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Halal Integrity in the Food Supply Chain

Abstract

Purpose: This paper argues that there is an absence of halal integrity within the conventional stages of a food chain. This paper adapts the understanding of the different stages and argues the need to develop a critical consciousness for halal integrity within the food chain to address the needs of the ever-growing Muslim consumers' market. The aim of this paper is to propose a definition of halal integrity using farm to fork and global food supply chain models.

Design: The study includes a review of priori literature and media reports regarding cross contamination of food products with haram (forbidden) components. This background will be used to conceptualise halal integrity using farm to fork and a global supply chain models.

Findings: Different interpretations of halal – what is permitted and what is prohibited – exist for the different schools of Islamic legal thought and within the customs of different countries. In order to ensure that food production is embracive of the religious needs of the global Muslim customer market, this paper utilises the farm to fork and global supply chain models to foster a critical awareness of halal needs. Halal integrity should be clearly presenting the details of the halal status of the product and assuring that the requirements for halal as stated are met. Halal integrity not only deals with permitted and prohibited foods, but that the halal status of the food products (i.e. from raw materials until it reaches the consumers) should not be breached (i.e. no cross contamination with haram products / methods and no ill intents). A formal definition of halal integrity has been proposed.

Research limitations: The SWOT analyses serve as a guideline as the analysis done may be outdated as the environments are constantly changing.

Originality/value – This research although academic is meant to have a real value in improving the integrity of the halal food supply chain, providing value to the food industry, to countries that are concerned about this supply chain and to Muslim consumers. Halal integrity is crucial to the success of the emerging halal market.
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Introduction

Halal is an Arabic phrase for something that is permitted according to Islamic law (Riaz and Chaudry 2004). These principles extend beyond dietary requirements and underpin all types of human conduct for adherents of the Islamic faith, including beliefs, actions, diet, clothes, modes of earnings,
relationships, etc. The term ‘halal’ with reference to food covers all the aspects starting from the farm and ending at the table of a halal observant home. Halal food must be free of any components that Muslims are prohibited from consuming, which is known as haram. Based on Qur’ānic guidance (Qur’an, 2:168, cited in Chandia, 2015), all foods are halal except those that are specifically mentioned as haram. Haram foods can be divided into several main categories, and include any products derived from or contaminated with these prohibited materials, e.g. such as carrion, blood, pig, permitted animals slaughtered incorrectly, and intoxicants. Recently, examples of haram contamination and dishonest behaviour with halal certified products highlight (Table 1) areas of concern to Muslim consumers as they are dependent on the food industry and policy makers to assure the integrity of the halal supply chain. Food processors may choose to use pork derivatives, other non-halal slaughtered meats and gelatine to substitute meat products because they are cheap and readily available (Aida et al., 2005). This raises the question of what is halal integrity and what measures can be taken to assure that products are produced and handled with integrity? The paper aims to provide an eclectic appreciation of relevant sources, critically understand their nuances and implications to bridge the needs of halal integrity within the food chain. This is supported by providing a critical independent analysis which presents an ethical framework that is more embracive of the religious needs of more than 1.5 billion (and ever-increasing) people of the world and (arguably) is very pragmatic for both operational and strategic implementation and monitoring both local producers and exporters alike. This paper will define halal integrity and attempt to conceptualise the context of halal using the farm to fork and global supply chain models to define halal integrity. Developing operational and strategic conceptual frameworks, the frameworks will assist food manufacturers, food traders and policy makers to ensure the halal integrity of the local and global food supply chains.

