Cdc14-regulated midzone assembly controls anaphase B

Anton Khmelinskii,1 Clare Lawrence,2 Johanna Roostalu,1 and Elmar Schiebel1

1Zentrum für Molekulare Biologie der Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
2The Paterson Institute for Cancer Research, Manchester M20 4BX, England, UK

Spindle elongation in anaphase of mitosis is a cell cycle-regulated process that requires coordination between polymerization, cross-linking, and sliding of microtubules (MTs). Proteins that assemble at the spindle midzone may be important for this process. In this study, we show that Ase1 and the separase–Slk19 complex drive midzone assembly in yeast. Whereas the conserved MT-bundling protein Ase1 establishes a midzone, separase–Slk19 is required to focus and center midzone components. An important step leading to spindle midzone assembly is the dephosphorylation of Ase1 by the protein phosphatase Cdc14 at the beginning of anaphase. Failure to dephosphorylate Ase1 delocalizes midzone proteins and delays the second, slower phase of anaphase B. In contrast, in cells expressing nonphosphorylated Ase1, anaphase spindle extension is faster, and spindles frequently break. Cdc14 also controls the separase–Slk19 complex indirectly via the Aurora B kinase. Thus, Cdc14 regulates spindle midzone assembly and function directly through Ase1 and indirectly via the separase–Slk19 complex.

Introduction

The spindle of eukaryotic cells is a complex microtubule (MT)-based machine that segregates chromosomes in mitosis and meiosis. Shortly before or at the beginning of mitosis, spindle MTs are nucleated from tubulin subunits either at the MT-organizing center or near chromatin (Carazo-Salas et al., 1999). The MT-organizing center is known as the centrosome in higher eukaryotes or as the spindle pole body (SPB) in yeast. The MTs then assemble through the action of MT-associated proteins into a bipolar spindle.

The mitotic spindle contains two distinct sets of MTs: the pole–kinetochore and the pole–pole MTs. The minus ends of both groups of MTs reside at the SPBs. The pole–kinetochore MTs interact at their plus end with kinetochores and move chromosomes to the spindle poles (anaphase A). The pole–pole MTs interdigitate in the middle of the spindle, thereby defining a spatially restricted region known as the central spindle or spindle midzone, and segregate chromosomes by elongating the spindle (anaphase B).

The properties of MTs change dramatically as cells transit the cell cycle. Through the rise of cyclin-dependent kinase (Cdk) activity, MT turnover increases as cells enter metaphase of mitosis (Belmont et al., 1990; Verde et al., 1990). Increased MT dynamics helps to reorganize the MT cytoskeleton into a bipolar spindle and promotes chromosome capture by kinetochore MTs (Cassimeris et al., 1994). With anaphase onset, MTs suddenly become stabilized (Mallavarapu et al., 1999; Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005). This is the combined result of decreased Cdk activity and the activation of protein phosphatases. A protein phosphatase that has been implicated in the regulation of anaphase spindle properties is the conserved Cdc14 (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005). Cdc14 is involved in the dephosphorylation of spindle-associated proteins such as the DASH component Ask1 (Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005) and directly regulates MT-binding activity of the inner centromere protein–Aurora B complex (Sli15-Ipl1 in yeast), which then, in turn, controls spindle localization of the chromosomal passenger protein Slk19 (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003).

An additional level of complexity arises from the targeting of a subset of spindle-associated proteins such as the MT-bundling protein Ase1, the Aurora B kinase complex, kinesin motor proteins, and, in yeast, the chromosomal passenger proteins Slk19 and separase Esp1 to the spindle midzone at the beginning of anaphase, where they participate in anaphase spindle formation and stabilization (Cooke et al., 1987; Zeng et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 2001; Mollinari et al., 2002; Schuyler et al., 2003).
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Ase1 belongs to a functionally conserved family of MT-associated proteins (Schuyler et al., 2003) named Ase1 in fission yeast (Loiodice et al., 2005; Yamashita et al., 2005), Feo in Drosophila melanogaster (Verni et al., 2004), PRC1 in human cells (Jiang et al., 1998), SPD-1 in Caenorhabditis elegans (Verbrugge and White, 2004), and MAP65 in plant cells (Chan et al., 1999). Although the degree of sequence identity is low, all family members bundle MTs, display specific midzone localization, and participate in anaphase spindle stability and cytokinesis (Jiang et al., 1997; Mollinari et al., 2002; Schuyler et al., 2003; Balasubramanian et al., 2004; Norden et al., 2006). For example, human cells depleted of PRC1 by siRNA or yeast ase1Δ cells assemble a bipolar spindle, but severe defects in spindle morphology and function arise upon passage into anaphase (Jiang et al., 1997; Mollinari et al., 2002; Loiodice et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2006).

The ability of Ase1 to preferentially bundle antiparallel MT arrays makes it a key player in spindle midzone assembly (Janson et al., 2007). However, the cell cycle signals that target Ase1 to the spindle midzone at the beginning of anaphase and the identity of the proteins that cooperate with Ase1 in midzone assembly are barely understood. To identify proteins involved in these processes, we performed a systematic analysis of spindle midzone components in the model organism budding yeast. We show that Ase1 acts together with the separase–Slk19 complex to establish a functional spindle midzone independently of MT-based motor proteins. Cdc14 directly regulates spindle complex to establish a functional spindle midzone.

