy exist, although three papers, all from HICs, recommended additional monitoring [A: of these costs?]. By use of these recommendations to derive models of care, we estimated costs from £3499 [A: the change in currency makes comparison within the text difficult, please convert GBP to US dollars] after a stillbirth of a non-recurrent cause to £4057 for a stillbirth of unknown cause. A pregnancy after stillbirth costs £558–1735 more than that if the previous pregnancy ended in an uncomplicated livebirth. Additionally, if care included more intensive surveillance with cardiotocography, costs rose to £4654–5616. Thus, the costs of subsequent pregnancy care add to the health-care costs associated with stillbirths in HICs; this situation will extend to MICs as these countries scale-up more intensive antenatal monitoring and care.

Indirect financial costs of stillbirth

The most frequent indirect costs for parents after stillbirth were for the funeral and burial or cremation of their baby (appendix). For some, this cost was mitigated by health insurance, government payments, or grants. Parents’ free mobile phone text responses in the International Stillbirth Alliance (ISA) survey [A: reference available?] show the substantial financial burden of this group, magnifying the effect of these parents’ loss (panel 3). Although some parents did not have to pay, others reported costs for funerals ranging from $469–$11 719, extending to $1179–11 605 for burial plots and $1410–4605 for memorials (appendix p 75, 76). The theme that occurred most frequently in the free-text responses was the long-term financial effect on families. For many parents, stillbirth was associated with reduced earnings from employment or an inability to return to paid employment. Meeting the continuing costs of counselling and medical care in further pregnancies was also mentioned.

The experience of stillbirth also affected parents’ employment, with 10% of bereaved parents remaining off work for 6 months, and 38% of mothers and 21% of partners reducing their working hours (panel 3). Even after parents return to work, productivity was greatly reduced with estimates of 26% of normal work after 30 days, increasing to 63% after 6 months. Searches of the International Labour Organization database [A: please provide a reference] showed that only 12 of 170 countries with maternity benefit policies included specific provision for stillbirths: [A: an average?] 11 days off for mothers [A: paid or unpaid?] (28–84 days leave [A: confidence interval?]) and [A: an average?] 1 day off for fathers (5 days leave [A: what does this represent?]). Even in the few countries with this leave provision, bereaved parents seem to have little option to delay their return to work. Policies relating to stillbirth or miscarriage were identified from five (9.8%) of XX [A: please add] African countries, five (17.9%) of XX [A: please add] countries in
Asia, three (6·4%) of XX [A: please add] countries in Europe, and four (11·8%) of XX [A: please add] in the Americas (appendix p 78–81). Governments might incur costs in countries that extend maternity rights to the parents of a stillborn child.

### Psychological and social effects of stillbirth

The period after stillbirth has extensive consequences for parents and their families. Much of the effect is non-monetary, suggesting the negative results of grief, anxiety, fear, and suffering. These emotional factors have been described as intangible costs. Almost all parents report negative psychological symptoms after a stillbirth. In the Listening to Parents study in the UK (n=473), 68% of mothers and 44% of partners reported four or more psychological symptoms at 10 days, reducing to 35% of mothers and 13% of partners at 9 months. This situation is over three times greater than after a livebirth, when 8–13% of mothers and 3% of fathers report depressive symptoms at about 9 months after the birth of their baby.

Family was the most frequently cited source of support for parents after a stillbirth, although family input was not universally positive (panel 3). This need for support between parents and the wider family could strain relationships. In the Listening to Parents study, 9% of mothers and 5% of partners reported difficulties in their relationship 9 months after the event and a similar proportion reported issues with other family members (12% of mothers and 4% of partners). In the TEARS cohort in USA (n=216), the mean Family Assessment Device score of respondents was 3·2 (range 0–5–4–0), in which a score of 4 indicates significant [A: statistically? Or do you mean substantial?] dysfunction in family relationships. Ultimately, this tension might lead to relationship breakdown, which some studies report as more frequent in parents who have a stillborn child compared with a livebirth (odds ratio 1·40, 95% CI 1·10–1·79). In other studies, [A: only 1 study cited, should there be more as you refer to "studies"] the proportion of families that divorce is unchanged, but perceived relationship quality changed between married (improved) and single [A: do you mean unmarried rather than single?] women (deteriorated).

Systematic searching located 1082 relevant data points from 144 studies of the psychological effect of stillbirth (appendix p 31–50). These data were summarised into 23 themes and thematic sentences of the effect on parents with variable frequency effect sizes (table). The most frequently reported experiences after stillbirth were negative psychological symptoms, including high rates of depressive symptoms, anxiety, post-traumatic stress, suicidal ideation, panic, and phobias. Although most studies evaluated these symptoms subjectively rather than with a formal clinical diagnosis, 60–70% of grieving mothers in HICs had significant [A: statistically?or do you mean substantial?] grief-related depressive symptoms 1 year after their babies death. These symptoms endured for at least 4 years after the loss in about half of cases. If these figures are extrapolated to the 2·7 million women who had a stillbirth each year, an estimated 4·2 million women are living with depressive symptoms after stillbirth. Many [A: specific value available?] parents reported persistent feelings of remorse or guilt for not being able to save their baby. Nearly 40% of grieving mothers in a convenience-sample survey in the USA were prescribed psychiatric drugs despite an absence of evidence for the efficacy of these drugs in this population. Parents responding to the ISA survey reported accessing internet forums (more than 85%), support groups (about 30%), or consulting with religious leaders (about 30%) or health-care professionals (about 55%) to address their psychological symptoms. Little difference was noted in the support [A: types of support and frequency?] sought by parents from HICs and MICs.

