

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Standardization of complex biologically derived spectrochemical datasets
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/28305/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-019-0150-x
Date	2019
Citation	Morais, Camilo L M orcid iconORCID: 0000-0003-2573-787X, Paraskevaidi, Maria, Cui, Li, Fullwood, Nigel J, Isabelle, Martin, Lima, Kássio M G, Martin- Hirsch, Pierre L, Sreedhar, Hari, Trevisan, Júlio et al (2019) Standardization of complex biologically derived spectrochemical datasets. Nature Protocols, 14. pp. 1546-1577. ISSN 1754-2189
Creators	Morais, Camilo L M, Paraskevaidi, Maria, Cui, Li, Fullwood, Nigel J, Isabelle, Martin, Lima, Kássio M G, Martin-Hirsch, Pierre L, Sreedhar, Hari, Trevisan, Júlio, Walsh, Michael J, Zhang, Dayi, Zhu, Yong-Guan and Martin, Francis L

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-019-0150-x

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

Standardization of complex biologically-derived spectrochemical datasets

Camilo L.M. Morais^{a,*,1}, Maria Paraskevaidi^{a,*,1}, Li Cui^d, Nigel J Fullwood^b, Martin Isabelle^c, Kássio M.G. Lima^e, Pierre L. Martin-Hirsch^f, Hari Sreedhar^h, Júlio Trevisan^g, Michael J Walsh^h, Dayi Zhangⁱ, Yong-Guan Zhu^d, Francis L. Martin^{a,1}

^aSchool of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK; ^bDivision of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK; ^cSpectroscopy Products Division Renishaw plc, New Mills, Wotton-under-Edge, Gloucestershire GL12 8JR, UK; ^dKey Lab of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China; ^eInstitute of Chemistry, Biological Chemistry and Chemometrics, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, Natal 59072-970, Brazil; ^fDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation, Preston PR2 9HT, UK; ^gInstitute of Astronomy, Geophysics and Atmospheric Sciences, University of São Paulo, Cidade Universitária, R. do Matão, 1226 -Butantã, São Paulo - SP, 05508-090, Brazil; ^hDepartment of Pathology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA; ⁱSchool of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed: Email: <u>cdlmedeiros-de-morai@uclan.ac.uk;</u> Email: <u>mparaskevaidi@uclan.ac.uk;</u> Email: <u>flmartin@uclan.ac.uk;</u> Tel: +44 (0) 1772 89 6482 *Contributed equally

Abstract

Spectroscopic techniques, such as Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, are used to study the interaction of light with biological materials. This interaction forms the basis of many analytical assays used in disease screening and diagnosis, microbiological studies, forensic and environmental investigations. Advantages of spectrochemical analysis are its low cost, minimal sample preparation, non-destructive nature and substantially accurate results. However, there is now an urgent need for repetition and validation of these methods in large-scale studies and across different research groups, which would bring the method closer to clinical and/or industrial implementation. In order for this to succeed, it is important to understand and reduce the effect of random spectral alterations caused by inter-individual, inter-instrument and/or inter-laboratory variations, such as variations in air humidity and CO₂ levels, and the aging of instrumental parts. Thus, it is evident that spectral standardization is crucial for the widespread adoption of these spectrochemical technologies. By using calibration transfer procedures, where the spectral response of a secondary instrument is standardized to resemble the spectral response of a primary instrument, different sources of variations can be normalized into a single model using computational-based methods, such as direct standardization (DS) and piecewise direct standardization (PDS); therefore, measurements performed under different conditions can generate the same result, eliminating the need for a full recalibration. In this paper, we have constructed a protocol for model standardization using different transfer technologies described for FTIR spectrochemical applications. This is a critical step towards the construction of a practical spectrochemical analysis model for daily routine analysis, where uncertain and random variations are present.

Introduction

Vibrational spectroscopy has shown great promise as an analytical tool for the investigation of numerous sample types with wide applications in diverse sectors, such as biomedicine, pharmaceutics or environmental sciences¹⁻⁵. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy is one of the preferred techniques for identification of biomolecules through the study of their characteristic vibrational movements. Another commonly used approach is Raman spectroscopy, which provides complementary spectral information to IR. Raman spectroscopy exploits the inelastic scattering of light whereas IR studies light absorption. Both methods have their benefits and drawbacks. A limitation of IR, for instance, is that water generates undesired peaks at the region of interest, which can mask important biological information, and therefore extra sample preparation and/or spectral processing is necessary. On the contrary, Raman spectroscopy has an inherently weak signal and fluorescence interference, which can, however, be addressed by optimizing the experimental settings or by applying enhancement techniques to increase the Raman signal. For the purposes of this protocol we have used FTIR spectroscopy to demonstrate our standardization model.

Using chemometric approaches, the system is trained to recognize unique spectral features within a sample, so that when unknown samples are introduced an accurate classification is feasible. Alterations in the measurement parameters could interfere with the spectral signature and produce random variations. Therefore, a crucial step is spectral correction, or standardization, which would provide comparable results and allow system transferability. The idea is that nonbiological variations, such as those arising from different users, locations or instruments, will no longer affect the classification result; therefore any collected data could be imported into a central database and handled for further exploration or diagnostic purposes. Several groups and companies worldwide are developing spectrochemical approaches for diagnosis, discrimination and monitoring of diseases, as well as for other uses. Combination of multiple datasets would facilitate the conduction of large-scale studies which are still lacking in the field of bio-spectroscopy.

Sensor-based technologies

Sensor-based technologies are an integral part of daily life ranging from locating sensorbased technology, such as global positioning system (GPS)⁶, to image biosensors, such as X-rays^{7-¹⁰ and γ -rays¹¹⁻¹³, which are used extensively for medical applications. Other powerful approaches that make use of sensor-based technologies toward medical disease examination and diagnostics include circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy¹⁴⁻¹⁷, ultraviolet (UV) or visible spectroscopy^{18,19}, fluorescence²⁰⁻²⁴, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy²⁵⁻²⁹ and ultrasound (US) ^{7,30-³³.}}

Over the last two decades, optical biosensors employing vibrational spectroscopy, particularly IR spectroscopy, have seen tremendous progress in biomedical and biological research. A number of studies using the above-mentioned methods have focused on cancer investigation with malignancies such as brain³⁴⁻³⁷, breast³⁸⁻⁴⁰, oesophagus^{41,42}, skin⁴³⁻⁴⁷, colorectal⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰, lung⁵¹⁻⁵³, ovarian⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸, endometrial^{55,59,60}, cervical⁶¹⁻⁶⁴ and prostate⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸ cancer being some of them. Non-cancerous diseases have also been examined, namely neurodegenerative disorders⁶⁹⁻⁷², HIV/AIDS⁷³, diabetes⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶, rheumatoid arthritis^{77,78}, cardiovascular diseases^{79,80}, malaria⁸¹⁻⁸³, alkaptonuria⁸⁴, cystic fibrosis⁸⁵, thalassemia⁸⁶, prenatal disorders^{87,88}, macular degeneration^{89,90}, atherosclerosis^{80,91} and osteoarthritis⁹²⁻⁹⁴.

Limitations

Spectrochemical approaches are advantageous when compared with traditional molecular methods as they provide a holistic status of the sample under interrogation, thus generating typical spectral regions widely known as "fingerprint regions". These methods have also been shown to be rapid, inexpensive and non-destructive while they also improve diagnostic performance and eliminate subjective diagnosis (*e.g.*, histopathological diagnosis), where inter- and intra-observer variability are present⁹⁵. However, like any other analytical method, vibrational spectroscopy also comes with some limitations. For instance, prior to FTIR studies, optimization of instrumental settings, sample preparation and operation mode also needs to be conducted in order to improve the spectral quality and molecular sensitivity^{4,96,97}. Overall, the above-mentioned barriers can be overcome after careful consideration of the experimental design.

A considerable limitation that is yet under-investigated in the field of spectrochemical techniques is associated with the difficulties entailed in data conformation and system standardization. Currently, there are multiple pilot studies showing promising results but an approach towards standardization for biological applications is lacking. Random variation between studies can originate from differences in instrumentation, operators, and environmental conditions, such as room temperature and humidity.

The main objective of this article is to present a protocol for model standardization which can be applied in FTIR spectrochemical techniques to rule out the chance of random spectral alterations. Inter-individual, inter-instrument, inter-sample and/or inter-laboratory variations can be a source of unwanted, non-biological alterations, thus leading to incorrect conclusions. However, for a method to become reliable and clinically translatable, it is important that measurements performed under different conditions generate comparable results. The aim of the spectral standardization model presented here is to expedite multi-centre studies with large numbers of samples; this would bring these spectrochemical techniques closer to clinical implementation and facilitate life-changing decisions. We describe a protocol that has four main components: (i) sample preparation, (ii) spectral acquisition, (iii) data pre-processing and (iv) model standardization. The current protocol has an in-depth insight obtained from cross-laboratory collaborations with leading experts in the field. This article offers a step-by-step procedure, which can be implemented by a non-specialist in spectrochemical studies. For further information about instrumental and software options, spectral acquisition steps and data analysis for a range of different analytical systems the reader is directed towards additional protocols^{4,98-105}.

Applications

Spectrochemical approaches, in combination with computational analysis, have been proven to be effective for biomedical research through facilitating the diagnosis, classification, prognosis, treatment stratification and modulation or monitoring of a disease and treatment. However, these techniques are widely applicable to other fields as well, namely food industry¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁹, toxicology^{2,110-112}, microbiology¹¹³⁻¹¹⁸, forensics¹¹⁹⁻¹²³, pharmacy^{2,3,124}, environmental and plant science¹²⁵⁻¹²⁷, as well as defence and security¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰. Applications of standardization algorithms vary according to the spectral technique and sample matrix studied, and have been mostly applied to Raman and Fourier-transform near-infrared (FT-NIR) spectroscopy. Table 1 summarizes some standardization applications.

Sample matrix	Spectroscopic technique	Aim	Ref
Tissue	Raman	Standardization of various perturbations on Raman spectra for diagnosis of breast cancer based on snap frozen	131
		tissues	
	Raman	Standardization of spectra acquired in 3 different sites for analysing oesophageal samples based on snap frozen	132
		tissues	
Cells	Raman	Standardization of spectra acquired with 4 different instruments for classification of three different cultured spore	133
		species	
Biofluids	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 3 different instruments for measuring haematocrit in the blood of	134
		grazing cattle	
	LC-MS	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for mapping rendition times and matching	135
		metabolite features of subjects diagnosed with small cell lung cancer based on blood serum and plasma samples	
		analysis	
Pharmaceutical materials	Raman	Standardization of spectra acquired with 5 different instruments for analysing various pharmaceutical excipients,	136
		active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) and common contaminants	127
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for simultaneous determination of rifampicin	137
		and isoniazid in pharmaceutical formulations	138
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for predicting content of 654 pharmaceutical	136
F 1		tablets	138
Food	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 3 different instruments for predicting parameters in corn samples	138
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for predicting vitemin C in powel errors	140
		Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for predicting vitamin C in navel orange	140
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra recorded in 4 different labs for determining moisture, proteins and oil content in soy seeds	141
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired by a benchtop and portable instrument for determining total soluble solid	142
		contents in single grape berry	
	UV-Vis	Standardization of visible spectra acquired with 3 different instruments for measuring pH of Sala mango	143
Plant	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for predicting baicalin contents in radix	139
		scutellariae samples	
	FT-NIR	Standardization of spectra acquired by 2 different instruments and in three physical states (powder, filament and	144
		intact leaf) for determining total sugars, reducing sugars and nicotine in tobacco leaf samples	
	NMR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 3 different instruments for authenticity control of sunflower lecithin	145
Cosmetic	CD spectroscopy	Standardization of spectra acquired between standard and real-world samples for determining Pb ²⁺ in cosmetic	146
		samples	
Inorganic substances	FT-IR	Standardization of interferogram spectra acquired with 2 instruments for classifying acetone and SF ₆ samples	147
Fuel	FT-IR	Standardization of spectra acquired with 2 different instruments for predicting density of crude oil samples	148

Table 1. Examples of applications involving standardization techniques.

3 Model transferability

Transferability models have been previously developed, however this is still an under-4 investigated field, especially for biomedical applications. These models use computer-based 5 methods to standardize spectral data generated across different experimental settings (e.g., 6 7 different instruments, operators or laboratories). An inclusive standardization protocol that could be implemented in a range of different spectrochemical approaches is of great need. 8 Differences are present even between identical instruments; for instance, changes in signal 9 intensity caused by replacement, alignment or ageing of optical and spectrometer components, 10 11 natural variations in optics and detectors construction, changes in measurement conditions 12 (temperature and humidity), changes in physical constitution of the sample (particle size and surface texture) and operator discrepancies could all lead to wavenumber shifts and artefacts 13 in the spectra. In all of these cases, prediction errors of the estimated group categories (e.g., 14 whether the sample is classified as healthy or cancerous) can become very large, especially 15 16 when the whole spectrum is used in the model. Standardization techniques aim to generate a uniform spectral response under differing conditions, ensuring the interchangeability of results 17 obtained in different situations, without having to perform a full calibration for each situation. 18

19 Previous standardization methods include the use of simple slope and bias correction^{149,150}, direct standardization (DS)¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵⁵, piecewise direct standardization 20 (PDS)^{149,156-158}, piecewise linear discriminant analysis (PLDA)¹⁴⁷, guided model 21 reoptimization (GMR)¹⁵⁸, back-propagation neural network (BNN)¹⁴⁷, generalized least 22 squares weighting (GLSW)¹⁵⁹, model updating (MU)^{160,161}, orthogonal signal correction 23 (OSC)^{162,163}, orthogonal projections to latent structures (OPLS)¹⁴⁸, wavelet hybrid direct 24 standardization (WHDS)¹⁵⁷, maximum likelihood PCA (MLPCA)¹⁶⁴, Shenk and Westerhaus 25 method (SW)^{165,166}, positive matrix factorization (PMF)^{167,168}, artificial neural networks (ANN) 26 drift correction¹⁶⁹, transfer via extreme learning machine auto-encoder method (TEAM)¹⁷⁰, 27

calibration transfer based on the maximum margin criterion (CTMMC)¹⁷¹, calibration transfer
based on canonical correlation analysis (CTCCA)¹⁷² and calibration methods, such as
wavenumber offset correction, instrument response correction and baseline correction¹³². In
this protocol, we use direct standardization (DS) and piecewise direct standardization (PDS),
because they are the most common methods for spectral standardization.

Direct standardization. DS is one of the most used methods for data standardization. It was initially proposed to correct relatively large spectral differences between data collected from the same sample measured by two different instruments¹⁴⁹. In DS, the entire spectrum from a new secondary response (*e.g.*, a different instrument) is transformed to resemble the spectrum from the primary source (*e.g.*, original instrument)¹⁵¹. This is performed based on a linear relationship between the data acquired under different circumstances¹⁶⁰:

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{F} \tag{01}$$

40 where S_1 represents the data acquired for the primary response; S_2 represents the data acquired 41 for the secondary response; and **F** is the transformation matrix that maintains the relationship 42 between S_1 and S_2 .

