



Article

Sounding out d/Deafness: The experiences of d/Deaf prisoners

Kelly, Laura

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/18620/>

Kelly, Laura (2018) Sounding out d/Deafness: The experiences of d/Deaf prisoners. Journal of Criminal Psychology, 8 (1). pp. 20-32. ISSN 2009-3829

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JCP-03-2017-0015>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [policies](#) page.



Emerald

Journal of
Criminal Psychology

Sounding out d/Deafness: The experiences of d/Deaf prisoners

Journal:	<i>Journal of Criminal Psychology</i>
Manuscript ID	JCP-03-2017-0015.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	prison, Deaf, deaf, prisoners, sound, communication

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Sounding out d/Deafness: The experiences of d/Deaf prisoners

Structured Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this paper is to provide an insight into the lived realities of d/Deaf prisoners in England and Wales, and to explore previous claims that they suffer disproportionately during their time in custody.

Design/Methodology/Approach: For the purposes of this study a qualitative approach was taken. As part of this, 28 semi-structured interviews were carried out at seven adult male prisons in England with a sample of male hard of hearing/d/Deaf prisoners, and staff members who had worked with them. The interviews were recorded using a Dictaphone, and then transcribed as close to verbatim as possible. From this the transcriptions were analysed using thematic analysis. In addition to interviews, observations were made at each establishment, and later recorded in a fieldwork journal.

Findings: Findings from the study showed that the way a d/Deaf person experiences prison depends strongly on the way in which they identify with their d/Deafness. However, it was also shown that there is little room for either deafness or Deafness in prison, with severely deaf and culturally and linguistically Deaf prisoners commonly experiencing the pains of imprisonment more severely than their hearing peers as a result the Prison Service's inability to accommodate such difference.

Originality/Value: This study fused together the fields of Deaf Studies and prison studies in a way that had not been done before, considering d/Deafness in prison on both an audiological and cultural level. Moreover, excluding small-scale unpublished undergraduate dissertations, it was the first empirical study about d/Deaf prisoners in England and Wales to carry out face-to-face interviews with these prisoners. Finally, as the most in-depth research yet to be carried out about these particular prisoners in England and Wales, a greater level of insight was provided than previously available.

The majority of existing prison research has been focused on the type of prisoner that prison was initially designed for and continues primarily to contain; the young able bodied lower class male (Cheney, 2005). However, increasing attention is now being given to individuals who do not fit this mould, including female, older and foreign national prisoners (see, for example, Scott and Codd, 2010, Philips, 2012, Moore and Scraton, 2013, Mann, 2016). As a result of this, it has become apparent that these prisoners experience prison differently and often feel the pains of imprisonment more intensely than their peers, despite being theoretically protected by the Equality Act 2010 which places a legal duty on public bodies such as the Prison Service to exercise their functions in a way that is designed to reduce inequality. Although the experiences of certain minority groups in prison have already been examined at length, meaningful consideration is rarely given to the lived realities of prisoners who are hard of hearing (HoH) or d/Deaf. This article focuses on the experiences of these prisoners, using findings from doctoral research which examined the topic of d/Deafness in prison.

1
2
3 The use of 'd/D' is important here, as while many hearing people view those who are d/Deaf as
4 simply having the misfortune to live in a world without sound (Lane et al, 1996, Ladd, 2003),
5 d/Deafness is in fact much more complex than this. The extent to which a person is d/Deaf varies
6 significantly from those whose hearing is only slightly impaired, to individuals who are severely deaf,
7 and finally to those who are profoundly and culturally Deaf. Although there are different ways of
8 categorising these levels of d/Deafness, in this context HoH refers to individuals with mild to
9 moderate hearing loss who may have difficulty following speech without the use of hearing aids.
10 Severely deaf refers to those with little or no functional hearing, who usually need to rely on lip
11 reading even with hearing aids, and Deaf to individuals who identify as being culturally and
12 linguistically Deaf, and commonly use British Sign Language (BSL) to communicate. The lives of those
13 who are d/Deaf have been studied at length within the academic discipline of Deaf Studies, where
14 individuals who identify as being *deaf* are commonly shown to view their deafness negatively and to
15 feel stigmatised by it (Higgins, 1980), and where *Deaf* people are seen as being part of a distinct
16 group known as the Deaf Community which is comprised of people who are proud to be Deaf and
17 share the same language, values and life experiences (Baker and Padden, 1978, Higgins, 2002).
18

19
20 Individuals who are culturally and linguistically Deaf often spend their childhoods being isolated,
21 stigmatised and confused, existing as part of hearing families and commonly attending mainstream
22 schools (Ladd, 1991). These experiences can contribute to a sense of resentment towards the
23 hearing world once they become aware of the existence of a Deaf culture; a culture within which
24 they are often able to feel 'normal' for the first time (Lane et al, 1996). Exposure to Deaf life reveals
25 to individuals that it is possible to live full lives without sound, and introduces them to visual and
26 tactile ways of behaving, including using touch to express warmth and friendliness, and for getting
27 people's attention (Leigh, 2009). At this point, individuals also commonly become aware of the
28 availability of specialised equipment that can help them to live without sound during their day to day
29 lives, such as vibrating alarm clocks, flashing fire alarms, and minicoms (McCulloch, 2010).
30

31
32 While scholars in the field of Deaf studies have examined the experiences of d/Deaf people in a
33 variety of hearing oriented settings such as the workplace and schools, they have yet to meaningfully
34 consider their lives in prison. However, a small amount of empirical academic literature about
35 d/Deaf prisoners in England and Wales has been published outside of the realm of Deaf studies
36 (Ackerman, 1998, Gahir et al, 2011, McCulloch, 2012). This research, in addition to findings from
37 other source types such as unpublished dissertations, charity documents, inspection reports and
38 individual case studies, indicates that prisons are ill-equipped to meet the needs of d/Deaf people in
39 prison, with communication barriers, inadequate provision of BSL interpreters or specialist
40 equipment, and a lack of d/Deaf awareness being highlighted as key reasons for this (Fisken, 1994,
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Royal National Institute for the Deaf, 1995, Ackerman, 1998, Gibbs and Ackerman, 1998, Young et al,
4 2000, Gerrard, 2001, Izycky and Gahir, 2007, McCulloch, 2010, Gahir et al, 2011). In a report carried
5 out on behalf of the Howard League for Penal Reform based on findings from his Masters
6 dissertation, McCulloch (2012) concluded that as a result of the aforementioned issues, the
7 treatment of d/Deaf people in prisons throughout England and Wales equates to a violation of the
8 Equality Act 2010. As part of this, he asserted that the Prison Service was violating its legal
9 requirement to make reasonable adjustments to ensure that those with a protected characteristic
10 such as d/Deafness are not discriminated against.

