



## Article

# Interprofessional education and practice guide: designing ethics-orientated interprofessional education for health and social care students

Machin, L.L., Bellis, Keiran, Dixon, C., Morgan, H., Pye, J., Spencer, P. and Williams, R.A.

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/24723/>


*Machin, L.L., Bellis, Keiran, Dixon, C., Morgan, H., Pye, J., Spencer, P. and Williams, R.A. (2018) Interprofessional education and practice guide: designing ethics-orientated interprofessional education for health and social care students. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 33 (6). pp. 608-618. ISSN 1356-1820*

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2018.1538113>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [policies](#) page.



**Interprofessional Education and Practice Guide: Designing ethics-orientated interprofessional education for health and social care students**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Interprofessional Care</i>
Manuscript ID	CJIC-2017-0558.R2
Manuscript Type:	Interprofessional Education and Practice Guides
Keywords:	Clinical psychology, Ethics, Interprofessional education, Medicine, Paramedicine, Social Work

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4 **Interprofessional Education and Practice Guide: Designing ethics-orientated**  
5  
6 **interprofessional education for health and social care students**  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

12 **Abstract**  
13

14  
15 Health and social care professionals are required to work together to deliver person-centred  
16 care. Professionals therefore find themselves making decisions within multidisciplinary  
17 teams. For educators, there has been a call to bring students from differing professions  
18 together to learn to enable more effective teamwork, interprofessional communication, and  
19 collaborative practice. This multidisciplinary working is complicated by the increasingly  
20 complex nature of ethical dilemmas that health and social care professionals face. It is  
21 therefore widely recognised that the teaching and learning of ethics within health and social  
22 care courses is valuable. In this paper, we briefly make the case in support of teaching and  
23 learning health and social care ethics through the medium of interprofessional education  
24 (IPE). The purpose of this paper is provide guidance to educators intending to design ethics-  
25 orientated IPE for health and social care students. The guidance is based on the ongoing  
26 experiences of designing and implementing ethics-orientated IPE across five departments  
27 within two universities located in the North of England over a five year period. Descriptions  
28 of the ethics-orientated IPE activities are included in the guide, along with key resources  
29 recommended.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 **Introduction**  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Health and social care has radically altered since the introduction of the National Health  
4  
5 Service in the United Kingdom (UK) in 1948 and the associated services that now exist to  
6  
7 address individuals' social needs alongside their health needs. Practitioners now serve  
8  
9 individuals, families, and communities<sup>1</sup> with complex needs, rights and entitlements that are  
10  
11 far beyond the capacity of any one profession to respond adequately (Barr, 2014).  
12  
13 Delivering care therefore now stems from decision-making within multidisciplinary teams.  
14  
15 However, high-profile reports of inquiries into cases of professional error, neglect and abuse  
16  
17 have exposed lapses in communication and collaboration between the multidisciplinary  
18  
19 teams (Barr, 2014). The inquiries bring into stark relief the consequences of professional  
20  
21 groups socialised into behaviour patterns and working relationships that maintain a  
22  
23 pervasive order based on a medical hegemony (Humphris & Hean, 2004). Hence, for  
24  
25 educators, there has been a call to bring students from differing professions together to  
26  
27 learn (Humphris & Hean, 2004) to enable more effective teamwork, interprofessional  
28  
29 communication, and collaborative practice in a manner that has been referred to as  
30  
31 "learning together to work together" (World Health Organisation, 1988) to ensure the safe  
32  
33 and effective treatment of patients (Williams, Onsmann & Brown, 2010).  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

#### 44 *Interprofessional Education*

45  
46  
47 The fundamental premise of interprofessional education (IPE) asserts that if students from  
48  
49 two or more professions learn from, with and about each other throughout their training  
50  
51 they will be better prepared to deliver an integrated model of collaborative care after  
52  
53 entering practice (Buring et al., 2009; Freeth, Hammick, Reeves, Koppel, & Barr, 2005).  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Evaluations of IPE have highlighted that students develop greater confidence in relation to  
4  
5 interprofessional skills (Wilhelmsson et al., 2009) and develop knowledge and skills for  
6  
7 collaborative working, (Bolin & Chapman, 2013; Champion, Hayward & Hart, 2006;  
8  
9 Hammick, Freeth, Koppel, Reeves, & Barr, 2007; Priest et al, 2011) which have been shown  
10  
11 to positively influence practice, resulting in improved person-centred care (Carpenter, 1995;  
12  
13 Koppel, Barr, Reeves, Freeth, & Hammick, 2001). In terms of designing IPE sessions,  
14  
15 educators have identified that IPE works best with students who encounter shared ethical  
16  
17 dilemmas (Aveyard, Edwards & West, 2005), and have highlighted the need for students to  
18  
19 develop shared moral language, discourse, or reflection during IPE (De Wachter, 1976;  
20  
21 Hermsen & Ten Have, 2005; Irvine, Kerridge & McPhee, 2004; Purtilo, 1988).

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 However, much of the literature on IPE ethics training is grounded in the training and work  
28  
29 of physicians (Caldicott & Braun, 2011), and as a result there has been little reflection on  
30  
31 how to design IPE to facilitate learning health *and* social care ethics<sup>2</sup>. Previous studies have  
32  
33 predominately reported on the success of IPE for students from within a sole setting such as  
34  
35 healthcare (see Hanson, 2005 for a discussion of teaching health care ethics to nursing and  
36  
37 medical students; and Strawbridge, Barrett, & Barlow, 2014 for a discussion on delivering  
38  
39 IPE debates to physiotherapy and pharmacy students to learn ethics). Therefore, there is  
40  
41 limited focus to date on the value of IPE ethics sessions that involve students that span  
42  
43 multiple settings such as community and hospital settings (see Cino, Austin, Casa, Nebocat,  
44  
45 & Spencer, 2018 for a short report on providing IPE ethics education to students from dental  
46  
47 hygiene, nursing, and medical laboratory courses). Consequently, an in-depth exploration of  
48  
49 the considerations when designing IPE to facilitate the learning of health and social care  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 ethics is needed in order to support educators in this process, and address the challenges  
4  
5 that they face with teaching ethics more broadly.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 The challenges with teaching and learning health and social care ethics 12

13  
14  
15 It is now widely recognised that the teaching and learning of ethics within health and social  
16  
17 care is valuable to prepare students for the increasingly complex ethical and moral  
18  
19 challenges facing them in future practice (Chung, Rhee, Baik, & Oh-Sun, 2009). However,  
20  
21 whilst high profile committees have highlighted the important role and function of ethics  
22  
23 being part of health and social care curriculums (Boyd, 1987; General Medical Council,  
24  
25 2009), schools are reported to have experienced difficulty in justifying the allocation of  
26  
27 substantial time within busy curriculums to the teaching and learning of ethics (Miyasaka,  
28  
29 Sakai, & Yamanouchi, 2011).  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 The perception of having to 'squeeze' ethics into curriculums may be a result of how the  
36  
37 topic is understood by some students and staff, i.e., "a scaled down version of teaching  
38  
39 moral philosophy to philosophy students" (Cowley, 2005) making it appear too abstract or  
40  
41 removed from practice (Hugman, 2005). Studies of students' perceptions of ethics have  
42  
43 shown that they struggle to see the value or relevance of the topic (Chung et al., 2009).  
44  
45  
46