Insert Table 1 here

Methodology

A desktop review of priori literature on primary religious and academic sources were conducted and media reports of cross contamination of halal food products and fraud-related cases were collated to present the data (in Table 1). The literature research was conducted by using various databases like EBSCO, Science Direct, Taylor and Francis Online and news. Keywords such as pork or porcine detection, halal food, haram and mislabelling were used in the databases. In order to acquire a coherent narrative on emerging themes and trends, this is followed by a critical independent analysis to conceptualise integrity in the context of halal present two conceptual frameworks that are embracive of the religious needs of Muslim customer market using a farm to fork model and a global supply chain model. SWOT analyses were carried out to demonstrate the internal (i.e. strengths and weaknesses) and external environments (i.e. opportunities and threats) faced during international halal food trade. -The models are then used to define halal integrity.
Halal

The halal and haram are clear as is seen in a number of Qur’anic verses. Table 2 shows examples of verses related to eating. Some foods, however, are mashbūh (doubtful), which is often the most controversial, since the standards classifying materials as doubtful are more subjective. Examples of permitted, prohibited and mashbūh foods are provided in Tables 3 and 4.

Halal food supply chain (operational level)

A halal food supply chain starts with sourcing various permitted raw materials and preparing them accordingly (e.g. such as proper halal slaughter and no cross-contamination with haram products). During all stages of halal production, it is crucial that the food is sourced lawfully, i.e., free from corruption, dishonesty and with no malicious intention (Figure 1). For example, only permitted species are farmed and these halal species do not consume haram feed provided by humans. However, if eaten naturally, then this is not an issue. The schematic diagram below highlights the different stages where halal integrity needs to be considered and preserved (Figure 1).

Agricultural Phase: The inputs (e.g. such as seedlings, plantlets, fertilisers, pesticides, irrigation water, feed, and veterinary products) used during this phase need to be sourced and used according to legal requirements. Irrigation water for produce and crops, drinking water in animal husbandry production, and water sources from where aquaculture products are grown/marine products (including freshwater fish) are caught should be safe and not within the vicinity of pig farms or run-off from pig farms.

Slaughtering Phase: It is permitted to slaughter animals without stunning to meet Jewish and Muslim religious requirements (EU, 1993). The slaughtering phase is a key stage as differentiation between halal and non-halal meat occurs at this point (Bergeaud-Blackler 2007). According to Lever and Miele (2012), the practice of religious slaughter in this case addresses the qualification of halal and is
comparable to other credence attributes that refer to the method of production rather than the
intrinsic characteristics of the product. Hence all operations that occur downstream should guarantee
the separation and integrity of halal products (Bergeaud-Blackler 2007). For halal it is required to use
the Islamic method provided that the animals are slaughtered by a Muslim slaughterperson. The Muslim
slaughter person must be an adult male or female of sound mind familiar with the process of
slaughtering. A trained slaughter person will be more efficient and will minimize the damage to the
skin and carcass (Riaz and Chaudry, 2004). It is an absolute requirement that animals are
slaughtered according to the halal method. The Muslim slaughter person must sever the front part of
the neck, severing carotids, jugulars, trachea and esophagus without reaching the bone in the neck
while pronouncing the name of God and with a swift blow (Riaz and Chaudry, 2004). It is preferable
to turn the animal or bird towards Makkah (Mecca) before slaughtering although this is only a
secondary requirement. The slaughtering of ruminants and poultry should preferably be done by
hand. It is mandatory to pronounce the name of God while slaughtering the animal. It suffices to say
Bismillah (in the name of God) once (Riaz and Chaudry, 2004). However, in general practice,
especially for large animals, the slaughter person pronounces the name thrice as Bismillah Allahu
Akbar, Bismillah Allahu Akbar (Riaz and Chaudry, 2004).

Processing Phase: This often involves two sub-processes – assembling the materials needed and the
actual processing of these materials. All ingredients including processing aids and materials used with
food contact surfaces as well as the equipment must be halal, sourced legally, safe, and used
according to legal requirements. Packaging and labelling must be clear and honest (i.e., no
adulteration or mislabelling) (Ballin et al., 2009; Ballin, 2010).

Storage Phase: It is important that products are stored and segregated from haram products to avoid
cross-contamination. What is acceptable “segregation” is the responsibility of the halal certifying
agency. Food packaging (ranging from primary, secondary and tertiary packaging) play a role in
ensuring products are kept sealed to reduce potential contamination.