43 The transformation matrix **F** is estimated in a least-squares sense by¹⁷³:

$$\mathbf{44} \quad \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S}_2^+ \mathbf{S}_1 \tag{02}$$

45 where S_2^+ is the pseudo-inverse of S_2 , calculated by:

46
$$\mathbf{S}_2^+ = (\mathbf{S}_2^T \mathbf{S}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_2^T$$
 (03)

47 in which T stands for the matrix transpose operation.

48 Then, when samples are measured under the secondary system, the signals generated X
49 are transformed to resemble the primary system response by¹⁶⁰:

50
$$\widehat{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{F}$$

51 wh

where $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}$ is the standardized response for \mathbf{X} .

Problems related to different background information between instruments can affect the standardization procedure. To correct for this, the standardization process is usually adapted with the background correction method¹⁷³, in which the transformation matrix described in Eq. 02 is calculated with a background correction factor (\mathbf{F}_{b}) and an additive background correction vector \mathbf{b}_{s} as follows:

57
$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{F}_b + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{b}_s^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(05)

58 where **1** is an all-ones vector and \mathbf{b}_s is obtained by:

$$\mathbf{b}_{\mathrm{s}} = \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{1m}} - \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{2m}} \tag{06}$$

60 in which \mathbf{s}_{1m} is the mean vector of \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{s}_{2m} is the mean vector of \mathbf{S}_2 .

61 One of the key steps for DS is the selection of the number of samples to transfer (called "transfer samples"). These are samples' spectra from the primary system (\mathbf{S}_1) that will be used 62 to transform the signal obtained using the secondary system (S_2) . The transfer samples are 63 obtained from a same cohort of samples (e.g., plasma samples) measured in the two instruments 64 (primary and secondary systems). Usually, the procedure for selecting transfer samples is based 65 on sample selection techniques, such as Kennard-Stone (KS) algorithm¹⁷⁴ or leverage¹⁴⁹. 66 Subsequently, the number of transfer samples is evaluated using a validation set through an 67 arbitrary cost function. For quantification applications, a common cost function is the root-68 mean-square error of prediction, while for classification one can use the misclassification rate. 69

A disadvantage of DS is that each transformed variable is calculated using the whole
spectrum, which carries a high risk of overfitting. The estimation of F in Eq. (02) is an ill-

conditioned problem, because the number of variables (*e.g.*, wavenumber) may be much larger
than the number of standard samples.

74 Piecewise direct standardization. PDS is another standardization procedure commonly employed for system transferability. It is based on DS, however it uses windows (e.g., 75 wavenumber portions) to make the standardization process more suitable for smaller regions 76 of the data. When compared to DS, PDS is calculated by using the transformation matrix \mathbf{F} 77 with most of its off-diagonal elements set to zero¹⁴⁹. With this, PDS fits minor spectral 78 79 modifications not covered by DS. PDS is the technique of preference for correcting smaller 80 spectral variations, such as small wavelengths shift, intensity variations, and bands enlargement and reduction¹⁴⁹. In addition, an advantage of PDS compared to DS is that the local rank of 81 each window will be smaller than the rank of the whole data matrix, which means that the 82 number of standard samples can be smaller, and indeed good results have been obtained with 83 very few samples. 84

One disadvantage of PDS is the need of an additional optimization process, because in addition to the number of transfer samples, PDS also needs a window size optimization, which might lead to a risk of overfitting. In this protocol, window size optimization is made using a cost function expressed as the misclassification rate calculated for each window size tested, being evaluated using a validation set where the window with smaller misclassification is selected for final model construction.

91 Experimental Design

Any study using vibrational spectroscopy, follows these general steps: careful experimental design, protocol optimisation and development of experimental procedure document, sample collection and preparation, spectral collection, pre-processing of the derived information and lastly the use of chemometrics for exploratory, classification and

standardization purposes. FTIR spectroscopy is described in more detail in this study, however,
the standardization protocol described here can be adapted to a range of techniques, including
attenuated total reflection (ATR-FTIR), transmission and transflection FTIR, near-IR (NIR),
UV-visible, NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry (MS). Nevertheless, intrinsic features
of each technique should be taken into consideration before standardization and the protocol
may change depending on the application of interest.

A number of biological samples can be analyzed with the above-mentioned analytical methods such as tissues, cytological materials or biological fluids. Sample type and preparation may differ depending on the technique that is employed each time. For instance, IR spectroscopy is limited by water interference at the fingerprint region that can mask the signal of the analyte close to the water peak. This could be addressed with an extra step of sample drying, in contrast to Raman spectroscopy, for example, where water does not generate signal in this region.

Typical steps for sample preparation, acquisition of spectra and data pre-processing are briefly presented here. However, the main focus of this protocol is placed on the calibration transfer and standardization procedures. Readers are directed to additional literature for more detailed information regarding sample format and preparation^{4,98-100,105,175-177}, suitability of substrates^{4,99}, instrumentation settings^{4,98,99,105,175,177,178} or available software packages (Table 2) and manufacturers^{4,99}.

Software	Website	Description	Availability
PLS_Toolbox	http://www.eigenvector.com/	MATLAB toolbox for chemometric	Commercial
		analysis. Contains standardization	
		routines using DS, PDS, double	
		window PDS, spectral subspace	
		transformation, GLSW, OSC, and	
		alignment of matrices.	
Unscrambler® X	http://www.camo.com/	Software for multivariate data analysis and design of experiments.	Commercial

			Contains standardization routines using interpolation, bias and slope correction, and PDS.	
OPU	JS	https://www.bruker.com/	Spectral acquisition software with	Commercial
			data processing features. Contains a standardization routine using PDS.	
Pirc	ouette®	https://infometrix.com/	Chemometrics modelling software.	Commercial
			Contains standardization routines using DS and PDS.	

116

117 Experimental design: sampling

Sample preparation. Biological samples have been studied extensively with spectrochemical 118 119 techniques for disease research. Tissue specimens can be analysed fresh, snap-frozen or formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE). Fresh or snap-frozen histology sections are 120 preferable as they are devoid of contaminants whereas FFPE treatment contributes to 121 characteristic peaks, hindering the biological information. FFPE tissues can be deparaffinized 122 either by chemical methods (*e.g.*, incubation in xylene, hexane or Histo-Clear solutions)⁴, 123 which can alter tissue structures and be inefficient for the complete wax removal¹⁷⁹, or by 124 applying chemometrics $(e.g., digital dewaxing)^{180,181}$, which keeps the tissue intact but might 125 introduce artefacts due to over- or under-estimation of the wax contribution¹⁷⁹. 126

Fixatives, such as ethanol, methanol or formalin, are often used for the preservation of cytological material, also generating strong peaks and interfering with the spectra; thus, a washing step is crucial before spectroscopic interrogation. Fixation in tissue or cells for preservation purposes generates protein cross-linking which can cause changes in the spectra, especially on the Amide I peak¹⁸². Alternatively, cells can be studied live after washing from residual medium.

Preparation and pre-treatment of biological fluids depend on the sample type. Some of the biofluids that have been previously used in spectroscopic studies include blood (whole blood, plasma or serum), urine, sputum, saliva, tears, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), synovial fluid, ascitic fluid or amniotic fluid¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁵. An initial centrifugation step should precede analysis in

cases where the cells present in these fluids are not the focus of the study; the supernatant could 137 then be kept for further analysis. In blood-based studies, the user should also consider the 138 anticoagulant of preference (e.g., EDTA, citrate or heparin) as it could generate unwanted 139 spectral peaks¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁸⁸. Careful planning of experiments as well as consistence throughout a study 140 are of great importance for the generation of robust results. Care should be taken to generate 141 samples that are stable, since the spectral differences between the data collected under different 142 143 situations (e.g., different instruments or temperature) should be directly related to the difference between the systems and not a change caused by chemical or physical degradation of the 144 145 samples. Optimal sample thickness, suitability of substrates and sample formats can differ from one analytical technique to another and thus the user should decide and tailor these according 146 to the study's objective (a list with appropriate substrates is given in the Materials-Equipment 147 section). Another consideration is the number of freeze-thaw cycles and long-term storage as 148 these could compromise the integrity of the samples^{186,189}. Preferably, FFPE tissue samples 149 should be analysed after thorough dewaxing and freeze-thaw cycles or long-term storage 150 avoided since these could result in many confounding factors for analysis. 151

152 Spectral acquisition. Depending on the study's objective, FTIR spectral information can be153 collected using either point spectra or imaging.

FTIR spectra can be collected in different operational modes, namely ATR-FTIR, 154 155 transmission or transflection. Instrument parameters such as resolution, aperture size, interferometer mirror velocity and co-additions have to be optimised before acquisition of 156 spectra to achieve high SNR^{4,98}. Metal surfaces can also be used to increase the IR signal in a 157 technique known as surface-enhanced IR absorption (SEIRA)^{190,191}. As water interference can 158 mask biological information in IR spectra, the user can purge the spectrometer with dry air or 159 nitrogen gas to reduce the internal humidity of the instrument, or use computational analysis to 160 remove the water signature. In addition, samples should be dried until all water content 161

evaporates; however, drying of a sample is not without consequences, since chemical changes
may occur such as loss of volatile compounds. A background sample is collected regularly to
account for any changes in the atmospheric or instrument conditions.

For analysing homogenous samples (e.g., biofluids), measurements can be performed 165 by acquiring spectra on different regions of the centre of a drop and across its borders. In 166 167 transmission measurements, the sample can be measured raw or diluted. Usually, 10 spectra are collected per sample. A higher number of spectral replicas can be performed to decrease 168 the standard-deviation (SD) between measurements, since the SD is proportion to $1/\sqrt{n}$, where 169 n is the number of replicas. For heterogeneously distributed samples (e.g., tissues), spectra 170 171 should be acquired covering the sample surface as uniformly as possible, to ensure that all 172 sources of variation in the samples are stored in the spectral data. Samples replicas are also recommended at least as triplicates. For precision estimation, at least six replicates at three 173 levels should be performed. The minimum number of samples for analysis can be estimated 174 using a power test at an 80% power¹⁹². Further details regarding sampling methodologies for 175 analysing biological materials using FT-IR spectroscopy can be found in our previous 176 protocols^{4,98}. 177

178 Experimental design: data quality evaluation

Before processing, the data can be assessed to identify presence of anomalous behaviours or biased patterns. This can be made initially by visual inspection (*e.g.*, identification of very anomalous spectra) followed by Hotelling T² versus Q residuals charts using only the mean-centred spectra. PCA residuals¹⁹³ can be explored to identify biased patterns, in which heteroscedastic distributions are signs of biased experimental measurements; while homoscedastic distributions are associated with good sampling. SNR can be estimated by dividing the power (*P*) of signal by the power of noise, that is SNR = P_{signal}/P_{noise} = 186 $(A_{signal}/A_{noise})^2$, where *A* is the amplitude; or by the inverse of the coefficient of variation, 187 when only non-negative variables are measured. Collinearity can be evaluated by calculation 188 of the condition number, which is a matrix calculation that measures how sensitive the result 189 is to perturbations in the input data (*i.e.*, spectra) and to roundoff errors made during the 190 solution process. This value is naturally high for spectral data (high collinearity).

191 Experimental design: pre-processing

Data pre-processing is used to maximise the SNR. This process is fundamental for correcting physical interferences, such as light scattering, different sample thickness, different optical paths and instrumental noise. Therefore, the pre-processing step has fundamental importance to highlight the signal of interest, reduce interferences and possibly correct anomalous samples.

For standardization applications, the pre-processing step is also important for reducing 197 differences between the different systems that are used. Before any additional pre-processing, 198 the spectrum should be trunctated to the biofingerprint region (e.g., 900-1800 cm⁻¹) before 199 200 analysis. This region contains the main absorptions from biochemical compounds and it suffers only minor effects of environmental variability, such as air humidity (free vO-H = 3650-3600201 cm⁻¹, hydrogen-bonded vO-H = 3400 - 3300 cm⁻¹) and air CO₂ (v_sCO₂ = 2350 cm⁻¹)¹⁹⁴. Table 202 203 3 summarizes the main pre-processing techniques for correcting noise in biologically-derived 204 datasets.

Table 3. Main pre-processing used for biologically-derived datasets.

Pre-processing	Interfering	Technique	Advantage	Disadvantage	Optimization
Savitzky-Golay smoothing ¹⁹⁵	Instrumental noise	ATR-FTIR, FTIR, NIR, Raman, NMR, UV-Vis	Corrects spectral noise without changing the shape of data significantly	The polynomial order and window size for polynomial fit affects the result	The polynomial function should have an order similar to the spectral data (<i>e.g.</i> , 2^{nd} order polynomial function for IR data) and the window size should be an odd number and not too small (keeping the noise) or too large (changing the spectral shape)
Multiplicative scatter correction (MSC) ¹⁹⁶	Light scattering (Mie scattering), different pressure over the sample when using ATR or probe, different lengths of optical path	ATR-FTIR, FTIR, NIR, Raman, NMR, UV-Vis	Corrects light scattering maintaining the same spectral shape and signal scale	Need of a reference spectrum representative of all measurements	The reference spectrum is regularly set as the average spectrum across all training samples
Standard normal variate (SNV) ¹⁹⁷	Light scattering (Mie scattering), different pressure over the sample when using ATR or probe, different lengths of optical path	ATR-FTIR, FTIR, NIR, Raman, NMR, UV-Vis	Corrects light scattering maintaining the same spectral shape	Creates negative signals since the data are centralized to zero (y- scale)	
Spectral differentiation ¹⁹⁵	Light scattering (Mie scattering), different pressure over the sample when using ATR or probe, different lengths of optical path, background absorption interfering	ATR-FTIR, FTIR, NIR, Raman, NMR, UV-Vis	Corrects light scattering and baseline problems; highlights smaller spectral differences	Changes the signal scale, shifts the data and increases noise	The order of the derivative function should be used carefully to avoid increased noise (usually 1 st or 2 nd order differentiation is preferred). The differentiation can be coupled to Savitzky-Golay smoothing
Baseline correction ¹⁹⁸	Background absorption interfering	ATR-FTIR, FTIR, NIR, Raman, NMR, UV-Vis, MS	Corrects the baseline maintaining the same spectral shape		There are many methods for baseline correction (<i>e.g.</i> , rubber band, automatic weighted least squares, Whittaker filter). The method chosen should be maintained consistent for all

systems used

and concentration	Raman	desired signals among the	hide signal differences	
		samples	between samples at	
			important bands, such as	
			Amide I and Amide II; and	
			also may introduce non-	
			linearities	
				samples between samples at important bands, such as Amide I and Amide II; and also may introduce non-

Figure 1 shows the effect of a pre-processing approach employed for a blood plasma dataset acquired under different experimental conditions (*i.e.*, different systems and operators). In this Figure, the reduction of the spectral differences between the systems is evident after data pre-processing (Savitzky-Golay smoothing, MSC, baseline correction and normalization).

After pre-processing (Table 3), a scaling step should be done, because most classification methods require all the variables (*e.g.*, wavenumbers) in the dataset to be at the same scale in order to work properly.

For spectral data, mean-centring (also referred as "standardization" by Hastie et al.¹⁹⁹) is a very reasonable approach, after which all variables in the dataset will have zero mean. When data contain values represented by different scales (*e.g.*, after data fusion using both IR and Raman spectra), block-scaling should be used, where each block of data (*i.e.*, data from each instrumental technique) would have the same sum-of-squares (normally after meancentring).