47  
48 More recently, bulging curriculums have been blamed for producing strategic learners,  
49  
50 whereby students prioritise aspects of their workload. Consequently, topics such as ethics,  
51  
52 have been associated with softer interpersonal skills, and therefore are deemed low priority  
53  
54 by students compared to the 'core' science and practical elements of curriculums (Willis,  
55  
56 Williams, Brightwell, O'Meara & Pointon, 2010). Moreover, the methods employed to teach  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 ethics are also influenced by the packed curriculum, and the large student cohort sizes that  
4  
5 can sometimes exist for health and social care courses, exacerbated by a lack of clarity  
6  
7 about the most effective way to facilitate learning about ethics (Sanders & Hoffman, 2010).  
8  
9

10  
11 As educators, the need is how to make ethics appealing to students, staff, and senior  
12  
13 management (Mattick & Bligh, 2006) so that appropriate time and resources can be  
14  
15 dedicated to the learning of the topic. One suggestion is to review how we deliver ethics  
16  
17 teaching so that students learn to recognise the humanistic and ethical aspects of their  
18  
19 careers thereby enabling them to examine and affirm their own personal and professional  
20  
21 moral commitments (Byran, 2006; Campbell, Chin, & Voo, 2007). In turn, students gain a  
22  
23 greater understanding and respect for other positions and approaches, so that their ability  
24  
25 to understand the issues and values informing different viewpoints is enhanced (Groessl,  
26  
27 2013; Northwest Association for Biomedical Research, 2012).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 The benefit of teaching and learning ethics through IPE

37  
38

39 Teaching and learning ethics through IPE are natural bedfellows resulting from the overlap  
40  
41 in purpose and outcomes. Firstly, the aims and objectives of IPE and ethics coincide with  
42  
43 each other. Both IPE and ethics intend to ultimately improve the care and service that the  
44  
45 public receive from health and social care practitioners. Ethics is commonly understood as  
46  
47 the study of what is good and bad, right and wrong, and of moral duty and obligation (Clark,  
48  
49 Cott & Drinka, 2007). It also includes the values and principles of conduct governing an  
50  
51 individual or a group. The nature of ethics means that very often there is no clear or correct  
52  
53 path to follow when considering ethical dilemmas in practice. Therefore, the opportunity for  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 students to come together to consider ethics offers the mutual benefit of learning about  
4  
5 ethical, personal and professional values, as well as the factors that influence other  
6  
7 professionals in their decision-making.  
8  
9

10  
11 Secondly, there is widespread support from international education experts, the UK  
12  
13 government and prominent organisations representing health and social care practitioners  
14  
15 for the inclusion of both IPE as a method of teaching and of ethics in health and social care  
16  
17 training, e.g., the Interprofessional Educational Collaborative (2016), the Department of  
18  
19 Health (2000), the Health Care Professions Council (2017), the British Psychological Society  
20  
21 (2015) and the College of Paramedics (2017).  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 Thirdly, the notion that teaching and learning ethics is the business of one particular  
27  
28 academic discipline or the concern of any single professional has long been criticised  
29  
30 (Campbell et al., 2007). Discussions of 'ethical stress' (Fenton, 2016) and 'ethical erosion'  
31  
32 (Swenson & Rothstein, 1996) whereby students may feel pressured to relinquish their  
33  
34 ethical values whilst on placements as they observe 'unethical' behaviours from qualified  
35  
36 practitioners (Roff & Preece, 2004) have illustrated that health and social care ethics has to  
37  
38 be multidisciplinary in nature and delivered by multiple professionals. Teaching and learning  
39  
40 ethics through IPE demonstrates that ethics matters to all health and social care  
41  
42 practitioners.  
43  
44  
45  
46

47  
48 Given the strength of arguments for the teaching and learning ethics to health and social  
49  
50 care students through the means of IPE, it is timely to explore *how* ethics-orientated IPE can  
51  
52 be designed when deciding to include it within a curriculum.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



### Key lessons of designing ethics-orientated IPE for health and social care students

What follows are key lessons to consider when designing ethics-orientated IPE for health and social care students. The lessons are based on the ongoing experiences of designing and implementing ethics-orientated IPE across five departments, within two universities located in the North of England over a five year period. Whilst much literature exists around the designing of IPE generally, or for students from a specific setting, our own experience highlights that there is limited literature available that focuses solely on designing ethics-orientated IPE for health and social care students. Therefore to avoid duplication and be able to make a novel contribution to knowledge surrounding IPE, the focus of the key lessons presented here are on *combining* IPE and ethics. We envisage the lessons to be read in conjunction with existing pedagogical literature when designing innovative teaching such as debates, seminars, and forums in higher education.

#### - *Look to practice when deciding the format of IPE*

When designing ethics-orientated IPE, it is valuable to look to practice when deciding the format and structure. Ideally, the format of IPE will reflect real-world practice, hence some institutions have dedicated physical space for IPE, such as moot courts and simulation suites. However, not every institution has such resources available when designing IPE, but still wish to retain the real-world feel to IPE. For example, wanting to demonstrate clinical ethics in practice, innovative medical educationalists have initiated pseudo-clinical ethics committees, which they believe could be adapted for medical students. For those that took part in the pseudo committees, they were seen as playing a useful role in offering advice,

1  
2  
3 support and information, and were a useful experience for those wishing to learn about  
4  
5 clinical ethical decision-making and hospital ethics committees (Johnston et al., 2012;  
6  
7 Rostain & Parrott, 1986). We opted to extend the pseudo-clinical ethics committee to Social  
8  
9 Work, Medicine, and Clinical Psychology students to reflect the membership of UK clinical  
10  
11 ethics committees (Insert Table 1 about here). We also included the common ethical  
12  
13 frameworks, such as Four Principles (Beauchamp & Childress, 1989), Four Quadrants  
14  
15 (Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, 1982), and Seedhouse Grid (Seedhouse, 2009), used by the  
16  
17 real-world clinical ethics committees when students analysed and discussed the cases. By  
18  
19 reflecting practice, students gained awareness of the real-world clinical ethics committees  
20  
21 and obtained insight into the workings and purpose of the committees, which they are likely  
22  
23 to encounter during practice, as well as consider becoming a member of a committee in the  
24  
25 future (Johnston et al, 2012).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33 - *Look to practice when deciding the theme of IPE*  
34  
35

36 Looking to practice when deciding the theme of ethics-orientated IPE can highlight the  
37  
38 relevance and applicability to students of learning ethics (Johnston et al., 2012). For  
39  
40 example, an ageing population, the replacement of the Liverpool Care Pathway, and  
41  
42 debates surrounding what makes a 'good death' reinforces the value of ethics-orientated  
43  
44 IPE on the theme end of life care. We decided to include ethics-orientated IPE debates with  
45  
46 multidisciplinary teams made up of Social Work and Medicine students debating motions on  
47  
48 end of life care (see Table 1). Students therefore appreciate the range of ethical arguments  
49  
50 surrounding end of life care by both participating in the debates, as well as watching other  
51  
52 students debate related motions. Alternatively, rather than focusing on a specific topic, it is  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 possible to design ethics-oriented IPE on specific ethical concepts, such as rationing,  
4  
5 resource allocation and justice, that hold relevance to an extensive range of student groups,  
6  
7 like Medicine and Health Care Management. Others have argued in favour for IPE for these  
8  
9 two professional groups to come together at the earliest possible stage in professional  
10  
11 education in order to facilitate a deeper understanding of each other's culture and language  
12  
13 and therefore improve relationships between them and the quality of care provided to  
14  
15 patients and relatives (Nash, 2003; Strawbridge et al., 2014). By focusing on a broad ethical  
16  
17 concept such as rationing, the IPE serves the dual purpose of ethical learning, as well as  
18  
19 initiating much-needed dialogue between Medical and Management students on striking a  
20  
21 balance between the dimensions of patient ethics, equity, efficiency, and choice (Atun,  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 2003).