Results

Stepwise binding of Ase1, Slk19, Esp1, and Bim1 to the midzone of anaphase cells

The spindle midzone of budding yeast contains the MT-bundling protein Ase1 (Schuyler et al., 2003), separase Esp1 (Jensen et al., 2001), the Esp1 interactor Slk19 (Zeng et al., 1999; Sullivan and Uhlmann, 2003), the MT plus end–binding proteins Bim1 and Bik1 (Berlin et al., 1990; Schwartz et al., 1997), the Cin8 and Kip3 kinesin-like motor proteins (Saunders and Hoyt, 1992; DeZwaan et al., 1997), and the CLIP-associating protein–like molecule Stu1 (Yin et al., 2002). Some of these proteins (Ase1, Bik1, Bim1, Cin8, Kip3, and Stu1) are already associated with the metaphase spindle and become focused into a discrete zone between the two spindle poles with anaphase onset. In contrast, Esp1 and Slk19 are only recruited to the spindle after the onset of anaphase. We may expect that proteins that perform a leading function in spindle midzone assembly bind earlier than others that execute a more assisting role.

To gain insight into the formation of a functional spindle midzone, we compared the timing with which Ase1 associated with the spindle midzone to that of Bim1 and Slk19 association by time-lapse microscopy (Fig. 1 and Videos 1 and 2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1). We first analyzed the behavior of Ase1 and Slk19 using cells in which the chromosomal copy of ASE1 was fused to GFP (ASE1-GFP) and SLK19 was fused to the red fluorescent tandem-dimer Tomato (tdTomato) SLK19-tdTomato. The ASE1-GFP and SLK19-tdTomato gene fusions were functional, as the elongated anaphase spindles of cdc15-1 ASE1-GFP SLK19-tdTomato cells were stable (unpublished data and Sullivan et al., 2001). We confirmed that Ase1 was already bound to the short spindle of preanaphase cells (Fig. 1 A, t = 0; Schuyler et al., 2003). In these cells, Slk19 associated with kinetochores, which, in yeast, cluster close to the SPBs (Jin et al., 1998). With anaphase onset, Ase1 accumulated at the spindle midzone between the two poles marked by the Slk19-tdTomato kinetochore signal (Fig. 1 A, 1 min 40 s; arrow). Slk19 localized slightly later than Ase1 to the emerging spindle midzone (Fig. 1 A, 2 min 30 s). Moreover, Slk19 left the spindle at the end of anaphase before Ase1 (Fig. 1 A, 15 min 0 s). These data were confirmed using still images of synchronized cells (Fig. S1). Thus, Ase1 binds to the assembling spindle midzone before Slk19.

Analysis of ASE1-GFP BIM1-eqFP cells (BIM1 fused to the red fluorescent eqFP611 from the sea anemone Entacmaea quadricolor; Figs. 1 B and S1; Wiedenmann et al., 2002) showed that Bim1 associated with the spindle midzone with kinetics identical to Ase1.

Next, the spindle localization of Esp1 and Slk19 was determined in ESP1-GFP SLK19-tdTomato cells, in which the integrated ESP1-GFP was expressed from the native promoter. Because time-lapse analysis was challenging as a result of the weak Esp1-GFP signal, the localization of Esp1 and Slk19 was determined in still images (z stacks) of α-factor synchronized cells. The Esp1-GFP signal appeared with anaphase onset at both spindle poles, where it colocalized with Slk19-tdTomato (Fig. 1 C, i; arrowheads). When the spindle length was between 2 and 6 μm, Esp1 and Slk19 also colocalized at the spindle midzone (Fig. 1 C, ii; and D). Surprisingly, Esp1 left the spindle midzone before Slk19 (Fig. 1 C, iii; and D, >6 μm). Thus, Esp1 and Slk19 probably bind to the developing spindle midzone as a complex (Esp1–Slk19 complex formation has been demonstrated previously; Sullivan and Uhlmann, 2003) but later dissociate from the midzone with distinct kinetics. The notion that Esp1 and Slk19 bind as a complex was further supported by the finding of the interdependence of the spindle midzone binding of Esp1 and Slk19 (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1). Collectively, proteins bind to and leave the spindle midzone in a defined order, indicating a cell cycle–controlled program of spindle midzone assembly and disassembly.

Interdependency of the spindle midzone localization of Ase1 and Esp1-Slk19

Ase1 by itself or together with other proteins may function as a landmark for spindle midzone assembly to which other spindle midzone proteins bind either simultaneously or later than Ase1. To test this model, we analyzed the interdependency of the...
localization of spindle midzone proteins. Slk19 localization was analyzed in mCherry-TUB1 SLK19-GFP cells in the presence and absence of ASE1 (Fig. 2 A). mCherry-TUB1 enabled the visualization of the red fluorescent spindle. Cells were synchronized with α factor in G1 phase of the cell cycle (t = 0). Around 40–60 min after release from the G1 block, a short bipolar preanaphase spindle of similar length assembled in ASE1 and ase1Δ cells (Fig. 2 B). At ~60–80 min, ASE1 and ase1Δ cells entered anaphase, as indicated by the increase in the proportion of large-budded cells and spindle elongation (Fig. 2 B). In ASE1 wild-type (WT) cells, Slk19 associated with the midzone of spindles of intermediate length (3–8 μm; Fig. 2 A and B; red dots). In contrast, the anaphase spindles of ase1Δ cells were always devoid of Slk19 (Fig. 2 A and B). The reduced spindle length of ase1Δ cells did not account for this defect because the anaphase spindles of ase1Δ cells exceeded the 3-μm threshold after which Slk19 associates with spindles in WT cells (Figs. 1 A and 2 B). The spindle pole localization of Slk19 was not affected by the deletion of ASE1. Similar data were obtained for Esp1-GFP (Fig. S2). Thus, the Esp1–Slk19 complex requires the Ase1 protein or an Ase1-dependent structure for binding to the spindle midzone.

