

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Non-identifiability of parameters for a class of shear-thinning rheological	
	models, with implications for haematological fluid dynamics	
Туре	Article	
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/25976/	
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.01.036	
Date	2019	
Citation	Gallagher, M.T., Wain, Richard, Dari, S., Whitty, Justin and Smith, D.J. (2019)	
	Non-identifiability of parameters for a class of shear-thinning rheological	
	models, with implications for haematological fluid dynamics. Journal of	
	Biomechanics, 85. pp. 230-238. ISSN 0021-9290	
Creators	Gallagher, M.T., Wain, Richard, Dari, S., Whitty, Justin and Smith, D.J.	

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.01.036

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u> Journal of Biomechanics 85 (2019) 230-238

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Biomechanics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jbiomech www.JBiomech.com

Short communication

Non-identifiability of parameters for a class of shear-thinning rheological models, with implications for haematological fluid dynamics

M.T. Gallagher^{a,b,*}, R.A.J. Wain^{c,d,e}, S. Dari^a, J.P. Whitty^c, D.J. Smith^{a,b}

^a School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

^b Institute for Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

^c John Tyndall Institute, School of Engineering, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK

^d School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK

^e Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 17 January 2019

Keywords: Non-Newtonian fluid dynamics Parameter fitting Identifiability Blood rheology

ABSTRACT

Choosing a suitable model and determining its associated parameters from fitting to experimental data is fundamental for many problems in biomechanics. Models of shear-thinning complex fluids, dating from the work of Bird, Carreau, Cross and Yasuda, have been applied in highly-cited computational studies of hemodynamics for several decades. In this manuscript we revisit these models, first to highlight a degree of uncertainty in the naming conventions in the literature, but more importantly to address the problem of inferring model parameters by fitting to rheology experiments. By refitting published data, and also by simulation, we find large, flat regions in likelihood surfaces that yield families of parameter sets which fit the data equally well. Despite having almost indistinguishable fits to experimental data these varying parameter sets can predict very different flow profiles, and as such these parameters cannot be used to draw conclusions about physical properties of the fluids, such as zero-shear viscosity or relaxation time of the fluid, or indeed flow behaviours. We verify that these features are not a consequence of the experimental data sets through simulations; by sampling points from the rheological models and adding a small amount of noise we create a synthetic data set which reveals that the problem of parameter identifiability is intrinsic to these models.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Many complex fluids exhibit shear rate-dependent viscosity; suspensions, particularly fluids of biological importance such as blood, and biological polymers, such as mucus, are typically shear-thinning (pseudo-plastic), i.e. their viscosity reduces with increasing shear rate. Several models have been proposed for this behaviour and have been studied intensively; we will focus on a class of models which relate shear viscosity to shear rate via non-linear algebraic equations, in particular the formulations of Cross, Bird, Carreau and Yasuda, and their subsequent application to blood rheology. We address two significant issues – first, an inconsistency in the literature regarding naming of models, and more importantly, some significant difficulties which appear in determining model parameters through least squares fitting. Since there are major (and unexpected) differences in parameter identifiability

E-mail address: m.t.gallagher@bham.ac.uk (M.T. Gallagher).

between subtly different models, unambiguous naming will turn out to be very important. To set the scene we briefly review the key models.

The earliest model of Ostwald (1925) and de Waele (1923) is based on a power-law dependence of viscosity on shear rate; limitations of this simple model include its singularity at zero shear rate and inability to capture high shear rate dependency when compared to empirical data. For these reasons we will not consider the model further. These discrepancies were addressed by Cross (1965) who postulated a four parameter, constitutive relationship:

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \mu_{\infty} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu_{\infty}}{1 + (\lambda \dot{\gamma})^{1-n}},\tag{1}$$

where μ is the effective viscosity of the fluid as a function of shear rate $\dot{\gamma}^1$, the parameters μ_0 and μ_∞ are the zero and infinite limit shear viscosities respectively, λ is a constant with dimensions of time, and *n* is the power-law index (Eq. (1) is presented in a slightly

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







^{*} Corresponding author at: School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK.