### Panel 2: Methods

To extend the knowledge base with respect to direct, indirect, and intangible costs of stillbirth on parents, families, and health-care providers, a series of systematic reviews were implemented and meta-syntheses were undertaken with established methods. A further systematic review and meta-synthesis identified interventions or systems that might reduce the negative effects of stillbirth. Detailed methods of search strategies and PRISMA diagrams were included (appendix). The search strategy was designed to capture the whole field of studies worldwide; no language restrictions were imposed and searches were carried out in CINAHL, AJOL, LILACS, MEDLINE, PsyuINFO, Cochrane, and PubMed.

After screening, studies were identified by whether they met the inclusion criteria and reported relevant information, three studies reported information on direct costs, 144 studies reported on the psychological and social effect on parents, 20 studies reported psychological effect on professionals, and 42 studies were included in the analysis of interventions to maximise wellbeing for bereaved parents.

To supplement data from published medical literature, we extracted data from three questionnaire studies (the Listening to Parents study, the TEARS study, and the International Stillbirth Alliance survey) including a total of 5358 parents from HICs and MICs. We searched for data to match ten themes to identify intangible costs of stillbirth identified by systematic review and meta-ethnography.

Data addressed eight of these themes, including: negative psychological impacts after stillbirth; effects on relationships with others; the duration of these effects; how soon after the stillbirth parents returned to their previous routine and on returning to work how soon parents returned to a full productive capacity; the nature, adequacy, and effectiveness of any supportive measures; and whether parents sought medical treatment or counselling for any negative effects associated with the stillbirth. These data were supplemented with data extracted from a questionnaire survey of the experiences of care providers in HICs and LMICs distributed by the International Stillbirth Alliance. For the surveys, quantitative data were analysed with descriptive statistics, and free mobile phone text responses were analysed by thematic analysis. Where financial costs were reported by parents, the costs were converted to US$ and shown in 2013 prices. Published cost estimates are reported in their original currency but shown in 2013 prices.
Panel 3: Parents’ experiences of the direct, indirect, and intangible cost of stillbirth in high-income countries [A: why only high income countries?]

Direct and indirect costs [A: please indicate direct quotes by adding quotation marks]
It’s difficult as I had already purchased all the baby items and then had additional tests to pay for. I wouldn’t have minded [the tests] if my child lived, but having to pay for them after he died was difficult and a constant reminder as the bills kept coming ([A: is this parent number?] #3903, Australia).

I could not properly bury my child because I lacked the financial means; that hurts today, because I have no grave (#19342, Germany).

The higher cost, in financial terms, was the long process of psychotherapy that I followed in the next three years and more examinations that I had privately before and throughout the course of the next pregnancy (#11707, Italy).

Employment
The loss of income when you can’t bring yourself to go back to work is substantial and many work places don’t understand the pain (#7358, Australia).

Because neither I nor my husband was able to start work after the birth, we had no income. We could not get compensation from the social insurance because we were not sick we were just grieving (#26496, Sweden).

Financial support from family, friends, and others
I never thought anything like this would happen, so I was unprepared! Glad I had family and friends to help give her a beautiful burial service which I could not afford on my own (#5582, UK).

The funeral home did not charge us for our daughter’s cremation or vessel for her ashes. They told us that “we had already paid too much”. We will always remember their kindness and compassion (#2395, USA).

Support from family
“My family and my friends were a great help to us. They were always there to listen and offer support when I needed it. They got me through a lot of the time” (#4583, Australia).

“My family was supportive at first. After a while they seemed to think I should get over the death of my twin; that I had grieved long enough” (#3159, USA).

Financial support from government
[The costs of stillbirth were] all paid for by the state. I am very happy for this. It is devastating enough losing your baby, without getting debt because of it, or having to consider if you can afford the help you need, or can afford a funeral, an autopsy, etc. (#8516, Norway).

All medical expenses were covered by social security and burial expenses by insurance. The only expense was the grave (#19795, Spain).
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See Online for appendix

1 about death is taboo, and where the dead baby was not yet deemed to be a person.18–20 In these contexts, mothers’ accounts suggested that they suppressed grief in public, instead choosing to deal with the emotions privately and alone.20,22,23 These accounts are supported by responses to the ISA survey of care providers (LMIC n=117, HIC n=2020). Fewer care providers from LMICs agreed that a death before birth is the same as the death of a child (19% LMIC vs 33% HIC) and more care providers attributed stillbirth to a mother’s fault (4% LMIC vs 0·5% HIC [A: correct? Changed order of numbers from original to match text]) compared with HICs. Respondents from LMICs more frequently agreed that parents should forget about their stillborn baby and have another child (26% LMIC vs 3% HIC) and parents should not talk about their stillborn baby (12% LMIC vs 4% HIC) compared with HICs (appendix).