We next tested whether the Esp1–Slk19 complex controls the spindle localization of Ase1. This analysis was performed with slk19Δ cells carrying ASE1-GFP mCherry-TUB1 SPC42-eqFP. SPC42-eqFP allowed the unequivocal localization of the SPBs. In slk19Δ cells, as in WT cells, Ase1 bound only to a section of the anaphase spindle (Fig. 2 C). However, the Ase1-GFP zone of slk19Δ cells was more extended than in WT cells (Fig. 2 D). In addition, in 22% of slk19Δ cells, the Ase1-GFP signal was shifted toward one of the spindle poles (Fig. 2 C). A similar mislocalization of Ase1 was observed in conditional lethal esp1-1 cells (Fig. S2; Sullivan et al., 2001). Together, these data indicate that the Esp1–Slk19 complex is important for both the spatial restriction and the centered localization of the spindle midzone protein Ase1.

Further analysis of the interdependency of spindle proteins showed that all midzone components (Bik1, Bim1, Cin8, Kip3, and Stu1) required the Ase1 landmark for recruitment to the spindle midzone and the Slk19 protein for spatial constraint to a centered spindle domain once recruited there by Ase1 (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1). In the absence of SLK19, these spindle midzone components frequently formed spatially restricted, albeit not centered, spindle domains. On the other hand, the deletion of ASE1 abolished domain formation with the proteins binding uniformly along the interpolar MTs (Fig. S3). In contrast, the localization of other spindle components that evenly decorate WT anaphase
spindles, such as Sli15, Ipl1, or Ndc10 (Bouck and Bloom, 2005; Norden et al., 2006), was not affected by the deletion of either ASE1 or SLK19 (Fig. S3). Thus, only the localization of spindle midzone proteins is dependent on Ase1 and the Esp1–Slk19 complex.

Finally, we asked whether the inactivation of other spindle components (BIK1, BIM1, CIN8, FIN1, IPL1, KAR3, KIP1, KIP2, KIP3, NDC10, SLI15, STU1, and STU2) affected the localization of either Ase1 or the Esp1–Slk19 complex (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1). With the exception of IPL1, SLI15, and NDC10 mutants that impaired Slk19 localization (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005), the percentage of anaphase cells with centered or mislocalized Ase1-GFP spindle signal is shown on the right side of the figure. These data demonstrate a direct role of the Esp1–Slk19 complex in Ase1 localization. Consistently, in ndc10-1 cells, which mislocalize spindle-associated Slk19 (Norden et al., 2006) but release Cdc14 as well as WT cells, Ase1 bound to spindles as in slk19Δ cells (unpublished data).

Multiple roles of the phosphatase Cdc14 in anaphase spindle assembly

The spindle midzone localization of Cin8, Slk19, and Stu1 is severely disturbed in cdc14-2 cells (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005). Slk19 mislocalization is caused by the failure of the Sli15–Ipl1 kinase complex to bind to spindle MTs in anaphase. The expression of SLI15Δ, which binds constitutively to spindle MTs, is therefore partly able to suppress the localization defect of Slk19 in cdc14-2 cells (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003).

A Cdc14-independent role of Esp1–Slk19 in anaphase spindle formation

Esp1 and Slk19 are part of the Cdc14 early anaphase release network (FEAR) that activates the Cdc14 phosphatase at the beginning of anaphase (Stegmeier et al., 2002). The activated Cdc14 then regulates the spindle localization of Sli15–Ipl1, Cin8, Slk19, and Stu1 (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005). The mislocalization of Ase1 in slk19Δ or esp1-1 cells that we report here could therefore arise as an indirect consequence of the failure to activate Cdc14. However, several data argue for a direct function of the Esp1–Slk19 complex in the control of spindle midzone assembly. pGal1-induced activation of CDC14 (Fig. 3) had a marginal impact on the length of the Ase1-GFP spindle domain of esp1-1 cells, as it only reduced it from 3.1 to 2.5 μm (Fig. 3 C, spindles of 7–8 μm), whereas in ESP1 WT cells, the Ase1 spindle domain was 1.4 μm long (Fig. S2, spindles of 7–8 μm). Moreover, pGal1 activation of CDC14 also had a minimal impact on the mislocalization of Ase1-GFP in esp1-1 cells (Fig. 3 D). These data demonstrate a direct role of the Esp1–Slk19 complex in Ase1 localization.

Figure 2. Spindle midzone localization of Slk19 and Ase1 is interdependent. (A and B) Slk19-GFP localization in SLK19-GFP mCherry-TUB1 cells with and without ASE1 synchronized with α factor (G1; t = 0). (B) Cells were analyzed at each time point for large buds (dotted lines), spindle length, and Slk19-GFP localization at the spindle midzone and poles (red dots) or only at the spindle poles (green dots). The mean spindle length (blue lines) with SD (black bars) is indicated for each time point. n > 30 per time point. (C and D) Ase1-GFP localization in ASE1-GFP mCherry-TUB1 SPC42-eqFP cells with and without SLK19. (C) The percentage of anaphase cells with centered or mislocalized Ase1-GFP spindle signal is shown on the right side of the figure. (D) Quantification of C. Mean value of the Ase1-GFP zone length with SD is shown for each spindle class. n > 30 per spindle class. Approximate cell morphologies are indicated by yellow outlines drawn onto the overlaid images. Bars, 5 μm.
complex was tested by analyzing the localization of these midzone proteins in conditional lethal ipl1-321 and sli15-3 cells.

In cdc14-2 cells, Esp1 failed to concentrate between the two spindle poles. Instead, Esp1 showed a weak, relatively uniform MT-like staining (Fig. 4 A). This mislocalization of Esp1 was partially rescued by SLI156A (Fig. 4 A), suggesting that the Sli15–Ipl1 pathway regulates Esp1. Consistently, Esp1 failed to bind to spindles in sli15-3 cells (Fig. 4 A). Thus, Cdc14 regulates Esp1 localization through the Sli15–Ipl1 kinase complex.