¹ In this manuscript when we refer to shear rate we are referring to the magnitude of the shear rate, $\dot{\gamma} = |\dot{\gamma}|$.

different form from Cross (1965) though is functionally identical). The model has finite, non-zero viscosity, at zero and infinite shear rate limits. By contrast, the three parameter model of Carreau (1972) provides a finite viscosity at zero shear rate, and zero viscosity in the infinite shear rate limit,

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{\mu_0}{\left(1 + \left(\lambda \dot{\gamma}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}}.$$
(2)

The original paper uses the parameter S = (1 - n)/2. In a study of polystyrene fluids, Yasuda (1979) modified this formulation to include a further parameter *a* to describe better the low shear to power-law transition region:

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{\mu_0}{\left(1 + (\dot{\lambda}\dot{\gamma})^a\right)^{\frac{1-n}{a}}}.$$
(3)

This model has four free parameters (μ_0, λ, n, a) , and implies a zero viscosity limit as shear rate tends to infinity.

Perhaps surprisingly, the canonical text of Bird et al. (1987) (while citing the same sources as above) defines a different model as the '*Carreau-Yasuda*' model

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \mu_{\infty} + \frac{\mu_{\infty} - \mu_0}{\left(1 + \left(\lambda \dot{\gamma}\right)^a\right)^{\frac{1-n}{a}}}.$$
(4)

Eq. (4) differs from both Carreau's and Yasuda's models through including an infinite shear rate viscosity parameter μ_{∞} (in the manner of Cross (1965)), amounting to five parameters.

Bird et al.'s five-parameter "Carreau-Yasuda" model (4) has been used for blood flow modelling in key papers by Perktold and Rappitsch (1995), Gijsen et al. (1999) (who referred to Bird et al. and also termed it Carreau-Yasuda) and Leuprecht and Perktold (2001) (who referred to it as a *modified Cross* model). Eq. (4) can be viewed as a hybrid of Carreau, Yasuda and Cross' contributions, which perhaps explains the proliferation of terminology. Indeed for suitably-chosen parameters, Eq. (4) can be reduced to each of the preceding models.

The variability in terminology can also be found in major commercial codes such as ANSYS-Fluent, ANSYS-CFX, and Abaqus (see Table 1). To avoid confusion, we refer to Eqs. (1)–(4) as the Cross-1965, Carreau-1972, Yasuda-1979 and BCCY-1987 (Bird-Cross-Carreau-Yasuda) models respectively.

2. Data fitting

Given a functional form $\mu(\dot{\gamma}; \theta)$ for the viscosity μ at shear rate $(\dot{\gamma})$ with parameters $\theta = (\mu_0, n, ...)$, and rheometry data $(\dot{\gamma}_m, \mu_m)$, maximum likelihood estimation, assuming normally distributed error, leads to the least squares parameter estimate,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\mu(\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_m; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mu_m)^2, \tag{5}$$

Table 1

Three commercial CFD packages and their varying terminology. Note that Eq. (8) can be found in Section 3.2.

Software	Their name	Equation
Abaqus	Carreau-Yasuda	Eq. (4)
	Carreau	Eq. (4) with $a = 2$
	Cross	Eq. (1)
ANSYS-CFX	Carreau-Yasuda	Eq. (4)
	Bird-Carreau	Eq. (4) with $a = 2$
	Cross	Eq. (8)
ANSYS-Fluent	Carreau	Eq. (4) with $a = 2$ and a
		leading temperature factor
	Cross	Eq. (8) with a leading temperature factor

where *M* is the total number of experimental data points.

We will also find it useful to optimize over subsets of parameters while the remaining are held fixed. To denote this we will use, for example, the notation

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_0, \lambda, *) := \max_{n} \mathcal{L}(\mu_0, \lambda, n).$$
(6)

Most rheological literature takes the slightly different approach of fitting the model to data on a log–log scale. In the framework of maximum likelihood estimation, this approach corresponds to the assumption of lognormal error. Mathematically, we may define,

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\diamond} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\log(\mu(\dot{\gamma}_{m}; \boldsymbol{\theta})) - \log(\mu_{m}))^{2}. \tag{7}$$

Haematological rheology data from Skalak et al. (1981) (extracted from Ballyk et al. (1994) using GRABIT, Doke (2016)) will be used to illustrate the parameter identifiably concerns in what follows because of its excellent coverage in shear rate, from 0.1 s^{-1} to 500 s^{-1} , but it is important to note that these results do not exclusively apply to blood flow problems.

3. Results

Each model will be considered in turn, starting with the model possessing the fewest free parameters. The units of μ_0, μ_∞ will be centipoise, λ will be seconds, and n, a are dimensionless.