Another important aspect of pre-processing is the order in which each step is applied. Pre-processing should be employed in a logical order so that the next pre-processing step is not affected by the previous one. For example, pure spectral differentiation cannot be employed before smoothing, since the spectral differentiation will increase the original noise. Therefore, smoothing should be applied before differentiation. Albeit, Savitzky-Golay routine incorporates smoothing and spectral differentiation so, in practical terms, these can be performed together. To summarise, the suggested order of pre-processing is as follows:

227 1. Spectral Truncation

228 2. Smoothing

229 3. Light scattering correction

230 4. Baseline correction

231

5. Normalization

6. Scaling

Further details about these pre-processing steps are provided in "Procedure: Data preprocessing" section. When using different instruments but same type of sample, the preprocessing steps should be the same for the data acquired under different circumstances.

236 Experimental design: data analysis

Sample splitting. Sample splitting is fundamental for constructing a predictive chemometric 237 model. It consists of a data analysis step performed before construction of a chemometric 238 model, in which a portion of the samples are assigned to a training set, while the remaining 239 samples are assigned to a validation and/or test set. The training set is used for model 240 241 construction, the validation set for model optimization, and the test set for final model evaluation. The process of dividing the samples in three sets can be performed manually or by 242 computer-based methodologies. Manual splitting can generate biased results, therefore we 243 244 recommend a computational-based split instead. Some examples of these include random selection, leverage¹⁴⁹ or the KS algorithm¹⁷⁴. KS works based on Euclidian distance calculation 245 by firstly assigning the sample with the maximum distance to all other samples to the 246 calibration set, and then by selecting the samples which are as far away as possible from the 247 selected samples to this set, until the designed number of selected samples is reached. This 248 ensures that the calibration model will contain samples that uniformly cover the complete 249 sample space, where no or minimal extrapolation of the remaining samples are necessary; 250 avoiding problems of manual or random selection, such as non-reproducibility and non-251 252 representative selection. Usually, the dataset is split with 70% of the samples assigned for training, 15% for validation and 15% for test. In this case, the test set is dependent on the initial 253 254 group of samples measured, and it is not a regular independent test set where a new set of 255 similar samples are measured.

Exploratory analysis. Exploratory analysis is an important tool to provide an initial 256 assessment of the data. Using exploratory analysis, the analyst can see the clustering patterns 257 and then draw conclusions related to the nature of samples, outliers and experimental errors. 258 One of the most common techniques for exploratory analysis is principal component analysis 259 (PCA), in which the original data are decomposed into a few principal components (PCs) 260 responsible for most of the variance within the original dataset. The PCs are orthogonal to each 261 262 other and are generated in a decreasing order of explained variance, so that the first PC represents most of the original data variance, followed by the second PC and so on²⁰⁰. 263 264 Mathematically the decomposition takes the form:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{E} \tag{07}$$

where **X** represents the pre-processed data (*e.g.*, pre-processed samples' spectra); **T** are the scores; **P** are the loadings; and **E** are the residuals.

The PCA scores represent the variance in the sample direction and they are used to 268 assess similarities/dissimilarities among the samples, thus detecting clustering patterns. The 269 270 PCA loadings represent the variance in the variable (*e.g.*, wavenumber) direction and they are used to detect which variables show the highest importance for the pattern observed on the 271 scores. The PCA loadings are commonly employed as a tool for searching spectral markers 272 that distinguish different biological classes²⁰¹. The PCA residuals represent the difference 273 between the decomposed and original data and can be used to identify experimental errors. 274 Ideally, the PCA residuals should be random and close to zero, representing a heteroscedastic 275 distribution. Otherwise, they can indicate experimental bias according to a homoscedastic 276 distribution. 277

For standardization applications, PCA is a fast, intuitive and reliable tool to observe if there are differences between the spectra acquired by different systems. Ideally, if the same

sample is measured under different conditions (different laboratories, instrument 280 manufacturers or user operators) their PCA scores should be random and completely 281 superposed. If a discrimination pattern is observed on the PCA scores, then it is indicative that 282 the data need standardization. Figure 2 illustrates a PCA scores plot from the same samples 283 (blood plasma of healthy controls) measured using three IR instruments before (Fig. 2a) and 284 after (Fig. 2b) PDS. Even though the samples in Fig. 2a are pre-processed, three different 285 clusters are still evident. After PDS the samples measured using different systems are 286 normalized into a single cluster. 287

Outlier detection. Outlier detection is important to prevent samples, which differ from the original dataset, from affecting the results using predictive models. Outliers can be attributed to experimental errors, such as inconsistent sample preparation or spectral acquisition, or to larger experimental noise, such as Johnson noise, shot noise, flicker noise and environmental noise. These samples can have large leverage for classification, masking the real signal from the samples of interest; therefore, it is advised that they be removed from the dataset used to train the predictive model.

To detect outliers, techniques such as Jack-knife²⁰², Z-score²⁰³ or K-modes clustering²⁰⁴ 295 can be utilised among others²⁰⁵. One of the most popular and visually intuitive technique for 296 detecting outliers is the Hotelling $T^2 vs Q$ residual test²⁰⁶. In this test, a chart is created using 297 the Hotelling T^2 values in x-axis and the Q residuals in the y-axis, generating a scatter plot. The 298 Hotelling T^2 represents the sum of the normalized squared scores, which is the distance from 299 the multivariate mean to the projection of the sample onto the PCs²⁰⁷. The Q residuals represent 300 the sum of squares of each sample in the error matrix, thus measuring the residues between a 301 sample and its projection onto the PCs²⁰⁷. All samples far from the origin of this graph are 302 considered outliers and should be removed one at a time, as the PCA is highly influenced by 303 the samples that are included in the model. Samples with high values in both Hotelling T^2 and 304

Q residuals are the worst outliers; while samples with high values in only one of these axis are
the second worst outliers. Supplementary Method 1 illustrates an example for outlier detection.
Squared confidence limits can be draw based on this graph; however, this can hinder outlier
detection. For example, if the confidence limits is set at a 95% level, certain amount of datapoints (5%) should be statistically outside these boundaries.

Classification. Classification techniques are employed for sample discrimination. Using chemometric analysis, one can distinguish classes of samples based on their spectral features and then make further predictions based on these. The prediction capability of a classification model should be evaluated with external samples (unknown samples) through the calculation of figures of merit, including accuracy (proportion of samples correctly classified considering true positives and true negatives), sensitivity (proportion of positives that are correctly identified) and specificity (proportion of negatives that are correctly identified)²⁰⁸.

There are many types of classification techniques for spectral data. Table 4 summarizes the main classification techniques employed for bio-spectroscopy applications, along with their advantages and disadvantages.

Table 4. Classification techniques.

Classification Technique	Advantage	Disadvantage
Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) ²⁰⁹	Simplicity, fast calculation	Needs data reduction, does not account for classes having different variance structures, greatly affected by classes having different sizes
Quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) ²⁰⁹	Fast calculation, accounts for classes having different variance structures, not much affected by classes having different sizes	Needs data reduction, higher risk of overfitting
Partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) ²¹⁰	Fast calculation, high accuracy	Greatly affected by classes having different sizes, needs optimization of the number of latent variables (LVs)
K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) ²¹¹	Simplicity, non-parametric, suitable for large datasets	Time consuming, needs optimization of the distance calculation method and k value, highly sensitive to the "curse of dimensionality" ¹⁹⁹
Support vector machines (SVM) ²¹²	Non-linear classification nature, high accuracy	High complexity, high risk of overfitting, needs optimization of kernel function and SVM parameters, time consuming

Artificial neural networks (ANN) ²¹³	Non-linear classification nature, ability to work with incomplete knowledge, high accuracy	High computational cost, needs optimization of the number of neurons and layers, no interpretability ("black box" model)
Random forests ²¹⁴	Non-linear classification nature, high accuracy, relatively low computational cost	High risk of overfitting, needs optimization of the number of trees, no interpretability ("black box" model)
Deep learning approaches ²¹⁵	Non-linear classification nature, native feature extraction (e.g., in convolutional neural networks (CNN)), local spatial coherence (CNN), high accuracy	High computational cost, needs hyperparameter optimization, needs large datasets, time consuming, no interpretability ("black box" model)

321

When employing classification techniques, one must follow a parsimony order²¹⁶, where the simplest algorithms should be used first, reducing the need for more complex algorithms which would require more optimization steps. An order for using these classification algorithms is: LDA>PLS-DA>QDA>KNN>SVM>ANN>Random forests>Deep learning approaches, from the simplest to the most complex.

Classification algorithms can be coupled to feature extraction and feature selection 327 328 techniques in order to reduce data collinearity/redundancy, thus reducing the risk of overfitting in the classifier training, and speeding up such training, as there are less variables involved. 329 An additional benefit of such a feature extraction/selection step is to provide spectral markers 330 331 identification as a "side-effect" (depending on the feature extraction/selection method applied). For feature extraction, the most popular technique is PCA. In this case, a PCA is firstly applied 332 to the data, and then the PCA scores are used as the input variables (instead of the wavenumbers 333 data points) for the classification techniques mentioned above²¹⁷. PLS-DA is also a feature 334 extraction technique²¹⁰, and normally it performs better than a PCA followed by LDA, as the 335 scores from a PCA does not necessarily describe the difference between the samples, but rather 336 the variance in the data. In PLS-DA, a partial least squares (PLS) model is applied to the data 337 in an interactive process reducing the original variables to a few number of LVs, where a LDA 338 is used for classifying the groups²¹⁸. Other discriminant classifiers, in particular QDA, also 339 could be used in this classification step to circumvent problems observed with LDA. For feature 340

selection, there are many techniques commonly employed in biological datasets, including 341 genetic algorithm $(GA)^{219}$ and successive projections algorithm $(SPA)^{220}$. The variables (e.g., 342 wavenumbers) selected by these techniques are used as input variables for the classification 343 models described in Table 2. An important advantage of GA is its relatively low-computational 344 cost compared to SPA and reduction of data collinearity. Furthermore, GA-based techniques 345 are intuitive and simple to understand in the algorithmic sense but they also have a non-346 347 deterministic nature and require optimization of many parameters. SPA's advantage relies on its deterministic nature, minor parameter optimization and reduction of data collinearity, 348 349 however, it is very time consuming. For hyperspectral imaging, feature selection can also be performed by Minimum Redundancy Maximum Relevance (mRMR) algorithm²²¹, where the 350 selection process is based on maximizing the relevance of extracted features and 351 simultaneously minimize redundancy between them. 352

Standardization. Data standardization should be employed when a primary classification 353 model is built and new data comes to be predicted from a secondary system (different 354 laboratory or instrument manufacturers), or when there is a change in instrument components 355 (e.g., laser, gratings, etc.) or when the data of the chemometric model are acquired under 356 different circumstances (different analysts, days, instrumental settings, etc.). As previously 357 mentioned, the most common and reliable methods for data standardization are the DS and 358 PDS algorithms. These methods can be found in a few software packages (described in Table 359 360 3).

Figure 3 summarises the standardization protocol using DS applied to spectra acquired under different conditions. The first step consists of applying KS algorithm for selecting the number of transfer samples from the primary system as well as the number of training samples for the secondary systems, which is ideally 70% of the dataset. Thereafter, the DS transform generation algorithm is employed to estimate the transform matrix. The validation set of the

secondary system is then used with the classification model of the primary system to evaluate 366 the optimum number of transfer samples. This optimization step is repeated depending on the 367 number of transfer samples from the primary system. After this number is defined, the 368 validation set of the secondary system is finally standardized and the final classification model 369 is subsequently applied. This procedure is realized with a certain number of samples measured 370 in all instruments being standardized. This procedure should be realized in as similar manner 371 372 as possible to reduce spectral differences. After the model is standardized and proper validated, new external samples can be measured in any of the instruments and predicted by the 373 374 standardized classification model.

For PDS, an extra step is added after defining the number of transfer samples to estimate the optimum window size. The dashed region in Fig. 3 is repeated according to the window size.

For multi-laboratory studies the flowchart depicted in Fig. 4 illustrates how thestandardization protocol should be employed.

In Fig. 4, spectra acquired under different experimental conditions are used for a global standardization model. A primary system should be designated and then all spectra from secondary systems are equally pre-processed, followed by an exploratory analysis to assess samples' similarities/dissimilarities, outlier detection, standardization by the method outlined in Figure 3; the final model construction follows last. With this, all sources of variations present in different systems can be included into a general chemometric model.

387 MATERIALS

388 REAGENTS

389	•	Biological	samples	(tissue.	cells.	biofluids)	(see R	eagent S	etup).

A CRITICAL Human samples should be collected with appropriate local institutional
 review board for ethical approval and adhere to the Declaration of Helsinki principles.
 Similarly, for studies involving animals, all experiments should be performed in
 accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Ethical approval has to be obtained
 before any sample collection.

- Optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Agar Scientific, cat. no. AGR1180)
- Liquid nitrogen (BOC, CAS no. 7727-37-9) ! CAUTION Asphyxiation hazard; make sure
 room is well ventilated. Causes burns; wear face shield, gloves and protective clothing.
- Paraplast Plus paraffin wax (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. SKU502004)
- Isopentane (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. P/1030/08) ! CAUTION Extremely flammable,
 irritant, aspiration hazard and toxic; use in a fume hood.

401 • Distilled water

- PBS (10×; MP Biomedicals, cat. no. 0919610)
- Virkon (Antec, DuPont, cat. no. A00960632)
- Trypsin–EDTA (0.05%, Sigma-Aldrich, Thermo Fisher Scientific cat. no. 25300054)

405

```
406 Anticoagulants
```

- EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, BD Vacutainer, cat. no. 02-687-107)
- Sodium citrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, BD Vacutainer)
- Lithium/sodium heparin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, BD Vacutainer)

410

411 **Fixative and preservative agents**

412	•	Formalin, 10% (vol/vol; Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. HT501128) ! CAUTION Potential
413		carcinogen, irritant and allergenic; use in a fume hood.
414	•	Ethanol (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. E/0600DF/17)
415	•	Methanol (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. A456-212) ! CAUTION Toxic vapours; use in a fume
416		hood.
417	•	Acetone (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. A19-1) ! CAUTION Acetone vapors may cause
418		dizziness; use in a fume hood.
419	•	ThinPrep (PreservCyt Solution, Cytyc Corp)
420	•	SurePath (Becton Dickinson Diagnostics)
421		
422		Dewaxing agents
423	•	Xylene (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 534056) ! CAUTION Potential carcinogen, irritant and
424		allergenic; use in a fume hood.
425	•	Histo-Clear (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. HIS-010-010S) ! CAUTION It is an irritant.
426	•	Hexane (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 10764371) ! CAUTION Extremely flammable liquid,
427		can cause skin irritation; use protective equipment as required; use in a fume hood.
428		
429	EC	QUIPMENT
430	•	Microtome (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 902100A; or cat. no. 956651)
431	•	Wax dispenser (Electrothermal, cat. no. MH8523B)
432	•	Sectioning bath (Electrothermal, cat. no. MH8517)
433	•	Centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 75002410)
434	•	Desiccator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 5311-0250)
435	•	Desiccant (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 13767)
436	٠	Laser power meter (Coherent, cat. no. 1098293)

437	•	Spectrometer
438	•	Computer system
439		
440		Substrates
441		▲ CRITICAL Substrate should be carefully chosen depending on the spectrochemical
442		approach and the experimental mode that will be used. For more details about the choice
443		of substrate see ref ^{4,99} .
444	•	Low-E slides (Kevley Technologies, CFR)
445	•	BaF ₂ slides (Photox Optical Systems)
446	•	CaF ₂ slides (Crystran, cat. no. CAFP10-10-1)
447	•	Silicon multi-well plate (Bruker Optics)
448	•	Glass slides (Fisher Scientific, cat. no. 12657956)
449	•	Quartz slides (UQG Optics, cat. no. FQM-2521)
450	•	Aluminum-coated slides (EMF, cat. no. AL134)
451	•	Mirrored stainless steel (Renishaw, cat. no. A-9859-1825-01)
452		
453	RE	EAGENT SETUP
454	Ti	ssue For FFPE tissue, the excised specimen is immersed in fixative (e.g., formalin),
455	de	hydrated in ethanol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. Specimens can then
456	be	stored indefinitely at room temperature. For snap-frozen tissue, the specimen is immersed
457	in	OCT, followed by cooling of isopentane with liquid N ₂ .
458		CRITICAL Snap-frozen tissue should be thawed before analysis. Spectroscopic analysis
459	sh	ould be performed directly after excision in case of fresh tissue to avoid sample degradation.
460	C	De Celle can be treated with a suitable finative or preservative solution or studied alive
460	U	ells Cells can be treated with a suitable fixative or preservative solution or studied alive.

