

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/29218/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1080/21679169.2019.1645882
Date	2021
Citation	Roddam, Hazel, Stewart, Heather Christine and Lewis, Felicity (2021) Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice. European Journal of Physiotherapy, 23 (2). pp. 86-94. ISSN 2167- 9169
Creators	Roddam, Hazel, Stewart, Heather Christine and Lewis, Felicity

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1080/21679169.2019.1645882

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1	<u>Title Page</u>
2	Short title: Pre-Frail Exercise
3	Full title:
4	Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength
5	in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice
6	Felicity J. Lewis <sup>ab</sup> , Heather C. Stewart <sup>b</sup> , Hazel Roddam <sup>b</sup>
7	<sup>a</sup> Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust, Community Emergency Response Team Southport
8	and Formby, United Kingdom.
9	<sup>b</sup> School of Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, PR1 2HE, United Kingdom.
10	
11	*Correspondence: Dr Hazel Roddam, School of Health Sciences, University of Central
12	Lancashire PR1 2HE Email: <u>HRoddam@uclan.ac.uk</u>
13	
14	Word Count:
15	Structured Abstract: 190
16	Main Body: 2931
17	

Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status
 and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for
 practice

21

#### 22 Abstract

Background: Frailty is associated with reduced functional ability. Pre-frail individuals are at
increased risk of becoming frail and are more likely to transition back to a robust state than
frail individuals. Exercise has been reported to have beneficial effects on physical function
in combined pre-frail and frail populations. This review identified the need to investigate
the pre-frail population in isolation.

28 **Objectives:** To investigate the effects of exercise interventions on physical function,

29 mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population, and to support the role of

30 physiotherapy in the management of pre-frailty.

Data Sources: The electronic databases AMED, CINAHL Complete, MEDLINE with Full Text
 and PubMed were searched using terms related to pre-frailty, exercise, strength, mobility
 and function.

34 **Results:** The search yielded 456 articles. Seven RCTs and two NRSs were eligible and

35 methodological quality varied from good to poor. Interventions included combinations of

36 strengthening, balance, functional, mobility, power and wii-fit exercises.

37	Conclusions: Exercise is an effective intervention to improve physical outcomes and
38	potentially delay or reverse frailty in the pre-frail population. Further high quality research
39	is required to support the recommendations made by this review.
40	
41	Contribution of the Paper:
42	• The term pre-frail refers to the state between robust and frail and is associated with
43	an increased risk of becoming frail.
44	• Exercise interventions can have positive effects on physical function, mobility and
45	strength in the pre-frail population.
46	Physiotherapists are well placed to deliver exercise interventions and manage pre-
47	frail patients.
48	• The current evidence base is insufficient; further research of high quality is required
49	to investigate the effects of exercise and early physical exercise intervention in the
50	pre-frail population.
51	Keywords: Pre-frail, exercise, physical function, mobility, frailty
52	
53	
54	

# 55 Background

Frailty is a dynamic state that refers to a lack of physiological reserve and reflects
accelerated aging [1, 2]. Frailty is also associated with adverse health outcomes resulting in
reduced functional ability and high usage of health and social care services in the UK [2-4].
The term pre-frail refers to the state between robust and frail and is associated with an
increased risk of becoming frail [5].

61

62 Currently the gold standard of care for managing frailty is the provision of a comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) [6]. CGAs are carried out by medical and allied health 63 64 professionals (AHPs) with physiotherapists assessing key aspects of frailty such as physical 65 function, mobility, strength and balance [7]. Following a CGA it is recommended that an individualised multi-disciplinary intervention plan is developed [7], which physiotherapists 66 67 play a key role in delivering [8]. This is supported by Professor Hobbelen, a leading health researcher who at a 2016 European Region – World Confederation for Physical Therapy 68 conference described physiotherapists as possessing the "golden bullet" of exercise to fight 69 70 frailty [9].