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 - *Take time to evaluate*

34  
35  
36 The importance of considering evaluation as early as possible in the design process has been  
37  
38 stressed in the literature, as has maintaining clarity over the purpose of evaluation (Reeves,  
39  
40 Boet, Zierler & Kitto, 2015). Feedback is not intended to give reassurance to educators that  
41  
42 they are delivering a positive experience to students, nor is it intended to provide evidence  
43  
44 of quality assurance to the department or educational organisation. In the light of past  
45  
46 experience, we have modified our feedback sheet (insert Table 2 about here) to give greater  
47  
48 recognition to the fact that the process of reflection about feedback can be an important  
49  
50 part of consolidating learning. For our ethics-orientated IPE, we now use feedback questions  
51  
52 tailored to the specific session rather than generic feedback questions. We focus on the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 content of the teaching, keep the number of questions to a minimum, and avoided closed  
4  
5 questions. Crucially, we have designed the feedback sheets so that they encourage the  
6  
7 student to reflect on the IPE objectives and messages such as teamwork skills,  
8  
9 communications skills, and ethical and legal reasoning. We also explain the purpose of  
10  
11 completing the feedback sheet to the students prior to completing it so they view the  
12  
13 reflection required as part of their continued learning.  
14  
15

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 - *Draw on students' training in the field*  
22

23 Aims of teaching health and social care ethics can include, reasoning skills, identifying a  
24  
25 legitimate resolution to a problem, as well as be able to explain and justify the resolution  
26  
27 (Johnston & Haughton, 2007). In our Clinical Ethics Committees, students from different  
28  
29 professional backgrounds are expected to come together to explore an ethical dilemma that  
30  
31 they have faced in a practice setting. Each student shares a dilemma with their group and  
32  
33 decides which case to analyse using an ethical framework. The main aim is to improve the  
34  
35 quality of students' care for those they serve by focusing on the skills associated with  
36  
37 practical ethical reasoning and decision-making. The students present cases and learn how  
38  
39 to identify and anticipate ethical issues, distinguish them from legal and social issues,  
40  
41 determine the relevant principles and concepts, where they clash and why, and state their  
42  
43 ethical decision, specifying how the guiding principles should be balanced and justifying  
44  
45 their arguments and decisions (Mitchell, Myser, & Kerridge, 1993). Evidence suggests that  
46  
47 when students use these real-life problems as a point of discussion, learning is effective  
48  
49 especially when a joint problem solving approach is taken in a multidisciplinary forum  
50  
51 (Gawthrop and Uhlemann, 1992; Groessl, 2013).  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 - *Consider the timing of ethics-orientated IPE*  
7  
8  
9

10 There is much debate surrounding when IPE should take place within a curriculum. Some  
11 authors defer to the level of experience during placements and exposure to ethical  
12 challenges in practice (Mandy, Milton, & Mandy, 2004) with evidence suggesting that  
13 students do not appear to gain favourably from IPE early on in their course (Yearsley, 2007).  
14 Others consider the need for students to have a sense of professional identity. Most  
15 students are able to differentiate their own profession from other groups early in their  
16 education, at least in relation to some attributes, which suggests there is no reason to delay  
17 interprofessional interaction until later in training. However, Herbert, Meslin, and Dunn  
18 (1992) claim students' ethical sensitivity, i.e., an ability to identify ethical issues, decreases  
19 in the later part of training with a lack of time for reflection and a focus on scientific medical  
20 knowledge being blamed (Johnston et al., 2012). This suggests a balance has to be struck in  
21 terms of when IPE takes place with educators considering the openness of students to  
22 learning, their experiences from clinical, community and practice settings, and their ability  
23 to form a professional identity. In addition to this, there is the need to ensure equity in  
24 experience and identities between the student groups brought together during IPE, so the  
25 learning is mutually beneficial. This is particularly pertinent when combining students on  
26 undergraduate and postgraduate courses. We therefore conduct IPE with Medical students  
27 in their fourth and fifth years of training, when they have more clinical exposure and ethical  
28 training, and with Masters Social Work and Doctoral Clinical Psychology students.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 - *Take time to reflect on students' wider learning so far*  
4  
5

6 There is a need to co-ordinate the timing of when ethics-orientated IPE takes place within a  
7 curriculum (see above) along with students' previous learning and developing skill sets. We  
8 designed our ethics-orientated IPE so that more challenging activities, such as the Clinical  
9 Ethics Committees, took place in later years of students' training. The Clinical Ethics  
10 Committees demand higher reasoning and communication skills compared to other ethics-  
11 orientated IPE activities as students work within groups involving three different  
12 professions, use complex ethical frameworks, analyse each other's experiences, and provide  
13 advice to colleagues facing ethical uncertainty. Furthermore, we also reflected on how  
14 ethics-orientated IPE could provide progression within our wider curriculums by designing  
15 activities, such as the Capacious Suicide Seminars and End of Life Debates, that enable  
16 students to apply and critique core ethical concepts - best interests, autonomy, and capacity  
17 - learned in earlier years of their training. Similarly, the Clinical Ethics Committees involve  
18 Medical students using advanced ethical frameworks to provide structure to the Forum  
19 discussions, which develop their knowledge of ethical frameworks gained in previous years,  
20 and the IPE component of the End of Life Debates, enable students to build on their earlier  
21 experiences of debates. In essence, when designing ethics-orientated IPE, take time to  
22 reflect on how the activity can provide opportunity to build on students' learning from  
23 earlier in the curriculum, and enable progression in students' skills and knowledge.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

- 52 - *Prepare students ahead of IPE taking place*  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Students need to be prepared for IPE through educators providing opportunity for reflection  
4  
5 on their own professional identity and on the stereotypical views that they may hold both  
6  
7 about their own profession and those they will be engaging with (Bell & Allain, 2011). This is  
8  
9 particularly important in the context of teaching health and social care ethics because of the  
10  
11 value-laden nature of what is discussed in the sessions, and individuals may hold strongly  
12  
13 felt views about the topics under consideration. From our experience, it is also common to  
14  
15 see student groups feeling apprehensive or vulnerable about sharing their knowledge or  
16  
17 ignorance with other vocational students. Some preparation and prior information can be  
18  
19 helpful in serving to provide reassurance and break down these concerns. We believe this to  
20  
21 be particularly important to our ethics-orientated IPE because there is often a considerable  
22  
23 disparity of age and life-experience between some of the groups, e.g., the Pre-Hospital Care  
24  
25 Forums (see Table 1).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 In some sessions, we email preparatory reading to inform participants well in advance about  
32  
33 the other professional groups who will be attending, along with information about where  
34  
35 those other groups are in their training, their level of experience and anticipated level of  
36  
37 knowledge. This helps to give reassurance to participants that they are neither over- nor  
38  
39 under-qualified to bring thoughts and ideas to the session. It also gives participants more  
40  
41 confidence in initiating discussions with their partner professionals because they have at  
42  
43 least some insight into their background and likely level of knowledge and experience.  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 We build in time in the small group sessions for initial face-to-face introductions and  
49  
50 discussions about each participant's background and level of training. This works well for  
51  
52 activities such as our Pre-Hospital Care Forums and Clinical Ethics Committees, which are  
53  
54 attended by a mix of undergraduate students, postgraduate students and students with  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 considerable previous experience as frontline ambulance technicians. Student preparation  
4  
5 also extends to considering how to introduce students to the aims and objectives of the IPE  
6  
7 activity, and clarification of any 'ground rules' for the session. From our experience, this is  
8  
9 best delivered as an initial whole group introduction in which we highlight the benefits of  
10  
11 delivering the present teaching through the medium of IPE. We stress the importance of  
12  
13 upholding principles of confidentiality, as well as the personal and emotive nature of much  
14  
15 of what is being discussed and therefore the importance of valuing and respecting one  
16  
17 another's views.  
18  
19