11
12 Existing literature indicates that an inability to meet the needs of d/Deaf people on the part of the
13 Prison Service, causes them to experience disproportionate punishment during their time in custody,
14 with their lives in prison being shown to become characterised by confusion, isolation and anxiety
15 (Fisken, 1994, Ackerman, 1998, Rickford and Edgar, 2005, Churchill, 2008, McCulloch, 2010, 2012). In
16 their review of existing literature relating to Deaf people with mental health needs in the criminal
17 justice system, Young et al (2000) argued that the issues faced by Deaf prisoners can contribute to
18 them being disproportionately at risk of developing mental health problems in prison. This is built on
19 by Izycky and Gahir (2007) who, in their case study of a Deaf person who had previously been in
20 prison, found that the individual in question reported self-harming and feeling depressed and
21 paranoid because he was not able to communicate meaningfully during his time there, due to a lack
22 of BSL interpreters or staff awareness.

23
24 Despite providing an indication of the position of d/Deaf prisoners within the prison world, existing
25 literature in this area is limited for a number of reasons. Firstly, the majority of sources were
26 published/written before the introduction of the Equality Act 2010, which suggests they do not
27 necessarily paint an accurate picture of the lived realities of d/Deaf prisoners who are currently
28 incarcerated in prisons across England and Wales. Secondly, much of the literature in question is
29 either anecdotal and story-like (see O'Rourke and Reed, 2007 for discussions on this), or based on
30 extremely small samples of either one or two d/Deaf people; both of which inhibit generalisability
31 (this latter point applies to Fisken, 1994, Ackerman, 1998, Izycky and Gahir, 2007, Churchill 2008). It
32 is important to acknowledge that this second limitation is not as applicable to McCulloch's (2010,
33 2012) study, as he incorporates findings from a larger sample. However, as a consequence of access
34 issues and time constraints, he collected his data by writing letters to d/Deaf prisoners as opposed
35 to carrying out interviews or observation, which could have impeded the richness of the data (Ibid).

36
37 Furthermore, while McCulloch (2010, 2012) provides the most comprehensive account of the
38 problems faced by d/Deaf prisoners in the UK, throughout his study he fails to differentiate between
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the experiences of prisoners who are deaf, and those who are culturally and linguistically Deaf,
4 something which is also a flaw in a number of other studies (for example, Gahir et al, 2011). This lack
5 of differentiation is problematic as it undermines the complexity of d/Deafness and fails to recognise
6 the fact that the needs of prisoners who are severely deaf may indeed be very different to those
7 who are culturally Deaf, as the former are more likely to rely on hearing aids to hear, and the latter
8 to communicate in BSL and to need access to BSL interpreters in many everyday contexts. A final
9 limitation of existing sources relates to the fact that they do not meaningfully engage with literature
10 about the experiences of prisoners more broadly (for example, Sykes, 1958), and consequently fail
11 to provide any meaningful context as to how the d/Deaf prisoner experience does/does not differ
12 from that of other prisoners.
13
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 With the aforementioned limitations in mind, this research was carried out using a qualitative
21 research approach, using semi-structured interviews with HoH/d/Deaf prisoners and staff members
22 who had worked with them as the primary method of data collection. This approach was deemed as
23 most appropriate given the fact that so little empirical research has been carried out with this
24 particular group; thus making an in-depth exploratory approach necessary (Mason, 2002). In doing
25 this, the research was able to provide a more detailed and rigorous study of the lives of d/Deaf
26 people in prisons in England and Wales than was already available.
27
28
29
30
31

32 In order to expand on existing knowledge, a key aim of the research was to meaningfully consider
33 the role of 'imported' identity in prison and to examine the experiences of *deaf* and *Deaf* prisoners
34 separately, thus grounding the findings in the field of Deaf studies. As well applying ideas from Deaf
35 studies, the research also aimed to compare the experiences of d/Deaf prisoners to those of other
36 prisoners by using existing prison studies as an experiential baseline from which to ground the lives
37 of those who are d/Deaf. The final aim of the research was to further explore existing claims that
38 d/Deaf prisoners suffer disproportionately in prison, giving particular focus to McCulloch's (2012)
39 claim that the Prison Service is failing to adhere to the legal duty imposed by the Equality Act 2010 in
40 this particular context.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Method**

48 *Sample*

49
50 A purposive sampling frame was adopted during the research, which is defined as "A form of non-
51 probability sample in which the researcher aims to sample cases/participants in a strategic way, so
52 that those sampled are relevant to the research questions that are being posed" (Bryman, 2012:
53 714). This approach was deemed as being the most appropriate because it allowed the researcher to
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 remain focused upon the lynch pin of the research; d/Deaf prisoners. The suitability of this sampling
4 frame in the context of this research is backed up by Richie et al (2003: 79) who argue that a
5 purposive sampling frame ought to be used when carrying out studies that are small scale and in-
6 depth because it allows for the detailed investigation of a particular social phenomenon.
7
8

9
10 The process of locating a sample was extremely difficult, and fraught with challenges, as there is
11 currently no statutory requirement for prisons to record d/Deaf prisoner numbers in England and
12 Wales. Without such information it was difficult to locate appropriate research participants. In order
13 to overcome this, letters requesting information about d/Deaf prisoner numbers were sent to the
14 governor of every establishment in England and Wales. Around 70 establishments responded to the
15 request for information, however, many did not know how many d/Deaf people were confined
16 there, and of the establishments that were able to provide figures, most were unclear about how
17 d/Deaf individuals were. After extensive communication with a number of establishments over a
18 period of months, the researcher was able to locate appropriate research participants and to
19 successfully negotiate access. The final sample was made up of 27 participants, which included seven
20 culturally and linguistically Deaf prisoners, five severely deaf prisoners, five HoH prisoners, and ten
21 staff members who had experience of working with such prisoners. Participants were located within
22 seven male prisons across England, five of which were Category B security prisons and two being
23 Category C.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 *Data collection*