71

Several reviews support the potential of exercise as an effective intervention to improve physical outcomes in frail and combined pre-frail and frail populations [11-13]. A recent systematic review investigating the effects of health promotion in the pre-frail population reported improvements in physical function with exercise [10]. However, the search terms did not include those relating to exercise and included studies investigating combined preand moderately frail populations.

Clinically observed differences suggest there is a need for the pre-frail population to be
studied in isolation. During a recent 12-month Frailty Clinic pilot at a North West NHS Trust
pre-frail patients (per the Rockwood Scale [14]) were more able to participate in physical
rehabilitation than frail patients. Additionally, these pre-frail patients demonstrated greater
improvements in physical function and mobility.

84

It was reported by Gill et al. that older people are more likely to transition to greater rather than lesser states of frailty over a prolonged period of time (54-months) [5]. It was also reported that the probability of transitioning back to a robust state from pre-frail and frail states was between 9.5-16.5% and 0-0.9% respectively [5]. These findings along with clinical observations suggest that the pre-frail population exist as a key group to target exercise interventions aimed at managing, delaying and reversing frailty.

91

# 92 **Objectives**

93 The aim of this review was to investigate the effects of exercise interventions on physical 94 function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population. The current 95 evidence base was systematically reviewed to determine if any clinical recommendations 96 could be made. The secondary aim was to support the role of physiotherapy in the 97 management of pre-frail patients.

#### 99 Methods

100 This review is reported according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews

and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [15]. The review question was built on the

102 participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes and study design (PICOS) framework.

103 The following methodology was carried out by one author.

104

### 105 Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

106 Eligible studies were identified by searching the electronic databases AMED, CINAHL

107 Complete, MEDLINE with Full Text and PubMed (last accessed December 2017). Search

terms included terms related to pre-frailty, exercise, strength, mobility and function. The

search was supplemented by reference list searching of eligible study reports and relevant

110 reviews. Due to the low yield of articles relating specifically to the pre-frail population no

111 limiters were set for date range or study type.

112

- 113 The titles and abstracts identified by the search were reviewed and the full texts of
- 114 potentially eligible studies were evaluated against the following criteria:

- 116 Inclusion Criteria:
- Use of a recognised and referenced frailty tool to classify people as being pre-frail.
- Analysis of pre-frail people in isolation including sub-group analysis.

119	•	Analysis of exercise as a single intervention compared to a control or comparator
120		group.
121	•	Use of outcome measures that relate to physical function, mobility, frailty status or
122		strength.
123	•	Outcome measures performed before and after the intervention period.
124	•	Full text available in the English language.
125	Exclus	ion Criteria:
126	•	Analysis of the pre-frail population with a specific health condition e.g. Parkinson's
127		disease.
128	•	Combined analysis of pre-frail and frail (including moderately frail) people.
129	•	Analysis of exercise as part of a multi-factorial intervention.
130	•	Use of outcome measures not relevant to the review question.
131		
132	Data E	Extraction
133	A num	ber of study characteristics were extracted from the eligible studies using a table to
134	enable	e consistent recording. To analyse intervention effects within and between group
135	differe	ences in mean outcome scores were recorded. Significance levels and effect sizes
136	were i	recorded where available.
137		

138 Assessing risk of bias

139 Methodological quality was assessed using the critical appraisal skills programme (CASP)

140 tool for randomised control trials (RCTs) [16].

141

# 142 **Results**

The search strategy yielded 456 articles ranging from 2001 to 2018; after duplicates were removed 191 articles remained for title and abstract screening. The full text of 16 articles were retrieved, after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria 10 articles were deemed eligible for inclusion in the review [17-26]. Reasons for exclusion are outlined in the study selection flow diagram (Figure 1).