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 - *Create a safe space for students to learn*  
26

27  
28 Grasping what is meant by ethics and ethical decision-making is not a straightforward linear  
29  
30 process – it is complex. Issues around ethics are bound up with personal values meaning  
31  
32 that understanding ethics can be personally challenging as questions about our own beliefs  
33  
34 and attitudes are unpicked or challenged. There are, of course, different ways of thinking  
35  
36 about ethics, one of which is the idea that ethics do not 'exist' as an objective fact, but are  
37  
38 instead grown from whatever situation students are working with at the time (Hugman,  
39  
40 2005) combined with students' own value bases. This recognition of the subjective nature of  
41  
42 ethics and values requires a safe space for students to explore their own values (Bryan,  
43  
44 2006) and their own construction of understanding about ethical practice. Students must  
45  
46 therefore be supported to be reflexive during ethics-orientated IPE. We therefore require  
47  
48 IPE facilitators to encourage students to recognise that there are potentially several 'right'  
49  
50 answers to any ethical dilemma discussed (Gray & Gibbons, 2007) and that managing  
51  
52 uncertainty is a significant ingredient of professional practice (Taylor & White, 2006).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57



- *Involve practitioners and the people we serve in supporting students' learning*

Beresford (2000), writing in the context of Social Work, reminds us how much professionals can learn from the people they serve. Teaching ethics-orientated IPE provides an ideal opportunity to bring together service users, patients, clients and practitioners to support the learning of students given the focus on 'real life'. Students learn what is important to the people they serve, positions the people they serve at the heart of health and social care, thereby enhancing person-centred care (Mahoney, Mulder, Hardesty, & Madan, 2017). In our End of Life Debates, we involve a range of practitioners from local hospices, hospitals and community, who form a judging panel. The Social Work and Clinical practitioners ask questions to the debate teams, decide the winning team of each debate, provide feedback to the students, and form a panel question and answer session at the end of the debates. We plan to extend this involvement to include lay members to support students when developing their debate motions.

- *Build in flexibility for group preferences*

For Cowley (2005), learning an 'ethics' vocabulary in an attempt to gather ethical expertise can hinder and obstruct students from thinking and discussing dilemmas and argues our own vocabularies are sufficient to make sense of and deal with ethical challenges in practice. During IPE, groups should therefore be encouraged to define their own terms, and explore each other's understanding of ethical jargon. Therefore in the Clinical Ethics

1  
2  
3 Committees, a range of ethical frameworks are provided to create choice and students are  
4 encouraged to use what works for them as a group. Students and facilitators are  
5 encouraged to accept that groups will respond differently to the resources provided to  
6 structure the session and that the session may differ across groups. Similarly, in the End of  
7 Life Debates, each interprofessional debate team are provided with the same large resource  
8 pack, which includes a range of journal articles from different disciplines (ethics, law,  
9 sociology) and professions (medical, nursing, social work) and suggested internet resources  
10 to prepare for their debate. Teams are encouraged to read the resources and share their  
11 findings and observations with the rest of their team. The variety of resources mean that  
12 the teams can explore what is of interest to them as a group, and therefore can have  
13 different discussions to other debate teams. Equally, individual students can have different  
14 preferences as to which resources are used, but the interprofessional element of the teams  
15 mean that there is the potential to learn of alternative views on the debate motion.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 - *The importance of debriefing opportunities for students*

38  
39  
40 The importance and value of debriefing, and strategies for conducting debriefing are well-  
41 recognised (Decker et al., 2013). Debriefing sessions are reflective discussions that take  
42 place following an event, whether that be a live incident or simulated encounter. The  
43 process allows students to discuss a number of issues including what they have learned,  
44 how they would cope with a similar situation in future, how the content affected them  
45 emotionally, and how it has affected their self-confidence. Ethics-orientated IPE necessarily  
46 touches on a number of very sensitive and personal topics that may have particular

1  
2  
3 resonance for some students. Sensitive and confidential debriefing therefore merits  
4  
5 particular consideration by educators.  
6  
7

8 Furthermore, the importance of debriefing to preserve mental wellbeing after stressful  
9  
10 incidents is being given ever-greater emphasis thanks to campaigns such as the 'Blue Light  
11  
12 Champion' project promoted by the charity MIND. The process of participating in debriefing  
13  
14 sessions should therefore also be seen as an important learning exercise (MIND, 2017). The  
15  
16 need for debrief in ethics-orientated IPE was brought to our attention during our Capacious  
17  
18 Suicide Seminars, whereby students work through a scenario describing a person in his own  
19  
20 home at risk of committing suicide, which prompt critical discussion of the legal, ethical and  
21  
22 moral codes surrounding capacity assessment. Aware that some students might have  
23  
24 experience of, or witnessed, suicide attempts, we therefore ask facilitators to incorporate  
25  
26 debriefing into their small group sessions. This has the advantage of maintaining the feeling  
27  
28 of confidentiality and intimacy that has built up through the small group sessions, and  
29  
30 capitalizes on the trust and mutual support that will have developed within the groups. We  
31  
32 also follow this with a large group debrief and summing up for all students in order to  
33  
34 reinforce what learning points we expect them to take away, and acknowledge once again  
35  
36 the sensitive and personal nature the topics discussed. This is also an opportunity to  
37  
38 signpost students to additional sources of support should they feel the need.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

#### 45 46 Discussion 47 48

49 Effective health and social care delivery in hospital and community sectors requires all  
50  
51 health and social care professionals involved to work collaboratively within and between  
52  
53 teams to ensure the best possible outcome for the people they serve (Department of  
54  
55 Health, 2000; Mental Health Commission, 2016). IPE is a method that encourages students  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 to explore how their professions can work together to respond more fully to the complex  
4  
5 needs of the people they serve (Barr, Low & Howkins, 2012). IPE enables health and social  
6  
7 care students to understand different professional perspectives, cultures, norms, and  
8  
9 language (Yearsley, 2007), which can help overcome ignorance and prejudice among health  
10  
11 and social care professionals (Department of Health, 2001) and inform and inspire closer  
12  
13 collaboration between them to improve services and the care delivered (Barr, 2014). The  
14  
15 positive evaluation of IPE explains why it is a mandatory requirement of qualifying health  
16  
17 and social care training in England and Wales (Health Care and Professions Council, 2017)  
18  
19 and this high profile support can be utilised when making the case to create space within  
20  
21 busy curriculums for ethics-orientated IPE.  
22  
23  
24