34
35
36 In a similar vein to many other prison studies (see, for example Jewkes, 2002, Scott, 2006, Phillips,
37 2012), the research took a qualitative line of enquiry, with the majority of the data being collected
38 via the use of face to face semi-structured interviews, 27 of which were carried out on an individual
39 basis, and one as a group. The group interview involved four Deaf prisoners from HMP Bowdon¹,
40 each who had also been interviewed individually. All interviews were recorded using a Dictaphone²,
41 and in instances where a participant's first language was BSL, a BSL interpreter was present who had
42 been briefed about the research and the remit of their role. Two interpreters were used during the
43 research process, both of whom were fully qualified and registered with the *National Registers of*
44 *Communication Professionals working with Deaf and Deafblind People* (NRCPD).
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Two interview schedules were used in the interviews; one for staff members and one for prisoners.
52 First of all, prisoners were asked about their d/Deafness, including when they became HoH/d/Deaf
53
54

55 ¹ The names of all the establishments included in the study were changed for the purposes of confidentiality and
56 anonymity.

57 ² In the context of the interviews with Deaf prisoners a visual recording device would have been preferable. However, none
58 of the establishments included in the research were willing to allow the researcher to bring in a video recorder.
59
60

1
2
3 and what their life had been like prior to entering prison. This allowed the researcher to gain an
4 understanding of the way they identified with their d/Deafness, something which was central to the
5 research. They were then asked about their experiences in prison. This included questions relating to
6 their relationships with others, their day to day routine and their access to resources, all being topics
7 which featured prominently in existing literature. In terms of staff members, interviews were split
8 into two main sections, the first of which was devoted to discussing their job role and their
9 experience of working in prison. This was important as staff members have been shown to have a
10 profound impact on the way that prisoners experience prison (Crawley, 2004, Liebling, 2011), and
11 therefore in order to understand why HoH/d/Deaf prisoners experience prison the way they do it
12 was vital to consider the position and beliefs of the staff members they were surrounded by. The
13 second part of the staff member interview schedule was focused around their experience of working
14 with, and their views about d/Deaf prisoners. This was beneficial, as on numerous occasions the data
15 these questions generated reinforced the data collected from the prisoners, thus strengthening the
16 validity of the research.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 The other research method utilised when collecting the data was observation. Throughout the
27 fieldwork process, the researcher carefully observed the environment of each prison establishment,
28 and the interactions that took place in her presence. Using observation to supplement interviews
29 enhanced the quality of the research in that it helped the researcher to gain a richer understanding
30 about prison life (for similar findings, see also Scott, 2006, Crewe, 2009). In order to keep track of
31 these observations a journal was kept throughout the duration of the fieldwork process, which
32 provided a detailed account of the researcher's time at each prison, including details about sights,
33 sounds, interactions and relationships.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *Data Analysis*

41
42 The data collected from the interviews was transcribed as close to verbatim as possible, with all
43 establishment/individual names being anonymised in the process. From this the transcripts were
44 analysed using thematic analysis, as defined by Braun and Clarke (2006). As part of this, the
45 researcher read through each transcript and highlighted the relevant material, briefly commented
46 on it, and used the comments to create descriptive codes. The codes that shared a common
47 meaning were then grouped together, and then overarching themes in the data were identified
48 (King and Horrocks, 2010).
49
50
51
52
53

54 *Ethics*

1
2
3 In the context of this research, confidentiality, anonymity, sensitivity and the welfare of participants
4 were all important ethical considerations. Ethical approval to carry out the research was obtained
5 from the National Offender Management Service (NOMS) in October 2014, and the University of
6 Central Lancashire in November 2014. All relevant ethical guidelines were followed during the
7 research process, and all participants gave informed consent to be interviewed.
8
9
10

11 **Results**

12
13
14 After subjecting the interview transcripts to thematic analysis, a number of overarching themes and
15 sub-themes emerged. Main themes included; the prison environment, difference, identity, sound,
16 resources, pain and isolation. The richness of the data made it possible to draw out similarities
17 between the experiences of HoH/d/Deaf prisoners, and prisoners more broadly, as well as
18 highlighting instances of experiential difference and disproportionate 'pain' felt by this specific
19 section of the prisoner population. During the analysis it became apparent that the extent of this
20 difference/extra pain varied based on the level to which an individual was d/Deaf. With this in mind,
21 the findings from this research will now be presented in a way that highlights these differences. The
22 role of difference in prison will be discussed first of all. This arose as a clear theme in the data
23 collected from prisoners and staff members, with all participants discussing the way that the Prison
24 Service responds to prisoners who do not necessarily fit the mould of 'normal' or 'usual' in the
25 prison setting. This sets the scene for discussions about the specific difference of d/Deafness in
26 prison, with findings then being presented from HoH/deaf and then Deaf prisoners respectively
27 about their experiences in prison. As part of this, consideration will be given to themes such as
28 identity, sound, communication and resources here. Splitting the findings based on how participants
29 identified with their d/Deafness is important as it highlights the extent of the influence of 'imported'
30 identity in this context.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 *Difference in prison*

43
44
45 When discussing prisoners who are 'different', reference is being made to individuals for whom
46 prison was not necessarily originally designed to contain, which, according to Cheney (2005)
47 includes all those who deviate from the stereotype of a young, able-bodied, man. The fact that
48 the prison population actually represents a diverse cross section of the wider population (Leech,
49 2014) means that many prisoners do not fit this mould. However, findings from the interview data
50 and the researcher's observations indicated that despite such difference, "batch living" (Goffman,
51 1961: 22) was an expectation in all of the prisons included in the research, with prisoners
52 commonly being expected to be able to automatically adjust to the regime and the requirements
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of their prisoner role. This is highlighted below by one staff member who was discussing issues
4 relating to the interpretation of equality:
5
6

7 Even the other day we had a bit of a workshop about people being treated
8 decently, and one of the things that came out of that was about people
9 being treated consistently. But what people here don't understand is that
10 in order for people to have equality and equal access, they sometimes
11 need different things. I think they would [react negatively to treating
12 prisoners differently] mainly because it is easier for them to enforce rules
13 when it is a rule for everybody
14
15