Fathers reported feeling unacknowledged as a legitimately grieving parent. The burden of these men keeping feelings to themselves increased the risk of chronic grief.20 Differences in the grieving process between parents can lead to incongruent grief,24,25 which was reported to cause serious relationship issues, from conflicts about sexual intercourse to marital breakdown.24,25 Although family and friends were often essential for effective support,26 respondents to some studies reported that family members had unrealistic, unhelpful expectations of recovery after stillbirth.

Many studies described the adverse effects of stillbirth on siblings, a surviving twin, and subsequent children, including issues with parent–child relationships, which could affect siblings’ physical and mental health in the longer term.26,27 Some parents described anxiety with respect to their interactions with children of other parents.27 Stillbirth was reported to have adversely affected the emotional wellbeing of grandparents and other family members.27

For some mothers, stillbirth affected their approach to life and death, self-esteem, and their own identity.28–30 Some mothers reported losing their sense of control, including during subsequent pregnancies, and their confidence in parenthood and child-rearing. Some women avoided contact with babies, creating social isolation and worsening depressive symptoms.31 Some mothers were hesitant to meet neighbours or those who had known them when they were pregnant. Many women stopped going out, leading to voluntary social isolation. Social isolation could also be involuntary, with parents reporting stigmatisation, resulting in them feeling less valued as members of society.29 In reports from some LMICs, [A: refs 35,36,50–52?] women reported being substantially less valued by partners, families, and society. In extreme circumstances, this situation has led to spousal abuse, enforced divorce, and rejection by family and society, partly based on beliefs that women who have stillbirths are possessed by evil spirits or have procured abortions.26,27,50–52
I am depressed, saddened, hurt, empty, guilty and lonely. I cry every day. I will mourn him forever.” (Australia)

A number of mothers recalled suicidal thoughts because of their desire to be with their baby” (USA)

Women shared their distress that their motherhood of their dead babies was denied by others. One participant recounted that when she told her sister she was not sure she was ready for Mother’s Day rituals, her sister replied “Well, you’re not a mother—you have to have your baby first.” (USA)

This perceived lack of social understanding left these mothers alone and uncomforted. Added to this, the silence was aggravated by the failure of friends and family to acknowledge the loss and grief as real. They experienced people avoiding them, or treating them as though they had never been a mother” (Australia)

“Women who have not gone through stillbirth don’t want to hear about my birth, or what my daughter looked like, or anything about my experience.” (USA)

Some mothers found it very difficult to be in situations that reminded them of “what could have been.” Examples of these situations were being around pregnant women or infants, attending baby showers, and celebrating holidays” (USA)

They experienced people avoiding them, or treating them as though they had never been a mother” (Australia)

Stillbirth has been associated with a number of emotional and psychological symptoms

Parental grief following stillbirth may not be legitimised by health professionals, family, and society (disenfranchised grief)

Stillbirth might have a positive or negative effect on relationships, for example through different grief reactions (incongruent)

In subsequent pregnancy some parents may experience psychological distress

Stillbirth may change parents approach to life and death, self-esteem, own identity, and sense of control in subsequent pregnancy, parenthood, and childrearing

Stillbirth can have an adverse impact on siblings, including the surviving twin, and subsequent children

After stillbirth some parents may seek isolation, can change their uptake of religious practice, approach to sexual intercourse, engagement with health promoting activities, work, and social media and this may continue into subsequent pregnancies

Some parents feel the need to suppress outward grief, including during subsequent pregnancy

Stillbirth may lead to avoidance of activities that remind them of the pregnancy and the baby

Parents report stigmatisation, rejection, and spousal abuse

Parents may have mixed feelings towards the decisions they made, for example post mortem or seeing and holding their baby

Parents might have external or internal pressures to prioritise or delay conception

Bereaved parents might become hypervigilant with siblings and subsequent children, and anxious about other people’s children

Frequency

Example quotes (country) [A: I have noticed some sentences were direct copies from the cited papers without quote marks, to avoid plagiarism, please paraphrase these sentences]

Psychological effect of stillbirth on parents

Stillbirth has been associated with a number of emotional and psychological symptoms

Frequency

77%  “I am depressed, saddened, hurt, empty, guilty and lonely. I cry every day. I will mourn him forever.” (Australia)

Parental grief following stillbirth may not be legitimised by health professionals, family, and society (disenfranchised grief)

Frequency

31%  “Women shared their distress that their motherhood of their dead babies was denied by others. One participant recounted that when she told her sister she was not sure she was ready for Mother’s Day rituals, her sister replied “Well, you’re not a mother—you have to have your baby first.” (USA)

This perceived lack of social understanding left these mothers alone and uncomforted. Added to this, the silence was aggravated by the failure of friends and family to acknowledge the loss and grief as real. They experienced people avoiding them, or treating them as though they had never been a mother” (Australia)

“Women who have not gone through stillbirth don’t want to hear about my birth, or what my daughter looked like, or anything about my experience.” (USA)

Stillbirth might have a positive or negative effect on relationships, for example through different grief reactions (incongruent)

Frequency

29%  “Some women felt their husbands did not show any sadness and were impatient with them, they felt their relationship had changed; stillbirth had created a distance between them” (Taiwan)

Mothers and fathers stated that they became closer after the loss, and that the feeling deepened over the course of the following year. They had something in common, going through the loss together—a sense of experiencing a special unifying bond” (Sweden)

In subsequent pregnancy some parents may experience psychological distress

Frequency

27%  “Fathers exhibited great emotion as they shared the burden of worry over what was going on at home. They had difficulty concentrating at work and called home frequently, asking the mother to validate fetal movements.” (USA)

“You’re happy that you are [pregnant] but you can’t be that innocent…Am I confident? No. Will I relax? No. There is not a point that I will relax until they are out and breathing.” (USA)

Stillbirth may change parents approach to life and death, self-esteem, own identity, and sense of control in subsequent pregnancy, parenthood, and childrearing

Frequency

26%  “The thoughts expressed by parents in our study consisted of being more humble and more grateful toward life itself and taking nothing for granted” (Sweden)

The men in the study also questioned their identity as fathers, uncertain as to their right to the term father” (UK) [A: should this be Ireland?]