Logistics: Throughout the food supply chain, the logistics service provider plays a crucial role in
ensuring that the raw materials, ingredients, packaging materials, storage and transportation of halal
products is done so that they do not become contaminated with haram products.

Audit: The traceability of halal products requires proper tracking of the actual product and
certification of its halal status by a local Islamic authority and/or a reputable certification body. The
drive for profit should not lead to compromising of the halal integrity. Although audit can only provide
a snapshot of compliance with halal requirements, it is not feasible for any authority to monitor the
whole chain, hence the need to have faith in the wholesomeness of the product (Alqudsi, 2014).
Figure 1 illustrates the application of halal integrity at the local operational level within a food production (i.e. animal husbandry, aquaculture) or processing. However, as the halal food trade and personal travel increases, how can the authenticity of the halal status of a food product be assured?
The question of authenticity is paramount to this debate particularly as the halal food trade and travel increases. The global halal food trade is primarily linked with the rise in the global Muslim population and the increase of transnational trade. In 2010, there were 1.6 billion Muslims with a projected growth to 2.2 billion people by 2030 at an average annual growth of 1.8% (Pew Research Center, 2011). This will make the Muslim population just over one quarter of the world population, representing a 25% increase since 2010. Australia, Canada, Europe and U.S.A. are emerging with growing Muslim populations and as major markets for halal trade and consumption (Alqudsi, 2014).

The rise in population will undoubtedly boost the growth of the halal consumer market and sales. In 2011, this halal product market was worth a staggering US $2.3 trillion of which just over 50% of the market (US $1.4 trillion) was for food and beverages (Ahmad et al., 2011). To be part of the market can be potentially beneficial for non-Muslim countries to have Halal certification and labelling (Demirci et al., 2016; Ruzevicius, 2012). This equated in 2012 to just less than 17% of the global food and beverages market and is projected to rise to US $1.8 trillion by 2018, capturing approximately 20% of the global market (Arab News, 2016). Further, with the growth of the Muslim population the halal travel industry is projected to grow too. In 2015 the “Muslim travel market was worth US $145 billion with 108 million Muslim travellers representing 10% of the entire travel economy.” This halal tourism market is projected to grow to US $200 billion by 2020 representing over 13% of the tourism market and this will further increase the need for halal food and beverages (Halal Tourism, 2015).

With the expected growth of the halal food sector, the issue of global sourcing of raw materials and ingredients will require new efforts to assure halal traceability between countries. This is where traceability, identity preservation techniques, and the integrity of the halal certifying bodies can all contribute. As also noted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC/GL 24 – 1997), the term halal is subjected to different interpretations by the different schools of thought or jurisprudence – particularly Shāfī, Hanbalī, Mālikī, Hanafi in the Sunni tradition. But they do not differ with respect to haram. The differences between the legal schools of thought revolve around technicalities that must be respected.

It should be noted that there is unanimous agreement regarding what constitutes a most perfect slaughter. This is the requirement for a healthy and alive lawful animal to be slaughtered by a Muslim reciting “In the name of Allah, Allah is the Greatest” cutting all four tubes or vessels – trachea, esophagus and both external jugular veins, although the question of reversible stunning remains controversial. Beyond the extent of permitted reversible stunning, differences exist about the details of which tubes in the neck need to be cut. Imām Shāfi‘ī is of the view that it is obligatory to cut the trachea and the esophagus whilst the dominant view of Imām Mālik is to cut into the trachea and both external jugular veins. Imām Ahmad b. Hanbal has two views reported. One stipulates all four tubes being cut and the other is in agreement with Imām Shāfi‘ī. Imām Abu Hanifā is of the opinion...
that three of the four tubes, irrespective of whichever three, should be cut. To this end, there is also agreement on the cutting point (where the throat meets the upper part of the chest) and the need to cut a minimum of two tubes (Uthmani Mufti, 2006).

