

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	Influence and benefits of foot orthoses on kinematics, kinetics and muscle activation during step descent task
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/23786/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.07.041
Date	2018
Citation	Bonifácio, Douglas, Richards, James, Selfe, James, Curran, Sarah and Trede, Renato (2018) Influence and benefits of foot orthoses on kinematics, kinetics and muscle activation during step descent task. <i>Gait & Posture</i> , 65. pp. 106-111. ISSN 0966-6362
Creators	Bonifácio, Douglas, Richards, James, Selfe, James, Curran, Sarah and Trede, Renato

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.07.041>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

	R/F insoles	C insoles	R insoles		
	Mean (sd)	Mean (sd)	Mean (sd)	p-value	$p\eta^2$
<u>Kinematics (degrees)</u>					
Metatarsal to calcaneal int. rot.	-5.8 (3.3)	-6.4 (3.4)	-5.5 (3.2)	0.002	0.34
Ankle abduction	-11.0 (5.1)	-13.6 (5.6)	-11.2 (4.2)	0.003	0.32
Ankle eversion	-10.4 (5.7)	-11.3 (5.3)	-10.2 (5.3)	0.002	0.33
Hip ROM in the coronal plane	5.9 (2.4)	6.3 (2.3)	4.9 (2.0)	0.001	0.36
Hip external rotation	-6.5 (9.0)	-5.1 (9.5)	-6.8 (8.3)	0.001	0.36
Hip internal rotation	0.6 (9.1)	1.9 (9.9)	-0.2 (8.7)	0.001	0.39
Hip adduction	10.0 (3.5)	10.9 (3.0)	9.1 (3.1)	0.001	0.39
<u>Kinetics (Nm/kg)</u>					
Knee internal rotation moment	0.013 (0.022)	0.044 (0.034)	0.011 (0.021)	<0.001	0.67
Knee adduction moment	-0.449 (0.127)	-0.388 (0.112)	-0.447 (0.131)	<0.001	0.64
<u>EMG (% max. observed signal)</u>					
Abductor Hallucis peak of activation	55.8 (16.8)	69.5 (18.9)	54.5 (23.3)	0.003	0.32
Tibialis Anterior iEMG	60.9 (16.3)	58.1 (18.8)	47.8 (13.8)	0.003	0.33
Abductor Hallucis iEMG	55.1 (18.6)	73.0 (15.8)	53.2 (21.1)	0.002	0.34

Table 1: Means and standard deviations for the kinematic, kinetic and muscle activation parameters.

	Comparisons	Mean	p.value	Standard	Lower	Upper
					Error	Bound
Metatarsal to calcaneal internal rotation	R/F to C insoles	0.6	0.029*	0.26	0.07	1.17
	R/F to R insoles	-0.3	0.201	0.21	-0.71	0.16
	R to C insoles	0.9	0.001*	0.23	0.40	1.39
Ankle abduction	R/F to C insoles	2.6	0.007*	0.83	0.82	4.35
	R/F to R insoles	0.1	0.801	0.55	-1.03	1.32
	R to C insoles	2.4	0.018*	0.92	0.48	4.40
Ankle eversion	R/F to C insoles	0.9	0.014*	0.31	0.20	1.53
	R/F to R insoles	-0.3	0.317	0.24	-0.76	0.26
	R to C insoles	1.1	0.006*	0.35	0.37	1.87
Hip ROM in the coronal plane	R/F to C insoles	-0.4	0.332	0.39	-1.21	0.44
	R/F to R insoles	1.0	0.007*	0.33	0.32	1.75
	R to C insoles	-1.4	0.001*	0.35	-2.16	-0.68
Hip external rotation	R/F to C insoles	-1.4	0.001*	0.38	-2.25	-0.63
	R/F to R insoles	0.3	0.433	0.38	-0.50	1.12
	R to C insoles	-1.7	0.007*	0.56	-2.94	-0.54
Hip internal rotation	R/F to C insoles	-1.3	0.023*	0.53	-2.48	-0.21
	R/F to R insoles	0.7	0.017*	0.02	0.15	1.35
	R to C insoles	-2.1	0.002*	0.00	-3.33	-0.87
Hip adduction	R/F to C insoles	-0.9	0.061	0.43	-1.80	0.04
	R/F to R insoles	0.9	0.042*	0.40	0.03	1.72
	R to C insoles	-1.8	<0.001*	0.38	-2.56	-0.95

Table 2: Pairwise comparisons for foot, ankle and hip kinematics between the conditions.

* The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

	Comparisons	Mean	p.value	Standard	Lower	Upper
		Differences		Error	Bound	Bound
Knee internal rotation moment	R/F to C insoles	0.031	<0.001*	0.01	-0.04	-0.02
	R/F to R insoles	0.003	0.364	0.00	0.00	0.01
	R to C insoles	0.034	<0.001*	0.01	-0.05	-0.02
Knee adduction moment	R/F to C insoles	-0.061	<0.001*	0.01	-0.02	-0.03
	R/F to R insoles	0.003	0.354	0.01	-0.02	0.01
	R to C insoles	-0.058	<0.001*	0.01	-0.07	-0.03
Abductor Hallucis peak of activation	R/F to C insoles	-13.7	0.013*	4,901	-24.20	-3.30
	R/F to R insoles	1.3	0.777	4,591	-8.46	11.11
	R to C insoles	-15.1	0.001*	3,848	-23.27	-6.87
Tibialis Anterior iEMG	R/F to C insoles	2.8	0.553	4,658	-7.10	12.75
	R/F to R insoles	13.1	<0.001*	2,354	8.05	18.09
	R to C insoles	-10.2	0.009*	3,454	-17.61	-2.89
Abductor Hallucis iEMG	R/F to C insoles	-17.8	0.014*	6,458	-31.61	-4.08
	R/F to R insoles	1.9	0.633	3,926	-6.45	10.28
	R to C insoles	-19.8	0.006*	6,100	-32.76	-6.76

Table 3: Pairwise comparisons for kinetics and EMG between the conditions.

* The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.