16
17
18 Furthermore, while most of the staff members interviewed were eager to provide assurance that the
19 issue of equality was at the forefront of prison procedure and practice, it became clear that in reality
20 there were a number of practical obstacles preventing this from being the case. These obstacles
21 included a lack of resources and funding, a lack of awareness about the needs of minority group
22 prisoners, and a lack of staff time to ensure that adjustments are made. Staff members advised that
23 such issues were particularly prominent in a climate where recent benchmarking and staff cuts
24 (NOMS, 2014) have made prisoners who are 'different' even less of a funding priority, as shown
25 here:
26
27
28
29
30

31 It is hard to fight for things if you don't actually have it. For example, if I
32 was to say that we need more translators and more BSL trained staff, they
33 would be like 'Okay how many d/Deaf people have you got in who sign?',
34 and if I was like 'None', they would think that we didn't really need it. It is
35 very much about budget these days unfortunately, so it is hard for me to
36 fight that corner until there is someone who is d/Deaf.
37
38
39

40
41 Findings from the interviews with both staff members and prisoners mapped on to other literature
42 about minority groups in prison (see, for example Scott and Codd, 2010, Moore and Scraton, 2013,
43 Mann, 2016), showing that in consequence of the aforementioned issues, prisoners who are
44 'different' often become institutionally deficient despite the legal stipulations of the Equality Act
45 2010. This inevitably had an impact upon the experiences of the HoH/d/Deaf prisoners included in
46 the research, as will be discussed shortly.
47
48
49
50

51 Consideration will now briefly be given to the way that the specific 'difference' of d/Deafness is
52 viewed within the prison system. This is important as findings from the data showed that
53 institutional understandings about d/Deafness had a significant impact on the lived realities of a
54 number of the prisoners included in the research. While some of the staff members interviewed
55 were Deaf aware to a certain extent, the data indicated that prison officials commonly present views
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 which sit in line with the predominant societal interpretation of deafness as being an impairment
4 that has a negative influence on an individual's life (Lane et al, 1996, Moore and Levitan, 1998, Ladd,
5 2003). This is illustrated by one staff member who stated that "They are no different to anybody
6 else, they just have the misfortune to not hear" and another who said:
7
8

9
10 Some days, if he was on his own I would take him over to see some of the
11 other elderly prisoners; we've got a few disabled prisoners, and I would ask
12 them to sit and have a chat with him. And they were really good with him,
13 they would talk to him, engage him in their conversation, make him a cup
14 of tea.
15
16

17
18 This quote signifies a deeply entrenched perception of deafness as weakness, because although the
19 staff member appears to be implying that the Deaf prisoner was elderly, in reality he was actually in
20 his early thirties. Such a view is further highlighted the same staff member who then went on to
21 say:
22
23

24
25 If you were to write a list down, and if it was an animal you would put it to
26 sleep. He has got a mental age of 13 or something like that. He has got
27 really bad diabetes. He has got bi polar. He is deaf, and he is dumb.
28
29

30
31 It became apparent that this tendency to associate Deafness with disability was often a by-product of
32 a lack of Deaf awareness, with many of the staff members interviewed failing to acknowledge the
33 existence of the Deaf community, or seeing BSL as being a 'real' alternative to spoken language. This
34 proved important given the extent of the power imbalance that exists between staff members and
35 prisoners (Crewe, 2009), and had a dramatic impact on the Deaf prisoners as such perceptions
36 clashed profoundly with their own. While this ideological collision also exists between the hearing
37 and Deaf worlds more broadly (Lane et al, 1996), its dimensions were altered in prison where the
38 Deaf prisoners were disempowered by their role, as to be discussed later.
39
40
41
42
43

44 *Little d deaf prisoners*

45

46
47 All of the severely deaf/HoH prisoners viewed themselves as being part of a hearing culture, and felt
48 stigmatised by their hearing loss. To them, an ability to hear had always been a key component of
49 their identities, and as such, with hearing loss came a sense of inferiority, and a desire to be seen as
50 hearing wherever possible. This had a profound impact on their behaviour in prison, with all such
51 interviewees attempting to conform to "batch living" (Goffman, 1961: 22) by behaving as though
52 they were hearing, either by wearing hearing aids or through methods of concealment. Although this
53 desire to be seen as 'normal' was not unique to the prison environment, it was seen by all of the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 deaf/HoH prisoners as being particularly important there, where they felt that any sign of weakness
4 made them vulnerable to bullying, thus mapping onto existing sources (for example, Sykes, 1958,
5 Durcan, 2008, Crewe, 2009) which pose that aversion to weakness is a key feature in prison culture.
6 This is highlighted in the below extract from one of the participants:
7
8

9
10 Participant: I don't think that it's wise to advertise the fact that
11 you've got disabilities.
12

13 Interviewer: Why?
14

15 Participant: I am also really disabled in the fact that my shoulders
16 dislocate, and defending myself is obviously affected by that. So I don't
17 go around advertising the fact that 'Oh I get in to a fight and my
18 shoulder pops out of its socket which would render me useless and
19 someone could kick the fuck out of me'. Why would I go and display
20 that, you can't can you?
21

22 Interviewer: What do you think would happen if you displayed the
23 fact that you couldn't hear in one ear?
24

25 Participant: Well, I would imagine. Well people take the piss anyway,
26 but you know, I'm a piss taking kind of guy myself so I take it all in my
27 stride
28

29 Interviewer: Have you ever been given the option to wear a hearing
30 aid?
31

32 Participant: No. No. Well when I was in Broadmoor, they sent me for
33 this test thing, and they said that I could have something that went from
34 the back of my head to there [points to ear], and I just thought that I'd
35 rather cope with it as it is.
36
37
38
39