3.1. Carreau-1972 three-parameter model

Performing a maximum likelihood fit of the Carreau-1972 model with normal errors, i.e. by minimizing \mathcal{L} , immediately yields difficulties with parameter identification. Constrained optimization (Matlab fmincon) consistently finds a solution with n = 0.483 at the boundary of the parameter space, at either the maximum value of μ_0 or the maximum value of λ depending on the limits chosen. The reason for this behaviour is evident in Fig. 1a; the likelihood surface $\mathcal{L}(\mu_0, \lambda, *)$ exhibits an extended 'ridge'. Taking an upper bound of $\mu_0 < 350$ yields the parameter estimate $\mu_0 = 301$, $\lambda = 200$, n = 0.483, depicted with a blue star; the cost function value is $\mathcal{L}^* = 25.8$. To show how indeterminate this fit is, we will examine an arbitrarily chosen 'alternative' parameter tuple of $\mu_0 = 211$, $\lambda = 100$ and fitted optimum $n^+ = 0.482$ (red plus), which has a very similar cost function value of $\mathcal{L}^+ = 25.9$. For any practical purpose, the fits are identical, as shown in Fig. 1b,c. The data do not therefore reliably constrain the parameters μ_0 or λ . It is also of note that either parameter set fits the data well with these parameters up to approximately $\dot{\gamma} = 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$, however they both perform rather badly for higher values of shear rate.

One may ask whether the more traditional approach of fitting to the log-log plot, i.e. by taking lognormal error and minimizing ℓ , might work better. The results of this process are shown in Fig. 2. The higher shear rate region $(10-500 \text{ s}^{-1})$ is fitted much better, however the indeterminacy issue is still present. The best fit tuple found by fmincon (with the same bounds) is $\mu_0^{\diamond} = 137$, $\lambda^{\diamond} = 200$, $n^{\diamond} = 0.635$ which has cost function $\ell^{\diamond} = 0.732$. A manually and arbitrarily-chosen tuple $\mu_0^{\perp} = 107$, $\lambda^{\times} = 100$, $n^{\times} = 0.634$, plotted as a red cross, yields a very similar cost function value of $\ell^{\times} = 0.733$. Again the flow curves corresponding to each parameter set are essentially identical (Fig. 2a,b). While the fit is arguably better than for Fig. 1, the parameters μ_0 , λ are again indeterminate from the data. The same issue occurs for several other experimental blood rheology data sets (see Appendix A).



Fig. 1. Carreau-1972 model fits (normal error) to data of Skalak et al. Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\mathcal{L}(\mu_0, \lambda, *)$, with a blue star showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit (with n = 0.483), and a red plus showing the arbitrarily chosen 'alternative' parameter choice (with $n^+ = 0.482$). Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Carreau-1972 model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 2. Carreau-1972 model fits (lognormal error) to data of Skalak et al. Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_0, \lambda, \Diamond)$, with a blue diamond showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit (with $n^{\diamond} = 0.635$), and a red cross showing the arbitrarily chosen 'alternative' parameter choice (with $n^{\times} = 0.634$). Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Carreau-1972 model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Is this problem a consequence of the data available, or is it intrinsic to the Carreau-1972 model? We generated a synthetic data set by choosing parameter values and simulating an experimental series of 50 samples, taken over an extremely wide range of shear rates $(10^{-3}-10^{3} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and with a very small addition of lognormal noise (with standard deviation 0.02). The synthetic data are shown in Fig. 3a, with fitting results in Figs. 3b,c. Fitting over the full range in shear rate (Fig. 3b) reveals that a local minimum is now evident, although with again a rather elongated basin. However restricting the range in shear rate at the lower end to 10^{-2} - 10^3 s^{-1} (Fig. 3c), the basin is extended in a similar way to the real data fit of Fig. 2. If one has data which includes these very low shear rates then it is possible to alleviate the indeterminacy and obtain a reliable set of parameters. However in the context of blood rheology, measurements of this type do not seem to be experimentally availble in the literature.

3.2. Cross-1965

As described above, the Cross-1965 model involves the parameters μ_0 , λ , n, and, in addition, an infinite shear rate viscosity μ_{∞} . To facilitate comparison with the Carreau-1972 model we will initially set $\mu_{\infty} = 0$, which we will refer to as the 'Cross-Zero' model,

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{\mu_0}{1 + (\lambda \dot{\gamma})^{1-n}}.$$
(8)

The result of fitting this model with lognormal error to the data of Skalak et al. is shown in Fig. 4a,b – while the fit is excellent, the minimum of the cost function again appears at the boundary of the domain. Extending the bounds of the search space has similar effects to the Carreau-1972 model. The reason for this can be seen by inspecting Eq. (8): for sufficiently large λ and non-zero shear rate, the constitutive law can be approximated by,

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) \approx \frac{\mu_0}{\left(\lambda\dot{\gamma}\right)^{1-n}},\tag{9}$$

yielding an infinite family of approximately equivalent parameterizations for which μ_0/λ^{1-n} is constant.