In cdc14-2 cells, Ase1 was either distributed along the entire anaphase spindle (Fig. 4, B, iii; and C, 59%) or the Ase1 zone was shifted toward one spindle pole (Fig. 4, B, ii; and C, 32%). This result was confirmed with temperature-sensitive cdc14 degson cells (td-cdc14; see Fig. 6 D), in which Cdc14 was rapidly degraded upon shifting the cells to the restrictive temperature (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). To obtain an understanding of whether the regulation of Ase1 was via the Sli15–Ipl1 pathway, we analyzed Ase1 spindle positioning in cdc14-2 SLI156A, sli15-3 and ipl1-321 cells. SLI156A was unable to suppress the Ase1 localization defect of cdc14-2 cells (Fig. 4, B, iv–vi; and C), arguing against a major role for Sli15–Ipl1 in Ase1 regulation. In addition, the defect in Ase1 localization was much stronger in cdc14-2 than in sli15-3 or ipl1-321 cells (Fig. 4, B and C). This suggests that Cdc14 regulates the midzone localization of Ase1. However, contrary to Esp1 and Slk19, the Sli15–Ipl1 complex has little direct influence on Ase1 localization.

Cin8-4GFP, Stu1-4GFP, and Bim1-4GFP localized to spindle MTs in cdc14-2 cells (Fig. 4 D) but failed to accumulate in the middle of the spindle, as they did in WT cells (Fig. 4 D). The expression of SLI156A in cdc14-2 cells did not restore the centered localization of Cin8-4GFP, Stu1-4GFP, and Bim1-GFP (Fig. 4 D), suggesting that the Sli15–Ipl1 complex is not directly involved in the targeting of these proteins to the midzone. The finding that in sli15-3 cells, Cin8-4GFP, Stu1-4GFP, and Bim1-GFP still bound in a spatially restricted manner to the anaphase spindle (Fig. 4 E) reinforced this view. However, in sli15-3 cells, the Cin8, Stu1, and Bim1 zones were broader than in WT cells and were frequently shifted toward one of the spindle poles (Fig. 4 E). The extent of this defect was comparable with that seen in slk19Δ cells (Fig. S3). The defective localization of Slk19 in sli15-3 cells (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003) is probably responsible for the mislocalization of Cin8, Stu1, and Bim1. Furthermore, the mislocalization of all midzone components both in ase1Δ and cdc14-2 mutants and the dependency of Ase1 spindle localization on Cdc14 raises the possibility that Ase1 is a direct target of Cdc14.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that Cdc14 has a dual role in regulating the spindle midzone. Through relocalization of the Sli15–Ipl1 kinase complex, Cdc14 is responsible for midzone targeting of the Esp1–Slk19 complex. In addition, independently of Sli15–Ipl1, Cdc14 is required for the centered and focused localization of other midzone components, possibly by acting on Ase1.

**Direct regulation of Ase1 by Cdc14**

Ase1 is phosphorylated by Cdk1-Clb5 at the end of S phase, dephosphorylated during anaphase, subsequently targeted for destruction by the anaphase-promoting complex ([APC]<sub>APC<sup>A Thom</sup></sub>/proteasome system during mitotic exit, and reaccumulates again in S phase (Juang et al., 1997; Loog and Morgan, 2005). Moreover, Ase1 is a key protein required for the correct localization of all midzone components (Fig. S3), binds to the
developing midzone before the Esp1–Sik19 centering complex (Fig. 1), and is mislocalized in the cdc14-2 mutant (Fig. 4).

On this basis, we reasoned that Ase1 may be a direct target of Cdc14, allowing the coordination of spindle midzone assembly with anaphase onset. Such a model predicts an interaction between Ase1 and Cdc14. Using the yeast two-hybrid system, we identified an interaction between Ase1 and an N-terminal fragment of Cdc14 (Fig. 5 A).

If Cdc14 dephosphorylates Ase1, we would expect to see an accumulation of hyperphosphorylated Ase1 in cells lacking Cdc14 activity. The phosphorylation of Ase1 was therefore analyzed in α-factor synchronized WT and td-cdc14 cells (Fig. 5 B). The Ase1-6HA protein accumulated in both cell types around 70 min after release from the G1 block. In CDC14 and td-cdc14 cells, a fraction of Ase1-6HA became hyperphosphorylated after ~80 min, as indicated by the accumulation of slower migrating Ase1 phosphoisoforms (Fig. 5 B, Ase1-P).

In WT cells, Ase1-6HA became dephosphorylated and was then degraded with mitotic exit (Clb2 degradation and Sic1 accumulation; Fig. 5 B, 120 min). In contrast, in td-cdc14 cells, Ase1-6HA remained in the hyperphosphorylated form (Fig. 5 B, 120–150 min). Thus, the dephosphorylation of Ase1 is dependent on Cdc14.

If the proposed model is correct, the premature activation of Cdc14 should dephosphorylate Ase1 at a point in the cell cycle when Cdc14 is normally inactive. This possibility was tested in pMet3-CDC20 cdc26Δ pGal1-CDC14 and pMet3-CDC20 cdc26Δ pGal1-CDC14C283A cells, which lack the APC subunit Cdc26 that is only essential at 37°C (Araki et al., 1992). When grown in the presence of methionine at 37°C, these cells arrested in metaphase without APC activity because of Cdc20 depletion and the absence of Cdc26. In these arrested cells, the endogenous Cdc14 was entrapped in the nucleolus. The pGal1 promoter was then induced by the addition of galactose, leading
to the accumulation of high levels of Cdc14 or the inactive Cdc14C283A in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 5 D and not depicted). Despite this accumulation of active Cdc14, cells remained in metaphase (Fig. 5 C, top) mainly because the APC was inactive, and, therefore, Cdc14 activity was insufficient to promote mitotic exit, as indicated by the failure of cells to rebud or to replicate the DNA (Fig. 5 C; Visintin et al., 1998). Importantly, pGal1-activated Cdc14 caused the collapse of most of the hyperphosphorylated Ase1 (Ase1-P) into the nonphosphorylated, faster migrating Ase1 band (Fig. 5 C, lane 4). This was not observed when the phosphatase-dead CDC14C282A was expressed (Fig. 5 C, lane 6). Collectively, Cdc14 is responsible for the dephosphorylation of Ase1 in vivo.