40 While this highlights the importance of the role played by imported identity in prison, it became
41 apparent that the interviewees were, for the most part, failing to fulfil their imported desires to be
42 seen as 'normal' hearing prisoners. On the contrary, the data showed that an inability to adapt on
43 both an individual or institutional level meant that they were having difficulty adhering to the
44 conditions of their role, and were subsequently becoming isolated from the "batch" (Goffman, 1961:
45 17). This notion of institutional inadaptability links back to the broader argument that the Prison
46 Service is unable to accommodate the needs of prisoners who are 'different', as in line with this,
47 prisons were shown to be largely failing to consistently provide adequate hearing aids for those who
48 required them, or to give them access to vibrating alarm clocks, flashing fire alarms, minicomms or
49 other such equipment, thus mapping on to findings from Her Majesty's Prison Service (1996), HMIP
50 (2009) and McCulloch (2010, 2012)
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In addition to this, it was then shown that those who were attempting to behave as 'normal' hearing
4 prisoners by concealing their hearing loss were also failing due to the fact that sound is so important
5 in the penal environment. Findings from the interviews and researcher's observations showed that
6 sound rules in the prison environment, with prisons being reliant on sound in order to run (for
7 discussions on sound in prison, see also, Rice, 2016). While sound is also key in wider society
8 (Higgins, 1980), it is even more important in prison where it is used to regulate the "batch"
9 (Goffman, 1961: 17) of prisoners and to guide them through their daily routine. Consequently, it was
10 shown that full participation in the prison regime automatically becomes harder without the
11 capacity to hear fully, with individuals becoming more isolated the less they are able to hear. There
12 were a myriad of reasons for this, including, being unable to hear what was going on during
13 rehabilitation and treatment programmes, to hear alarms and bells, to watch television without
14 subtitles, to speak to their families on the phone, or to hear what prisoners and staff members were
15 saying. In relation to the final point, sound oriented communication was viewed as being a central
16 part of prison culture by all of the deaf/HoH prisoners, with one participant stating that:

26
27 Communication is really important in manmade volatile environments.
28 And without a hearing aid I found it hard to communicate, so I found it
29 difficult...If I didn't have them it would be impossible. This environment
30 more than any other is all about communication and without my
31 hearing aids I literally don't think I would be able to survive.

32
33
34
35 Furthermore, in accordance with the findings of McCulloch (2010, 2012), prisoners reported that
36 problems with communication caused issues for them with regards to their relationships with staff
37 members, as shown in the following quote:

38
39
40
41 There is no consciousness in the mind of the officers generally about
42 just how difficult it is...The officers shout your name loud when you are
43 needed usually, but you can't hear it, you can't distinguish it from other
44 noise, and then when the message does get to you then you get in
45 trouble. You get a roasting for not turning up.

46
47
48
49 From this, the data indicated that as a consequence of their lack of access to sound (including
50 speech, tannoys, bells, and alarms amongst other things), the deaf prisoners were experiencing a
51 number of the pains of imprisonment (Sykes, 1958) both differently and more intensely their peers,
52 and were often withdrawing from prison life as a consequence of this. This is highlighted in the
53 below extract from an interview with a severely deaf prisoner who wanted hearing aids but did not
54 have access to them:
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Participant: I don't try to take myself away from a situation, or put
4 myself in a situation where I'm going to have problems. I just keep away
5 from the system; I've got no choice. It's my only way of getting on.
6

7 Interviewer: Do you think it is changing the way you feel about
8 yourself?
9

10 Participant: Most definitely. I'm withdrawn. It's only on a one to one
11 basis that I talk so much. Out in the public I don't interact.
12

13 Interviewer: Right okay. What about other prisoners on the wing?
14

15 Participant: I don't interact with them because when it is association,
16 it is a load of noise, and I'm not good in a noisy situation.
17

18 Interviewer: How do they react to you then?
19

20 Participant: I don't know, because I don't stay around long enough. If
21 I don't hear something I move on. It's pointless to try and keep a
22 conversation with somebody if you can't hear what they are saying...
23

24 Interviewer: Okay
25

26 Participant: I'm in a double prison in a position of losing, so I just try
27 not to get to get in that position...I don't really have relationships with
28 other prisoners because of my condition.
29

30 31 *Big D Deaf prisoners* 32

33
34 The experiences of the Deaf participants were much more distinct than those who were deaf/HoH,
35 which means that in order to provide an authentic and accurate representation of their lived
36 realities more data must be presented. Of the seven culturally and linguistically Deaf prisoners
37 interviewed as part of the research, five were situated in one establishment (HMP Bowdon), and the
38 remaining two were the only Deaf prisoners at their respective prisons (HMP Sale and HMP
39 Wilmslow). All of these individuals communicated using BSL, were happy to be Deaf and utilised
40 common Deaf behaviours such as touching and prolonged eye contact. They saw themselves as
41 being intrinsically different to hearing people, preferring to be with other Deaf people, and viewing
42 the hearing world with hostility and resentment as a consequence of their experiences in wider
43 society, as highlighted here by one participant:
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Hearing seem to look down at me... They think I am simple because I
52 can't interact on their level. They think that I'm no good to them, they
53 don't want to know. I get that all the time, that's why I walk away from
54 them. Don't get me wrong, there are good hearing who have got time
55 and patience to listen to me. There are good, but there are also bad.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 These perceptions and behaviours had a profound impact on the way these individuals experienced
4 prison, with all seven prisoners attempting to maintain their cultural and linguistic Deaf identities
5 throughout their time in custody. Evidence of this was provided in the fact that they continued to
6 communicate in BSL, to use culturally distinct Deaf behaviours, to gravitate towards other Deaf
7 prisoners (where possible), and to view hearing people (be it prisoners or staff members) negatively.
8 This final point is highlighted by one Deaf participant who stated that "They [hearing people] are
9 dangerous so I don't mix with them", and another who said "Sometimes they want me to join in with
10 their things and I'm like 'No thank you'. They've got their ways, and their ways can be quite
11 dangerous and I don't want to get involved in any of that".
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Despite attempting to remain culturally and linguistically Deaf, it became clear that there was
19 little room for such profound difference in prison. There were a number of reasons for this, the
20 first being that as mentioned earlier, like sound, verbal communication also plays a key part in
21 the penal regime. Therefore, in order to adapt to their designated prisoner role, Deaf prisoners
22 also require regular provision of qualified BSL interpreters, as well as access to other
23 prisoners/staff members who can communicate fluently in BSL. However, establishments were
24 shown to be largely ill-equipped to adapt their regimes to accommodate Deafness, and
25 mapping on to findings from existing literature (see, for example Gerrard, 2001, McCulloch,
26 2010, 2012), were not providing the prisoners with access to BSL interpreters or specialist
27 equipment in any consistent way. While this was slightly less isolating for the prisoners at HMP
28 Bowdon because they had other Deaf people to communicate with (at times), for the
29 remaining two Deaf prisoners who had no one else with whom to communicate, this lack of
30 provision led to almost total communication isolation, as shown here:
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 In the gym they all go round together; the Russians, the Romanians, the
41 Latvians, the Africans, the Blacks. Everybody's in their own little groups,
42 and I'm just on my own in there. If there was a Deaf group I know I
43 would be part of it, but there isn't one so I'm on my own... Everybody
44 else talks to each other but I don't know what they are talking about,
45 and it's really difficult depending on the situation. Nobody signs, so I
46 just keep myself to myself really. I have brief chats with people with
47 paper and pen but it's very brief. To get anything out, and to
48 communicate, that would be great. It would help me sleep better.
49
50