25  
26 We have created this guide to assist health and social care educators when setting out to  
27  
28 combine the teaching of health and social care ethics with IPE. The guide outlines our key  
29  
30 lessons from designing and implementing ethics-orientated IPE over the past five years. We  
31  
32 have described the various ethics-orientated IPE that we conduct, which highlight the  
33  
34 ethical topics, concepts and frameworks that can be used, as well as provided examples of  
35  
36 how to debrief students, and feedback formats that continue the students' learning post-  
37  
38 IPE. For us, successful ethics-orientated IPE lies with presenting health and social care ethics  
39  
40 as a practical framework, as opposed to a theoretical body of knowledge, to make it  
41  
42 relevant and applicable to students. In summary, ethics-orientated IPE creates a richer  
43  
44 learning experience and fosters higher-level reasoning skills within students.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 Endnotes

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 1. Mindful of the range of terms that can be used to describe the people that each  
4  
5 health and social care professional interacts with such as patients, clients, service  
6  
7 users, a number of inclusive phrases have been agreed upon between authors, e.g.,  
8  
9 “serving individuals, families, and communities” and “person-centred services” in  
10  
11 order to accommodate the differences between professional groups.  
12  
13
- 14 2. A range of descriptors can be used for ethics within each professional curriculum  
15  
16 such as medical, clinical, healthcare. In this manuscript, the authors opted for the  
17  
18 umbrella term “health and social care ethics” in order to accommodate the diversity  
19  
20 in terminology.  
21  
22

### 23 24 25 26 27 Acknowledgements

28  
29  
30 We would like to express our gratitude to the senior management teams within our  
31  
32 departments and institutions for their continued support towards our ethics-orientated IPE.  
33  
34 We also would like to thank all the facilitators within our departments who make ethics-  
35  
36 orientated IPE possible. Finally, we wish to thank our students for engaging so willingly in  
37  
38 our ethics-orientated IPE.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **Key Resources**

47  
48  
49 UK Clinical Ethics Network: <http://www.ukcen.net/> provides background information on  
50  
51 clinical ethics, frameworks that can be used when discussing ethical cases, and case studies.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 Institute of Medical Ethics: <http://www.instituteofmedicaethics.org/website/> provides  
4  
5 curriculum content guidance as well as teaching and learning resources on medical ethics  
6  
7 including video clips, films, journal articles, textbooks, websites.  
8  
9

10 The College of Paramedics:

11  
12 <https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/?gclid=EAlaIQobChMI7raTglzm1wIVTrXtCh1sXg5N>  
13  
14 [EAYASAAEgJ\\_CPD\\_BwE](https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/?gclid=EAlaIQobChMI7raTglzm1wIVTrXtCh1sXg5N) provides free e-learning packages for paramedics that include case  
15  
16 studies reviewing legal and ethical aspects of paramedicine.  
17  
18  
19

20 The British Psychological Society Guidance on Teaching and Assessment of Ethical  
21  
22 Competence in Psychology Education (2015) provides information on appropriate ethical  
23  
24 knowledge and practice at all levels of study in psychology  
25  
26

27 <https://www.bps.org.uk/sites/beta.bps.org.uk/files/Policy%20->  
28  
29 [%20Files/Guidance%20on%20Teaching%20and%20Assessment%20of%20Ethical%20Compe](https://www.bps.org.uk/sites/beta.bps.org.uk/files/Policy%20-%20Files/Guidance%20on%20Teaching%20and%20Assessment%20of%20Ethical%20Compe)  
30  
31 [tence%20in%20Psychology%20Education%20\(2015\).pdf](https://www.bps.org.uk/sites/beta.bps.org.uk/files/Policy%20-%20Files/Guidance%20on%20Teaching%20and%20Assessment%20of%20Ethical%20Compe)  
32  
33  
34

35 The Higher Education Academy provides a series of searchable blogs and Knowledge Hub  
36  
37 Resources, [www.heacademy.ac.uk](http://www.heacademy.ac.uk)  
38  
39

40 Thomas, J. & Baron, S. (2012) Curriculum Guide: Interprofessional and inter-agency  
41  
42 collaboration. London: The College of Social Work Available  
43  
44 at <https://www.basw.co.uk/resource/?id=4829>  
45  
46  
47

48 The British Association of Social Workers promotes a Code of Ethics for all social workers to  
49  
50 abide by available at: <https://www.basw.co.uk/codeofethics/>  
51  
52

53 A curriculum guide to support the development of interprofessional education is hosted by  
54  
55 the British Association of Social Workers and available at:  
56  
57

1  
2  
3 [https://exchange.lancs.ac.uk/owa/redir.aspx?C=K\\_Id8NUoW\\_8yFGWNTMnBdqJ7DBJAslw50](https://exchange.lancs.ac.uk/owa/redir.aspx?C=K_Id8NUoW_8yFGWNTMnBdqJ7DBJAslw50)  
4  
5 [HiLFwc9niSkui4KFDvVCA..&URL=https%3a%2f%2fwww.basw.co.uk%2fresource%2f%3fid%3](https://exchange.lancs.ac.uk/owa/redir.aspx?C=K_Id8NUoW_8yFGWNTMnBdqJ7DBJAslw50)  
6  
7 [d4829](https://exchange.lancs.ac.uk/owa/redir.aspx?C=K_Id8NUoW_8yFGWNTMnBdqJ7DBJAslw50)  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Declaration of interests  
15

16  
17 The authors report no conflict of interests. The authors alone are responsible for the  
18 content and writing of this article.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

- Atun, R.A. (2003). Doctors and managers need to speak a common language. *British Medical Journal*, 326, 655.
- Aveyard, H., Edwards, S., & West, S. (2005). Core topics of health care ethics. The identification of core topics for interprofessional education. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 19, 63-69.
- Barr, H. (2014). Interprofessional Education. In Cockerham W.C., Dingwall, R. and Quah, S.R. (Eds.), *The Wiley Blackwell Encyclopedia of Health, Illness, Behaviour and Society*. New Jersey: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Barr, H., Low, H., & Howkins, E. (2012). Interprofessional education in pre-registration courses: a CAIPE guide for commissioners and regulators of education. *London: CAIPE*.
- Beauchamp, T.L., & Childress, J.F. (1989). *Principles of biomedical ethics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bell, L., & Allain, L. (2011). Exploring professional stereotypes and learning for inter-professional practice: An example from UK qualifying level social work education. *Social Work Education*, 30, 266-280.
- Beresford, P. (2000). Service users' knowledges and social work theory: Conflict or collaboration? *British Journal of Social Work*, 30, 489-503.
- Bolin, B.L., & Chapman, S. (2013). Graduate social work students: Reflecting on inter