Finally, we asked whether recombinant Cdc14 was able to dephosphorylate affinity-purified Ase1 from yeast cells. A first attempt to isolate phosphorylated Ase1 from metaphase-arrested cells failed probably because Cdc14 that was released from the nucleolus during the purification procedure dephosphorylated Ase1 (unpublished data). Hyperphosphorylated Ase1-6HA (Ase1-P) was therefore obtained through immunoprecipitation from arrested cdc14-2 cells, which lack Cdc14 activity. Enriched Ase1-P was then incubated with buffer only, recombinant Cdc14, the phosphatase-dead Cdc14C282A, or, as a positive control, with AP (Fig. 5 E). Only Cdc14 and AP were able to dephosphorylate Ase1-P, as indicated by the mobility shift. Thus, Cdc14 directly dephosphorylates Ase1.

**Dephosphorylation of Ase1 is essential for spindle midzone assembly**

Ase1 contains seven Cdk1 consensus sites ([ST]-P-X-[RK]; Fig. 6 A). The serine or threonine residues within these sites were either converted to alanine (ASE1T7A) to prevent phosphorylation or to aspartic acid (ASE1T7D) to mimic constitutive phosphorylation. The Ase1T7A and Ase1T7D proteins no longer showed the mobility shift characteristic for the hyperphosphorylated Ase1 (Fig. 6 B), indicating that most Ase1 phosphorylation sites that were normally responsible for the band shift were blocked.

In metaphase, Ase1-GFP and Ase17D-GFP showed nearly identical localization patterns (Fig. 6 D, purple area). The failure of Ase1T7A to localize toward one of the two spindle poles (Fig. 6, C and D). This mislocalization may arise because Ase1T7D behaves as a constitutively phosphorylated protein or because the mutations unspecifically affect the function of the protein. In the first case, Ase1 and Ase1T7D should show similar localization in td-cdc14 cells in which Ase1 is hyperphosphorylated (Fig. 5 B). Indeed, in td-cdc14 cells, Ase1T7D-GFP and the hyperphosphorylated Ase1-GFP showed nearly identical localization patterns (Fig. 6 D, td-cdc14). Thus, the dephosphorylation of Ase1 is important to assemble a focused spindle midzone.

If the dephosphorylation of Ase1 is an essential step in spindle midzone assembly, Ase1T7A should, in part, suppress the spindle defect of td-cdc14 cells. Indeed, in td-cdc14 cells, the nonphosphorylated Ase1T7A-GFP localized more to the middle of the anaphase spindle than the phosphorylated Ase1-GFP or Ase1T7D-GFP (Fig. 6 D, purple area). The failure of Ase1T7A to show a WT localization in td-cdc14 cells is probably the result of the mislocalization of Svk19 in these cells (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003), which, in turn, affects Ase1 localization (Fig. 2 C).

Mislocalization of Ase1T7D in CDC14 cells may affect spindle binding of other midzone proteins. This is expected because the localization of all midzone components depends on ASE1 (Figs. 2 A and S3). Analysis of Cin8-GFP, Stu1-GFP, and...
Figure 6. Dephosphorylation of Ase1 is essential for spindle midzone organization. (A) Distribution of the seven Cdk1 consensus sites in Ase1. CC, coiled-coil region; MB, MT-binding domain. (B) tdcdc14 cells expressing ASE1-GFP, ASE17A-GFP, or ASE17D-GFP were synchronized with a factor at 23°C and released into a new cell cycle at 37°C. After 3 h of incubation at 37°C, cells were collected and analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP antibodies. (C) Spindle localization of GFP-tagged Ase1, Ase17A, and Ase17D in CDC14 cells. Spc42-eqFP was used as a pole marker. (D) CDC14 and tdcdc14 cells with ASE1-GFP, ASE17A-GFP, and ASE17D-GFP were grown and synchronized as described in Materials and methods. Spindle localization of Ase1-GFP was quantified as outlined in the figure. n > 100 anaphase cells per CDC14 strain; n > 500 anaphase cells per tdcdc14 strain. (E and F) Localization of Cin8, Stu1, and Slk19-GFP in ASE1, ASE17A, and ASE17D cells. Cells were grown in YPD at 30°C to mid-log phase. Cells with a single centered GFP domain between the spindle poles were counted as having normal midzone localization. n > 100 anaphase cells per strain. Approximate cell morphologies are indicated by yellow outlines drawn onto the overlaid images. Bars, 5 μm.

Slk19-GFP showed that spindle midzone localization of these proteins was severely impaired in ASE17D cells (Fig. 6, E and F). Although Cin8 and Stu1 still bound to the spindle MTs, Slk19 was observed in all ASE17D anaphase cells at the spindle poles but not along the spindle (n > 100). Collectively, these data indicate that dephosphorylation of Ase1 by Cdc14 is essential to assemble a focused spindle midzone.

Dephosphorylation of Ase1 is required for continuous extension of the anaphase spindle

Analysis of anaphase B in yeast suggests that the spindle elongates in two phases (Straight et al., 1997). In the first step, the completely overlapping MTs of the metaphase spindle slide apart. This relatively fast elongation is limited to only 1–2 μm. The subsequent slower elongation step of up to 8 μm requires coupling of the sliding of antiparallel MTs with the polymerization of MT plus ends to maintain an overlap zone.