▲ CRITICAL In case cells are fixed or stored in a preservative solution, a number of washing
 steps using centrifugation should be followed prior to spectroscopic analysis to remove
 unwanted signature. If cells are studied alive, optimum living conditions (*e.g.*, growth medium,
 temperature and pH) should be maintained; washing of live cells from medium is also
 necessary.

Biofluids Biofluids can be collected in designated, sterile tubes using standard operating procedures to achieve uniformity of performance. Preparation of biofluids depends on the sample type and the experiment's objective. If cellular material is not directly studied, it should be removed from the biofluid before storage. Biofluids can be analysed right after their collection or stored at a -80°C freezer.

471 A CRITICAL If biofluids have been stored in a freezer, it is essential that they are fully
472 thawed before acquiring aliquots for spectroscopic analysis.

473 ▲ CRITICAL Users are advised to store biofluids in smaller, single-use aliquots at -80°C to
474 avoid repeated freeze-thaw cycles.

475

476 EQUIPMENT SETUP

The user can choose from a range of different instrumental setups and spectral acquisition
modes. General information about FTIR systems is provided below. For more details about
equipment setup see refs.^{4,98,99}.

The FTIR spectrometer can be left on for long periods of time. Before spectral acquisition, the
user should check the interferogram signal for amplitude and position and keep a record of the
measurements.

▲ CRITICAL For detectors that require a prior cooling step using liquid nitrogen (*e.g.*,
 mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detectors), the signal should be allowed to stabilize for
 approximately 10 min before data collection.

486 A CRITICAL In case that the interferogram signal deviates from the last measurement, re487 alignment or part replacement may be required.

488 Software: Software for spectral acquisition is typically provided by the manufacturer. Software
489 packages for spectral analysis and data standardization are provided in Table 3.

490 PROCEDURE

491 Sample preparation

492 1| Prepare the biological samples for spectrochemical analysis using the following steps: option

493 A for FFPE tissue samples, option B for snap-frozen or fresh tissue samples, option C for cells

and option D for biofluids.

495 ▲ CRITICAL Sample preparation is briefly presented in this protocol. More details about
 496 sample preparation can be found in refs.^{4,98,99}.

497 (A) Tissue (FFPE) • TIMING 1-1.5 h

498 (i) Obtain FFPE tissue blocks.

499 (ii) Section the whole tissue block using a microtome to obtain tissue sections at desired
500 thickness (2-10 μm).

501 CRITICAL STEP Cooling of the tissue on an ice block for 10 min prior to sectioning,
 502 hardens the wax and allows easier cutting.

503 (iii) Float the tissue ribbons in a warm H₂O bath (40-44°C) and then deposit onto the
504 substrate of choice.

505 (iv) Allow the tissue sections to dry either at room temperature (30 min) or in a 60°C
506 oven (10 min).

507 A CRITICAL STEP The tissue slide may be dried in the oven for longer periods of time,
508 depending on the type of tissue, to ensure optimal, initial melting of the wax.

509 (v) Dewax the samples by performing three sequential immersions in a dewaxing 510 reagent such as fresh xylene, Histo-Clear solution or hexane (each immersion should last at 511 least 5 min).

512 A CRITICAL STEP Thorough dewaxing is important for eliminating all spectral peaks
 513 attributed to paraffin.

(vi) Immerse the tissue slide in acetone or ethanol (5 min) to remove the xylene andthen left to air-dry.

PAUSE POINT Slides can be stored in a desiccator at room temperature for at least 1 year.

517 (B) Tissue (Snap-frozen or fresh) • TIMING 2 h + drying time (3 h for FTIR only)

518 ▲ **CRITICAL** Snap-frozen tissue can be stored at -80°C for several months.

519 **CRITICAL** For fresh tissue, proceed to step 1B(ii).

520 (i) Acquire snap-frozen tissue from freezer and place onto a cryostat (30 min) to allow

521 the tissue to reach the cryostat's temperature (- 20° C).

522 (ii) Use a cryostat to obtain tissue sections at desired thickness (8-10 μ m).

(iii) Deposit the tissue sections onto an appropriate substrate before spectra arecollected (see a list of substrates in the Materials-Equipment section).

525 ▲ CRITICAL For FTIR studies the tissue sections need to dry for at least 3 h to remove the
 526 H₂O interference from the IR spectra.

527 A CRITICAL Exposure to light should be minimised to prevent sample degradation due to
 528 oxidation.

529 (C) Cells (fixed or live) • TIMING 30 min + desiccation time (3 h for FTIR only)

530 ▲ CRITICAL If you are working with fixed cells, do step 1C(i) and then proceed to step
531 1C(iii). If you are working with live cells, proceed to step 1C(ii)

(i) Wash fixed cells to remove the fixative or preservative solution as these chemicals
cause spectral interference in the fingerprint region. Three sequential washes with distilled H₂O
or PBS have been shown to remove unwanted peaks.

(ii) Detach cultured cells from the growth substrate adding 2-3 mL of fresh warm trypsin/EDTA solution to the side wall of the flask; gently swirl the contents to cover the cell layer. Wash with warmed sterile PBS to remove the medium and trypsin (\times 3 times; gentle centrifuge at 300 g for 7 min).

539 CRITICAL STEP All reagents should be warmed to 37°C to reduce the shock to cells and
 540 maintain morphology.

541 (iii) After the final wash, resuspend the remaining cell pellet in distilled H₂O (~50-100
 542 μL) and mount onto a substrate of choice; allow sample to dry before analysis.

CRITICAL STEP The final suspension of cells (~50-100 μ L) should be evenly deposited on the slide either by cytospinning or by micro-pipetting. For cytospinning, take a maximum volume of 200 μ L of cells in suspension (spin-fixed cells at 800 *g* for 5 min). After spinning, leave the slide to air-dry.

547 CRITICAL For FTIR studies the sample needs to dry for at least 3 h.

548 (D) Biofluids (frozen or fresh) • TIMING 5 min + thawing (20 min) + drying (1-1.5 h)

549 ▲ CRITICAL If biofluids are analysed fresh, immediately after collection, continue to step
550 1D(ii).

551 (i) Acquire biofluids from the -80°C freezer and allow them to fully thaw.

552 (ii) Mix or gently vortex the sample before obtaining the desired volume for analysis.

CRITICAL STEP Only a small amount of the biofluid is typically required for spectroscopic studies (1-100 μ L). However, this depends and should be tailored according to the study and experimental design. For instance, in case a substrate is used for experiments in the ATR mode, a larger volume is preferred as it allows spectral acquisition from multiple locations of the blood spot. On the contrary, if no substrate is used, such as in the case of the direct deposition of the sample on the ATR crystal, smaller volumes can also be used.

559 (iii) Deposit the biological fluid onto an appropriate substrate.

CRITICAL STEP For ATR-FTIR spectroscopic studies, an alternative option is to deposit the sample directly on the ATR crystal instead of a substrate if the instrumentation setting allows (*i.e.*, if crystal is facing upwards). However, if the sample is sufficiently thick (>2-3 μ m) to avoid substrate interference, then the use of a holding substrate is advantageous as it allows measurements from multiple locations as well as longer storage.

CRITICAL STEP For FTIR studies the sample needs to dry adequately before spectroscopic analysis (50 μ L dry within approximately 1 h at room temperature). Drying can be sped up by using a gentle stream of air over the sample at a specific flow rate (in a sterile laminal flow hood).

570	Spectral acquisition for FTIR spectroscopy • TIMING 2 - 5 min per spectrum
571	▲ CRITICAL Spectrochemical information can be collected as follows for FTIR
572	spectroscopy.
573	▲ CRITICAL Spectral acquisition is briefly presented in this protocol. More details can be
574	found in refs. ^{4,98,99} .
575	
576	2 Optimise the settings before each new study to increase the SNR (see 'Experimental
577	design: spectral acquisition').
578	▲ CRITICAL STEP Some of the parameters that need to be adjusted include the
579	resolution, spectral range, co-additions, aperture size, interferometer mirror velocity,
580	and interferogram zero-filling.
581	▲ CRITICAL STEP To improve reproducibility and decrease differences between
582	the data collected by different operators, the spectral resolution should be set constant,
583	since it can cause major differences between data collected across different
584	experimental setups.
585	▲ CRITICAL STEP The pressure applied on the sample in the ATR mode affects the
586	signal intensity (i.e., absorbance) between data collected by different instruments and
587	operators. Thus, the pressure applied on the sample should be as similar as possible
588	across different experimental setups to reduce differences between the spectra
589	collected. Depending on the sampling mode that has been chosen (ATR-FTIR,
590	transmission or transflection), deposit the sample onto the appropriate holding
591	substrate.

592 3 | Acquire a background spectrum to account for atmospheric changes.

593

▲ **CRITICAL STEP** This should be done before every sample.

594 4 | Load the sample and visualise the region of interest; information can then be acquired
595 either as point map or as image maps.

596 A CRITICAL Typically, 5-25 point spectra are collected per sample while for image
 597 maps the step size should be the same or smaller than the selected aperture size divided
 598 by two. Sampling can be performed with 6 replicates in 3 levels.

599

600

■ **PAUSE POINT** Save the acquired data in a database until further analysis.

601 Data quality evaluation ● **TIMING 15 min – 4 h (depending on the size of the dataset)**

5 | Evaluate the raw data using quality tests to identify anomalous spectra or biased 602 patterns before applying pre-processing. This can be made by visual inspection of the 603 collected spectra followed by Hotelling T² versus Q residuals charts (see Supplementary 604 605 Method 1) using only the mean-centred data, and analysis of PCA residuals. Samples far from the origin of the Hotelling T^2 versus Q residuals chart should be removed, and 606 607 PCA residuals should be random and close to zero. Further instructions about data quality evaluation can be found at "Experimental Design: data quality evaluation" 608 section. 609

610 Data pre-processing ● TIMING 15 min – 4 h (depending on the size of the dataset)

▲ CRITICAL Steps 6-11 below can be modified depending on the nature of the dataset. Table
 1 provides more details about these pre-processing steps. In case of an ATR-FTIR dataset
 where samples were acquired and analysed under different experimental conditions, the pre processing method should follow this order:

615 6 | Cutting at biofingerprint region (900-1800 cm⁻¹). Truncate the spectra to the
biofingerprint region, to eliminate atmospheric interference present in other regions of
617 the spectra.

7 | Savitzky-Golay smoothing for removing spectral-noise. Window size varies 618 according to the size of the spectra dataset (*e.g.*, wavenumber). The window size should 619 be an odd number, since a central data point is required for the smoothing process. Try 620 different window sizes from 3 to 21 and observe how the spectra change (in shape) and 621 how the noise is reduced. Use the smallest window that removes the noise considerably 622 whilst maintaining the original spectral shape. Using a spectral resolution of 4 cm^{-1} , the 623 biofingerprint region (900-1800 cm⁻¹) usually contains 235 wavenumbers. In that case, 624 a window size of 5 points should be used. The polynomial order for Savitzky-Golay 625 fitting should be 2nd order for IR spectroscopy due to the band shape. 626

627 8 | Light scattering correction using either multiplicative scatter correction (MSC), 628 SNV or 2^{nd} derivative. First try using MSC or SNV, as MSC maintains the spectral 629 scale and both methods maintain the original spectral shape. If the results are not 630 satisfactory (*e.g.*, classification accuracy < 75%), try using the 2^{nd} derivative spectra.

631 9 | Perform baseline correction using automatic weighted least squares or rubber 632 band baseline correction. If spectral differentiation is applied as light scattering 633 correction method, baseline correction is not necessary.

- 634 10 |**Normalization** Normalize the spectrum to the amide I peak or amide II peak, or 635 perform a vector normalization (2-Norm, length = 1) to correct different scales across 636 spectra (*e.g.*, due to different sample thicknesses when using FTIR in transmission 637 mode).
- 638 11 |Scaling Mean-centre the data for each variable, and divide this value by the variable
 639 standard deviation. In case of data fusion, block-scaling should be used.

640 Data analysis

641 Exploratory analysis. • TIMING 1h – 4 d (depending on the data size)

12 |Determine whether a standardisation procedure is necessary by performing PCA. The
PCA scores plot (PC1 *vs* PC2) should generate a unique clustering pattern for the same
type of sample. If two or more clusters are observed for the same type of sample
measured under different experimental conditions, then a standardisation procedure is
necessary (see Figure 2).

647 **Outlier detection.** • **TIMING 1h – 1 d (depending on the data size)**

648 13 |Apply PCA to the dataset and then estimate the Q residuals and Hotelling T² values.
649 Use the chart of Q residuals *versus* Hotelling T² to identify outliers. The outliers (*e.g.*,
650 cosmic rays, artefacts, low signal spectra and substrate only (non-tissue) spectra) should
651 be removed from the data set before proceeding to the next steps.