51
52 Issues relating to Deaf awareness on the part of staff members were found to be key to this
53 lack of provision, as without a certain level of understanding about the complexity of
54 d/Deafness and the needs of Deaf people, prison officials failed to understand how to
55 appropriately respond to these prisoners. While problems relating to Deaf awareness have
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 been raised in existing sources (see for example, Gerrard, 2001), by interviewing prisoners and
4 staff members this research was able to build on what was already known. It was shown that
5
6 in the absence of such awareness, staff members often left Deaf prisoners to their own
7
8 devices, as highlighted below by one staff member, who spoke about the treatment that the
9
10 Deaf prisoner under her watch received while she was off sick for six weeks:

11
12 So I came back from the sick and... in that time, again, he has been
13 neglected. When I came back he were like a vagrant; you can't walk in
14 his cell, you walk in and it is like horrific, the smell... It does upset me to
15 see him just festering there. So when I came back the other day, I were
16 like "Oh my god". He just gets left, it is like horrific, horrific.
17
18
19

20 Other staff members attempted to communicate with Deaf prisoners in a variety of largely
21 ineffective and at times inappropriate ways. Strategies for communication ranged from
22 speaking louder and writing things down (many Deaf people cannot read), to attempting to
23 use staff members with low levels of BSL comprehension as interpreters, to finally using a Deaf
24 prisoner who could sign and speak as an interpreter. This final strategy was perhaps the most
25 concerning, as it gave this prisoner an unprecedented level of power without any means of
26 monitoring the accuracy of his interpretations.
27
28
29
30
31

32 As HMP Bowdon was the only prison included in the research that was holding multiple Deaf
33 prisoners, it had been anticipated that the interviewees there would have had less difficulty
34 behaving as culturally and linguistically Deaf. However, it became apparent that a lack of Deaf
35 awareness on the part of staff members inhibited the maintenance of such difference. Officials
36 had little understanding about why it could have been beneficial to keep them on the same
37 wing, and often viewed Deaf behaviour such as signing and touching as being suspicious or
38 inappropriate, as shown here by one prisoner:
39
40
41
42
43

44 One time we were signing, and we were talking about a new
45 programme, thinking about some ideas so we could pass them on to
46 psychology, and we were talking about it being a big jump. And we
47 signed it like a frog jumping over a rock or something. And when people
48 look at it, they wrote down our names and said that we were trying to
49 escape, because they'd seen us signing this sign, and it looked like we
50 were jumping over.
51
52
53
54

55 Just like the severely deaf interviewees, those who were culturally and linguistically Deaf
56 became isolated from the penal regime. However, for them, this isolation was intensified
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 because their imported perceptions and characteristics deviated more profoundly from the
4 prescribed prisoner role than those of the deaf prisoners, which meant that they became
5 institutionally deficient on a greater level. In addition to an inability to hear, their preference
6 for a visual language in an environment where verbal communication is central contributed to
7 almost complete isolation from the penal regime. In line with existing literature (see, for
8 example McCulloch, 2010) the Deaf prisoners were shown to be largely unable to partake in
9 education, training or rehabilitative programmes, to access medical assistance or legal aid with
10 an interpreter, or to gain a meaningful understanding of the penal regime or the expectations
11 of their prisoner role. This, combined with a lack of access to other Deaf people meant that the
12 pains of imprisonment were being experienced differently and much more intensely by the
13 Deaf interviewees, to the point that they were often living in a continual form of solitary
14 confinement through no fault of their own. In a similar vein to other sources, the data showed
15 that Deaf people are certainly punished disproportionately in prison, to the extent that it could
16 have a negative impact on their mental health. This is highlighted by the fact that all of the
17 Deaf prisoners appeared anxious, lonely, fearful, frustrated and stressed during their
18 interviews, as highlighted here in a quote from a Deaf participant (this individual was the only
19 Deaf person at HMP Wilmslow):
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 My son emailed me and said 'Don't worry'. He is a doctor and he said
32 that I must be strong, I must be patient, I must be strong. And I must
33 read the Quran and pray every day. So I am trying to be patient and do
34 that. But it is very difficult because there is no communication. Who do I
35 talk to? With my colleagues there is a barrier between us and I can't
36 communicate with them, they just leave me alone... Inside and mentally
37 I feel that I want to communicate, I want to get stuff out, but I can't.
38 And even with jokes, humour, there's nothing. I get very emotional...
39 Very stressed. I want to get it out, and I don't want to get mentally ill,
40 but I have to keep it all inside and be patient. That's all I can do.
41
42
43
44

45 Discussion

46 Findings from this research certainly reinforce the previous literature, showing clearly that the
47 d/Deaf prisoners included in the sample were indeed suffering disproportionately during their time
48 in custody. A myriad of reasons for this were highlighted which also map on to existing sources.
49 These include a lack of resources, awareness, or access to others who could communicate in BSL, all
50 of which contributed to participants being isolated from prison life; a place where sound and verbal
51 communication are central. Although existing sources have alluded to issues relating to a lack of
52 sound (see, for example McCulloch, 2012), this research developed this, highlighting the importance
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of the role of sound in prison. It was shown that, in addition to being young, able bodied, English
4 speaking and male, prisoners must also be able to hear if they are to comply with the expectations
5 of the prisoner role. While this creates issues for both deaf and Deaf prisoners due to their lack of
6 hearing, this study went beyond what was previously known by highlighting the importance of
7 imported identity in this context. It was demonstrated that the way a d/Deaf person experiences
8 prison depends strongly on the way in which they identify with their d/Deafness, with the responses
9 of severely deaf prisoners to the prison environment being very different to those who are Deaf,
10 thus creating experiential variations.
11