To understand the role of ASE1 and of a focused midzone in spindle elongation in greater detail, we analyzed the kinetics of anaphase spindle extension of ASE1, ase1Δ, ASE17A, and ASE17D cells by time-lapse microscopy. The initial fast phase of anaphase spindle extension was identical in all cell types (Fig. 7 A and Table I). These data suggest that dephosphorylation of Ase1 before anaphase onset is important for spindle stability in anaphase.
Discussion

The midzone is the domain of the spindle that maintains spindle bipolarity during anaphase and generates the forces required for spindle elongation. These functions are provided by midzone proteins that specifically localize to the center of the spindle at the beginning of anaphase (Fig. 1). In this study, we show that the conserved protein phosphatase Cdc14 regulates spindle midzone assembly and function by at least two pathways. Cdc14 directly dephosphorylates Ase1 with anaphase onset and, thereby, triggers spindle midzone assembly. In addition, Cdc14 targets the separase–Slk19 centering complex to the extending anaphase spindle. This complex then positions and concentrates the Ase1 domain in the middle of the anaphase spindle. Thus, activation of Cdc14 with anaphase onset allows coordination of sister chromatid disjunction with the spindle midzone assembly required for anaphase B.

Ase1 and Esp1–Slk19 cooperate to assemble a centered, spatially restricted spindle midzone

Together with fission yeast Ase1, human PRC1, and C. elegans SPD-1, budding yeast Ase1 belongs to a group of conserved MT-binding proteins (Schuyler et al., 2003). All Ase1/PRC1-like proteins associate with the spindle midzone and have functions in anaphase spindle formation.

Additional factors involved in spindle midzone assembly in budding yeast include separase Esp1 and its partner Slk19 (Jensen et al., 2001; Sullivan and Uhlmann, 2003). Analysis of the role of Esp1 and Slk19 in spindle function is complicated by the fact that both proteins play critical roles in activation of the anaphase spindle regulator Cdc14 (Stegmeier et al., 2002; Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005). However, the finding that the pGal1-induced activation of Cdc14 only partially reduced the spindle defects of esp1-1 cells (Fig. 3) supports the view that the Esp1–Slk19 complex has a direct function in midzone assembly.

What are the functions of Ase1 and the Esp1–Slk19 complex in spindle midzone assembly? Ase1 associates with the developing spindle midzone before the Esp1–Slk19 complex (Fig. 1) and is essential to establish a landmark that recruits all other midzone components (Figs. 2 A and S3). The Ase1 landmark develops independently of all other spindle components (Fig. S4), suggesting that it is based on changes of the intrinsic properties of Ase1. Oligomerization of Ase1 with anaphase onset and an increased affinity toward antiparallel MTs, as suggested for human PRC1 and fission yeast Ase1, respectively (Kurasawa et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2006; Janson et al., 2007), may trigger the self-assembly of Ase1 into a spindle domain.

Human PRC1 binds to the kinesin Kif4, which is then required to localize PRC1 to the spindle midzone (Kurasawa et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2005). However, kinesin motor proteins do not target Ase1 to the spindle midzone in budding yeast (Fig. S4). Instead, the Esp1–Slk19 complex plays a role in limiting the extent of the Ase1 zone to ~2 μm. Esp1–Slk19 also positions the Ase1 landmark in the middle of the anaphase spindle (Fig. 2 C). The Esp1–Slk19-based system may center the MT overlap zone by destabilizing spindle MTs that exceed a critical length. Such a function was recently proposed for the kinesin-8 Kip3 that has a unique combination of plus end–directed motor and plus end depolymerase activities. These activities of Kip3 at the cell cortex facilitate the positioning of the mitotic spindle

Figure 7. Dephosphorylation of Ase1 is required for continuous extension of the anaphase spindle. (A) Kinetics of anaphase spindle extension of ASE1 WT, ase1Δ, ASE17A, and ASE17D cells with GFP-TUB1 by time-lapse microscopy. Pole to pole distance was measured in three dimensions. Insets depict the different phases of extension: 1, 2, and S (stalled). (B) The spindle breaks during anaphase in ASE17A cells. Selected frames (maximum z-series projections) are shown. Time is indicated in minutes. (C) cdc15-1 GFP-TUB1 cells with WT ASE1, ase1Δ, ASE17A, or ASE17D were released from α-factor block and incubated at 37°C (the restrictive temperature). Broken spindles (two half-spindles without continuous GFP-Tub1 signal in between) were scored over time. n > 100 spindles per time point. Bar, 5 μm.
(Gupta et al., 2006; Varga et al., 2006) but may also be active at the spindle midzone (Fig. S3; Gupta et al., 2006). However, the observation that kip3Δ cells still show normal Ase1 and Slk19 localization argues against such a model (Fig. S4). Alternatively, Ase1 and the Esp1–Slk19 complex may jointly cross-link interdigitating MTs in early anaphase. The assembled Ase1/Esp1–Slk19 domain may then be maintained as cells progress through anaphase. Consistent with this notion is the finding that the length of the Ase1 zone in anaphase is identical to the length of the metaphase spindle (Fig. 2 D).

Cdc14 is a multifunctional regulator of spindle midzone assembly

Recent studies identified Cdc14 as an important regulator of anaphase spindle properties (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003; Higuchi and Uhlmann, 2005; Woodbury and Morgan, 2007). This study pinpoints Cdc14 as a master regulator of the spindle midzone. Cdc14 promotes the assembly and positioning of midzone proteins by acting through at least two pathways: first, directly through Ase1, and second, through regulation of the Esp1–Slk19 centering complex (Fig. 4; Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). Dephosphorylation of Ase1 by Cdc14 is a key event in spindle midzone assembly. In conditional lethal cdc14 cells or in a large fraction of CDC14 cells expressing ASE1Δ, which mimics the constitutive phosphorylated form, Ase1 decorates the entire anaphase spindle in a fairly uniform pattern (Figs. 4 B and 6 C). Other spindle midzone components such as Slk19, Stu1, and Cin8 are also mislocalized in ASE1Δ cells (Fig. 6 E). The lack of Slk19 at the midzone of ASE1Δ cells may partly explain the formation of a shifted and unfocused Ase1 landmark.