652 Sample split. ● TIMING 1 – 4 h (depending on the data size)

14 |Separate the samples that will be used for the training and the test sets. Sample split 653 should be performed before construction of standardization of multivariate 654 classification models. The samples can be split into training (70%) and test (30%) sets, 655 using a cross-validated model; or split into training (70%), validation (15%) and test 656 (15%) sets without using cross-validation. To maintain consistency and account for a 657 well-balanced training model, KS algorithm should be employed to separate the 658 samples into each set. KS algorithm is freely available 659 at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7607420.v1. 660

661 Standardization. • TIMING 1h – 4 d (depending on the data size)

662 ▲ CRITICAL Standardization methods should be employed in the following order:
 663 DS > PDS (DS should be done before PDS), since the latter is more complex and

requires an additional optimization step (window size optimization). The data from the 664 secondary response should be separated into training (70%), validation (15%) and test 665 666 (15%) sets using KS algorithm. The number of transfer samples should be firstly optimized using the validation set from the secondary response. Then, when employing 667 PDS, the window size should be optimized according to the size of the dataset. 668

669 15 |Use DS to vary the number of transfer samples from 10-100% of the training set from 670 the primary system. Use the validation set from the secondary instrument to find the optimum number of transfer samples using the misclassification rate as cost function. 671

672 16 Perform PDS using the optimum number of samples found with DS. Test different window sizes using the validation set from the secondary system with the 673 misclassification rate as cost function. The window size should vary from 3-29 for a 674 spectral set with resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in the biofingerprint region (235 variables). 675

676

Model construction. ● TIMING 1h – 4 d (depending on the data size)

▲ **CRITICAL** Feature extraction (*e.g.*, by means of PCA) or feature selection (*e.g.*, 677 678 by means of GA or SPA) should be employed to reduce data collinearity and speed up data processing and analysis time. PLS-DA is already a feature extraction method, thus 679 the performance of prior feature extraction is not necessary in this case. The 680 681 classification technique employed must follow a parsimony order: LDA>PLS-DA>QDA>KNN>SVM>ANN>Random forests>Deep learning approaches. 682

17 Apply the feature extraction or selection technique. The optimization of the number of 683 PCs during PCA can be performed using an external validation set (15% of the original 684 dataset) or using cross-validation (leave-one-out for small dataset [ppl samples] or 685 686 venetian blinds [sample splitting: 10] for large datasets [>20 samples]). GA should be realized three-times starting from different initial populations and the best result using 687 an external validation set (15% of the original dataset) should be used. Cross-over 688

probability should be set for 40% and mutation probability should be set for 1-10%according to the size of the dataset.

- 691 18 |The classification method should be employed using optimization with an external
 692 validation set or cross-validation, especially for selecting the number of latent variables
 693 of PLS-DA and the kernel parameters for SVM. The kernel function for SVM should
 694 be RBF kernel, due to its adaptation to different data distributions. To avoid overfitting,
 695 cross-validation should be always performed during model construction to estimate the
 696 best RBF parameters.
- 697 ? TROUBLESHOOTING

Spectral acquisition: Spectral resolution, spectral range, SNR and signal aperture should be
optimized during experimental setup. Operators using different systems should try to keep
these parameters constant to reduce spectral differences.

Data pre-processing: To reduce spectral differences, the same data pre-processing should be
applied for spectra acquired in different systems.

Standardization: To improve the prediction capability of the classification model, the primary
system used should be the one with highest spectral resolution and smallest noise, since all data
from the secondary systems will be standardized to this pattern.

- 706 TIMING
- 707 Sample preparation:
- 708 **Step 1**(A) Tissue (FFPE): 1-1.5 h
- **1(B)** Tissue (Snap-frozen or fresh): 2 h + drying time (3 h)
- 710 1(C) Cells (fixed or live): 30 min + desiccation time (3 h)
- **1(D)** Biofluids (frozen or fresh): $5 \min + \text{thawing } (20 \min) + \text{drying } (1-1.5 \text{ h})$

- **Steps 2-4, Spectral acquisition:** $1 \text{ s} 5 \text{ min per spectrum (depending on the instrument and$
- 713 spectral acquisition configurations)
- 5, Data quality evaluation: **15 min 4 h (depending on the size of the dataset)**
- 715 Steps 6-11, Data pre-processing: 15 min 4 h
- 716 Data analysis:
- 717 **Step 12,** Exploratory analysis: 1 h 4 d
- 718 **Step 13,** Outlier detection: 1 h 1 d
- 719 Step 14, Sample split: 1- 4h (depending on sample size)
- 720 Step 15-16, Standardization: 1 h 4 d
- 721 **Step 17-18,** Model construction: 1 h 4 d
- 722 ANTICIPATED RESULTS

To illustrate how this protocol can be used in practice, we conducted a pilot study to evaluate the effect of different instrument manufacturers and operators towards spectral acquisition of healthy controls and ovarian cancer samples based on blood plasma (5 healthy controls with 10 spectra per sample; 5 ovarian cancers with 10 spectra per sample) for a binary classification model using ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. All specimens were collected with ethical approval obtained at Royal Preston Hospital UK (16/EE/0010). Table 4 summarizes the experimental conditions in which the experiments were performed.

Table 4. Experimental conditions for pilot study.

Instrument	Operator	Spectral range	Number of co-additions	Spectral resolution	Room temperature	Air humidity
А	1	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	32	4 cm ⁻¹	23.0°C	23%
	2	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	32	4 cm ⁻¹	23.4°C	26%
В	1	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	32	4 cm ⁻¹	24.0°C	26%
	2	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	32	4 cm ⁻¹	24.9°C	24%
С	1	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	48	4 cm ⁻¹	22.5°C	28%
	2	4000-400 cm ⁻¹	48	1 cm ⁻¹	22.8°C	26%

Instrument A and B were Bruker Tensor 27 with an HELIOS ATR attachment while 732 instrument C was an ATR-FTIR Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10. The spectra were collected 733 for the same types of samples within three different days (operator 1: instrument A in day 1, 734 instrument B in day 3, and instrument C in day 2; operator 2: instrument A in day 2, instrument 735 B in day 1, and instrument C in day 3) and across two different laboratories (instrument A and 736 B in laboratory 1 and instrument C in laboratory 2). Each operator prepared the samples 737 738 individually from the same bulk, and measured them individually. Spectral acquisition times were around 30 s for instruments A and B, and 40 s for instrument C. 739

740

Effect of different instruments

Three different ATR-FTIR spectrometers were used to analyse the samples. Data were 741 pre-processed by truncating at the biological fingerprint region (900-1800 cm⁻¹), followed by 742 Savitzky-Golay smoothing (window of 15 points, 2nd order polynomial function), MSC, 743 baseline correction using automatic weighted least squares and vector normalization (2-Norm, 744 length = 1). Each data set (A, B and C) was pre-processed individually. The raw and pre-745 processed spectra for healthy controls and ovarian cancer samples are depicted in 746 Supplementary Figure 1. All spectra collected by the three instrument maintained the same 747 spectral shape, indicating that the chemical information stayed the same; however, large 748 differences between the absorbance intensity were observed between instrument C and the 749 others (A, B), being caused due to different pressures applied on the sample in the ATR module. 750 The pressure applied to keep the sample in contact with the ATR crystal directly affects the 751 spectral signal intensity, which for instrument A and B (same manufactures) were somewhere 752 controlled by a contra weight, while for instrument C the pressure was set based on a 753 754 mechanical screw on the device, thus being biased by the operator usage. The absorbance intensity variation between A and B is observed for this same reason, but in a minor scale. 755

Outlier detection was performed using a Hotelling T² *versus* Q residual test (Supplementary
Figure 2).

758 (i) Classification. Classification was performed using PCA-LDA (10 PCs, explained variance of 99.21%). Fig. 5a depicts the discriminant function (DF) score plot for PCA-LDA 759 using only the primary system (ATR-FTIR A). As observed, there is an almost perfect 760 761 separation between the samples from the two classes (accuracy = 100%, sensitivity = 100%, specificity = 100%). However, when the spectra acquired using instruments B and C are 762 predicted using the model for A, the results decreased significantly (accuracy = 66.7%, 763 sensitivity = 83.2%, specificity = 48.9%) (Fig. 5b), necessitating the use of a standardization 764 procedure. 765

766 (ii) Standardization. Standardization was employed using both DS and PDS in order 767 to compare the two methods. The number of transfer samples for DS was optimized according to the misclassification rate obtained for the validation set using the secondary system (Fig. 768 769 6a). An optimum number corresponding to 80% of the samples in the training set of the primary system (55 transfer samples) was obtained, resulting to a misclassification rate of 22.2% in the 770 validation set of the secondary system. This improved the accuracy (77.8%) and specificity 771 (80.0%). Sensitivity decreased to 75.0%, which is an acceptable value. The results after DS are 772 better balanced than without standardization. Fig. 6b shows the DF plot for the PCA-LDA 773 774 model using the training of the primary system and prediction with the secondary system after DS. 775

PDS was also applied. The number of transfer samples was maintained as 55 (80% of the primary training set) and the window size was optimized by using the validation set of the secondary system. An optimum window size of 23 wavenumbers was selected with a misclassification rate of 25.9% (Fig. 6c). The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity using PDS

were 74.1%, 71.4% and 75.0%, respectively. The DS presented a slightly higher performance
than PDS for this dataset. However, DS generated some outliers not observed before, while
PDS did not. Thus, in general, PDS provided a better standardization of the data. The PCALDA DF plot after PDS is depicted in Fig. 6d.

784

785 Effect of different operators

786 The effect of different user operators acquiring spectra from the same samples using the same instruments was also evaluated. Similarly to before, data were pre-processed by 787 cutting the biological fingerprint region (900-1800 cm⁻¹), followed by Savitzky-Golav 788 smoothing (window of 15 points, 2nd order polynomial function), MSC, baseline correction 789 using automatic weighted least squares and vector normalization (2-Norm, length = 1). Each 790 dataset was pre-processed individually. All raw and pre-processed spectra varying operators 791 are depicted in Supplementary Figures 4 and 5. Outlier detection was performed using a 792 Hotelling T² versus Q residual test (Supplementary Figure 7). The PCA scores plots for the 793 794 pre-processed spectra are depicted in Supplementary Figure 6. The main difference between the operators was observed for instrument C Supplementary Figure 5, since the spectral 795 resolutions used by them were different, which can cause major data distortion. 796

(i) Classification. Classification was performed using PCA-LDA (10 PCs, explained
variance of 98.62%). Fig. 7a depicts the DF score plot for PCA-LDA using only the primary
system (Operator 1). There is a significant separation between the samples from the two classes
(accuracy = 88.4%, sensitivity = 77.3%, specificity = 100%). When the spectra acquired by
Operator 2 are predicted using the model for Operator 1, the results decreased (accuracy =
75.6%, sensitivity = 66.7%, specificity = 84.6%) (Fig. 7b), which again necessitates the use of
a standardization procedure.

(ii) Standardization. DS and PDS were employed as standardization methods. The 804 number of transfer samples for DS was optimized according to the misclassification rate 805 obtained for the validation set using the secondary system (Operator 2) (Fig. 8a). An optimum 806 number of 59 transfer samples (30% of the samples in the training set of the primary system 807 [Operator 1]) was obtained, resulting in a misclassification rate of 17.8% in the validation set 808 of the secondary system. This improved the accuracy (82.2%), sensitivity (69.6%) and 809 810 specificity (95.5%) compared to the results without DS. Fig. 8b shows the DF plot for the PCA-LDA model using the training of the primary system and prediction with the secondary system 811 812 after DS.

The number of transfer samples was maintained as 59 for PDS; and the window size was optimized by using the validation set of the secondary system. An optimum window size of 23 wavenumbers was selected with a misclassification rate of 22.2% (Fig. 8c). The accuracy, sensitivity and specificity using PDS were 77.8%, 100% and 54.5%, respectively. Although DS obtained an average better classification performance than PDS for this dataset, it also generated some outliers as mentioned before. For this reason, the results after PDS seem better standardized. The PCA-LDA DF plot after PDS is depicted in Fig. 8d.

820

821 Acknowledgements

CLMM would like to thank Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível
Superior (CAPES) - Brazil (grant 88881.128982/2016-01) for financial support. This work was
supported in part in FLM's laboratory by The Engineering and Physical Sciences Research
Council (EPSRC; Grant Nos: EP/K023349/1 and EP/K023373/1). MP would like to
acknowledge Rosemere Cancer Foundation for funding.

827 Author contributions

F.L.M. is the principal investigator who conceived the idea for the manuscript;
C.L.M.M. and M.P. wrote the manuscript. All co-authors contributed recommendations and
provided feedback and changes to the manuscript; and, C.L.M.M., M.P. and F.L.M. brought
together the text and finalized the manuscript.

- 832 Competing financial interests
- 833 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
- 834 Data availability statement
- 835 The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available
- 836 from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

838	Refer	rences
839	1	Baker, M. J. <i>et al.</i> Clinical applications of infrared and Raman spectroscopy: state of play and
840	-	future challenges. Analyst 143 , 1735-1757 (2018).
841	2	Melin, A. M., Perromat, A. & Déléris, G. Pharmacologic application of Fourier transform IR
842	2	spectroscopy: in vivo toxicity of carbon tetrachloride on rat liver. <i>Biopolymers</i> 57, 160-168
843		(2000).
844	3	Eliasson, C. & Matousek, P. Noninvasive authentication of pharmaceutical products through
845	5	packaging using spatially offset Raman spectroscopy. Anal Chem 79 , 1696-1701 (2007).
846	4	Baker, M. J. <i>et al.</i> Using Fourier transform IR spectroscopy to analyze biological materials.
847	-	Nat Prot 9 , 1771-1791 (2014).
848	5	Llabjani, V. <i>et al.</i> Polybrominated diphenyl ether-associated alterations in cell biochemistry
849	5	as determined by attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy: a
850		comparison with DNA-reactive and/or endocrine-disrupting agents. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> 43 ,
851		3356-3364 (2009).
852	6	Hofmann-Wellenhof, B., Lichtenegger, H. & Collins, J. Global positioning system: theory and
853	0	practice. Springer Science & Business Media (2012).
854	7	Morris, P. & Perkins, A. Diagnostic imaging. <i>Lancet</i> 379 , 1525-1533 (2012).
855	8	Lee, S. S. <i>et al.</i> Crohn disease of the small bowel: comparison of CT enterography, MR
856	0	enterography, and small-bowel follow-through as diagnostic techniques. <i>Radiology</i> 251 , 751-
857		761 (2009).
858	9	Lagleyre, S. <i>et al.</i> Reliability of high-resolution CT scan in diagnosis of otosclerosis. <i>Otol</i>
859	5	Neurotol 30 , 1152-1159 (2009).
860	10	Kalita, J. & Misra, U. Comparison of CT scan and MRI findings in the diagnosis of Japanese
861	10	encephalitis. J Neurol Sci 174 , 3-8 (2000).
862	11	Schrevens, L., Lorent, N., Dooms, C. & Vansteenkiste, J. The role of PET scan in diagnosis,
863		staging, and management of non-small cell lung cancer. <i>Oncologist</i> 9 , 633-643 (2004).
864	12	Jagust, W., Reed, B., Mungas, D., Ellis, W. & Decarli, C. What does fluorodeoxyglucose PET
865	12	imaging add to a clinical diagnosis of dementia? <i>Neurology</i> 69 , 871-877 (2007).
866	13	Zhou, M. <i>et al.</i> Clinical utility of breast-specific gamma imaging for evaluating disease extent
867	10	in the newly diagnosed breast cancer patient. Am J Surg 197 , 159-163 (2009).
868	14	Wallace, B. A. <i>et al.</i> Biomedical applications of synchrotron radiation circular dichroism
869	±.	spectroscopy: identification of mutant proteins associated with disease and development of
870		a reference database for fold motifs. <i>Faraday Discuss</i> 126 , 237-243 (2004).
871	15	Greenfield, N. J. Using circular dichroism spectra to estimate protein secondary structure.
872	10	Nat Protoc 1, 2876 (2006).
873	16	Micsonai, A. <i>et al.</i> Accurate secondary structure prediction and fold recognition for circular
874	10	dichroism spectroscopy. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci USA</i> 112 , E3095-E3103 (2015).
875	17	Miles, A. J. & Wallace, B. A. Circular dichroism spectroscopy of membrane proteins. <i>Chem</i>
876		Soc Rev 45 , 4859-4872 (2016).
877	18	Brown, J. Q., Vishwanath, K., Palmer, G. M. & Ramanujam, N. Advances in quantitative UV–
878	10	visible spectroscopy for clinical and pre-clinical application in cancer. <i>Curr Opin Biotechnol</i>
879		20 , 119-131 (2009).
880	19	Yang, PW. <i>et al.</i> Visible-absorption spectroscopy as a biomarker to predict treatment
881		response and prognosis of surgically resected esophageal cancer. <i>Sci Rep</i> 6 , 33414 (2016).
882	20	World Health Organization. Fluorescence microscopy for disease diagnosis and
883		environmental monitoring. (2005).
884	21	Shahzad, A. <i>et al.</i> Diagnostic application of fluorescence spectroscopy in oncology field:
885		hopes and challenges. Appl Spectrosc Rev 45 , 92-99 (2010).
886	22	Sieroń, A. <i>et al.</i> The role of fluorescence diagnosis in clinical practice. <i>Onco Targets Ther</i> 6 ,
887		977 (2013).