Each woman struggled with her sense of identity. Although each felt she was a mother, she was a mother without a child, and did not have tangible evidence of her motherhood” (Australia)

Stillbirth can have an adverse impact on siblings, including the surviving twin, and subsequent children

Frequency

24%  “Older siblings from the ages of 7–12 years were described as being worried, nervous, tense, and silent. They were worried about life and their parents’ health” (Sweden)

Infants next-born after a stillbirth were significantly more likely to be classified as disorganised in their attachment behaviour with their mothers than controls, this was strongly predicted by unresolved mourning in the mothers” (UK)

After stillbirth some parents may seek isolation, can change their uptake of religious practice, approach to sexual intercourse, engagement with health promoting activities, work, and social media and this may continue into subsequent pregnancies

Frequency

20%  “The fathers in this study were exhausted, physically and emotionally. When asked to say more about how they managed, a common response was “I keep myself busy”” (USA)

Men looked at sex as a tension reliever and attributed a therapeutic value to it” (Norway)

Many parents relied on their spirituality to deal with their loss. For some parents this was in the form of praying; for others, it was going to church” (USA)

“They cry when I talk to a real person so it was easier to talk to someone online, less emotional” (USA)

Some parents feel the need to suppress outward grief, including during subsequent pregnancy

Frequency

18%  “Fathers felt they denied their own emotional reactions in order to protect and support and care for their partners” (USA)

According to Taiwan’s culture talking about death is a taboo subject and these mothers often dealt with their grief privately and alone” (Taiwan)

“I think I genuinely suppressed a lot of my anxiety because of my [desire to protect my] family. Yes, I wanted to stay strong for my husband and myself. Outward I was strong but inside I was a mess” (USA)

Stillbirth may lead to avoidance of activities that remind them of the pregnancy and the baby

Frequency

13%  “Most mothers found it very difficult to be in situations that reminded them of “what could have been.” Examples of these situations were being around pregnant women or infants, attending baby showers, and celebrating holidays” (USA)

“There were a few people at work who just never spoke to me again. I mean I definitely got the feeling.. like I was bad luck” (UK)

“Every time I walked into the living room, my in-laws lowered their voices. Mostly, they stopped talking. I disappointed them because I didn’t give them a descendent like every daughter-in-law should do. I felt unwomanly, since I failed to have a baby” (Taiwan)

“I know a girl who was in school and married off by her parents. After the marriage, she repeatedly lost her new-borns and was divorced. Not to face the humiliation in the village she ran away to a city and now she is a commercial sex worker” (Ethiopia)

“I saw a girl who was in school and married off by her parents. After the marriage, she repeatedly lost her new-borns and was divorced. Not to face the humiliation in the village she ran away to a city and now she is a commercial sex worker” (Ethiopia)

Parents report stigmatisation, rejection, and spousal abuse

Frequency

13%  “Every time I walked into the living room, my in-laws lowered their voices. Mostly, they stopped talking. I disappointed them because I didn’t give them a descendent like every daughter-in-law should do. I felt unwomanly, since I failed to have a baby” (Taiwan)

“Every time I walked into the living room, my in-laws lowered their voices. Mostly, they stopped talking. I disappointed them because I didn’t give them a descendent like every daughter-in-law should do. I felt unwomanly, since I failed to have a baby” (Taiwan)

Parents may have mixed feelings towards the decisions they made, for example post mortem or seeing and holding their baby

Frequency

13%  “Parents may have mixed feelings towards the decisions they made, for example post mortem or seeing and holding their baby

Parents might have external or internal pressures to prioritise or delay conception

Frequency

9%  “Some mothers did not plan on a subsequent pregnancy because of their concern about their ability to deal with another perinatal loss” (USA)

Perinatal loss signalled a potential underlying health problem, which in turn accentuated anxieties relating to both future reproductive abilities and investment of limited resources on another potentially unsuccessful pregnancy. Such women described feeling pressure to prove their reproductive capabilities as soon as possible” (Benin)

Bereaved parents might become hypervigilant with siblings and subsequent children, and anxious about other people’s children

Frequency

8%  “All mothers shared stories of feeling out of control, especially when faced with normal or common childhood events, such as tonsillitis, middle ear infection, or being stung by a bee. These events were enough to cause them to feel hysteria and intense fear they were about to lose another child” (Australia)

(Table continues on next page)
Mothers with a history of prior perinatal loss may attempt to cope with their anxiety in pregnancy and depression in early postpartum with requests for additional health-care resources (USA).

Fathers felt the need to take more interest or active involvement in the subsequent pregnancies (USA).