Spindle midzone assembly is restricted to anaphase through the regulation of Ase1. Before anaphase onset, Ase1 is phosphorylated by Cdk1-Clb5, anaphase completion leads to the APC-dependent degradation of Ase1 (Juang et al., 1997; Loog and Morgan, 2005). Similar regulatory mechanisms have been described for the spindle-stabilizing protein Fin1 (Woodbury and Morgan, 2007). Cin8 and Kip1 kinesin-like motor proteins, which are required for anaphase spindle extension (Straight et al., 1998), are potentially subject to a similar regulation. Both are Cdk1 substrates (Ubersax et al., 2003) and become degraded with mitotic exit by an APC-dependent mechanism (Hildebrandt and Hoyt, 2001; Crasta et al., 2006). Dephosphorylation by Cdc14 regulates the MT binding of Sli15 (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003) and MT stabilization by the DASH complex. Dephosphorylation seems to be an efficient way to promote the quick changes in MT organization and behavior observed at anaphase onset.

The Cdc14 regulation of the Esp1–Slk19 centering system is much less understood, but it requires the Aurora B kinase complex. Dephosphorylation of the inner centromere protein subunit by Cdc14 targets the Aurora B kinase complex to spindle MTs at the beginning of anaphase (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). Aurora B then recruits the Esp1–Slk19 complex via an unknown mechanism to the spindle midzone (Fig. 4; Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). However, this is only part of the story because the kinetochore component Ndc10, which associates with spindles in complex with the Aurora B kinase subunit survivin, is also required for binding of the Esp1–Slk19 complex to anaphase spindles (Bouck and Bloom, 2005; Norden et al., 2006; unpublished data). In addition, Ndc10 localization to spindle MTs also requires Cdc14 activity (Bouck and Bloom, 2005). Presently, it is unclear how Ndc10 and survivin cooperate with Esp1–Slk19.

Consequences of the regulation of Ase1 by Cdc14

How does the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of Ase1 regulate the properties of the anaphase spindle? Analysis of the mutated ASE1Δ protein that lacks all Cdk1 consensus phosphorylation sites and of ASE1Δ in which these consensus sites have been switched to acidic residues to mimic the hyperphosphorylated state of Ase1 provided an answer to this question. The vast majority of ASE1Δ cells assembled a proper spindle midzone (Fig. 6 D). A more detailed analysis of this mutant revealed that ASE1Δ cells extended their anaphase spindle faster.
than ASE1 WT cells (Fig. 7 A and Table I). In addition, the spindle of ASE17D cells frequently broke during anaphase B. This breakage phenotype was accentuated by prolonged cell cycle arrest in late anaphase (Fig. 7, B and C). The reason for this malformation is presently unclear, but it may arise from the hyperactivation of motor proteins that drive anaphase spindle extension. Such hyperactive motors would interfere with the coordination of polymerization, antiparallel sliding, and cross-linking of MTs that is an integral part of spindle extension in anaphase B and could, therefore, prevent the attenuation of spindle extension at the end of anaphase (Table I).

The majority of ASE17D cells failed to assemble a focused or centered spindle midzone (Fig. 6 D). Instead, the Ase17D protein was frequently spread along the spindle. This phenotype allowed us to analyze the importance of a focused spindle midzone. Surprisingly, the dephosphorylation of Ase1 was not important for the initial fast phase of anaphase B (Fig. 7 A and Table I) or for spindle stability (Fig. 7 C). The close to WT stability of anaphase spindles of ASE17D cells was intriguing because the stabilizing protein Slk19 was no longer associated with the interpolar MTs in this mutant (Fig. 6 E). The most prominent defect of ASE17D cells was a delay in the slower phase of anaphase B (Fig. 7 A and Table I), which requires coupling between the sliding of antiparallel MTs and the polymerization of MT plus ends (Straight et al., 1997). This delayed extension of the anaphase spindle in ASE17D cells may compensate for the lack of Slk19 at the spindle midzone. Thus, a focused Ase1 zone is likely to be important for the coordination of polymerization and sliding of MTs in anaphase B but not for spindle stability.

Conserved feature of the Ase1/PRC1 family
Analysis of spindle midzone assembly in yeast has shown that even this relatively simple organism possesses an elaborate system to mediate and control the assembly of a centered spindle midzone. The requirement for Ase1 and its regulation by cell cycle–dependent dephosphorylation are clearly conserved features of spindle midzone assembly (Juang et al., 1997; Mollinari et al., 2002; Lodidice et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2006). In yeast, the protein phosphatase Cdc14 directly dephosphorylates Ase1. CcCdc14-14 has also been implicated in spindle midzone formation in C. elegans (Grunenberg et al., 2002). Moreover, PRC1 dephosphorylation is required for spindle midzone formation (Zhu et al., 2006). Whether SPD-1 and PRC1 are regulated by the C. elegans and human Cdc14 orthologues remains to be addressed.

Our analysis has also shown differences regarding the Ase1/PRC1 centering systems. One distinction is the lack of the requirement for kinesin motors for the focusing of Ase1. The larger size of the human metaphase spindle (10 μm) probably demands the active transport of PRC1 to MT plus ends with anaphase onset. It is currently unclear how the established spindle midzone of mammalian spindles remains centered between the two spindle poles. This control must influence the plus end properties of MTs according to the position of their ends within the anaphase spindle. It will be interesting to see whether such a system reflects or is an extension of the scheme seen in yeast.