Shin, D., Vigneswaran, N., Gillenwater, A. & Richards-Kortum, R. Advances in fluorescence imaging techniques to detect oral cancer and its precursors. Future Oncol 6, 1143-1154 (2010). Shahzad, A. et al. Emerging applications of fluorescence spectroscopy in medical microbiology field. J Transl Med 7, 99 (2009). Möller-Hartmann, W. et al. Clinical application of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the diagnosis of intracranial mass lesions. Neuroradiology 44, 371-381 (2002). Gowda, G. N. et al. Metabolomics-based methods for early disease diagnostics. Expert Rev *Mol Diagn* **8**, 617-633 (2008). Frisoni, G. B., Fox, N. C., Jack, C. R., Scheltens, P. & Thompson, P. M. The clinical use of structural MRI in Alzheimer disease. Nature reviews. Neurology 6, 67-77 (2010). Chan, A. W. et al. 1 H-NMR urinary metabolomic profiling for diagnosis of gastric cancer. Br J Cancer 114, 59 (2016). Palmnas, M. S. & Vogel, H. J. The future of NMR metabolomics in cancer therapy: towards personalizing treatment and developing targeted drugs? Metabolites 3, 373-396 (2013). Patil, P. & Dasgupta, B. Role of diagnostic ultrasound in the assessment of musculoskeletal diseases. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 4, 341-355 (2012). Navani, N. et al. Lung cancer diagnosis and staging with endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration compared with conventional approaches: an open-label, pragmatic, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 3, 282-289 (2015). Menon, U. et al. Sensitivity and specificity of multimodal and ultrasound screening for ovarian cancer, and stage distribution of detected cancers: results of the prevalence screen of the UK Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS). Lancet Oncol 10, 327-340 (2009). Smith-Bindman, R. et al. Endovaginal ultrasound to exclude endometrial cancer and other endometrial abnormalities. Jama 280, 1510-1517 (1998). Gajjar, K. et al. Diagnostic segregation of human brain tumours using Fourier-transform infrared and/or Raman spectroscopy coupled with discriminant analysis. Anal Methods 5, 89-102 (2013). Bury, D. et al. Phenotyping Metastatic Brain Tumors Applying Spectrochemical Analyses: Segregation of Different Cancer Types. Anal Lett, 1-2 (2018). Hands, J. R. et al. Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectral discrimination of brain tumour severity from serum samples. J Biophotonics 7, 189-199 (2014). Hands, J. R. et al. Brain tumour differentiation: rapid stratified serum diagnostics via attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. J Neuro-oncol 127, 463-472 (2016). Walsh, M. J., Kajdacsy-Balla, A., Holton, S. E. & Bhargava, R. Attenuated total reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopic imaging for breast histopathology. Vib Spectrosc , 23-28 (2012). Lane, R. & Seo, S. S. Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Method to Differentiate Between Normal and Cancerous Breast Cells. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 12, 7395-7400 (2012). Backhaus, J. et al. Diagnosis of breast cancer with infrared spectroscopy from serum samples. Vib Spectrosc 52, 173-177 (2010). Wang, J.-S. et al. FT-IR spectroscopic analysis of normal and cancerous tissues of esophagus. World J Gastroenterol 9, 1897 (2003). Maziak, D. E. et al. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopic study of characteristic molecular structure in cancer cells of esophagus: an exploratory study. Cancer Detect Prev (2007).

938 43 McIntosh, L. M. et al. Infrared spectra of basal cell carcinomas are distinct from non-tumor-939 bearing skin components. J Investig Dermatol 112, 951-956 (1999). 940 44 McIntosh, L. M. et al. Towards non-invasive screening of skin lesions by near-infrared 941 spectroscopy. J Investig Dermatol 116, 175-181 (2001). 942 45 Mostaço-Guidolin, L. B., Murakami, L. S., Nomizo, A. & Bachmann, L. Fourier transform 943 infrared spectroscopy of skin cancer cells and tissues. Appl Spectrosc Rev 44, 438-455 (2009). 944 46 Mordechai, S. et al. Possible common biomarkers from FTIR microspectroscopy of cervical 945 cancer and melanoma. J Microsc 215, 86-91 (2004). 946 47 Hammody, Z., Sahu, R. K., Mordechai, S., Cagnano, E. & Argov, S. Characterization of 947 malignant melanoma using vibrational spectroscopy. Sci World J 5, 173-182 (2005). 948 48 Kondepati, V. R., Keese, M., Mueller, R., Manegold, B. C. & Backhaus, J. Application of near-949 infrared spectroscopy for the diagnosis of colorectal cancer in resected human tissue 950 specimens. Vib Spectrosc 44, 236-242 (2007). 951 49 Rigas, B., Morgello, S., Goldman, I. S. & Wong, P. Human colorectal cancers display abnormal 952 Fourier-transform infrared spectra. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87, 8140-8144 (1990). 953 50 Yao, H., Shi, X. & Zhang, Y. The Use of FTIR-ATR Spectrometry for Evaluation of Surgical 954 Resection Margin in Colorectal Cancer: A Pilot Study of 56 Samples. J Spectrosc 2014, 4 955 (2014). 956 51 Lewis, P. D. et al. Evaluation of FTIR Spectroscopy as a diagnostic tool for lung cancer using 957 sputum. BMC Cancer 10, 640 (2010). 52 958 Akalin, A. et al. Classification of malignant and benign tumors of the lung by infrared spectral 959 histopathology (SHP). Lab Invest 95, 406 (2015). 960 53 Großerueschkamp, F. et al. Marker-free automated histopathological annotation of lung 961 tumour subtypes by FTIR imaging. Analyst 140, 2114-2120 (2015). 962 54 Owens, G. L. et al. Vibrational biospectroscopy coupled with multivariate analysis extracts potentially diagnostic features in blood plasma/serum of ovarian cancer patients. J 963 964 Biophotonics 7, 200-209 (2014). 965 55 Gajjar, K. et al. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy coupled with a classification 966 machine for the analysis of blood plasma or serum: a novel diagnostic approach for ovarian 967 cancer. Analyst 138, 3917-3926 (2013). 968 56 Theophilou, G., Lima, K. M. G., Martin-Hirsch, P. L., Stringfellow, H. F. & Martin, F. L. ATR-969 FTIR spectroscopy coupled with chemometric analysis discriminates normal, borderline and 970 malignant ovarian tissue: classifying subtypes of human cancer. Analyst 141, 585-594 (2016). 971 57 Mehrotra, R., Tyagi, G., Jangir, D. K., Dawar, R. & Gupta, N. Analysis of ovarian tumor 972 pathology by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. J Ovarian Res 3, 27 (2010). 973 58 Paraskevaidi, M. et al. Potential of mid-infrared spectroscopy as a non-invasive diagnostic 974 test in urine for endometrial or ovarian cancer. Analyst (2018). 975 59 Taylor, S. E. et al. Infrared spectroscopy with multivariate analysis to interrogate 976 endometrial tissue: a novel and objective diagnostic approach. Br J Cancer 104, 790-797 977 (2011). 978 60 Paraskevaidi, M. et al. Aluminium foil as an alternative substrate for the spectroscopic 979 interrogation of endometrial cancer. J Biophotonics (2018). 980 61 Gajjar, K. et al. Histology verification demonstrates that biospectroscopy analysis of cervical 981 cytology identifies underlying disease more accurately than conventional screening: 982 removing the confounder of discordance. *PLoS One* 9, e82416 (2014). 983 62 Walsh, M. J. et al. IR microspectroscopy: potential applications in cervical cancer screening. 984 Cancer Lett 246, 1-11 (2007). 985 63 Wood, B. R., Quinn, M. A., Burden, F. R. & McNaughton, D. An investigation into FTIR spectroscopy as a biodiagnostic tool for cervical cancer. *Biospectroscopy* 2, 143-153 (1996). 986 987 64 Podshyvalov, A. et al. Distinction of cervical cancer biopsies by use of infrared 988 microspectroscopy and probabilistic neural networks. Appl Opt 44, 3725-3734 (2005).

989	65	Theophilou, G. et al. A biospectroscopic analysis of human prostate tissue obtained from
989 990	05	different time periods points to a trans-generational alteration in spectral phenotype. <i>Sci</i>
990 991		<i>Rep</i> 5 , 13465 (2015).
991 992	66	
992 993	66	Baker, M. J. <i>et al</i> . Investigating FTIR based histopathology for the diagnosis of prostate cancer. <i>J Biophotonics</i> 2 (2009).
993 994	67	Derenne, A., Gasper, R. & Goormaghtigh, E. The FTIR spectrum of prostate cancer cells
994 995	07	allows the classification of anticancer drugs according to their mode of action. Analyst 136
996		(2011).
997	68	Gazi, E. <i>et al.</i> A correlation of FTIR spectra derived from prostate cancer biopsies with
998	00	Gleason grade and tumour stage. <i>Eur Urol</i> 50 , 750-761 (2006).
999	69	Paraskevaidi, M. <i>et al.</i> Differential diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease using spectrochemical
1000	05	analysis of blood. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 201701517 (2017).
1000	70	Carmona, P. <i>et al.</i> Discrimination analysis of blood plasma associated with Alzheimer's
1001	70	disease using vibrational spectroscopy. J Alzheimers Dis 34 , 911-920 (2013).
1002	71	Carmona, P., Molina, M., López-Tobar, E. & Toledano, A. Vibrational spectroscopic analysis
1004	, <u>-</u>	of peripheral blood plasma of patients with Alzheimer's disease. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 407 ,
1005		7747-7756 (2015).
1006	72	Paraskevaidi, M. <i>et al.</i> Blood-based near-infrared spectroscopy for the rapid low-cost
1007		detection of Alzheimer's disease. <i>Analyst</i> (2018).
1008	73	Sitole, L., Steffens, F., Krüger, T. P. J. & Meyer, D. Mid-ATR-FTIR Spectroscopic Profiling of
1009		HIV/AIDS Sera for Novel Systems Diagnostics in Global Health. OMICS 18, 513-523 (2014).
1010	74	Coopman, R. et al. Glycation in human fingernail clippings using ATR-FTIR spectrometry, a
1011		new marker for the diagnosis and monitoring of diabetes mellitus. Clin Biochem 50, 62-67
1012		(2017).
1013	75	Scott, D. A. et al. Diabetes-related molecular signatures in infrared spectra of human saliva.
1014		Diabetol Metab Syndr 2 , 48 (2010).
1015	76	Varma, V. K., Kajdacsy-Balla, A., Akkina, S. K., Setty, S. & Walsh, M. J. A label-free approach
1016		by infrared spectroscopic imaging for interrogating the biochemistry of diabetic
1017		nephropathy progression. <i>Kidney Int</i> 89, 1153-1159 (2016).
1018	77	Lechowicz, L., Chrapek, M., Gaweda, J., Urbaniak, M. & Konieczna, I. Use of Fourier-
1019		transform infrared spectroscopy in the diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis: a pilot study. Mol
1020		Biol Rep 43 , 1321-1326 (2016).
1021	78	Canvin, J. et al. Infrared spectroscopy: shedding light on synovitis in patients with
1022		rheumatoid arthritis. <i>Rheumatology</i> 42 , 76-82 (2003).
1023	79	Oemrawsingh, R. M. et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy predicts cardiovascular outcome in
1024		patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 64, 2510-2518 (2014).
1025	80	Wang, J. et al. Near-infrared spectroscopic characterization of human advanced
1026		atherosclerotic plaques. J Am Coll Cardiol 39, 1305-1313 (2002).
1027	81	Martin, M. et al. The effect of common anticoagulants in detection and quantification of
1028		malaria parasitemia in human red blood cells by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Analyst (2017).
1029	82	Khoshmanesh, A. et al. Detection and Quantification of Early-Stage Malaria Parasites in
1030		Laboratory Infected Erythrocytes by Attenuated Total Reflectance Infrared Spectroscopy and
1031		Multivariate Analysis. Anal Chem 86, 4379-4386 (2014).
1032	83	Roy, S. <i>et al.</i> Simultaneous ATR-FTIR Based Determination of Malaria Parasitemia, Glucose
1033	0.4	and Urea in Whole Blood Dried onto a Glass Slide. Anal Chem 89, 5238-5245 (2017).
1034	84	Markus, A. P. J. <i>et al.</i> New technique for diagnosis and monitoring of alcaptonuria:
1035		quantification of homogentisic acid in urine with mid-infrared spectrometry. Anal Chim Acta
1036	OF	429 , 287-292 (2001).
1037 1038	85	Grimard, V. <i>et al.</i> Phosphorylation-induced Conformational Changes of Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator Monitored by Attenuated Total Reflection-Fourier
1020		