- 1  
2  
3 professional education with medical students. *Reflections*, 19, 24-27  
4  
5  
6 Boyd, K.M. (1987). *Report of a working party on the teaching of medical ethics - Chairman*  
7  
8 *Sir Desmond Pond (The Pond Report)*. London: IME Publications.  
9  
10  
11 British Psychological Society. (2015). *Guidance on teaching and assessment of ethical*  
12  
13 *competence in psychology education*. Leicester: BPS.  
14  
15  
16  
17 Byran, V. (2006) Moving from professionally specific ideals to the common morality. *Journal*  
18  
19 *of Teaching in Social Work*, 26, 1-17.  
20  
21  
22  
23 Buring, S.M., Bhushan, A., Brazeau, G., Conway, S., Hansen, L., & Westberg, S. (2009). Keys  
24  
25 to successful implementation of interprofessional education: Learning location,  
26  
27 faculty development, and curricular themes. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical*  
28  
29 *Education*, 73, 60.  
30  
31  
32  
33 Caldicott, C.V. & Bruan, E.A. (2011). Should professional ethics education incorporate single-  
34  
35 professional or interprofessional learning? *Advances in Health Science Education*, 16,  
36  
37 143-146.  
38  
39  
40  
41 Campbell, A.V., Chin, J., & Voo, T.C. (2007). How can we know that ethics education  
42  
43 produces ethical doctors? *Medical Teacher*, 29, 431-436.  
44  
45  
46  
47 Carpenter, J. (1995). Doctors and nurses: stereotypes and stereotype change in  
48  
49 interprofessional education. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 9, 151-161.  
50  
51  
52  
53 Champion, M., Hayward, M, & Hart, K. (2006). Interprofessional education: Even clinical  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Chung, E-K., Rhee, J-AE., Baik, Y-H., & Oh-Sun, A. (2009). The effect of team-based learning  
4  
5 in medical ethics education. *Medical Teacher, 31*, 1013-1017.  
6

7  
8 Cino, K., Austin, R., Casa, C., Nebocat, C., & Spencer, A. (2018). Interprofessional ethics  
9  
10 education seminar for undergraduate health science students: A pilot study. *Journal*  
11  
12 *of Interprofessional Care, 32*, 239-241.  
13

14  
15  
16 Clark, P. G., Cott, C., & Drinka, T. J. (2007). Theory and practice in interprofessional ethics: A  
17  
18 framework for understanding ethical issues in health care teams. *Journal of*  
19  
20 *interprofessional care, 21*, 591-603.  
21

22  
23  
24 College of Paramedics. (2017). Paramedic curriculum guidance (4<sup>th</sup> Edition) retrieved from  
25  
26 [https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/downloads/FINAL Paramedic Curriculum](https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/downloads/FINAL_Paramedic_Curriculum_Guidance_Handbook_Sept_2017.pdf)  
27  
28 [Guidance Handbook Sept 2017.pdf](https://www.collegeofparamedics.co.uk/downloads/FINAL_Paramedic_Curriculum_Guidance_Handbook_Sept_2017.pdf)  
29

30  
31  
32 Cowley, C. (2005). The dangers of teaching medical ethics. *Journal of Medical Education, 31*,  
33  
34 739-742.  
35

36  
37 De Wachter, M. (1976). Interdisciplinary teamwork. *Journal of Medical Ethics, 2*, 52 – 57.  
38

39  
40 Decker, S., Fey, M., Sideras, S., Caballero, S., Rockstraw, L., Boese, T., Franklin, A.E., Glow, D.,  
41  
42 Lioce, L., Sando, C.R., Meakim, C., & Borum, J.C. (2013). Standards of best practice:  
43  
44 Simulation standard VI: The debriefing process. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing, 9(65)*,  
45  
46 S27-S29.  
47  
48

49  
50 Department of Health. (2000). *The NHS plan. A plan for investment*. London: Department of  
51  
52 Health.  
53  
54

- 1  
2  
3 Department of Health (2001). Working together, learning together – a framework for  
4  
5 lifelong learning for the NHS. London-Department of Health  
6  
7
- 8 Fenton, J. (2016). *Values in social work: Reconnecting with social justice*. London: Palgrave.  
9
- 10  
11 Freeth, D., Hammick, M., Reeves, S., Koppel, I., & Barr, H. (2005). *Effective interprofessional*  
12  
13 *education: Development, delivery and evaluation*. Oxford: Blackwell.  
14  
15
- 16  
17 Gawthrop, J.C., & Uhlemann, M.R. (1992). Effects of the problem-solving approach in ethics  
18  
19 training. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 23, 38-42.  
20  
21
- 22  
23 General Medical Council. (2009). *Tomorrow's doctors; Recommendations on undergraduate*  
24  
25 *medical education*. London: GMC.  
26  
27
- 28  
29 Gray, M., & Gibbons, J. (2007). There are no answers, only choices: Teaching ethical decision  
30  
31 making in social work. *Australian Social Work*, 60, 222-238.  
32
- 33  
34 Groessl, J. (2013). An interdisciplinary ethics module for MSW and nursing students. *Social*  
35  
36 *Work Education*, 32, 639-649.  
37
- 38  
39 Hammick, M., Freeth, D., Koppel, I, Reeves, S., & Barr, H. (2007). A best evidence systematic  
40  
41 review of interprofessional education: BEME guide no.9. *Medical Teacher*, 29, 735-  
42  
43 751.  
44  
45
- 46  
47 Hanson, S. (2005). Teaching health care ethics: why we should teach nursing and medical  
48  
49 students together. *Nursing Ethics*, 12, 167-176.  
50
- 51  
52 Health Care and Professions Council. (2017). *Standards of education and training*. London:  
53  
54 HCPC.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 Herbert, P., Meslin, E.M., & Dunn, E.V. (1992). Measuring the ethical sensitivity of medical  
4  
5 students; A study at the university of Toronto. *Journal of Medical Education*, 18, 142-  
6  
7 147.

8  
9  
10 Hermsen, M., & Ten Have, H. (2005). Decision-making in palliative care: Continuing a  
11  
12 dialogue. *Patient Education & Counselling*, 58, 119-20.

13  
14  
15 Hugman, R. (2005). Exploring the paradox of teaching ethics for social work practice. *Social*  
16  
17 *Work Education*, 24, 535-545.

18  
19  
20 Humphris, D., & Hean, S. (2004). Educating the future workforce: Building the evidence  
21  
22 about interprofessional learning. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*, 9,  
23  
24 24-7.

25  
26  
27  
28 Interprofessional Education Collaborative. (2016). *Core competencies for interprofessional*  
29  
30 *collaborative practice: 2016 update*. Washington, DC: Interprofessional Education  
31  
32 Collaborative.

33  
34  
35  
36 Irvine, R., Kerridge, I., & McPhee, J. (2004). Towards a dialogical ethics of  
37  
38 interprofessionalism. *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine*, 50, 278 – 280.

39  
40  
41  
42 Johnston, C., & Houghton, P. (2007). Medical students' perceptions of their ethics teaching.  
43  
44 *Journal of Medical Education*, 33, 418-422.

45  
46  
47  
48 Johnston, C., Williams, C., Dias, C., Lapraik, A., Marvdashti, L., & Norcross, C. (2012). Setting  
49  
50 up a student clinical ethics committee. *Clinical Ethics*, 7, 51-53.

51  
52  
53 Jonsen, A.R., Siegler, M., & Winslade, W.J. (1982). *Clinical ethics: A practical approach to*  
54  
55 *ethical decisions in clinical medicine*. York: Macmillian.