3 months after the loss both mothers and fathers responded to grief most usually with tears; men also reacted with anger, irritation, silence, and one mother reacted most frequently with physical pain (Sweden).

“Even though it wasn’t the outcome I wanted, I loved giving birth to my son. It was a beautiful experience and how I wanted it.” (Australia)

Virtually every mother in this study felt tenderness and warmth when they held their baby...this supports the belief that the mother attaches to her new-born even if the baby is dead (Sweden).

There were parents who described a surging feeling of love from the moment they saw their child (Japan).

Some women also found that their own body reminded them of their loss...their body was a bearer of both pain and memories. They could feel intense pain in their body, feel physically exhausted and sense that their body was against them (Norway).

Women were embarrassed/guilty of their post-pregnant body as they did not have a baby, conversely some women wanted to keep their body in a pregnant shape to not let go of the baby (Australia).

This sense of constrained grieving caused by social discomfort and taboo extended to husbands and grandparents, who were not expected to grieve the loss of a stillborn baby beyond feeling some transient disappointment or sadness for their wife or daughter (USA).

Women with histories of fetal death seem to have poorer quality of life (Brazil).

Some couples experience competing emotional reactions to sexual relationships (Norway).

Women also wrote somewhat more often than men about increased activity in order to have another child, as well as sex being used for comfort, closeness, and tension reduction (Norway).

Example quotes (country)[A: I have noticed some sentences were direct copies from the cited papers without quote marks, to avoid plagiarism, please paraphrase these sentences]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency effect size</th>
<th>Example quotes (country)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bereaved parents might increase or decrease their use of health-care services; and in subsequent pregnancy, fathers might express a desire to be more included in care</td>
<td>7% Mothers with a history of prior perinatal loss may attempt to cope with their anxiety in pregnancy and depression in early postpartum with requests for additional health-care resources (USA). Fathers felt the need to take more interest or active involvement in the subsequent pregnancies (USA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain and fatigue can occur after stillbirth</td>
<td>7% 3 months after the loss both mothers and fathers responded to grief most usually with tears; men also reacted with anger, irritation, silence, and one mother reacted most frequently with physical pain (Sweden).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some parents described parental pride after the birth of their stillborn baby</td>
<td>6% “Even though it wasn’t the outcome I wanted, I loved giving birth to my son. It was a beautiful experience and how I wanted it.” (Australia) Virtually every mother in this study felt tenderness and warmth when they held their baby...this supports the belief that the mother attaches to her new-born even if the baby is dead (Sweden). There were parents who described a surging feeling of love from the moment they saw their child (Japan).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment difficulties and financial debt are potential effects of stillbirth</td>
<td>6% Together with sustained difficulties in paying off hospital bills, this strained relations with family members from whom funds had been borrowed (Benin).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth can motivate parents to engage with health-care improvement, including public awareness</td>
<td>4% “I deal with it in a way that you know, to crusade, to campaign, to make sure things change, to try and take the positives as much as you can out of the whole situation” (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased substance use has been reported for some parents</td>
<td>4% They always were social drinkers but after Ricky died Mom increased her drinking (USA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women might develop a complex emotional response to body image</td>
<td>4% Some women also found that their own body reminded them of their loss...their body was a bearer of both pain and memories. They could feel intense pain in their body, feel physically exhausted and sense that their body was against them (Norway). Women were embarrassed/guilty of their post-pregnant body as they did not have a baby, conversely some women wanted to keep their body in a pregnant shape to not let go of the baby (Australia).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth has an adverse effect on the wider family</td>
<td>3% This sense of constrained grieving caused by social discomfort and taboo extended to husbands and grandparents, who were not expected to grieve the loss of a stillborn baby beyond feeling some transient disappointment or sadness for their wife or daughter (USA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For some parents, quality of life might be affected in the long term</td>
<td>2% Women with histories of fetal death seem to have poorer quality of life (Brazil).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some couples experience competing emotional reactions to sexual relationships</td>
<td>1% Women also wrote somewhat more often than men about increased activity in order to have another child, as well as sex being used for comfort, closeness, and tension reduction (Norway). Women more frequently reported disturbing images, thoughts and feelings that interfered with sex than did men (Norway).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Psychological effect of stillbirth on professionals**

**Stillbirth has a powerful psychological effect**

95% “I think it’s possible to experience too much grief in this work.” (Ireland)

**Emotional response or distancing**

40% “It is a mixture of everything, anxiety, rage, oppression, impotence...” (Spain)

**Trauma**

42% “... I had to cut off my emotions to just get through it.” (USA)

**Guilt**

35% “It shook me to my core.”

**Anger**

30% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Fear**

30% “I sort of haunted me for a couple of days... I had some issues falling asleep that night and getting the images out of my head” (Ireland)

**Stress**

30% “It shook me to my core.”