1039		Transform IR Spectroscopy and Fluorescence Spectroscopy. J Biol Chem 279, 5528-5536
1040		(2004).
1041	86	Aksoy, C., Guliyev, A., Kilic, E., Uckan, D. & Severcan, F. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem
1042		cells in patients with beta thalassemia major: molecular analysis with attenuated total
1043		reflection-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy study as a novel method. Stem Cells Dev
1044		21 , 2000-2011 (2012).
1045	87	Graça, G. et al. Mid-infrared (MIR) metabolic fingerprinting of amniotic fluid: A possible
1046		avenue for early diagnosis of prenatal disorders? Anal Chim Acta 764 , 24-31 (2013).
1047	88	Hasegawa, J. et al. Evaluation of placental function using near infrared spectroscopy during
1048		fetal growth restriction. J Perinatal Med 38 , 29-32 (2010).
1049	89	Theelen, T., Berendschot, T. T., Hoyng, C. B., Boon, C. J. & Klevering, B. J. Near-infrared
1050		reflectance imaging of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Graefe's Arch Clin Exp
1051		<i>Ophthalmol</i> 247 , 1625 (2009).
1052	90	Semoun, O. et al. Infrared features of classic choroidal neovascularisation in exudative age-
1053		related macular degeneration. Br J Ophthalmol. 93, 182-185 (2009).
1054	91	Peters, A. S. et al. Serum-infrared spectroscopy is suitable for diagnosis of atherosclerosis
1055		and its clinical manifestations. Vib Spectrosc 92, 20-26 (2017).
1056	92	Afara, I. O., Prasadam, I., Arabshahi, Z., Xiao, Y. & Oloyede, A. Monitoring osteoarthritis
1057		progression using near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy. <i>Sci Rep</i> 7, 11463 (2017).
1058	93	Bi, X. et al. Fourier transform infrared imaging and MR microscopy studies detect
1059		compositional and structural changes in cartilage in a rabbit model of osteoarthritis. Anal
1060		Bioanal Chem 387 , 1601-1612 (2007).
1061	94	David-Vaudey, E. et al. Fourier Transform Infrared Imaging of focal lesions in human
1062		osteoarthritic cartilage. Eur Cell Mater 10, 60 (2005).
1063	95	Trevisan, J., Angelov, P. P., Carmichael, P. L., Scott, A. D. & Martin, F. L. Extracting biological
1064		information with computational analysis of Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR)
1065		biospectroscopy datasets: current practices to future perspectives. Analyst 137, 3202-3215
1066		(2012).
1067	96	Andrew Chan, K. L. & Kazarian, S. G. Attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared
1068		(ATR-FTIR) imaging of tissues and live cells. Chem Soc Rev 45, 1850-1864 (2016).
1069	97	Pilling, M. & Gardner, P. Fundamental developments in infrared spectroscopic imaging for
1070		biomedical applications. Chem Soc Rev 45, 1935-1957 (2016).
1071	98	Martin, F. L. et al. Distinguishing cell types or populations based on the computational
1072		analysis of their infrared spectra. Nat Protoc 5, 1748-1760 (2010).
1073	99	Butler, H. J. et al. Using Raman spectroscopy to characterize biological materials. Nat Protoc
1074		11 , 664-687 (2016).
1075	100	Kong, L. et al. Characterization of bacterial spore germination using phase-contrast and
1076		fluorescence microscopy, Raman spectroscopy and optical tweezers. Nat Protoc 6, 625
1077		(2011).
1078	101	Harmsen, S., Wall, M. A., Huang, R. & Kircher, M. F. Cancer imaging using surface-enhanced
1079		resonance Raman scattering nanoparticles. <i>Nat Protoc</i> 12 , 1400 (2017).
1080	102	Beckonert, O. et al. Metabolic profiling, metabolomic and metabonomic procedures for
1081		NMR spectroscopy of urine, plasma, serum and tissue extracts. Nat Protoc 2, 2692 (2007).
1082	103	Felten, J. et al. Vibrational spectroscopic image analysis of biological material using
1083		multivariate curve resolution–alternating least squares (MCR-ALS). Nat Protoc 10, 217
1084		(2015).
1085	104	Yang, H., Yang, S., Kong, J., Dong, A. & Yu, S. Obtaining information about protein secondary
1086		structures in aqueous solution using Fourier transform IR spectroscopy. Nat Protoc 10, 382
1087		(2015).
1088	105	Sreedhar, H. et al. High-definition Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopic imaging of
1089		human tissue sections towards improving pathology. J Vis Exp (2015).

1090	106	Varriale, A. et al. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy assay for gliadin in food. Anal Chem
1091		79 , 4687-4689 (2007).
1092	107	Song, X., Li, H., Al-Qadiri, H. M. & Lin, M. Detection of herbicides in drinking water by
1093		surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy coupled with gold nanostructures. J Food Meas
1094		Charact 7 , 107-113 (2013).
1095	108	Osborne, B. G. & Fearn, T. Near-infrared spectroscopy in food analysis. Encyclopedia Anal
1096		Chem 5 , 4069-4082 (2000).
1097	109	Qu, JH. et al. Applications of near-infrared spectroscopy in food safety evaluation and
1098		control: A review of recent research advances. Crit Rev Food Sci Nut. 55, 1939-1954 (2015).
1099	110	Penido, C. A. F., Pacheco, M. T. T., Lednev, I. K. & Silveira, L. Raman spectroscopy in forensic
1100		analysis: identification of cocaine and other illegal drugs of abuse. J Raman Spectrosc 47, 28-
1101		38 (2016).
1102	111	Ryder, A. G. Classification of narcotics in solid mixtures using principal component analysis
1103		and Raman spectroscopy. J Forensic Sci 47, 275-284 (2002).
1104	112	Harrigan, G. G. et al. Application of high-throughput Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
1105		in toxicology studies: contribution to a study on the development of an animal model for
1106		idiosyncratic toxicity. <i>Toxicol Lett</i> 146 , 197-205 (2004).
1107	113	Choo-Smith, LP. <i>et al</i> . Investigating microbial (micro) colony heterogeneity by vibrational
1108		spectroscopy. Appl Environ Microbiol 67, 1461-1469 (2001).
1109	114	Helm, D., Labischinski, H., Schallehn, G. & Naumann, D. Classification and identification of
1110		bacteria by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. <i>Microbiology</i> 137 , 69-79 (1991).
1111	115	Carmona, P., Monzon, M., Monleon, E., Badiola, J. J. & Monreal, J. In vivo detection of
1112		scrapie cases from blood by infrared spectroscopy. <i>J Gen Virol</i> 86 , 3425-3431 (2005).
1113	116	Cui, L. <i>et al.</i> A novel functional single-cell approach to probing nitrogen-fixing bacteria in soil
1114	-	communities by resonance Raman spectroscopy with 15N2 labelling. Anal Chem
1115		10.1021/acs.analchem.7b05080. (2018).
1116	117	Lasch, P. & Naumann, D. Infrared spectroscopy in microbiology. <i>Encyclopedia Anal Chem</i>
1117		(2015).
1118	118	Maquelin, K. <i>et al.</i> Identification of medically relevant microorganisms by vibrational
1119		spectroscopy. J Microbiol Methods 51 , 255-271 (2002).
1120	119	Day, J. S., Edwards, H. G., Dobrowski, S. A. & Voice, A. M. The detection of drugs of abuse in
1121		fingerprints using Raman spectroscopy I: latent fingerprints. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol
1122		Spectrosc 60 , 563-568 (2004).
1123	120	Macleod, N. A. & Matousek, P. Emerging Non-invasive Raman Methods in Process Control
1124		and Forensic Applications. <i>Pharm Res</i> 25 , 2205 (2008).
1125	121	Lewis, I., Daniel Jr, N., Chaffin, N., Griffiths, P. & Tungol, M. Raman spectroscopic studies of
1126		explosive materials: towards a fieldable explosives detector. <i>Spectrochim Acta A</i> 51 , 1985-
1127		2000 (1995).
1128	122	Hargreaves, M. D. & Matousek, P. Threat detection of liquid explosive precursor mixtures by
1129		Spatially Offset Raman Spectroscopy (SORS). in Optics and photonics for counterterrorism
1130		and crime fighting V. Vol. 7486 74860B (International Society for Optics and Photonics).
1131	123	Ali, E. M., Edwards, H. G., Hargreaves, M. D. & Scowen, I. J. Raman spectroscopic
1132		investigation of cocaine hydrochloride on human nail in a forensic context. Anal Bioanal
1133		Chem 390 , 1159-1166 (2008).
1134	124	Vergote, G. J., Vervaet, C., Remon, J. P., Haemers, T. & Verpoort, F. Near-infrared FT-Raman
1135		spectroscopy as a rapid analytical tool for the determination of diltiazem hydrochloride in
1136		tablets. <i>Eur J Pharm Sci</i> 16 , 63-67 (2002).
1137	125	Lohr, D. <i>et al.</i> Non-destructive determination of carbohydrate reserves in leaves of
,		
1138		•
1138 1139		ornamental cuttings by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) as a key indicator for quality assessments. <i>Biosys Eng</i> 158 , 51-63 (2017).

1140 126 Heys, K. A., Shore, R. F., Pereira, M. G. & Martin, F. L. Levels of Organochlorine Pesticides Are 1141 Associated with Amyloid Aggregation in Apex Avian Brains. Environ Sci Technol 51, 8672-1142 8681 (2017). 1143 127 Comino, F., Aranda, V., García-Ruiz, R. & Domínguez-Vidal, A. Infrared spectroscopy as a tool 1144 for the assessment of soil biological quality in agricultural soils under contrasting 1145 management practices. Ecol Indicators 87, 117-126 (2018). Eliasson, C., Macleod, N. & Matousek, P. Noninvasive detection of concealed liquid 1146 128 1147 explosives using Raman spectroscopy. Anal Chem 79, 8185-8189 (2007). 1148 129 Liu, H.-B., Zhong, H., Karpowicz, N., Chen, Y. & Zhang, X.-C. Terahertz spectroscopy and 1149 imaging for defense and security applications. Proc IEEE 95, 1514-1527 (2007). 1150 130 Golightly, R. S., Doering, W. E. & Natan, M. J. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and homeland security: a perfect match? ACS Nano 3, 2859-2869 (2009). 1151 1152 131 Sattlecker, M., Stone, N., Smith, J. & Bessant, C. Assessment of robustness and transferability 1153 of classification models built for cancer diagnostics using Raman spectroscopy. J Raman 1154 Spectrosc 42, 897-903 (2011). Isabelle, M. et al. Multi-centre Raman spectral mapping of oesophageal cancer tissues: a 1155 132 1156 study to assess system transferability. Faraday Discuss 187, 87-103 (2016). 1157 133 Guo, S. et al. Towards an improvement of model transferability for Raman spectroscopy in 1158 biological applications. *Vib Spectrosc* **91**, 111-118 (2017). 1159 Luo, X. et al. Calibration transfer across near infrared spectrometers for measuring 134 1160 hematocrit in the blood of grazing cattle. J Near Infrared Spec 25, 15-25 (2017). Vaughan, A. A. et al. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry calibration transfer and 1161 135 1162 metabolomics data fusion. Anal Chem 84, 9848-9857 (2012). Rodriguez, J. D., Westenberger, B. J., Buhse, L. F. & Kauffman, J. F. Standardization of Raman 1163 136 1164 spectra for transfer of spectral libraries across different instruments. Analyst 136, 4232-4240 1165 (2011). 1166 137 de Andrade, E. W., de Lelis Medeiros de Morais, C., Lopes da Costa, F. S., de Lima, G. & 1167 Michell, K. A Multivariate Control Chart Approach for Calibration Transfer between NIR 1168 Spectrometers for Simultaneous Determination of Rifampicin and Isoniazid in 1169 Pharmaceutical Formulation. Curr Anal Chem 14, 488-494 (2018). 1170 138 Yu, B., Ji, H. & Kang, Y. Standardization of near infrared spectra based on multi-task learning. 1171 Spectrosc Lett 49, 23-29 (2016). Ni, L., Han, M., Luan, S. & Zhang, L. Screening wavelengths with consistent and stable signals 1172 139 1173 to realize calibration model transfer of near infrared spectra. Spectrochim Acta A 206,350-8 1174 (2019). 1175 140 Hu, R. & Xia, J. Calibration transfer of near infrared spectroscopy based on DS algorithm. in 1176 Electric Information and Control Engineering (ICEICE), 2011 International Conference on 1177 3062-3065 (IEEE). 1178 141 Forina, M. et al. Transfer of calibration function in near-infrared spectroscopy. Chemom 1179 Intellig Lab Syst 27, 189-203 (1995). 1180 142 Xiao, H. et al. Comparison of benchtop Fourier-transform (FT) and portable grating scanning 1181 spectrometers for determination of total soluble solid contents in single grape berry (Vitis vinifera L.) and calibration transfer. Sensors 17, 2693 (2017). 1182 1183 143 Yahaya, O., MatJafri, M., Aziz, A. & Omar, A. Visible spectroscopy calibration transfer model 1184 in determining pH of Sala mangoes. J Instrum 10, T05002 (2015). 144 1185 Bin, J., Li, X., Fan, W., Zhou, J.-h. & Wang, C.-w. Calibration transfer of near-infrared 1186 spectroscopy by canonical correlation analysis coupled with wavelet transform. Analyst 142, 1187 2229-2238 (2017). 1188 Monakhova, Y. B. & Diehl, B. W. Transfer of multivariate regression models between high -145 1189 resolution NMR instruments: application to authenticity control of sunflower lecithin. Magn 1190 Reson Chem 54, 712-717 (2016).

1191 146 Zuo, Q., Xiong, S., Chen, Z.-P., Chen, Y. & Yu, R.-Q. A novel calibration strategy based on 1192 background correction for quantitative circular dichroism spectroscopy. Talanta 174, 320-1193 324 (2017). 1194 147 Koehler IV, F. W., Small, G. W., Combs, R. J., Knapp, R. B. & Kroutil, R. T. Calibration transfer 1195 algorithm for automated qualitative analysis by passive Fourier transform infrared 1196 spectrometry. Anal Chem 72, 1690-1698 (2000). 1197 Rodrigues, R. R. et al. Evaluation of calibration transfer methods using the ATR-FTIR 148 1198 technique to predict density of crude oil. Chemom Intellig Lab Syst 166, 7-13 (2017). 1199 149 Wang, Y., Veltkamp, D. J. & Kowalski, B. R. Multivariate instrument standardization. Anal 1200 *Chem* **63**, 2750-2756 (1991). 1201 150 Brouckaert, D., Uyttersprot, J.-S., Broeckx, W. & De Beer, T. Calibration transfer of a Raman 1202 spectroscopic quantification method for the assessment of liquid detergent compositions 1203 from at-line laboratory to in-line industrial scale. Talanta 179, 386-392 (2018). 1204 Andrade, E. V., Morais, C. d. L. M., Costa, F. S. L. & Lima, K. M. G. A Multivariate Control 151 1205 Chart Approach for Calibration Transfer between NIR Spectrometers for Simultaneous 1206 Determination of Rifampicin and Isoniazid in Pharmaceutical Formulation. Curr Anal Chem 1207 14, 1-7 (2018). 1208 152 Zamora-Rojas, E., Pérez-Marín, D., De Pedro-Sanz, E., Guerrero-Ginel, J. & Garrido-Varo, A. 1209 Handheld NIRS analysis for routine meat quality control: Database transfer from at-line 1210 instruments. Chemom Intellig Lab Syst 114, 30-35 (2012). Panchuk, V., Kirsanov, D., Oleneva, E., Semenov, V. & Legin, A. Calibration transfer between 1211 153 different analytical methods. Talanta 170, 457-463 (2017). 1212 1213 154 de Morais, C. d. L. M. & de Lima, K. M. G. Determination and analytical validation of creatinine content in serum using image analysis by multivariate transfer calibration 1214 1215 procedures. Anal Methods 7, 6904-6910 (2015). Khaydukova, M. et al. Multivariate calibration transfer between two different types of 1216 155 1217 multisensor systems. Sensors Actuators B Chem 246, 994-1000 (2017). 1218 156 Barreiro, P. et al. Calibration Transfer Between Portable and Laboratory NIR 1219 Spectrophotometers. Acta Hortic (2008). 1220 157 Sulub, Y., LoBrutto, R., Vivilecchia, R. & Wabuyele, B. W. Content uniformity determination 1221 of pharmaceutical tablets using five near-infrared reflectance spectrometers: a process 1222 analytical technology (PAT) approach using robust multivariate calibration transfer 1223 algorithms. Anal Chim Acta 611, 143-150 (2008). 1224 158 Zhang, L., Small, G. W. & Arnold, M. A. Multivariate calibration standardization across 1225 instruments for the determination of glucose by Fourier transform near-infrared 1226 spectrometry. Anal Chem 75, 5905-5915 (2003). 1227 159 Martens, H., Høy, M., Wise, B. M., Bro, R. & Brockhoff, P. B. Pre - whitening of data by 1228 covariance - weighted pre - processing. J Chemom 17, 153-165 (2003). 1229 Feudale, R. N. et al. Transfer of multivariate calibration models: a review. Chemom Intellig 160 1230 Lab Syst 64, 181-192 (2002). Woody, N. A., Feudale, R. N., Myles, A. J. & Brown, S. D. Transfer of multivariate calibrations 1231 161 1232 between four near-infrared spectrometers using orthogonal signal correction. Anal Chem 76, 1233 2595-2600 (2004). Greensill, C., Wolfs, P., Spiegelman, C. & Walsh, K. Calibration transfer between PDA-based 1234 162 1235 NIR spectrometers in the NIR assessment of melon soluble solids content. Appl Spectrosc 55, 1236 647-653 (2001). 1237 Sjöblom, J., Svensson, O., Josefson, M., Kullberg, H. & Wold, S. An evaluation of orthogonal 163 1238 signal correction applied to calibration transfer of near infrared spectra. Chemom Intellig Lab 1239 Syst 44, 229-244 (1998). 1240 164 Andrews, D. T. & Wentzell, P. D. Applications of maximum likelihood principal component 1241 analysis: incomplete data sets and calibration transfer. Anal Chim Acta 350, 341-352 (1997).

