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ABSTRACT
Clinical assessment and management of musculoskeletal conditions of different joints may be broken down into considerations of Pain, Alignment, Strength and Stability (PASS). In recent years these factors have allowed a systematic approach and has enabled the development in our understanding of clinical subgroups, which enable targeted or stratified care. This paper considers the use of the PASS concept to determine the most appropriate treatment and interventions, specifically when considering treatment of two common musculoskeletal conditions, patellofemoral pain and low back pain.

INTRODUCTION
The clinical assessment and management of musculoskeletal conditions of different joints may be broken down into considerations of Pain, Alignment, Strength and Stability (PASS), which may be used to assess treatment and interventions. This provides a framework that encourages the practitioner and researcher to address these four factors when considering a treatment for a specific pathology. Each of the factors outlined below need to be considered, as these help to identify the specific needs of the patient, which can be used to target specific aspects and outcomes of the condition and provides a patient centred approach.

Pain may result from an injury or an ongoing condition such as mechanical low back pain (MLBP) or patellofemoral pain (PFP), this can result in a reduction in activity and can affect an individual's quality of life. According to the Health and Safety Executive's (HSE) annual statistics, in the United Kingdom 2.2 million working days are lost as a result of back disorders and 1.7 million as a result of work related lower limb disorders (HSE 2018). By addressing this aspect of PASS, the impact of pain may be minimised through a clinically significant reduction in pain. This in turn may lead to patients moving with greater ease and being able to return to activities of daily living or sports. When considering alignment we often consider malalignment, or the lack of control of alignment of specific joints within the body. This can have devastating results on an individual's participation in activities of daily living. By observing deficits in movement patterns of joints and surrounding structures, it may be possible to use specific interventions to target and correct such deficits; these in turn will then have a positive impact on pain and wellbeing. Richards et al (2005) showed that by using a targeted intervention such as knee bracing in osteoarthritis patients improvements in function, loading and propulsive forces can be made. Strength is also a key aspect that allows practitioners to determine deficits in force production. By strengthening muscular structures through increased physical activity and targeted exercise regimes a reduction has been seen in pain and disability that has been associated with knee arthritis (Wearing et al 2006). Weakness in a structure could lead to compensations, which could negatively impact or even cause injury at another site. However, strength should not just be considered in terms of maximal output, but should be put in context as to the optimal force for the structures being assessed, as over strengthening could lead to a muscle imbalance or joint overload. Such an imbalance in strength can result in deficits in musculoskeletal stability, however these may not be just mechanical, and proprioceptive or control deficits may also be responsible.

The Medical Research Council (MRC) guidelines for complex interventions (2019) state that researchers should be clear about the aim when developing a study. By framing the research question around
the elements of PASS, the researcher or practitioner can ensure that they are establishing the specific area, or areas of a condition, which they are trying to address. The use of the PASS framework allows researchers to consider the implementation of their findings. The MRC guidelines (2019) asks whether the findings of a study can be widely implemented if the results are favourable. By addressing the factors outlined in PASS, the route to implementation can be mapped, as this addresses specific functional deficits, which in turn can be mapped to specific interventions strategies. In addition, the MRC guidelines (2019) propose that the results be accessible to decision-makers, which includes patients, who are key to the decision making process. Using PASS, patients should be able to better comprehend what the treatments or interventions are trying to target.

THE USE OF PASS IN THE MANAGEMENT OF LOW BACK PAIN

Eighty percent of adults experience Low Back Pain (LBP) at some point in their adult life (Kent & Keating, 2005). LBP is a costly musculoskeletal disorder, often related to poor posture and movement habits, and caused by an imbalance in the supporting structures of the spine (Comerford and Mottram, 2001). LBP patients often struggle to move freely, and activities of daily living, sleep and work are often hindered as a result of pain (Jensen et al, 2000; Moren et al, 2002; Wang et al, 2004; Manchikanti et al, 2014). Highly significant relationships have been shown in the literature between LBP and quality of sleep, with reports of 55% increase in restless/light sleep following the onset of pain (Marin et al, 2006). However, LBP is a broad term often used to cover a multitude of chronic and acute, muscular, mechanical and neurological disorders. It has been shown that a large majority (80–90%) of individuals will recover within 12 weeks (Andersson, 1999), however permanent disability accounts for 5–15% of patients (Liebenson, 1996). This has a huge potential economic effect on the musculoskeletal system. Posture, and therefore spinal alignment, is often assessed by a clinician applying theoretical knowledge through visual assessment. However, more recent complex biomechanical methods have been used to quantify changes in spinal alignment (Preuss and Popovic, 2010), which can be used to determine the efficacy and effectiveness of different treatment interventions (Chohan et al, 2013).