Whilst there are differences regarding secondary details, there is agreement on the need to allow blood to first flow out of the live animal (Regenstein and others 2003; Qu’ran 6:145; Uthmani 2006). Because of these differences, Kamali (2008) suggested the setting up of an international Shariah advisory forum to develop a leadership role in dealing with this issue and similar issues, and to advise on and resolve areas to minimise differences. These types of international standards may assist in the harmonisation and recognition of halal standards. Whilst it would be helpful to achieve some degree of harmonisation and recognition of halal standards, the practicalities are much more complex and can become politicised to where there is an effort to impose a secular view on the religious communities. An example of such an attempt to tell the religious communities that believe in religious slaughter what to do was an EU funded project. The Religious Slaughter: Improving Knowledge and Expertise through Dialogue and Debate on Issues of Welfare, Legislation and Socio-economic Aspects (DIALREL) (www.dialrel.eu) was carried out to provide a platform to share and address issues relating to religious slaughter between stakeholders and interested parties in 2006-2010 (DIALREL 2010). But, this attempt was not seen as a dialogue rather it was received as a monologue and imposition of secular thought with limited attention given to religious slaughter practices and engagement with religious communities (Regenstein, 2010).

The key to improved halal integrity in international trade is transparency so that consumers can make informed decisions (Alqudsi 2014; Farouk et al. 2016). The certifying agency located and supervising in one country must clearly define the standards used for any particular product, especially with respect to slaughter. It must also assure that the company/slaughter/products comply with the standard it has announced. In many cases agencies will certify products using different standards for different countries and thus the certifying agencies need to also be sure to maintain product integrity and only send a product to a receiver under a different certifying agency that meets that agency’s standard (Figure 2). Halal standards are used to make halal production more unambiguous (van der Spiegel et al., 2012). Ideally the role of governments as accreditation bodies is to assure that the companies and the certifying agencies are saying what they do and doing what they say. Having governments staying out of certification but focusing on accreditation means that the Muslim citizens in any one country will have access to products that meet their different standards and the certifying agencies can compete to best serve consumers. In practice this generally means that competing certifying agencies tend to help police each other.

Insert Figure 2 here
There are different interpretations of halal by the different schools of thought and these are modified by the customs of different countries. Figure 2 raises the issue of where a local Islamic authority's halal certificate is not recognised by an agency in a different country. Even with the adoption of a standardised or benchmarked halal standard, countries or certification bodies may still choose to remain independent. A certification body such as JAKIM from Malaysia recognises 54 certification bodies from 32 foreign halal certification bodies/countries and 4 authorities but delisted 4 certification bodies (Halal Malaysia Official Portal 2016). But are those rejections based on alternate interpretations of halal, non-compliance with halal requirements, or a political decision? In addition to cross recognition of halal certification bodies, at times local government bodies do not have the resources to carry out inspections globally and are required to outsource responsibilities to Muslim organisations around the world such as Islamic Food Council of Europe (IFCE) and Islamic Food and Nutrition Council of America (IFANCA) to carry out inspections. This triggers a transnational governmentality on a global scale (Fischer, 2016).