1242 1243	165	Bouveresse, E., Massart, D. & Dardenne, P. Calibration transfer across near-infrared spectrometric instruments using Shenk's algorithm: effects of different standardisation
1244		samples. Anal Chim Acta 297 , 405-416 (1994).
1245	166	Shenk, J. S. & Westerhaus, M. O. Populations structuring of near infrared spectra and
1246		modified partial least squares regression. Crop Sci 31 , 1548-1555 (1991).
1247	167	Paatero, P. & Tapper, U. Positive matrix factorization: A non - negative factor model with
1248		optimal utilization of error estimates of data values. Environmetrics 5, 111-126 (1994).
1249	168	Xie, Y. & Hopke, P. K. Calibration transfer as a data reconstruction problem. Anal Chim Acta
1250		384 , 193-205 (1999).
1251	169	Goodacre, R. et al. On mass spectrometer instrument standardization and interlaboratory
1252		calibration transfer using neural networks. Anal Chim Acta 348, 511-532 (1997).
1253	170	Chen, WR., Bin, J., Lu, HM., Zhang, ZM. & Liang, YZ. Calibration transfer via an extreme
1254		learning machine auto-encoder. Analyst 141, 1973-1980 (2016).
1255	171	Hu, Y., Peng, S., Bi, Y. & Tang, L. Calibration transfer based on maximum margin criterion for
1256		qualitative analysis using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Analyst 137 , 5913-5918
1257	470	
1258	172	Fan, W., Liang, Y., Yuan, D. & Wang, J. Calibration model transfer for near-infrared spectra
1259	470	based on canonical correlation analysis. <i>Anal Chim Acta</i> 623 , 22-29 (2008).
1260	173	Wang, Z., Dean, T. & Kowalski, B. R. Additive background correction in multivariate
1261	174	instrument standardization. Anal Chem 67, 2379-2385 (1995).
1262 1263	174	Kennard, R. W. & Stone, L. A. Computer Aided Design of Experiments. <i>Technometrics</i> 11 , 137-148 (1969).
1265	175	Palonpon, A. F. <i>et al.</i> Raman and SERS microscopy for molecular imaging of live cells. <i>Nat</i>
1265	175	<i>Protoc</i> 8 , 677 (2013).
1265	176	Witze, E. S., Old, W. M., Resing, K. A. & Ahn, N. G. Mapping protein post-translational
1267	1/0	modifications with mass spectrometry. <i>Nat Methods</i> 4 , 798 (2007).
1268	177	Aebersold, R. & Mann, M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. <i>Nature</i> 422 , 198 (2003).
1269	178	Pence, I. & Mahadevan-Jansen, A. Clinical instrumentation and applications of Raman
1270		spectroscopy. Chem Soc Rev 45 , 1958-1979 (2016).
1271	179	Ibrahim, O. <i>et al.</i> Improved protocols for pre-processing Raman spectra of formalin fixed
1272		paraffin preserved tissue sections. Anal Methods 9, 4709-4717 (2017).
1273	180	Tfayli, A. et al. Digital dewaxing of Raman signals: discrimination between nevi and
1274		melanoma spectra obtained from paraffin-embedded skin biopsies. Appl Spectrosc 63, 564-
1275		570 (2009).
1276	181	Byrne, H. J., Knief, P., Keating, M. E. & Bonnier, F. Spectral pre and post processing for
1277		infrared and Raman spectroscopy of biological tissues and cells. Chem Soc Rev 45, 1865-1878
1278		(2016).
1279	182	Meade, A. D. et al. Studies of chemical fixation effects in human cell lines using Raman
1280		microspectroscopy. Anal Bioanal Chem 396 , 1781-1791 (2010).
1281	183	Baker, M. J. et al. Developing and understanding biofluid vibrational spectroscopy: a critical
1282		review. <i>Chem Soc Rev</i> 45 , 1803-1818 (2016).
1283	184	Bonifacio, A., Cervo, S. & Sergo, V. Label-free surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of
1284		biofluids: fundamental aspects and diagnostic applications. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 407 , 8265-
1285	405	8277 (2015).
1286	185	Mitchell, A. L., Gajjar, K. B., Theophilou, G., Martin, F. L. & Martin-Hirsch, P. L. Vibrational
1287		spectroscopy of biofluids for disease screening or diagnosis: translation from the laboratory
1288	196	to a clinical setting. <i>J Biophotonics</i> 7 , 153-165 (2014).
1289 1290	186	Lovergne, L. <i>et al.</i> Biofluid infrared spectro-diagnostics: pre-analytical considerations for clinical applications. <i>Faraday Discuss</i> 187 , 521-537 (2016).
1290 1291	187	Bonifacio, A. <i>et al.</i> Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy of blood plasma and serum using
1291	101	Ag and Au nanoparticles: a systematic study. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406 , 2355-2365 (2014).
1232		No and Na hanoparticles, a systematic study. And bloandi Chem 400, 2555-2505 (2014).

1293 188 Paraskevaidi, M., Martin-Hirsch, P. L. & Martin, F. L. ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy Tools for Medical 1294 Diagnosis and Disease Investigation. In Nanotechnology Characterization Tools for 1295 Biosensing and Medical Diagnosis, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 163-211 (2017). 1296 189 Mitchell, B. L., Yasui, Y., Li, C. I., Fitzpatrick, A. L. & Lampe, P. D. Impact of freeze-thaw cycles 1297 and storage time on plasma samples used in mass spectrometry based biomarker discovery 1298 projects. Cancer Inform 1 (2005). 1299 190 Glassford, S. E., Byrne, B. & Kazarian, S. G. Recent applications of ATR FTIR spectroscopy and imaging to proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1834, 2849-2858 (2013). 1300 1301 191 Kundu, J., Le, F., Nordlander, P. & Halas, N. J. Surface enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) spectroscopy on nanoshell aggregate substrates. Chem Phys Lett 452, 115-119 (2008). 1302 1303 192 Jones, S., Carley, S. & Harrison, M. An introduction to power and sample size estimation. 1304 Emerg Med J 20, 453-458 (2003). 1305 193 Beebe, K. R., Pell, R. J. & Seasholtz, M. B. Chemometrics: a practical guide. In Wiley New York 4 (1998). 1306 1307 194 Pavia, D. L., Lampman, G. M., Kriz, G. S. & Vyvyan, J. A. Introduction to spectroscopy. In 1308 Cengage Learning (2008). 1309 195 Savitzky, A. & Golay, M. J. Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares 1310 procedures. Anal Chem 36, 1627-1639 (1964). 1311 196 Geladi, P., MacDougall, D. & Martens, H. Linearization and scatter-correction for near-1312 infrared reflectance spectra of meat. Appl Spectrosc 39, 491-500 (1985). 1313 197 Barnes, R., Dhanoa, M. S. & Lister, S. J. Standard normal variate transformation and de-1314 trending of near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra. Appl Spectrosc 43, 772-777 (1989). Brereton, R. G. Chemometrics: data analysis for the laboratory and chemical plant. In John 1315 198 1316 Wiley & Sons (2003). 1317 199 Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R. & Friedman, J. The elements of statistical learning 2nd edition. In 1318 New York: Springer (2009). 1319 200 Bro, R. & Smilde, A. K. Principal component analysis. Anal Methods 6, 2812-2831 (2014). 1320 201 Martin, F. L. et al. Identifying variables responsible for clustering in discriminant analysis of 1321 data from infrared microspectroscopy of a biological sample. J Comput Biol 14, 1176-1184 1322 (2007). 1323 202 Martens, H. & Martens, M. Modified Jack-knife estimation of parameter uncertainty in 1324 bilinear modelling by partial least squares regression (PLSR). Food Qual Prefer 11, 5-16 1325 (2000). 1326 203 Rousseeuw, P. J. & Hubert, M. Robust statistics for outlier detection. Wiley Interdiscip Rev 1327 Data Min Knowl Discov 1, 73-79 (2011). 1328 204 Jiang, F., Liu, G., Du, J. & Sui, Y. Initialization of K-modes clustering using outlier detection 1329 techniques. Inf Sci 332, 167-183 (2016). Domingues, R., Filippone, M., Michiardi, P. & Zouaoui, J. A comparative evaluation of outlier 1330 205 1331 detection algorithms: Experiments and analyses. Pattern Recognit 74, 406-421 (2018). 1332 206 Bakeev, K. A. Process analytical technology: spectroscopic tools and implementation 1333 strategies for the chemical and pharmaceutical industries. In John Wiley & Sons (2010). 1334 207 Kuligowski, J., Quintás, G., Herwig, C. & Lendl, B. A rapid method for the differentiation of 1335 yeast cells grown under carbon and nitrogen-limited conditions by means of partial least 1336 squares discriminant analysis employing infrared micro-spectroscopic data of entire yeast 1337 cells. Talanta 99, 566-573 (2012). 1338 208 Morais, C. L. & Lima, K. M. Comparing unfolded and two-dimensional discriminant analysis 1339 and support vector machines for classification of EEM data. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 170, 1-2 1340 (2017). 1341 209 Dixon, S. J. & Brereton, R. G. Comparison of performance of five common classifiers 1342 represented as boundary methods: Euclidean Distance to Centroids, Linear Discriminant

Analysis, Quadratic Discriminant Analysis, Learning Vector Quantization and Support Vector Machines, as dependent on data structure. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 95, 1-17 (2009). Brereton, R. G. & Lloyd, G. R. Partial least squares discriminant analysis: taking the magic away. J Chemom 28, 213-225 (2014). Cover, T. & Hart, P. Nearest neighbor pattern classification. IEEE Trans Inf Theory 13, 21-27 (1967). Cortes, C. & Vapnik, V. Support-vector networks. Mach Learn 20, 273-297 (1995). Abraham, A. Artificial neural networks. handbook of measuring system design (2005). Fawagreh, K., Gaber, M. M. & Elyan, E. Random forests: from early developments to recent advancements. Systems Science & Control Engineering 2, 602-609 (2014). LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. Nature 521, 436 (2015). Seasholtz, M. B. & Kowalski, B. The parsimony principle applied to multivariate calibration. Anal Chim Acta 277, 165-177 (1993). Morais, C. L. & Lima, K. M. Principal Component Analysis with Linear and Quadratic Discriminant Analysis for Identification of Cancer Samples Based on Mass Spectrometry. J Braz Chem Soc, 31 (2017). Hibbert, D. B. Vocabulary of concepts and terms in chemometrics (IUPAC Recommendations 2016). Pure Appl Chem 88, 407-443 (2016). McCall, J. Genetic algorithms for modelling and optimisation. J Comput Appl Math 184, 205-222 (2005). Soares, S. F. C., Gomes, A. A., Araujo, M. C. U., Galvão Filho, A. R. & Galvão, R. K. H. The successive projections algorithm. Trends Anal Chem 42, 84-98 (2013). Kamandar, M. & Ghassemian, H. Maximum relevance, minimum redundancy feature extraction for hyperspectral images. In Electrical Engineering (ICEE), 2010 18th Iranian Conference on. 254-259 (IEEE).

1370 Figure legends

1371 Figure 1. IR spectra of healthy control (absence of disease) samples varying ATR-FTIR

1372 instruments and operators. Average (a) raw and (b) pre-processed IR spectra for healthy

1373 control samples measured across three different ATR-FTIR spectrometers in the same institute

1374 (A, B and C). Average (c) raw and (d) pre-processed IR spectra for healthy control samples

across two different operators (Operator 1 and 2).

1376 Figure 2. PCA scores for healthy control (absence of disease) samples varying ATR-FTIR

instruments before and after standardization. (a) PCA scores for healthy control samples
across three different ATR-FTIR spectrometers in the same institute (A, B and C) after preprocessing but before PDS; (b) PCA scores for healthy control samples across three different
ATR-FTIR spectrometers in the same institute (A, B and C) after PDS (model built with 55
transfer samples and window size of 23 wavenumbers). The dotted blue circle shows 95 %
confidence ellipse (two-sided). Each measurement observation (circle) corresponds to the data

1383 acquired from a unique operator.

1384 Figure 3. Flowchart for standardization using Direct Standardization (DS).

Figure 4. Flowchart for a standardization protocol using different experimental
conditions.

Figure 5. Discriminant function (DF) plots using PCA-LDA to discriminate healthy
control (absence of disease) samples from ovarian cancer samples varying the instrument.
(a) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system; (b) DF plot of the PCA-LDA
model for the primary system predicting the samples from the secondary systems. Sample

1391 index represents the number of samples' spectra.

1392 Figure 6. PCA-LDA results for DS and PDS standardisation models for spectra collected

1393 by the three different instruments. (a) Misclassification rate in % for the validation set of the

1394 secondary system varying the number of transfer samples in % from the primary system for

DS optimization; (b) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system predicting the validation set from the secondary system after DS; (c) Misclassification rate in % for the validation set of the secondary system varying the window size for PDS optimization; (d) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system predicting the validation set from the secondary system after PDS. Transfer samples (%) refer to the percentage of training samples' spectra from the primary instrument that are used to transform the signal obtained using the secondary instrument.

Figure 7. Discriminant function (DF) plots using PCA-LDA to discriminate healthy
control (absence of disease) samples from ovarian cancer samples varying the operator.
(a) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system (Operator 1); (b) DF plot of the
PCA-LDA model for the primary system predicting the samples from the secondary system
(Operator 2).

1407 Figure 8. PCA-LDA results for DS and PDS standardisation models for spectra collected

by two different operators. (a) Misclassification rate in % for the validation set of the secondary system (Operator 2) varying the number of transfer samples in % from the primary system (Operator 1) for DS optimization; (b) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system predicting the validation set from the secondary system after DS; (c) Misclassification rate in % for the validation set of the secondary system varying the window size for PDS optimization; (d) DF plot of the PCA-LDA model for the primary system predicting the validation set from the secondary system after PDS.

1415