Strength deficits are also often present in LBP patients, which are often unilateral, and result in muscle imbalances in the paraspinal muscles (Oddsson et al, 2003). Compensating for muscle imbalances over a prolonged period of time can lead to a mul-
People in the “weak and pronated” subgroup present with a poor foot position, which in turn can lead to malalignment of the tibia and patella (Curren 2017). The Foot Posture Index (FPI) (Redmond et al 1998) is a comprehensive assessment tool to identify foot type. The FPI consists of six measurements that provide a combined score of -12 to 12. A score over +6 indicates that a patient has a pronated foot (Redmond et al 1998). Furthermore, a FPI score of +6 was the threshold found for inclusion in the weak and pronated foot group by Selfe et al (2016). The alignment of the foot can be corrected using foot orthoses, which in turn can correct the malalignment of the tibia and patella (Curren 2017).

Individuals with PFP, specifically those within the weak subgroups, most often present with significant differences in the quadriceps femoris muscle (QFM) compared to the healthy population. Differences in the morphology and architecture of the vastus medialis (VMO), particularly in the more distal aspect of the muscle (Pattyn et al 2009) result in under-development and reduced muscle strength compared to healthy individuals (Van Tiggelen et al 2009). In addition, during voluntary muscle contraction, it has been accepted that individuals with PFP present with a delayed muscle activation of VMO compared to vastus lateralis (VL). QFM strengthening, as part of a rehabilitation program for PFP patients, has been supported by Giles et al (2013), as it has been identified that QFM atrophy is prevalent amongst PFP patients within the weak subgroups. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES), has also been shown to improve function and reduces pain amongst Osteoarthritis (OA) patients by targeting the injured/affected structures within the QFM (de Oliveira Melo et al 2014). In combination with this, voluntary activation of the QFM is improved, which is an important step within muscle recovery and OA management (Elboim-Gabyzon et al 2013).

Amongst both PFP and OA patients, joint stresses are associated to chondral and osseous changes (Wyndow et al 2016). Through the introduction of NMES, with an aim to improve muscle strength within a PFP rehabilitation program, the functional capacity of QFM may be increased whilst also managing pain, similar to that prescribed for OA patients (Dos Santos et al 2013). Dos Santos et al identified that both muscle rebalance and pain relief may be achieved by combining NMES and resistance exercises within an individual PFP patient’s treatment plan. Therefore, clinicians may be advised to consider the introduction of NMES and resistance exercises.
for the treatment for PFP patients within the weak subgroups.

When considering PFP, knee stability and the associated interventions, it is important to look at not just the sagittal plane knee mechanics, but to also consider the movement in the coronal and transverse planes. The tests used to assess movement need to challenge the dynamic control of the patella, however activities such as level walking do not offer a sufficient challenge (Selfe et al 2007). In addition, Selfe et al described how a dynamic movement such as a step down can give a sufficient challenge to the stability of the knee. Therefore, these dynamic control tests allow for the assessment of stability in not only the sagittal plane but also the coronal and transverse planes. With the knee having six degrees of freedom of motion, it is important not to ignore motion in the other planes as highlighted by Kowalk et al (1996). Kowalk et al showed that the knee abduction–adduction moment should not be ignored when assessing knee stability during stair climbing, even though this is not the primary plane in which motion occurs. In addition, PFP patients who reported the greatest pain have been shown to have the greatest instability (Selfe et al 2011). Implementing the correct intervention to address this issue of stability is critical. Studies have shown that there are a range of techniques and devices that can offer incremental increases in stability through proprioception and neuromuscular control (Selfe et al 2011; Petersen et al 2014). However, it remains unclear whether such effects are present in all of the subgroups identified by Selfe et al (2016).

HUMAN FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH PASS

Another aspect that should be considered are the human factors, the ways in which a person will interact with the systems around them. This has been acknowledged as an increasingly as a critical part of any product or service design. Indeed, for the first time in 2016, the UK Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Products Agency (MHRA) released the first draft guidance on human factors aspects of design for medical devices (MHRA Human Factors guidance, 2018). The key principles of human factors are all focused around reducing human error by making a system as simple to use as possible, both cognitively and physically. Is the system easy to use? Where physical products are involved, are they ergonomically sound and comfortable to use? These principles apply for both the patient, and the therapist (Health and Safety Executive, 2018). Therefore, when considering targeted interventions, the PASS framework fits into this by providing therapists with a structured programme to work with, reducing reliance on memory and simplifying the process. For patients, it provides a clear framework for them to understand, aiding acceptance of any intervention.

CONCLUSION

The clinical assessment and management of musculoskeletal conditions of different joints may be broken down into considerations of Pain, Alignment, Strength and Stability (PASS). This provides therapists with a structured programme to work with, reducing reliance on memory and simplifying the process; whilst for patients, it provides a clear framework for them to understand, aiding acceptance of any intervention.
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