Thus governments are encouraged to take on the accreditation role to strengthen the whole system, while removing themselves from certification. There are attempts to harmonize halal standards which may benefit the food trade and operational efficiency across countries. Analyses of harmonisation or maintaining independent halal standards will result in different strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. SWOT analyses were divided into two categories in Figure 2 to provide a guideline for countries that opt to select standardised halal standard or choose to have an independent halal standard certification. Countries that opt to use standardised halal standard may benefit from increased cost efficiency and increased food trade. However, there are also threats that there will be reduced variety and freedom of practise according to different schools of thought. Countries that choose to use independent halal standards may benefit from increased competition and the potential to save cost as no benchmarking is required. Meanwhile, although independent halal standards ensure the freedom to adopt halal practices according to their school of thought (and the country's tradition), a reduction in food trade and lack of food choices may prevail. Analyses of harmonisation or maintaining independent halal standards will result in different strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. Hence, Figure 2 is presented as a strategic global halal supply chain model to provide pragmatic information for countries or certification bodies to better serve Muslims with different traditions. However, the SWOT analyses serve as a guideline as Ab Talib and Abdul Hamid, (2014) reported that SWOT analysis done may be outdated as the environments are constantly changing. In addition to relying on halal standards and certification, laboratory analyses can be used to monitor specific haram ingredients in raw materials and food products such as the presence of porcine and alcohol (van der Spiegel et al., 2012), identification of animal species in food (Aida et al., 2005, 2007) and origin of food product (Ebeler, 2007). According to Fauzi and Mas’ud (2009) scientific justification and results of laboratory analyses can provide additional information for local and regional Islamic authorities to evaluate the halal status of the products.
One step to better cooperation but while maintaining minor differences related to the schools is the halal standard developed by the Turkish Halal Certification Centre (GIMDES) that incorporates different Schools of Islamic law. A tick (✓) image in the logo can be added beside the appropriate schools of law (i.e. Shāfi‘i, Hanbali, Mālikī, Hanafi) according to which rules the certified company has complied (Figure 3). The certification can represent one or even all of the schools of law (GIMDES, 2015). But given that Turkey is predominantly a Sunni country, to date this form of certification does not address the Shi‘a schools. It also implies that the application of standards by schools is sufficient. In some cases, e.g., where fish are considered halal, it is apparent that there are also different customs in different countries that reflect the different schools but lead to differences within each school.

Malaysia recognizes the significance of halal assurance of food and consumer products produced globally. It currently accepts products and premises certified by a number of Islamic certification bodies and authorities. Globally, JAKIM recognizes 54 foreign halal certification bodies (Halal Malaysia Official Portal, 2016). Additionally, JAKIM recognizes 4 national authorities that will be able to provide guidance for consumers when purchasing halal goods or patronizing certain premises overseas (Halal Malaysia Official Portal, 2016). It should be noted that Malaysia is one of the few Muslim countries that recognizes percussive stunning as acceptable for reversible stunning (Halal Malaysia Official Portal, 2016).

**Halal Integrity**

A farm to fork food supply chain is not only concerned about food but also the origin, transparency, potential for fraud and adulteration, increasing consumers’ confidence, traceability (traceback and track forward) and quality issues (Hoorfar et al. 2011). Manning and Soon (2014) suggested that the term food integrity should be used to describe foods that are properly represented for exactly what they are. So halal integrity would be again clearly presenting the details of the halal status of the product and assuring that the requirements for halal as stated are met. Food safety is part of halal integrity as wholesomeness (tayyab) is a parallel requirement for halal, i.e., food should be pure, lawful and good for human consumption. Zulfakar *et al.* (2014) proposed a conceptual framework for halal food supply chain integrity which encompasses protective and preventive measures to ensure food products remain halal from production until they reach consumers. **Halal integrity should also demonstrate that the product remain halal throughout the supply chain, are free from any activities that might breached the halal status (intentionally or unintentionally) (Zulfakar *et al.* 2012).** Others are proposing more specific halal control points (Kohilavani *et al.* 2012; Kohilavani *et al.* 2013),
control points for halal slaughtering of poultry (Shahdan et al., 2016) and halal compliance critical
control point (Kamaruddin et al. 2012) for various production systems. There are certainly challenges
in ensuring halal integrity in the food supply chain as production, processing and transportation
require different companies at various stages. Alqudsi (2014) had reported that it is a difficult task to
maintain halal integrity of the whole supply chain as it requires constant monitoring and resources in
terms of capital and trained staff. However, the demand for halal food products from consumers and
the drive from the supply side theory of religion (i.e. expansion of halal markets because of
production sides’ initiative in creating more halal food) will spur the need to ensure halal food status
is maintained. Halal certification bodies play an important role in certifying halal food products and
services whilst governments should play an active role as accreditation bodies or to provide
independent validation in order to police the halal food chain. If halal integrity is maintained at the
operational level across the supply chain regardless of whether the chain is within one country or
multiple countries, then one will have a global halal supply chain with integrity (Figure 2). Halal
integrity is crucial to the success of the emerging halal market. A formal definition of halal integrity
might be articulated as:

‘the assurance of safe (pure), quality (good) and free from malpractice (lawful) food from farm to
fork’

**Conclusion**

The ideas of halal and haram are clear within Islamic teachings. It is important also to understand
that halal is a way of life and embraces good conduct and righteous deeds. It is in this context that
halal integrity should be understood. This paper utilises the farm to fork and global supply chain
models to foster a critical awareness of halal needs. Taking into consideration the origins of food
products, production and facilities (i.e. operational level), global sourcing of ingredients and raw
materials, food trade and halal as a way of life leads to a definition of halal integrity. SWOT analyses
serve as a guideline for countries that opt to select standardised halal standard or choose to have an
independent halal standard certification. Countries that opt to use standardised halal standard may
benefit from increased cost efficiency and increased food trade while countries that choose to use
independent halal standards may benefit from increased competition and the potential to save cost as
no benchmarking is required. It is recommended that the key to improved halal integrity in
international trade is transparency and required concerted effort from food supply chains, policy
makers, halal certification & accreditation bodies and research institutions. Halal integrity is crucial to
the success of halal market – if the halal status of the food product is breached (e.g. did not follow
halal slaughtering method, cross contamination with haram products, ill intentions), this will render
the product haram. In response to the initial questions raised in this paper, i.e., what is halal
integrity and what measures can be taken to assure this – a formal definition of halal integrity has
been proposed. Halal integrity is needed both operationally and strategically to ensure that the halal status of food products remains intact.
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Figure 1. Halal integrity from farm to fork

Covers the whole food chain (i.e. farm to fork)
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Figure 2. Assuring global halal integrity via recognised halal certification bodies
Figure 3. Example of a Halal certification that incorporates different Schools of Sunni Islamic Law (GIMDES, 2015)
Table 1. Examples of food cross-contamination with porcine products and mislabeling cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Contaminant</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Sausages and burger paties</td>
<td>Undeclared porcine species meat products</td>
<td>Flores-Munguia <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Horsemeat sausages</td>
<td>Pork meat was added fraudulently in Italian horse fresh sausages</td>
<td>Di Pinto <em>et al.</em>, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Halal meats</td>
<td>Unlawful (adulterated) meats containing poultry, pig, donkey and horse meat</td>
<td>(Doosti <em>et al.</em>, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Minced meat, burger patties, deli meats, sausages and biltongs</td>
<td>Detection of porcine DNA in various meat products</td>
<td>Cawthorn <em>et al.</em>, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Meat pies and pastries</td>
<td>Porcine DNA</td>
<td>(Whitworth, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Frozen burgers</td>
<td>Porcine DNA</td>
<td>(Whitworth, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Lamb burgers</td>
<td>Traces of pork</td>
<td>(BBC News, 9 May 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Vaccine</td>
<td>Pork gelatin</td>
<td>(BBC News, 17 September 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Chicken sandwich</td>
<td>Mislabelled as halal</td>
<td>(Trenwith, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Homemade food products</td>
<td>Mislabelled as halal</td>
<td>(Lipes, 18 January 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Pork tenderloins</td>
<td>Pork tenderloins labelled as beef</td>
<td>(RASFF, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Poultry meat</td>
<td>Possible cross contamination of poultry meat products with pork meat</td>
<td>Soares <em>et al.</em>, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>Packaged chicken</td>
<td>Mislabelled</td>
<td>(Bottaro <em>et al.</em>, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Product(s)</td>
<td>Issue/Find</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Gelatine products (marshmallow and gum drops)</td>
<td>Products contain porcine gelatine and labelling failed to indicate the use of pork gelatine</td>
<td>(Demirhan et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Halal slaughter</td>
<td>Not following halal house practices in the slaughtering process</td>
<td>(Press Association, 3 February 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Chicken sausages</td>
<td>Detection of pork DNA in chicken sausages</td>
<td>Di Pinto et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Marshmallows, gummies, hard candies and complex candies</td>
<td>Detection of porcine DNA in commercial candy products</td>
<td>Muñoz-Colmenero et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>