Having established that this simplified version of the Cross model is also affected by parameter indeterminacy, we turn our attention to the full four-parameter Cross-1965 model (Fig. 5). While the fit (Fig. 5b,c) is rather better than both the Carreau-1972 and Cross-Zero models, particularly for larger shear rates, a similar parameter indeterminacy occurs as for Carreau-1972 and Cross-Zero (Fig. 5a).

3.3. Yasuda-1979

The Yasuda-1979 model differs from Carreau-1972 only through an additional index parameter. An interesting effect of including this parameter is that a local minimum is now found interior to the search domain (Fig. 6), at $\mu_0 = 106$, $\lambda = 98.1$, n = 0.635, a = 7.61. Nevertheless, there is still a very elongated ridge in parameter space and associated uncertainty.

3.4. BCCY-1987

Finally, we consider the most general five-parameter BCCY-1987 model (Fig. 7); the best fit parameter tuple (blue diamond) is $\mu_0 = 89.8$, $\mu_{\infty} = 3.03$, $\lambda = 14.2$, $n = 0.339 \ a = 2.15$ and the cost function $\ell^{\diamond} = 0.0196$. The fit is excellent, as for the Cross-1965 model, and as for the Yasuda-1979 model there is a minimum interior to the domain. However, the indeterminacy is arguably the worst of all models considered, with a large flat region in the top left corner of the parameter domain considered. An alternative point chosen at $\mu_0 = 350$, $\lambda = 107$ with optimized



Fig. 3. Numerical experimental results: synthetic data generated from, then fitted to the Carreau-1972 model. (a) Lognormal noise with standard deviation 0.02 was used to generate 50 points of synthetic data from the Carreau-1972 flow model with $\mu_0 = 150$, $\lambda = 100$, n = 0.45. (b) Cost function, optimized over *n* for each (μ_0 , λ) tuple for synthetic data generated over the shear rate range ($10^{-3}-10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$); (b) Cost function for 50 synthetic data points generated over the shear rate range ($10^{-2}-10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$).



Fig. 4. Results of fitting the Skalak et al. data with lognormal error with our 'Cross-Zero' model (Eq. (8), based on Cross-1965 with $\mu_{\infty} = 0$. Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_0, \lambda, \diamondsuit)$, with a blue diamond showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit. Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Cross-Zero model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively.



Fig. 5. Results of fitting the Skalak et al. data with lognormal error with the Cross-1965 model (Eq. (1)). Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_0, \lambda, \Diamond)$, with a blue diamond showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit. Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Cross-1965 model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 6. Results of fitting the Skalak et al. data with lognormal error with the Yasuda-1979 model (Eq. (3)). Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_0, \lambda, \diamond)$, with a blue diamond showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit. Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Cross-Zero model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 7. Results of fitting the Skalak et al. data with lognormal error with the BCCY-1987 model (Eq. (4)). Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_0, \lambda, \diamond)$, with a blue diamond showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit, and a red cross showing the arbitrarily chosen 'alternative' parameter choice. Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the BCCY-1987 model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for these parameter tuples shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

 μ_{∞} = 3.03, *n* = 0.334, *a* = 0.755 yields only a marginal increase in cost function value ℓ^{\times} = 0.0214 (red cross).

3.5. Other non-Newtonian effects

In this manuscript we have focussed on a class of purely viscous shear-thinning models with no plastic effects. One of the most commonly used viscoplastic models, that of Casson (1959), can be written as

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{\tau_0^{1/2}}{\dot{\gamma}^{1/2}} + \mu_{PL}^{1/2}\right)^2,\tag{10}$$

with parameters τ_0 and μ_{PL} representing the yield stress and "plastic viscosity" (Joye, 2003). Fig. 9 shows the fit of this two parameter model, where we see that the Casson model exhibits none of the indeterminacy problems of the other methods discussed in this paper.