148

Two articles reported on the same original study [19, 26], one consisted of a follow-up study after a period of de-training [26]. The study was included as the follow-up period was similar to other eligible studies [21, 22] and it was deemed clinically relevant to determine the long term effects of exercise interventions. Another two articles [22, 23] reported on different outcomes of the same study and were evaluated together, resulting in 9 studies to be included in the review.

155

#### 156 Study Characteristics

A summary of the study characteristics is presented in Table 1. Two studies were conducted in Brazil [17, 22, 23], two in Japan [24, 25], two in Germany [19, 26] and one each in the USA [18], Netherlands [20] and the Republic of Korea [21]. Seven of the studies were RCTs [17-

23, 26] including a follow-up [26] and a pilot [18]. Two studies were non-randomised
control trials (NRS) [24, 25]; one was a pilot [25]. Sample size ranged from 23 [18] to 238
[20] and all studies used the Fried frailty phenotype criteria [27] to identify people as being

163 pre-frail.

164

#### 165 Intervention Characteristics

166 Study methodologies included comparing single exercise programmes to a control [17, 22,

167 23] or robust comparator group [24, 25], comparing two different exercise programmes to a

168 control [18-20, 26] and comparing a single intervention exercise programme to a combined

169 exercise and nutrition intervention (cooking class) to a control [21]. For the latter study,

170 only data relating to the single intervention exercise group and the control were considered

in order to meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

172

The exercise interventions included components of strength, balance, mobility and function.
One RCT and its follow-up study compared strength and power training [19, 26] and one
RCT compared exercises to the wii-fit [18]. Progressive exercise programmes were utilised
by all of the RCTs whereas the two NRSs did not.

177

The duration of the exercise sessions ranged from 45 to 90 minutes, 1 to 7 days a week for
10 to 52 weeks. All studies carried out the exercise programme in a supervised group
setting except one [25] which investigated an unsupervised daily home-based programme

following 1-2 instruction sessions. Only one of the studies utilising a group setting reported instructing participants to perform the exercises at home, detail relating to frequency is not given [21]. Three studies followed up the participants ranging from 10 weeks [22, 23] to 6 months [21, 26] post-intervention. Two studies asked participants not to carry out any of the intervention exercises after the intervention period had ended [22, 23, 26], it is unclear if this was the case for the third study [21].

187

The control groups were asked to continue their daily routines and not start new physical activities [18-20, 22, 23, 26], attend lectures on physical activity and nutrition [19, 21] and carry out upper limb and neck stretches and relaxation [17].

191

Outcome measures utilised included the timed up and go (TUG) [18, 20, 22-25], one-leg balance test (OLB) [21, 24, 25], performance orientated mobility assessment (POMA) [20] and short physical performance battery (SPPB) [19, 26]. Lower scores for the TUG and higher scores for the OLB, POMA and SPPB indicate a better performance [28]. Other measures included sit to stand transfers [17, 18, 20], gait speed [17, 20-24], strength [19, 21-26] and self-reported function [18-20, 21, 26].

198

#### 199 Methodological Quality

A summary of the individual risk of bias for the included studies is presented in Table 2. All
 RCTs reported randomised allocation and was computer generated in those reporting on

methodology [17, 19-21, 26]. Three studies had concealment of allocation [17, 19, 20], one
stated that it did not [21] and there was inadequate reporting for two studies [18, 22, 23].
Selection bias was deemed to be low risk [17, 19, 20], high risk [21] and unclear [18, 22, 23].

205

It is unclear if drop-outs had any significant impact in five of the studies [17, 18, 21, 24, 25].
Two reported no impact with drop-outs [19, 20] and one reported reduced statistical power
[26]. Only two studies reported intention to treat analysis [19, 20]. Attrition bias was
deemed to be low risk [19, 20, 22, 23], medium risk [26], high risk [21, 25] and unclear [1718, 24].