12
13
14
15
16
17 While there were overlaps between the lived realities of d/Deaf prisoners and prisoners more
18 broadly given the nature of the environment, this study showed that there is little room for either
19 deafness or Deafness in prison, with these prisoners often experiencing the pains of imprisonment
20 more severely than their hearing peers as a result the Prison Service's inability to accommodate such
21 difference, or meet their unique needs. However, the fact that prisoners who are Deaf import
22 cultural and linguistic Deaf identities into prison as well as an inability to hear, means that they are
23 more profoundly different than severely deaf prisoners, and often become institutionally deficient to
24 a greater degree. The inclusion of an establishment where numerous Deaf prisoners were situated
25 was particularly interesting, as despite initial predictions that these individuals may have less
26 difficulty behaving as culturally and linguistically Deaf in prison, it was shown that a lack of Deaf
27 awareness on the part of staff members created further issues for them, and did not significantly
28 alter their institutional deficiency.
29

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 As stated earlier, existing literature on the topic of d/Deaf prisoners in England and Wales has not
38 been grounded in the field of Deaf studies. By looking at the data through the lens of Deaf Studies
39 literature, this study was able to draw links between the experiences of d/Deaf people inside and
40 outside of prison. In terms of the deaf/HoH participants, many of the problems these prisoners face
41 are not actually distinct to the penal environment, and rather, on many levels, mirror their
42 experiences in wider society where sound is also key, hearing loss also deviates from what is
43 'normal' and their lives are still characterized by stigma (Higgins, 1980). Subsequently, it can be
44 argued that instead of creating the problems faced by these prisoners, prison compounds them;
45 with deaf/HoH people often being imprisoned by deafness whether they are in prison or not. In
46 terms of the Deaf participants, the findings from this research resonate strongly with the
47 experiences of deaf children who are born to hearing parents/attend mainstream schools. Like deaf
48 children (Ladd, 2003), Deaf prisoners often become isolated from a hearing way of life which is
49 continually enforced upon them but not designed to contain them. As a consequence of being
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 disempowered by their role, the freedom to be Deaf is often taken away from Deaf prisoners, who
4 are consequently forced to revert back to the “the subservience of youth” (Sykes, 1958: 76).
5
6

7 This research maps on to that of McCulloch (2012) showing clearly that, at the time of writing, the
8 Prison Service was clearly failing to meet the needs of these prisoners in any consistent way, and in
9 consequence was violating the legal duty imposed by the Equality Act 2010. With this in mind, in
10 order to ensure that establishments are able to comply with the legal stipulations of the Equality Act
11 2010 and to implement the necessary reasonable adjustments for d/Deaf prisoners, a set of
12 recommendations for change for the Prison Service were outlined as part of this research. These
13 include:
14
15
16
17

- 18 1. Making it a statutory requirement for establishments to record d/Deaf prisoner numbers.
- 19 2. Acknowledging the importance of sound in prison, and making it standard practice for
20 HoH/d/Deaf prisoners to be provided with equipment that converts sound into an accessible
21 format.
22 3. Ensuring that BSL is treated as an official language in prison.
- 23 4. Providing Deaf prisoners with regular access to qualified BSL interpreters.
- 24 5. Providing nationwide d/Deaf awareness training for prison staff.
- 25 6. Providing a standardised set of guidelines for prison establishments and other responsible
26 agencies.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Limitations of the study**

35
36 A primary methodological limitation of the research was the relatively small sample of prisoners
37 interviewed, as this impedes the generalisability of the findings. A second limitation related to the
38 extent that access to the prison environment was restricted at a number of the prisons entered.
39 Such restrictions made it difficult to gain an understanding about the nature of the prison
40 environment there, and inhibited the ability to make observations.
41
42
43
44

45 **Suggestions for further research**

46
47 This research could be repeated in other prisons throughout England and Wales, in order to examine
48 similarities and differences between the lived realities of HoH/d/Deaf prisoners at different
49 establishments. Secondly, the dimensions of the research could be extended to include those such
50 as HoH/d/Deaf young offenders and Hoh/d/Deaf female prisoners. By doing this an understanding
51 could then be gained about how different types of difference intertwine.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Bibliography

Ackerman, N (1998) *Deafness and Prisons - A Study of Services for Deaf Prisoners and the Experience of being Deaf within a Prison Environment* [An unpublished dissertation], Oxford: Oxford Brookes University

Baker, C. and Padden, C. (1978) *American Sign Language: A Look at its Story Structure and Community*. Silver Spring, MD: T.J. Publishers Inc

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) 'Using thematic analysis in psychology' *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101.

Bryman, A. (2012) *Social Research Methods* (4th Edition). Oxford: Oxford University Press

Cheney, D. (2005) 'Prisoners' in Hale, C. Hayward, K. Wahidin, A. Wincup, E. (eds) *Criminology*, Oxford: Oxford University Press

Churchill, C. (2008) *Are Deaf Prisoners left in the dark?* (unpublished dissertation), York: University of York

Crawley, E. (2004) *Doing Prison Work: The Public and Private Lives of Prison Officers*. Cullompton: Willan

Crewe, B. (2009) *The Prisoner Society: Power, Adaptation and Social Life in an English Prison*, Oxford: OUP, Clarendon

1
2
3 Durcan, G. (2008) *From the Inside: Experiences of Prison Mental Health Services*. London: Sainsbury
4 Centre for Medical Health [online] [Accessed on 26th April 2017] Available at
5 http://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/pdfs/From_the_Inside.pdf
6
7

8
9
10 Equality Act 2010. (c 15) [Online] [Accessed on 10th April 2013] Available at:
11 <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents>
12
13

14
15
16
17 Fiskin, R. (1994) *The Deaf in Prison* (unpublished dissertation), Cambridge: University of Cambridge
18
19

20
21 Gahir, M. O'Rourke, S. Monteiro, B. Reed, R. (2011) 'The Unmet Needs of Deaf Prisoners: A Survey of
22 Prisons in England and Wales', *International Journal on Mental Health and Deafness*, 1(1)
23
24