Materials and methods

Strain constructions and growth conditions
Gene deletions and epitope tagging of genes at their endogenous loci were performed using PCR-based methods (Janke et al., 2004). td-tau1 cells were constructed and grown as described previously (Kanemaki et al., 2003). The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1 (available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1). All yeast strains were derivatives of S228c with the exception of mcm1-1 mcd1-1 mad1Δ (referred to as esp-l 1 in the text and figures), which was derived from W303 and was compared with the corresponding WT, K699.

Typically, cells were grown in yeast extract peptone glucose medium (YPD) at 23°C and shifted to 30°C or the restrictive temperature for 3 h before observation. For synchronization, cells were incubated with 10 μg/ml of synthetic α factor for 2.5–3 h at 23°C until >95% of cells were in G1 phase. After washing with prewarmed medium to remove α factor, cells progressed synchronously through the cell cycle. Cells were arrested in metaphase by depletion of CDC20 under control of the pMet3 promoter by incubating the cells for 3–4 h in yeast extract peptone raffinose (YPR) medium supplemented with 2 mM methionine and 2 mM cysteine until >95% of cells were with a large bud. The APC was inactivated by incubating arrested pMe3-CDC20cdc26Δ metaphase cells at 37°C. CDC14 and CDC14C283A were expressed from the pGal1 promoter cloned into yeast integration plasmids. The pGal1 promoter was induced by the addition of 2% galactose to the grow medium. ESP1-GFP was expressed from the native promoter cloned into the yeast integration plasmid pRS406.

Construction of ASE1 mutants
ASE1 with regulatory and coding regions was cloned into the yeast integration vector pRS306. Mutations in ASE1 were introduced by PCR-directed mutagenesis and confirmed by DNA sequencing. Serine or threonine residues of seven Cdk1 consensus sites ([ST]-P-X-[KR]) were mutated to alanine to avoid phosphorylation or mutated to aspartic acid to mimic phosphorylation (Fig. 6 A). The ASE17D mutant resulted from the exchange of threonine 55, serine 64, threonine 676, serine 707, serine 803, and serine 819 to alanine. In ASE17D, the same set of amino acids was exchanged to aspartic acid.

Yeast two hybrid
ASE1, SLI15, and CDC14-N (N-terminal fragment; amino acids 1–352) were cloned into pMM5 and pMM6 vectors. Interaction between SLI5 and Cdc14-N was used as a positive control (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). Two-hybrid interactions were tested as described previously (Schrömann et al., 2000).

Dephosphorylation of Ase1 by Cdc14
For in vitro dephosphorylation assay, Ase1-6HA was immunoprecipitated from a cdc14-2 ASE1-6HA cell extract. Immunoprecipitates were incubated with buffer, maltose-binding protein–Cdc14, or maltose-binding protein–Cdc14C283A (both purified from Escherichia coli) for 1 h at 30°C as described previously (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). Proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-HA antibodies.

Antibodies and immunoblotting
Yeast extracts were prepared using alkaline lysis and TCA precipitation (Janke et al., 2004). Anti-Cdc14 (6His-Cdc14), anti-Clb2 (GST-CLB21-271), anti-GFP (GST-GFP), anti-Pds1 (GST-Pds1 1–173), and anti-Tub2 antibodies (GST-Tub22-105–145) were prepared in rabbits or sheep against purified recombinant proteins (Pereira and Schiebel, 2003). Monoclonal mouse anti-myc (9E10) and anti-HA (12CA5) antibodies were purchased from Roche, and guinea pig anti-Sic1 antibodies were a gift from G. Pereira (German Cancer Research Centre, Heidelberg, Germany).

Fluorescence microscopy
For live cell imaging (Figs. 1 and 7), cells were adhered with concanavalin A on small glass-bottom Petri dishes (MatTek). Imaging was performed at 30°C on a microscope (DeltaVision RT; Applied Precision, Inc.). Images were acquired with a cooled CCD camera (CoolSNAP HQ; Photometrics). Measurements were performed using softWoRx software and complete z stacks.

Still images of nonfixed cells in growth medium were acquired at room temperature with a wide-field epifluorescence microscope (Axio Imager.A1; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.) equipped with a plan-fluar
100× NA 1.45 oil immersion objective (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.), a camera (Cascade:1K; Photometrics), and MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging Corp.). Quantification was performed using MetaMorph software and complete z stacks. Photoshop (Adobe) was used to mount the images and to produce merged color images. No manipulations other than contrast, brightness, and color balance adjustments were used. Approximate cell morphologies are indicated by yellow outlines drawn onto the overlaid images.

**Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows the spindle binding of Ase1 relative to Bim1 and Slk19 by analysis of still images of synchronized cells. Fig. S2 shows interdependency of the midzone localization of Ase1, Esp1, and Slk19. Fig. S3 shows the localization of spindle proteins in ase1Δ and slk19Δ cells. Fig. S4 shows Ase1 localization in spindle mutants. Table S1 lists strains and plasmids used in this study. Video 1 shows the spindle localization of Ase1 relative to Slk19 in an ASE1-GFP SLK19-Tomato cell corresponding to Fig. 1 A. Video 2 shows spindle localization of Ase1 relative to Bim1 in an ASE1-GFP BIM1-Tomato cell corresponding to Fig. 1 B. Videos 3–6 show spindle extension in ASE1, ase1Δ, ASE1Δ175, and ASE1Δ175, and ASE1 cells corresponding to Fig. 7 A, respectively. Video 7 shows spindle breakage during extension in an ASE1Δ cell corresponding to Fig. 7 B. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200702145/DC1.
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