- 1  
2  
3 Koppel, I. Barr, H., Reeves, S., Freeth, D., & Hammick, M. (2001). Establishing a systematic  
4  
5 approach to evaluating the effectiveness of interprofessional education. *Issues in*  
6  
7 *Interprofessional Care*, 3, 41-49.  
8  
9  
10 Mahoney, J.S., Mulder, C., Hardesty, S., & Madan, A. (2017). Integrating caring into patient-  
11  
12 centred care through interprofessional education and ethics: The caring project.  
13  
14 *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic*, 81, 233-246.  
15  
16  
17  
18 Mandy, A., Milton, C., & Mandy, P. (2004). Professional stereotyping and interprofessional  
19  
20 education. *Learning in Health and Social Care*, 3, 154-170.  
21  
22  
23 Mattick, K. & Bligh, J. (2006). Getting the measure of interprofessional learning. *Medical*  
24  
25 *Education*, 40, 399–400.  
26  
27  
28  
29 Mental Health Commission. (2016). *Annual Report 2016*. Dublin: Mental Health Commission.  
30  
31  
32 MIND. (2017). *Our Blue Light*. Retrieved from [https://www.mind.org.uk/news-](https://www.mind.org.uk/news-campaigns/campaigns/bluelight/our-blue-light/)  
33  
34 [campaigns/campaigns/bluelight/our-blue-light/](https://www.mind.org.uk/news-campaigns/campaigns/bluelight/our-blue-light/)  
35  
36  
37  
38 Mitchell, K.R., Myser, C., & Kerridge, I.H. (1993). Assessing the clinical ethical competence of  
39  
40 undergraduate medical students. *Journal of Medical Education*, 19, 230-236.  
41  
42  
43 Miyasaka, M., Sakai, S., & Yamanouchi, H. (2011). How should ethics be taught to medical,  
44  
45 nursing and other healthcare students? *Eubios Journal of Asian and International*  
46  
47 *Bioethics*, 21, 91-95.  
48  
49  
50  
51 Nash, D.B. (2003). Doctors and managers: mind the gap. *British Medical Journal*, 326,652-3.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Northwest Association for Biomedical Research. (2012). *Bioethics 101: Reasoning and*  
4  
5 *Justification*. <https://www.nwabr.org/teacher-center/bioethics-101#overview>  
6  
7 accessed 12.12.17  
8  
9

10 Priest, H., Roberts, P., Dent, H., Hunt, T., Weston, D., Chell, A., Blincoe, C., & Armstrong, C.  
11  
12 (2011). Preparing for collaborative working in mental health: An interprofessional  
13  
14 education project with clinical psychology trainees and nursing students. *Journal of*  
15  
16 *Mental Health Training, Education & Practice*, 6, 47-57.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 Purtilo, R.B. (1988). Ethical issues in teamwork: The context of rehabilitation. *Archives of*  
22  
23 *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 69, 318-22  
24  
25

26 Reeves, S., Boet, S., Zierler, B., & Kitto, S. (2015). Interprofessional education and practice  
27  
28 Guide No. 3: Evaluating interprofessional education. *Journal of Interprofessional*  
29  
30 *Care*, 29, 305-312.  
31  
32

33  
34 Roff, S., & Preece, P. (2004). Helping medical students to find their moral compasses: Ethics  
35  
36 teaching for second and third year undergraduates. *Journal of Medical Education*, 30,  
37  
38 487-489.  
39  
40

41  
42 Rostain, A.L., & Parrott, M.C. (1986). Ethics committee simulations for teaching medical  
43  
44 ethics. *Journal of Medical Education*, 61, 178-181.  
45  
46

47 Sanders, S., & Hoffman, K. (2010). Ethics education in social work: Comparing outcomes of  
48  
49 graduate social work students. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 46, 7-22.  
50  
51

52 Seedhouse, D. (2009). *Ethics: The heart of health care*. London: Wiley-Blackwell.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 Strawbridge, J.D., Barrett, A.M., & Barlow, J.W. (2014). Interprofessional ethics and  
4  
5 professionalism debates: findings from a study involving physiotherapy and  
6  
7 pharmacy students. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 28, 64-65.  
8  
9

10 Swenson, S.L., & Rothstein, J.A. (1996). Navigating the wards: Teaching medical students to  
11  
12 use their moral compasses. *Academic Medicine*, 71, 591-594.  
13  
14

15 Taylor, C., & White, S. (2006). Knowledge and reasoning in social work: Educating for  
16  
17 humane judgement. *British Journal of Social Work*, 36, 937-954.  
18  
19

20 Thistlethwaite, J. (2012). Interprofessional education: a review of context, learning and the  
21  
22 research agenda. *Medical education*, 46, 58-70.  
23  
24

25 Wilhelmsson, M., Pelling, S., Ludvigsson, J., Hammar, J., Dahgren, L-O., & Faresjo, T. (2009).  
26  
27 Twenty years experience of interprofessional education in Linköping –  
28  
29 groundbreaking and sustainable. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 23,121-33.  
30  
31  
32

33 Williams, B., Onsman, A., & Brown, T. (2010). The changing Australian landscape:  
34  
35 implications for Paramedics. *Journal of Paramedic Practice*, 2, 580-584.  
36  
37  
38

39 Willis, E., Williams, B., Brightwell, R., O'Meara, P., & Pointon, T. (2010). Road-ready  
40  
41 paramedics and the supporting science curriculum. *Focus on Healthcare*  
42  
43 *Professionals: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 11, 1-11.  
44  
45  
46

47 World Health Organisation. (1988). *Learning together to work together for health*. Geneva:  
48  
49 WHO.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

1  
2  
3 Yearsley, S. (2007). A literature review analysing current research into undergraduate  
4  
5 interprofessional learning in the health and social care context. *Practitioner Research*  
6  
7 *into Higher Education, 1*, 56-58.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review Only



**Table 1: Ethics-Oriented IPE Activity Descriptions**

	<b>Clinical Ethics Committees</b>	<b>Pre-hospital Care Forums</b>	<b>Capacious Suicide Seminars</b>	<b>End of Life Debates</b>
Purpose, aims or objectives of activity	<p>To enhance professional practice.</p> <p>To hone decision-making skills.</p> <p>To facilitate inter-professional learning.</p> <p>There is an emphasis on attitudes and teamwork/interpersonal skills, communication, and increased understanding of respective roles.</p>	<p>Foster interpersonal and inter-professional respect.</p> <p>Emphasis on attitudes and teamwork/interpersonal skills, communication, and understanding of respective roles.</p> <p>Interactive rather than passive learning.</p> <p>Promote collaborative care.</p>		<p>To facilitate inter-professional learning.</p> <p>To develop critical thinking and analytical skills.</p> <p>To gain insight into the ethical aspects surrounding end of life care.</p>
When the activity takes place	<p>For Doctorate in Clinical Psychology students, in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year of a 3 year programme.</p> <p>For Medical Undergraduate students, in 5<sup>th</sup> (final) year.</p> <p>For Undergraduate Social Work students in 3<sup>rd</sup> (final) year, and for Masters Social Work students in 2<sup>nd</sup> (final) year.</p>	<p>For Medical Undergraduate students, 4<sup>th</sup> year of a 5 year degree.</p> <p>For Paramedic students, 2<sup>nd</sup> (final) year of course.</p>		<p>Social Work Masters students, 1<sup>st</sup> year of a 2 year degree.</p> <p>Medical Undergraduate students, 4<sup>th</sup> year of a 5 year degree.</p>