211

In all studies the participants and personnel delivering the intervention were not blinded.
Due to the nature of the interventions this was not deemed to significantly impact
methodological quality. The assessors were blinded to allocation in six studies [17, 19-23,
26], but not in either of the NRSs [24, 25] and it was unclear in one study due to inadequate
reporting [18]. Detection bias was deemed to be low risk [17, 19-23, 26], high risk [24, 25]
and unclear [18].

218

Eight studies reported comparable groups at baseline, the pilot RCT reported a significantly younger control group [18] and there was inadequate reporting by one study [25]. Overall methodological quality was deemed to be good [19, 20], fair [17, 22, 23, 26], fair-poor [21] and poor [18, 24, 25]. The poor quality studies were limited by inadequate reporting and

223	two were pilots designed to test feasibility and method [18, 25]. Consequently, the results
224	of these studies were interpreted with caution and greater weighting was given to the RCTs.
225	
226	Impact of Interventions
227	A summary of the individual study results is presented in Table 3. Studies that investigated
228	two different exercise programmes reported comparable intervention effects at post-
229	intervention and follow up [18-20, 26].
230	
231	Physical function
232	Significant positive intervention effects were observed for sit to stand [17, 18], semi-tandem
233	test [17], step test [17] and the SPPB [19]. Although no longer significant, SPPB scores
234	remained higher than baseline for the intervention groups after a 24-week detraining period
235	[26]. Variable intervention effects were reported for the OLB test [21, 24, 25]. Utilising
236	combined physical outcome scores one RCT reported positive and negative intervention
237	effects in pre-frail and frail sub-groups respectively [20]. No intervention effects were
238	reported for self-reported function or disability [18-21, 26] except for the combined exercise
239	and nutrition group [21].
240	

\_ . .

241 Mobility

242	Positive intervention effects were reported for the TUG in pre-frail participants [18, 22-25]
243	but, not for a robust comparator group [24]. After a 10 week detraining period one study
244	reported lower than baseline TUG scores but it is unclear if this was significant [22].
245	
246	Significant positive intervention effects on gait speed were reported [17, 21-24], which
247	remained after a 10-week period of detraining [22]. However, one study reported no effect
248	at post-intervention or 6-month follow-up [21]. Utilising the POMA, one study reported a
249	positive intervention effect and no effect in pre-frail and frail sub-groups respectively [20].
250	
251	Frailty Status
252	Only the pilot NRS [25] reported on frailty status with 23.5% of the pre-frail group
253	transitioning to a robust state post intervention. No participants transitioned to a frail state.
254	
255	Muscle Strength
256	Significant positive intervention effects on knee extensor strength were reported [22, 23,
257	25]. Strength remained greater than baseline after a 10 week period of detraining but it is
258	unclear if this was significant [22]. No significant effect was observed for either strength or
259	power training on general lower limb strength [19]. However, the power training group
260	demonstrated greater than baseline power after a 24-week detraining period [26]. No
261	effect [24] and significant positive effects [21, 25] were reported for grip strength, but this
262	was not maintained at 6-month follow-up [21].

## 263 **Discussion**

This review supports exercise as an effective intervention to improve physical outcomes in the pre-frail population. Due to the review limitations it is advised that the following be interpreted with caution.

267

# 268 **Physical Function**

Two comparable RCTs [19, 20] reported that exercise carried out for an hour, twice a week for 12 weeks resulted in improvements in physical function in the pre-frail population. In contrast, variable findings have been reported for frail populations [12, 13]; this supports clinical observations by suggesting that exercise is most effective in the earlier stages of frailty.

274

Four studies investigated functional balance with variable findings [17, 21, 24, 25]. Only the
studies reporting a positive effect [17, 25] stated that the balance task being assessed
formed part of the exercise programme. The two studies reporting no effect [21, 24]
delivered the intervention once a week in comparison to twice weekly [17] and daily
sessions [25]. This suggests that to observe improvements in functional balance, exercise
programmes should include the tasks being assessed and be carried out for at least an hour,
twice a week.