**Anxiety**

25% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Blame**

20% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Depression**

20% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Frustration**

15% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Sadness**

15% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Powerlessness**

10% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Challenge to faith**

5% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Humiliation**

5% “...you’ve got anger, huge anger, especially where a mistake has been made or something has been missed” (Ireland)

**Stillbirth has a professional effect**

65% “Is this the one that is going to blame you?” (USA)

**Effect of litigation**

30% “If you...lose a mother or a baby, you will lose your license, your income, your work” (USA)

**Fear of disciplinary action**

20% “If you...lose a mother or a baby, you will lose your license, your income, your work” (USA)

**Fear of public censure**

5% “...they do not teach you the necessary strategies to provide support in these situations” (Spain)

**Exposure**

5% “...we need to support each other and not tear each other down.” (USA)

**Professionals need support**

65% “I think what would be helpful...is having that debriefing time after it’s over and not being directly assigned” (Canada)

**Education**

30% “I think what would be helpful...is having that debriefing time after it’s over and not being directly assigned” (Canada)

**Peer support**

5% “I think what would be helpful...is having that debriefing time after it’s over and not being directly assigned” (Canada)

**Institutional support**

5% “I think what would be helpful...is having that debriefing time after it’s over and not being directly assigned” (Canada)

(Table continues on next page)
In the period shortly after the stillbirth, changed body image was important. Some women reported being embarrassed by their body after pregnancy. Others wanted to keep a pregnant body shape, maintaining a connection with their baby. Some women linked the grief to their physical body through pain and by developing an image of themselves as unattractive and ugly. Such negative self-perceptions decreased sexual activity and pleasure. Women reported pressures to delay or terminate pregnancy, conceiving of themselves or from family and society. Chronic pain and fatigue, increased substance use, employment difficulties, and financial debt were also reported. Some studies described a long-lasting negative effect on quality of life.

The consequences of a stillbirth were not exclusively negative. Some couples reported feeling closer. Parental pride was reported by some parents after contact with their baby. For some, to decide to see or hold their baby brought a sense of finality that contributed to the grieving process. Some parents engaged in therapeutic activities; seeking solitude, changing their uptake of religious practice, and changing their approach to keep a pregnant body shape, maintaining a connection with their baby. Some women linked the grief to their body, and by developing an image of themselves as unattractive and ugly. Such negative self-perceptions decreased sexual activity and pleasure. Women reported pressures to delay or terminate pregnancy, conceiving of themselves or from family and society. Chronic pain and fatigue, increased substance use, employment difficulties, and financial debt were also reported. Some studies described a long-lasting negative effect on quality of life.

The consequences of a stillbirth were not exclusively negative. Some couples reported feeling closer. Parental pride was reported by some parents after contact with their baby. For some, to decide to see or hold their baby brought a sense of finality that contributed to the grieving process. Some parents engaged in therapeutic activities; seeking solitude, changing their uptake of religious practice, and changing their approach to keep a pregnant body shape, maintaining a connection with their baby. Some women linked the grief to their body, and by developing an image of themselves as unattractive and ugly. Such negative self-perceptions decreased sexual activity and pleasure. Women reported pressures to delay or terminate pregnancy, conceiving of themselves or from family and society. Chronic pain and fatigue, increased substance use, employment difficulties, and financial debt were also reported. Some studies described a long-lasting negative effect on quality of life.

The professional effect of stillbirth was characterised by fear of litigation and disciplinary action. In one study, data from LMICs suggested that professionals attending to a woman who had a stillbirth could result in loss of livelihood and public humiliation.

Most studies emphasised the need for further education and professional support for staff, especially in terms of the psychosocial care and communication skills needed after a stillbirth. Many studies suggested that peer support was valuable, even though this guidance was usually informal. However, an absence of structured institutional and peer support was stressed.

Quantitative studies showed the risk of vicarious traumatic stress, and depressive and psychological symptoms such as guilt, self-blame, self-doubt, and grief. Importantly, those health workers who felt they had received adequate training in stillbirth care were less likely to report guilt and fear of litigation.

In six studies, staff also reported feeling some positive gains, such as a sense of honour or privilege at being able to support parents experiencing the death of their baby. Some staff cited personal growth and the development of a special bond with parents and staff. In four studies, staff reported more confidence and comfort, with fewer negative effects, when they had more direct clinical experience with stillbirth.

These findings suggest that, although mothers, partners, and their families endure most of the effects of stillbirth, the event also has a substantial effect on healthcare providers. The negative effects could be addressed by education, training, and provision of formal and informal support during and after stillbirth, and encouragement of positive experiences of caring for parents after stillbirth.

Interventions to maximise wellbeing for bereaved parents and families

What works?

43 studies provided evidence on what works to reduce the negative effects of stillbirths (appendix, p 56–67). Two systematic reviews of randomised controlled...
trials (one on social support and the other on autopsy) did not locate any studies [A: Do you mean identify any studies for reducing the negative effects of stillbirth?]. No other randomised controlled trials were identified in the 43 studies. No intervention studies were identified for Africa, Asia, or the Middle East. Of the 16 studies that directly assessed interventions, ten included mothers only, one had fathers only, one had parents and care providers, and the remaining four studies included parents or the wider family, or both.