<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24</p> <p>Where the activity occurs</p>	<p>On university campus.</p> <p>Requires use of approximately 20 small rooms e.g. capacity for 10 people.</p>	<p>On university campus.</p> <p>Requires use of one medium-sized lecture theatre e.g. capacity for 100 students, and 10 small rooms e.g. capacity for 10-15 people.</p>		<p>On university campus.</p> <p>The day of students preparing their debate presentations, one medium-sized lecture theatre e.g. capacity for 100 students, and space which can be used by students for small group discussions e.g. foyers, break out areas, meeting rooms, seminar rooms.</p> <p>On the day of the debates, two rooms are required in order to accommodate half of the students in each room. The room needs to have space for students to present their debate presentations, for the tutor to chair the debates, and a judges panel.</p>
<p>25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47</p> <p>How the activity is structured</p>	<p>Two hour session.</p> <p>Students receive a short briefing from their course tutor in advance of the session. The briefing can be done via email or lecture. All students receive a pack consisting of preliminary reading of the ethical frameworks that will be used during the Clinical Ethics Committees, and an outline of the format of the session. All students are asked to come</p>	<p>Three hour session.</p> <p>Initial whole group introduction.</p> <p>Two case studies (50 minutes each) discussed in small groups of 10-12, each with a facilitator. Short break between sessions.</p> <p>Fictitious scenario used based on real experiences of one of the organisers. Characters in the scenario drawn from each</p>	<p>Three hour session.</p> <p>Initial whole group introduction.</p> <p>Two case studies (50 minutes each) discussed in small groups of 10-12, each with a facilitator. Short break between sessions.</p> <p>Fictitious scenario used based on real events of one of the organisers. The scenario provides the context for students to discuss the legal, ethical and moral codes</p>	<p>Three hour session for debate preparation, and two hour session for the debate competition.</p> <p>All students attend introduction to the debates.</p> <p>Students are divided into debate teams according to debate motions and each side of the debate motion. Approx 3 Medicine and 2 Social Work students make up each debate team. Approx 4 debate motions on end of life care are provided.</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

<p>prepared to share an outline of an ethical dilemma they have experienced whilst training with their group.</p> <p>Approximately 20 small groups of 7-8 students, each facilitated by a tutor from Clinical Psychology, Medicine or Social Work.</p> <p>Students each bring a case from their training where ethical issues have been important. Students present their case briefly to the group and the group decides on a case to analyse during the session.</p> <p>The students choose a member of their group to chair the session. The student describes the details of the case to the group. The group decide which of 4 possible ethical frameworks to apply to the case.</p> <p>All students are involved in the process and discussions. The group can ask further questions about the case along the way.</p>	<p>of the professional groups represented among the students. Events in the scenario depict situations intended to prompt discussion of teamwork, communication skills, professionalism, professional roles and responsibilities, ethical reasoning and legal obligations.</p> <p>Whole group debrief at the end.</p>	<p>surround a patient’s capacity assessment. This session builds upon theoretical knowledge, reasoning and understanding of moral, ethical and professional codes through effective communication and team working. Students also have the opportunity to ask questions of each other’s responses to the scenario.</p> <p>Whole group debrief at the end.</p>	<p>Students work in debate teams arguing for or against a specific debate motion using resource packs to prepare debate presentations. Facilitators from Social Work and Medicine are on hand for student support.</p> <p>During the debate preparation sessions, students need to decide which members of their team will present their arguments (3 mins 1<sup>st</sup> speaker, and 2 mins 2<sup>nd</sup> speaker) and which 2-3 members will answer questions from the audience and judges. Teams also need to decide on a team name.</p> <p>The debate competition involves a tutor from each department acting as Chair of the debates. An overview of the debate competition format, rules, motions is provided at the start by the Chair for the judges. The Chair will also act as timekeeper.</p> <p>Each debate lasts 20 minutes. The first speaker arguing for the motion is asked to start (3 mins), followed by the first speaker from the opposing team (3 mins). The rebuttal from each team then takes place (2 mins each side).</p> <p>The audience made up of students and the judges are invited by the Chair to ask questions to each team. The</p>
--	---	---	--

	<p>The session is roughly divided into three: the first part is working through the case, referring to the ethical framework in order to gather the 'facts' of the case; the second part, the group decide upon 'guidance/advice' for the student delivering the case; and the third part of the session is for reflection on using the ethical frameworks, on providing advice and guidance to a colleague on an ethical dilemma and working in an interprofessional team.</p>			<p>students are allowed to confer for up to 30 seconds before responding to the question.</p> <p>The Judges decide which team wins each debate and uses a mark sheet to arrive at their decision. The judges give feedback to both teams before announcing the winning team. A small prize is given to each member of the winning team.</p> <p>The judging panel are those with a professional or personal experience relating to the debate topics. The judges form a panel discussion at the end of the debate based on the debate motions, which provides students with the opportunity to ask questions.</p>
<p>To whom the activity is delivered</p>	<p>Approximately 150 students in total, with roughly equal numbers from each professional group, i.e. Clinical Psychology, Social Work, and Medicine.</p>	<p>Approximately 100 students in total, with roughly equal numbers from each profession group i.e. Medicine and Paramedicine.</p>		<p>Approximately 90 students in total, with approx. 50 4<sup>th</sup> year Undergraduate Medical students and approx. 40 Masters Social Work students.</p>
<p>By whom the activity is delivered</p>	<p>Facilitated by tutors from Clinical Psychology, Medicine and Social Work departments.</p> <p>The student briefing is delivered in person or</p>	<p>Facilitated by tutors from Paramedicine and Medicine departments.</p> <p>The introduction and debrief to the entire student group is co-delivered by a tutor from each department.</p>		<p>Facilitated by tutors from Medicine and Social Work departments.</p> <p>The introduction to the debates is co-delivered by tutors from each</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

	<p>organised via email by 1 tutor from each department.</p> <p>Approx. 6 facilitators are required from each department, with 1 facilitator per student group.</p> <p>We recommend requesting a colleague to act as a reserve facilitator in case of unanticipated absence through sickness etc.</p>	<p>Approx. 6-8 facilitators are required from each department, with 1 facilitator per student group.</p> <p>We recommend requesting a colleague to act as a reserve facilitator in case of unanticipated absence through sickness etc.</p>	<p>department.</p> <p>The tutors provide support to students when preparing their debate presentations.</p> <p>On the day of the debates, 4 tutors are required in total, with 2 tutors from each department in each room. The 2 tutors in each room act as Chair and Time Keeper for the debates.</p> <p>The judging panel consist of people with professional and lay expertise around end of life care, Social Work and Medicine. Approx. 3 judges in each debate room. For each debate, 1 judge can prepare and ask questions to the students, whilst the other 2 judges complete mark sheets, prepare and deliver feedback to the students, and announce the winning team.</p> <p>In the case of unanticipated absence, a tutor can act as both Chair and Timekeeper or a member of the judging panel can be called upon to act as Timekeeper if necessary.</p>
--	--	--	--

Structure adapted from Thistlethwaite, 2012

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

Table 2: Example feedback sheet for ethics-orientated IPE

*Seeking feedback is important for two reasons. It helps the organisers to improve and develop the format and material for future sessions. It also challenges participants to reflect on what they have covered, which reinforces important learning points.*

*Please spend a few minutes thinking about your answers to the following questions, and write down your impressions. This is an important part of your learning, and we do value your comments and suggestions*

- 1. In what way has the session challenged or changed your attitude to professionalism and working in interdisciplinary teams?*
- 2. What have you learned about dealing with patients whose behaviour or thoughts pose significant challenges?*
- 3. What have you learned about challenging the behaviour of professional colleagues?*
- 4. What skills have you learned about working through legal or ethical problems?*