Positive intervention effects were reported for sit to stand transfers [17, 18]. One study
reported a smaller intervention effect (p= .046) compared to functional balance (p< .005, p<</li>
.001) [17]. However, unlike the assessed balance tasks, sit to stand practice did not form
part of the exercise programme. This further supports the inclusion of assessed tasks into
exercise programmes and suggests that greater improvements are observed with task
repetition.

289

290 Consistent with systematic reviews investigating frail populations [11-13], the favourable 291 results above were not reflected in the self-reported measures of function. This is in 292 contrast to patients reviewed in the frailty clinic. Reasons for these opposing findings may 293 be due to differences between research and practice. In the clinical trials the exercise 294 programmes were pre-set and not person-specific. In clinical practice exercise programmes 295 are individually developed based on patient identified goals with the aim to achieve 296 meaningful improvements. Further research that reflects clinical practice is required.

297

## 298 Mobility

Most studies reported favourable intervention effects on gait speed [17, 22-24] and one study, delivering the intervention less frequently reported no effect [21]. These findings concur with findings for frail populations [11-13] and suggest exercise at a frequency of at least twice a week is required to increase gait speed.

304	Of the studies that utilised the TUG [17, 22-25] and the POMA [20] all reported positive
305	intervention effects. This is in contrast to research relating to frail populations [11-13],
306	suggesting that the early delivery of exercise interventions is required to gain improvements
307	in functional mobility.

#### 309 Frailty Status

One study reported that exercise reversed frailty [25]. Although of poor quality the findings concur with a recent RCT (N=245, pre-frail 73%, frail 27%) that reported a reduction in frailty following a 24 week exercise intervention (p< .01) [29]. These combined findings may reflect the favourable effects exercise has on many of the Fried frailty domains and warrants further research.

315

#### 316 Muscle Strength

The effectiveness of exercise on lower limb strength varied despite similar interventions and may reflect differences in measurement and frequency. Using an isokinetic dynamometer [23, 25] and a force plate (during sit to stand) [19] positive and no effect were reported respectively. The interventions for the RCTs [19, 23] were carried out twice [19] versus three [23] times a week. These findings suggest that an intervention frequency of greater than twice a week is required to increase lower limb strength in a pre-frail population.

323

#### 324 Review Strengths and Limitations

325	This review addresses a highly relevant and specific clinical question, adding to the
326	growing evidence base relating to the pre-frail population. A transparent and systematic
327	approach was used to identify and appraise the evidence base and the inclusion and
328	exclusion criteria were clearly defined.
329	

This review has several limitations. The literature search, study selection and critique was carried out by one author. At study level, the control and intervention groups were not treated equally. The majority of the controls did not attend groups and some attended health lectures which may have altered their behaviour. Further standardised research is required.

335

The review is further limited by the inclusion of poor quality studies. Additionally, there is a lack of studies pertaining to the pre-frail population. As a result, firm conclusions cannot be made and it is recommended that the findings be interpreted with caution.

339

#### 340 Clinical implications

341 The review findings are deemed clinically relevant as the exercise programmes and outcome

342 measures utilised by the studies reflect clinical practice. The findings support the

343 prescribing of progressive exercise programmes that include strength, balance, and

344 functional mobility exercises, delivered in group settings for an hour, two to three times a

345 week, long term. Clinically, without appropriate funding it will be difficult to deliver the

recommended frequency of the group exercise sessions and offer this as a long term 346 service. Supporting the pre-frail population to take ownership of their own health is 347 therefore of great importance. Strategies to meet this challenge could include educating 348 and motivating the pre-frail population to develop an exercise habit. Physiotherapists can 349 350 support this by assisting in the development of pre-frail pathways and services. In particular physiotherapists could provide short courses of group exercise sessions in both the acute 351 and community setting, form stronger links with third sector organisations to signpost 352 353 people to local exercise and physical activity classes and develop joint initiatives with third sector organisations. Physiotherapists could also assist in developing and supporting 354 targeted public health campaigns. 355