4. Discussion

This paper considered the identification of model parameters from experimental data for various cases of what we have termed the Bird-Cross-Carreau-Yasuda class of steady shear-thinning rheological models, specifically applied to blood data. Given that all of the models considered exhibited significant uncertainty regarding parameter values (at least for the experimentally available shear range) – and in the case of the Carreau-1972 and Cross-1965 models the optimum value depended entirely on the specification of the search domain – it is clear that it is necessary to be cautious regarding the physical interpretation of the parameters derived from such a fit. While the flow index *n* was very consistent, the parameters μ_0 and λ are indeterminate and therefore cannot be used to draw conclusions about the zero shear viscosity or relaxation time of the fluid.

One may ask whether this parameter indeterminacy actually matters for flow simulation. After all, if one has an accurate model of the response of the fluid to a range of shear rates, why would the individual parameters used to produce this curve matter? To provide insight into this question, we computed pressure-driven axisymmetric pipe flow with the Carreau-1972 and BCCY-1987 models with each of the 'best fit' and 'alternative fit' parameter choices. The results are shown in Fig. 8. In all cases the pressure gradient was chosen as 10 dyn/cm (see Appendix B for solution details). For each case there is a significant relative difference between the flow profiles for each parameter fit. Parameter indeterminacy may therefore significantly affect flow predictions, particularly for flows involving low shear rates.

The rheology of shear-thinning fluids, and indeed the specific field of haemorheology, are much wider-ranging than the class of models and steady-shear experiments we have considered here. For a recent review, see Anand and Rajagopal (2017) and examples of recent studies on steady flows see Apostolidis and Beris (2014), and for time-dependent flows, extensional rheometry, and viscoplasticity, see Apostolidis et al. (2015), Kolbasov et al. (2016), and Papanastasiou (1987). The importance of the Bird-Cross-Carreau-Yasuda class of models is underscored by the fact that they have formed part of major works such as the highly cited papers of Perktold and Rappitsch (1995) and Gijsen et al. (1999). As such the matter of parameter identification for these models is important to address. Our investigation has shown that parameter fitting for this class of models is indeterminate for several key blood rheology data sets in the literature. Moreover, this is still an issue even for simulated high accuracy data over a very wide range of parameter sets. The implications of this indeterminacy are that parameter values for μ_0 and λ in particular cannot be physically interpreted, and predictions from pipe flow models may also be subject to uncertainty. In future studies - not just involving the BCCY class of models - it will be important to assess parameter sensitivity to have confidence in model predictions.



Fig. 8. Velocity profiles computed for pipe flow due to pressure gradient 10 dyn/cm for the best and alternative parameter fits for (a,b) Carreau-1972, (c,d) BCCY-1984. (a,c) pipe radius 0.1 cm and (b,d) pipe radius 1 cm.



Fig. 9. Results of fitting the Skalak et al. data with lognormal error with the Casson-1959 model (Eq. (10)). Panel (a) shows linearly spaced contours of the objective function $\ell(\mu_{pl}, \tau_0)$, with a blue star showing the location of the Matlab fmincon parameter fit. Panels (b) and (c) plot the fits of the Casson model to the data of Skalak et al. (1981) for this parameter pair shown on linear and logarithmic axes respectively.



Fig. 10. Likelihood surfaces optimized over *n* for the Carreau-1972 model with lognormal error, consistently showing extended flat regions. (a) Merrill et al., (b) Cokelet et al., (c) Huang et al.

Data accessibility

All data and code for generating the figures in this report can be accessed in the GitLab repository:

https://gitlab.com/meuriggallagher/nonnewtonianparamident

Conflict of interest statement

The authors confirm that there are no financial or personal relationship with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) this work.

Acknowledgements

M.T.G. and D.J.S. are supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council award EP/N021096/1. S.D. was supported by the London Mathematical Society Undergraduate Research Bursary 17-18 13. This work started with the Multiscale Biology Study Group, University of Birmingham (12-15th December 2016), which was jointly funded by POEMS (Predictive modelling for healthcare technology through maths - EP/ L001101/1) and MSB-Net (UK Multi-Scale Biology Network - BB/ M025888/1). The sponsors had no role in the study design. The authors gratefully the anonymous reviewers, particularly for suggesting the Casson model comparison (Fig. 9).

Appendix A. Indeterminacy of the Carreau-1972 model applied to other datasets

The parameter fitting to the Carreau-1972 model in Fig. 2a is applied to the combined data sets from Merrill et al. (1963), Cokelet et al. (1963) and Huang et al. (1973) in Fig. 10. Each of these data sets have been extracted from Ballyk et al. (1994) using GRABIT, Doke (2016).