Effective interventions (in HIC settings) included: families seeing and holding the baby, social support and support groups, families making and sharing memories, autopsy, psychological interventions, and interventions with various components.\(^7\) Professional support to enable parents to share their experiences with others, and social support from family and local social networks were both associated with lower rates of depression and better mental health [A: than those without this support?].\(^7\) A specific psychological intervention\(^8\) in Brazil was associated with a range of positive effects, finding that inclusion of family members in the intervention reinforced network support. A US study\(^9\) reported that support groups were associated with [A: statistically?] significant improvement in scores on the Impact of Events Scale-Revised. Programmes with many components generally increased parents' satisfaction, with those more satisfied reporting less grief.\(^2,3,7\) Where measured longitudinally, this effect was maintained for up to 2 years. Finnish fathers\(^10\) receiving an intervention with various components, reported stronger personal growth and less blame and anger [A: than those Finnish fathers who did not receive the intervention?].\(^7\)

The key findings of all included studies (qualitative and quantitative) were mapped to Sarafino's taxonomy of social support. This system comprises five support elements: tangible, emotional, esteem, informational, and network and belonging (appendix, p 56–67).\(^7\)

All effective interventions, and all qualitative studies of interventions with positive participant responses, included emotional support. Nine studies [A: please provide refs] included informational support and ten addressed tangible support. Usually, this [A: tangible support?] was help from staff to see and hold the baby after birth (14 studies, including HICs and LMICs). Two studies [A: please provide refs] included esteem support, such as help with parents reclaiming a lost sense of motherhood or fatherhood. Eight studies [A: please provide refs] were associated with networking and belonging. Positive staff attitude was universally appreciated.

Data pertaining to specific groups of people were only reported from HICs. This showed that fathers, siblings, and female partners need to be acknowledged and included in interventions, to mitigate their experiences of the negative effects of stillbirth. Interventions for siblings need to be tailored for their age and maturity. The need for esteem support for family members was particularly apparent, including recognition of continuing status as father or co-mother, sister or brother, and grandparent, even after the death of the baby that created these social roles.

### Variation in access to what works by cultural context

Access to support groups or services is not equitable. In the three surveys [A: refs please] on parents that were analysed in this paper, 54–93% of parents in HICs were given information about support groups or services compared with 12% of parents in MICs. Information about grief and psychological symptoms (16% in MICs vs 52% in HICs) was given less frequently in MICs than for physical symptoms (28% in MICs vs 47%), but this was not the case in HICs. The perceived effectiveness of support groups varied, but 77% of respondents to the ISA survey who used a group, reported benefit. Lower amounts of support available for parents in MICs might account for a greater proportion of parents rating their follow-up care as poor compared with HICs (60% vs 38%; appendix, p 69).

In the systematic review of what works for mitigating the negative consequences of stillbirths, eight of ten studies in LMICs included only women. The only positive factors reported by respondents from Malawi were basic physical care and brief information giving from nurses [A: edit correct?], which were seen as surprising but welcome occurrences.\(^7\) Studies in Tanzania,\(^14\) Ethiopia,\(^15\) India,\(^19\) and Taiwan\(^20\) [A: correct addition of Taiwan and placement of refs?] suggested that having a stillborn baby can lead to maternal abuse, social abandonment, and divorce. Despite feelings of grief and loss, mourning in these countries was actively discouraged and suppressed, and interventions such as families seeing and holding the baby and taking mementoes, were not culturally acceptable. This situation was echoed in care providers' responses to the ISA survey (LMIC n=117, HIC n=2020), which reported that parents in LMICs were less likely compared with those in HICs to be offered contact with their baby (35% in LMICs vs 94% in HICs), the opportunity to see and hold their baby (42% in LMICs vs 95% HICs), make memories (35% in LMICs vs 87% in HICs), and name their baby (39% in LMICs vs 83% in HICs) after a stillbirth.

The main support mechanisms reported in the included LMIC studies were family and local religious communities, rather than health-care professionals and wider society [A: as noted in HICs?]. In these contexts, interventions designed to improve emotional and informational support might depend on enhancement of community esteem for those who have had a stillbirth, especially through key religious groups. Networking and belonging support interventions could be primary mechanisms for improving women's wellbeing after a stillbirth in LMICs.
Summary of what works

On the basis of these data, the key element of what works to reduce the results of stillbirth on bereaved parents and families can be summarised as “seeing through the eyes of those affected” [A: is this a quote or a saying]. This includes staff who understand what different parents and families need and when they need it; communities that acknowledge grief and loss and do not stigmatise those who have had stillbirths; employers who provide effective leave arrangements; and governments that provide tangible support, such as funeral costs, and paid leave from work commitments.

The consequences of stillbirth

Stillbirth is associated with substantial direct, indirect, psychological, and social costs to women, and to their families, society, and government (figure). These include: medical care and investigations at the time of stillbirth and in subsequent pregnancies; funeral costs; grief and negative psychological effects; reduced social functioning; family and relationship disruption and breakdown; and negative effects on employment. The effect of stillbirth is enduring, and can persist for years. Similar issues, particularly direct health care and funeral costs and the lasting effects on family function have been described for maternal death. In addition to families, the effects on staff and subsequent implications for staff wellbeing and future service quality and delivery must be considered. Depending on the setting, costs might be met by the government, insurance companies, or individuals and their families. Before this Series paper, these various costs of stillbirth have not been considered together. We argue that this situation has led to an underestimation of the economic, social, emotional, and psychological burden of stillbirth.