356

The review findings also suggest that exercise interventions are most effective at the pre-357 frail stage and one study even reported negative intervention effects in a frail sub-group 358 [20]. Physiotherapists are well placed to deliver these early physical exercise interventions 359 360 and possess the assessment skills to deliver first contact CGAs. This could result in 361 significant cost savings to the National Health Service (NHS) by reducing Geriatricians workloads and potentially delaying and reversing frailty. This review therefore recommends 362 363 early physical exercise interventions for the pre-frail population, of which physiotherapists can deliver. 364

365

366 Conclusion

This systematically-conducted review has demonstrated that exercise can have positive effects on physical function, mobility and strength in the pre-frail population. Exercise is also identified as a potentially effective intervention to delay and reverse frailty. This review highlights the potential of physiotherapists to become key members of a multidisciplinary team delivering services to the pre-frail population, such as the delivery of group based exercise classes.

373

374 However,	
375 interprete	d with caution. Further high quality research studying both the effects of exercise
376 and early	physiotherapy involvement on physical outcomes and frailty in the pre-frail
377 populatior	n is recommended.

378

# 379 **Declarations of Interest**

380 The authors confirm they have no conflicts of interest.

- 381 As secondary research this study did not require ethical approval.
- 382 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 383 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

384

385

387		
388		
389		
390		
391		
392		
393		
394		
395		
396		<u>References</u>
397	1.	Afilalo J, Alexander KP, Mack MJ, Maurer MS, Green P, Allen LA, et al. Frailty
398		assessment in the cardiovascular care of older adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014
399		03/04;63(8):747-62.
400	2.	Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people (vol 381,
401		pg 752, 2013). Lancet. 2013 OCT 19;382(9901):1328
402	3.	Cornwell J. The care of frail older people with complex needs: time for a revolution.
403		London: King's Fund. 2012.

404	4.	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Dementia, disability and frailty
405		in later life – mid-life approaches to delay or prevent onset. Clinical Guideline 16.
406		London: NICE. 2015.
407	5.	Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG, Han L. Transitions between frailty states among
408		community-living older persons. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(4):418-23.
409	6.	British Geriatrics Society and the Royal College of General Practitioners. Fit for Frailty
410		– Part 2: Developing, commissioning and managing services for people living with
411		frailty in community settings. British Geriatrics Society and the Royal College of
412		General Practitioners. 2015.
413	7.	British Geriatrics Society. Fit for Frailty – Part 1: Consensus best practice guidance for
414		the care of older people living in community and outpatient settings. British
415		Geriatrics Society. 2014.
416	8.	British Geriatrics Society. [Online] Available at:
417		http://www.bgs.org.uk/nursepublications/nursing/nursepublications/consultation-
418		physio-and-older-people. [Accessed 30 <sup>th</sup> October 2017].
419	9.	Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. [Online] Available at:
420		http://www.csp.org.uk/news/2016/11/17/er-wcpt-physios-have-golden-bullet-fight-
421		frailty-say-researchers. [Accessed 30th October 2017].
422	10	. Frost R, Belk C, Jovicic A, Ricciardi F, Kharicha K, Gardner B, et al. Health promotion
423		interventions for community-dwelling older people with mild or pre-frailty: a
424		systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr. 2017 07/20;17(1):157
425	11	. Chou C, Hwang C, Wu Y. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities,
426		and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.

427 2012;93(2):237-44.