25
26
27 Gerrard, H. (2001) *Double Sentence*. Birmingham: BID
28
29

30
31
32 Gibbs, A. and Ackerman, N. (1999) 'Deaf Prisoners: Needs, Service and Training Issues', *Prison Service*
33 *Journal*, 122: pp 32–33.
34
35

36
37
38 Goffman, E. (1961) *Asylums*, New York: Anchor
39
40

41
42 Higgins, P. (1980) *Outsiders in a Hearing World: A sociology of deafness*, London: Sage
43
44

45
46
47 Higgins, P. (2002) 'Outsiders in a Hearing World', in Gregory, S. and Hartley, G. (eds) *Constructing*
48 *Deafness*, London: Pinter
49
50

51
52
53 HM Inspectorate of Prisons (2009) *Disabled Prisoners: A short thematic review on the care and*
54 *support of prisoners with a disability*. London: HM Inspectorate of Prisons
55
56

1
2
3 HM Prison Service (1996) *Survey of Provision for the Physically Disabled*, London: HM Prison Service
4
5

6
7 Izycky, A. and Gahir, M. (2007) *The Adverse Effects of Imprisonment on Deaf Prisoners' Mental*
8 *Health: A Human Rights Perspective*. [Online] [Accessed 3rd April 2016] Available at:
9 [www.britsoc.co.uk/NR/rdonlyres/8EA09898-A67A-4B68-91D6-](http://www.britsoc.co.uk/NR/rdonlyres/8EA09898-A67A-4B68-91D6-BFC589345D9D/0/AdverseEffectsofImprisonment.ppt)
10 [BFC589345D9D/0/AdverseEffectsofImprisonment.ppt](http://www.britsoc.co.uk/NR/rdonlyres/8EA09898-A67A-4B68-91D6-BFC589345D9D/0/AdverseEffectsofImprisonment.ppt)
11
12
13

14
15
16
17 Jewkes, Y. (2002) *Captive Audience*, Cullompton: Willan Publishing
18

19
20
21 King, N. and Horrocks, C. (2010) *Interviews in Qualitative Research*, London: Sage
22
23

24
25
26 Ladd, P. (1991) 'Making Plans for Nigel: The Erosion of Identity by Mainstreaming' in Taylor, G. and
27 Bishop, J. (eds) *Being deaf: The experience of deafness*, London: Pinter
28
29

30
31
32 Ladd, P. (2003) *Understanding Deaf Culture: In Search of Deafhood*, Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
33
34

35
36 Lane, H. Hoffmeister, R. Bahan, B. (1996) *A Journey into the Deaf World*, San Diego: Dawn Sign Press
37
38

39
40
41 Leech, M. (2014) *The Prisons Handbook 2014*, Derbyshire: Prisons.Org.Uk
42
43

44
45
46 Liebling, A. (2011) 'Moral performance, inhuman and degrading treatment and prison pain',
47 *Punishment and Society*, 13: pp 530-550
48
49

50
51
52 Leigh, I. W. (2009). *A Lens on Deaf Identities*. New York: Oxford University Press
53
54

55
56 Mann, N. (2016) 'Older age, harder time: Ageing and imprisonment', in, Jewkes, Y. Crewe, B. And
57 Bennett, J. (Eds) *Handbook on Prisons* (2nd Edition), London: Routledge
58
59
60

1
2
3 Mason, J. (2002) *Qualitative Researching*, London: Sage
4
5

6
7 McCulloch, D. (2010) *Not hearing us? A critical exploration of the current experiences of profoundly*
8 *deaf prisoners in Anglo Welsh prisons*, (unpublished dissertation) Birmingham: Birmingham City
9 University
10
11

12
13
14
15 McCulloch, D. (2012) *Not Hearing Us: An exploration of the experience of deaf prisoners in English*
16 *and Welsh prisons. A Report for the Howard League for Penal Reform*, London: The Howard League
17 for Penal Reform
18
19

20
21
22
23 Moore, M. and Levitan, L. (1998) *For Hearing People Only: Answers to some of the most commonly*
24 *asked questions about the Deaf Community, its culture, and the "Deaf reality"*, Rochester N.Y: Deaf
25 Life Press
26
27

28
29
30
31 Moore, L. and Scraton, P. (2013) *The Incarceration of Women: Punishing Bodies, Breaking Spirits*
32 London: Palgrave
33
34

35
36
37 National Offender Management Service (2014) *National Offender Management Service Business*
38 *Plan 2014-2015*, [Online] [Accessed on 7th July 2016] Available at:
39 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/302776/NOMS_B](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/302776/NOMS_Business_Plan_201415.pdf)
40 [usiness_Plan_201415.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/302776/NOMS_Business_Plan_201415.pdf)
41
42
43

44
45
46 O'Rourke, S. and Reed, R. (2007) 'Deaf People and the Criminal Justice System' in, Austen, S. and
47 Jeffery, D (eds). *Deafness and Challenging Behaviour: The 360 Perspective*, Chichester: John Wiley &
48 Sons
49
50

51
52
53
54 Phillips, C. (2012) *The Multicultural Prison: Ethnicity, Masculinity, and Social Relations among*
55 *Prisoners*, Oxford: Oxford University Press
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Rice, T. (2016) 'Sound inside: prison, prisoners and acoustical agency', *Sound Studies*, 2(1): pp. 6-20
4
5

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Richie, J. Lewis, J. and Elam, G (2003) 'Designing and Selecting Samples', in, Richie, J. and Lewis, J. (Eds) *Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers*, London: Sage Publications

Rickford, D. and Edgar, K. (2005) *Troubled Inside: Responding to the Mental Health Needs of Men in Prison*, London: Prison Reform Trust

Royal National Institute for the Deaf (1995) *Disabled Prisoners' Needs: The urgency of a policy response*. London: RNID.

Scott, D. (2006) *Ghosts beyond our realm: A Neo-Abolitionist Analysis of Prisoner Human Rights and Prison Officer Occupational Culture*, Preston: University of Central Lancashire

Scott, D. and Codd, H. (2010) *Controversial Issues in Prisons*, Maidenhead: Open University Press

Sykes, G. M. (1958). *The Society of Captives*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Young, A. Monteiro, B. And Ridgeway, S. (2000) 'Deaf people with mental health needs in the criminal justice system: A review of the UK literature', *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry*, 11(3): pp 556-570

Legislation

The Equality Act 2010