The worldwide effect of stillbirth: how to address research gaps

Our systematic approach has shown large gaps in available data with respect to costs and interventions that might reduce the burden of stillbirth by preventing these events or their negative consequences. Few studies established the direct costs of stillbirth in the perinatal period or subsequent pregnancies; all studies were from HICs. Studies that reported on the psychological and social costs of stillbirth or practices that might reduce the subsequent negative effects are concentrated in HICs (n=177), which have a low-burden of stillbirth, with little or no data available from high-burden LMICs (n=26; appendix, p 68). As most components of effective care were identified from studies in HICs, the data obtained are similar to a review restricted to only HICs. Although some themes are consistent between HICs and LMICs, other factors, such as stigma and social isolation, seem to be particularly relevant in LMICs. Therefore, to appreciate the full cost of stillbirth, tailored research is urgently needed to establish direct, psychological, and social costs of stillbirth, particularly in LMICs and in marginalised women and their families.

In all settings, very little information is available about what works for fathers or partners and other family members. Substantial comparative research on effective interventions to mitigate the effects of stillbirth is missing in all contexts. Where evidence does exist, effective care seems to include emotional, informational, and to an extent, tangible support, in terms of practical or financial help, at and around the time of diagnosis and birth. Based on questionnaire data, parents greatly valued support to help with direct financial costs (such as funeral arrangements) when it was provided by governments or insurance schemes.
Little emphasis is given in intervention studies to networking and belonging support, and almost none to esteem support. In all settings, but particularly in LMICs, these components can form a basis to address stigma, taboos, and social rejection for bereaved mothers. Fear of loss of esteem and of exclusion from social networks has the potential to stifle attempts to allow women to express and to deal with their grief, potentially leading to long-term costs. By contrast, where local family and social (notably religious) networks were supportive, mothers, in particular, reported positive benefits. Likewise, some parents and staff (in both LMICs and HICs) believed that they had grown spiritually, and had gained substantial coping skills as a result of their experience. Acknowledgment of the personal and professional cost of stillbirth on staff is essential, for their personal wellbeing and to enable health workers to deliver effective care to bereaved parents.

In LMICs, an intervention that addresses stillbirth at a health care, societal, and community level could make two major gains. The first could be the adoption of preventive measures, including improved health messaging, monitoring, support and care for women pre-pregnancy, antenatally, and during delivery, improving the health of the mother and her baby. The second could be destigmatisation of stillbirth, thereby reducing the negative consequences, especially for women.

**Interpretation of the cost of stillbirth**

In view of the research gaps identified, comprehensive estimates of the costs of stillbirth cannot be derived at present to inform cost-effectiveness analyses. Data for the financial costs of the sequelae of stillbirth are not routinely collected in any country. Wide variation in monetary and opportunity costs between different countries, such as those relevant to health-care provision or lost labour productivity, mean that such data must be local to be meaningful. Data for the psychological and social costs are also scarce, particularly with regard to LMIC settings, fathers, the wider family, and health-care providers. Finally, any cost-effectiveness analysis must include a decision on how the loss of life to the baby is to be measured. Consequently, any attempt to assign a worldwide cost to stillbirth—in monetary terms or with summary measures of health such as QALYs and DALYs—would be misleading at present.

Despite the substantial costs of stillbirth set out in this Series paper, the extent of the total loss associated with stillbirth is substantially affected by whether the stillbirth is also counted as a loss in its own right (ie, as a loss to the baby). Economic evaluations of interventions to prevent stillbirths have to make the critical decision of whether and how to count this loss. Women’s rights and values must be respected, including access to safe termination of pregnancy; however, recognition must also be given to the fact that most women who have had stillbirths had wanted pregnancies. Similar evaluations of interventions to reduce neonatal mortality typically show results based on the time-discounted life expectancy of surviving infants. To avoid undervaluation of interventions that prevent stillbirth, these controls should be assessed in this same way.

The use of QALYs in guidance by National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence and earlier iterations of DALYs apply discounting techniques to accommodate time-discounting of future benefits, giving 25 QALYs lost or 32 DALYs associated with stillbirth. The appropriateness of time-discounting of health benefits is the subject of debate. Without discounting, stillbirth would be associated with 86 DALYs on account of the loss to the baby. Alternatively, Jamison and colleagues suggest that deaths before age 2 years should be adjusted according to extent of cognitive development or so-called acquired life potential. With time-discounting, this adjustment gives stillbirth DALY values of between 5 and 9 years, without time-discounting this figure would give DALY values of between 14 years and 26 years. Thus, proposals for how to value the life of a stillborn baby vary greatly. How these babies are valued can make a difference of orders of magnitude to the overall loss attributable to stillbirth (appendix, p 26). For example, a study of the cost-effectiveness of a syphilis screening programme for pregnant women in Mwanza City, Tanzania, estimated a cost of $92–56 per DALY averted without including stillbirths and $8–88 per DALY averted if stillbirths were included as a loss to the deceased.

**Conclusion**

Despite the gaps in the evidence, the findings in this Series paper suggest that the burden of stillbirths is substantial yet greatly underappreciated. This undervaluation might contribute to the slow pace of change to address stillbirths on national and international platforms, as identified by Frøen and colleagues. Crucially, although the costs of stillbirth prevention might seem substantial in LMICs and HICs, the combined direct, indirect, and intangible costs of stillbirth are almost certainly greater still. We call on the global community to recognise the enduring effect of stillbirth on parents, families, staff, societies, and health and social care systems; to develop strategies to collect data for the cost of stillbirths and to use that information to invest in strategies, local services, and practices to prevent stillbirth and to invest in interventions to reduce the negative effects of stillbirth.
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