428	12. de Labra C, Guimaraes-Pinheiro C, Maseda A, Lorenzo T, Millán-Calenti JC. Effects of
429	physical exercise interventions in frail older adults: a systematic review of
430	randomized controlled trials. BMC geriatrics. 2015;15(1):154.
431	13. Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical
432	exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical
433	function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-
434	analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(4):753,769. e3.
435	14. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global
436	clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ. 2005 Aug
437	30;173(5):489-95.
438	15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Prisma Group. Preferred reporting items
439	for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS medicine.
440	2009;6(7):e1000097.
441	16. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. [Online] Available at: CASP Randomised
442	Controlled Trial Checklist. 2017. [Accessed: 2 <sup>nd</sup> November 2017].
443	17. Arantes PM, Dias JMD, Fonseca FF, Oliveira AM, Oliveira MC, Pereira LS, et al. Effect
444	of a Program Based on Balance Exercises on Gait, Functional Mobility, Fear of Falling,
445	and Falls in Prefrail Older Women: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Topics in Geriatric
446	Rehabilitation. 2015;31(2):113-20.
447	18. Daniel K. Wii-Hab for Pre-Frail Older Adults. Rehabilitation Nursing. 2012;37(4):195-
448	201.
449	19. Drey M, Zech A, Freiberger E, Bertsch T, Uter W, Sieber CC, et al. Effects of strength
450	training versus power training on physical performance in prefrail community-
451	dwelling older adults. Gerontology. 2012;58(3):197-204.

452	20. Faber MJ, Bosscher RJ, Paw, Marijke J Chin A, van Wieringen PC. Effects of exercise
453	programs on falls and mobility in frail and pre-frail older adults: a multicenter
454	randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2006;87(7):885-96.
455	21. Kwon J, Yoshida Y, Yoshida H, Kim H, Suzuki T, Lee Y. Effects of a combined physical
456	training and nutrition intervention on physical performance and health-related
457	quality of life in prefrail older women living in the community: a randomized
458	controlled trial. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association.
459	2015;16(3):263. e1,263. e8.
460	22. Lustosa LP, Pereira LSM, Coelho FM, Pereira DS, Silva JP, Parentoni AN, et al. Impact
461	of an exercise program on muscular and functional performance and plasma levels
462	of interleukin 6 and soluble receptor tumor necrosis factor in prefrail community-
463	dwelling older women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.
464	2013;94(4):660-6.
465	23. Lustosa LP, Silva JP, Coelho FM, Pereira DS, Parentoni AN, Pereira LS. Impact of
466	resistance exercise program on functional capacity and muscular strength of knee
467	extensor in pre-frail community-dwelling older women: a randomized crossover trial.
468	Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy. 2011;15(4):318-24.
469	24. Sugimoto H, Demura S, Nagasawa Y, Shimomura M. Changes in the physical
470	functions of pre-frail elderly women after participation in a 1-year preventative
471	exercise program. Geriatrics & gerontology international. 2014;14(4):975-82.
472	25. Takano E, Teranishi T, Watanabe T, Ohno K, Kitaji S, Sawa S, et al. Differences in the
473	effect of exercise interventions between prefrail older adults and older adults
474	without frailty: A pilot study. GERIATR GERONTOL INT. 2017 09;17(9):1265-9.

475	26. Ze	ech A, Drey M, Freiberger E, Hentschke C, Bauer JM, Sieber CC, et al. Residual
476	ef	fects of muscle strength and muscle power training and detraining on physical
477	fu	nction in community-dwelling prefrail older adults: a randomized controlled trial.
478	BN	MC geriatrics. 2012;12(1):68.
479	27. Fr	ied LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in
480	ol	der adults: evidence for a phenotype. The Journals of Gerontology Series A:
481	Bi	ological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2001;56(3):M146-57.
482	28. Re	ehabilitation Measures Database. [Online] Available at:
483	<u>ht</u>	tps://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures. Accessed [25 <sup>th</sup> October 2017].
484	29. Ng	g TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Feng L, Niti M, Tan BY, et al. Nutritional, physical,
485	со	ognitive, and combination interventions and frailty reversal among older adults: a
486	ra	ndomized controlled trial. Am J Med. 2015;128(11):1225,1236. e1.
487		
488		
489		