Appendix B. Calculation of flow profiles for Carreau-1972 and BCCY-1987 models

The flow profiles in Fig. 8 were calculated in the following way. For each model the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ was calculated by solving for the Poiseuille profile

$$\mu(\dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} = \frac{Pr}{2}, \quad r \in [0, R)$$

for a pressure gradient P = 10 dyn/cm, and pipe radii R = 0.1 cm and R = 1 cm, after which the fluid velocity was obtained by integrating

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}r} = \dot{\gamma}.$$

Appendix C. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.01. 036.

References

- Anand, M., Rajagopal, K.R., 2017. A short review of advances in the modelling of blood rheology and clot formation. Fluids 2, 35.
- Apostolidis, A.J., Armstrong, M.J., Beris, A.N., 2015. Modeling of human blood rheology in transient shear flows. J. Rheol. 59, 275–298.
- Apostolidis, A.J., Beris, A.N., 2014. Modeling of the blood rheology in steady-state shear flows. J. Rheol. 58, 607–633.
- Ballyk, P.D., Steinman, D.A., Ethier, C.R., 1994. Simulation of non-newtonian blood flow in an end-to-side anastomosis. Biorheology 31, 565–586.
- Bird, R.B., Armstong, R.C., Hassager, O., 1987. Dynamics of polymeric liquids. Fluid Mechanics, 1st ed., vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc..
- Carreau, P.J., 1972. Rheological equations from molecular network theories. Trans. Soc. Rheol. 16, 99–127.
- Casson, N., 1959. Rheology of disperse systems. In: Proceedings of a Conference Organized by the British Society of Rheology. Pergamon Press, New York.
- Cokelet, G.R., Merrill, E.W., Gilliland, E.R., Shin, H., Britten, A., Wells Jr., R.E., 1963. The rheology of human blood-measurement near and at zero shear rate. Trans. Soc. Rheol. 7, 303–317.
- Cross, M.M., 1965. Rheology of non-Newtonian fluids: a new flow equation for pseudoplastic systems. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 20, 417–437.
- Doke, J., 2016. GRABIT. https://uk.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/ 7173-grabit. Accessed: 2018-09-24.
- Gijsen, F.J.H., van de Vosse, F.N., Janssen, J.D., 1999. The influence of the non-Newtonian properties of blood on the flow in large arteries: steady flow in a carotid bifurcation model. J. Biomech. 32, 601–608.
- Huang, C.R., King, R.G., Copley, A.L., 1973. Rheogoniometric studies of whole human blood at shear rates down to 0.0009 sec-1. Biorheology 10, 23–28.
- Joye, D.D., 2003. Shear rate and viscosity corrections for a casson fluid in cylindrical (couette) geometries. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 267, 204–210.
- Kolbasov, A., Comiskey, P.M., Sahu, R.P., Sinha-Ray, S., Yarin, A.L., Sikarwar, B.S., Kim, S., Jubery, T.Z., Attinger, D., 2016. Blood rheology in shear and uniaxial elongation. Rheol. Acta 55, 901–908.
- Leuprecht, A., Perktold, K., 2001. Computer simulation of non-newtonian effects on blood flow in large arteries. Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Eng. 4, 149– 163.
- Merrill, E.W., Gilliland, E.R., Cokelet, G., Shin, H., Britten, A., Wells Jr., R.E., 1963. Rheology of human blood, near and at zero flow. effects of temperature and haematocrit level. Biophys. J. 3, 199–213.
- Ostwald, W., 1925. Ueber die geschwindigkeitsfunktion der viskosität disperser systeme. i. Kolloid-Zeitschrift 36, 99–117.
- Papanastasiou, T.C., 1987. Flows of materials with yield. J. Rheol. 31, 385-404.
- Perktold, K., Rappitsch, G., 1995. Computer simulation of local blood flow and vessel mechanics in a compliant carotid artery bifurcation model. J. Biomech. 28, 845–856.
- Skalak, R., Keller, S.R., Secomb, T.W., 1981. Mechanics of blood flow. J. Biomech. Eng. 103, 102–115.
- de Waele, A., 1923. Viscometry and plastometry. J. Oil Colour Chemists' Assoc. 6, 33–69.
- Yasuda, K., 1979. Investigation of the analogies between viscometric and linear viscoelastic properties of polystyrene uids. Thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.