

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Which level of risk justifies routine induction of labor for healthy women?
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/30599/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srhc.2019.100479
Date	2020
Citation	Seijmonsbergen-Schermers, Anna E, Peters, Lilian L, Goodarzi, Bahareh, Bekker, Monica, Prins, Marianne, Stapert, Maaike, Dahlen, Hannah G, Downe, Soo, Franx, Arie et al (2020) Which level of risk justifies routine induction of labor for healthy women? Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare, 23 (100479). ISSN 1877-5756
Creators	Seijmonsbergen-Schermers, Anna E, Peters, Lilian L, Goodarzi, Bahareh, Bekker, Monica, Prins, Marianne, Stapert, Maaike, Dahlen, Hannah G, Downe, Soo, Franx, Arie and de Jonge, Ank

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.srhc.2019.100479

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

Abstract

 Although induction of labor can be crucial for preventing morbidity and mortality, more and more women (and their offspring) are being exposed to the disadvantages of this intervention while the benefit is at best small or even uncertain. Characteristics such as an advanced maternal age, a non-native ethnicity, a high Body Mass Index, an artificially assisted conception, and even nulliparity are increasingly considered an indication for induction of labor. Because induction of labor has many disadvantages, a debate is urgently needed on which level of risk justifies routine induction of labor for healthy women, only based on characteristics that are associated with statistically significant small absolute risk differences, compared to others without these characteristics. This commentary contributes to this debate by arguing why induction of labour should not routinely be offered to all women where there is a small increase in absolute risk, and no any other medical risks or complications during pregnancy. To underpin our statement, national data from the Netherlands were used reporting stillbirth rates in groups of women based on their characteristics, for each gestational week from 37 weeks of gestation onwards.

Keywords

Labor, Induced; Risk; Pregnant Women; Medical Overuse; Population Characteristics

Maternal age is increasingly considered an indication for induction of labor. Adverse pregnancy outcomes, including antepartum stillbirth, occur more frequently, and increase exponentially with increasing gestation, in women aged 35 years and older. Whilst the risk of stillbirth has considerably decreased over the last decades, the risk threshold for induction of labor continues to fall too. Logically, if ever smaller differences in absolute risk between older and younger women justify induction of labor, a potential next step will be that other maternal characteristics, with similar small differences in absolute risk, will become indications for induction. Examples of such characteristics are: a non-native ethnicity, a low socioeconomic status, a high body mass index, smoking, an artificially assisted conception, and even nulliparity. A debate is urgently needed on which level of risk justifies *routinely offering* induction of labor for healthy women, only based on characteristics that are associated with statistically significant small absolute risk differences, compared to others without these characteristics. Inductions for medical indications or at women's request fall outside the scope of this commentary.

343536

21

22

23

2425

26

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

Disadvantages of induction

37 In some countries, such as Australia, several hospitals have already implemented policies of 38 routine induction of labor for women aged 35 years or older, born in India, with a high Body Mass Index, or women who had an artificially assisted conception. Since the publication of 39 the ARRIVE trial,³ the discussion on such policy changes has extended to inducing all 40 nulliparous women between 39 and 39+4 weeks with the justification that the trial showed 41 that induction was associated with a reduction in the caesarean section rate, but it did not 42 reduce stillbirth rates. However, there are alternative strategies, such as continuous support 43 44 during labor, for reducing caesarean section rates, even more than the ARRIVE trial, with 45 good evidence on a wide range of other benefits and few risks.⁴ More and more women are being exposed to the discomfort and disadvantages of an induction 46 of labor worldwide,⁵ while their risk of antepartum stillbirth is very low. Induction of labor 47 reduces women's choices in care provider and birth place, restricts mobility and is generally 48 49 experienced as being more painful than labor with a spontaneous onset. Women who are induced use more pharmacological pain relief than they intended, with associated potential 50 harms for themselves and their fetus.⁷ Furthermore, induction of labor increases the risk of 51 complications of labor and delivery, including uterine hyperstimulation, uterine rupture, 52 53 perineal lacerations, severe postpartum hemorrhage, and uterine prolapse. 7,8 These adverse 54 clinical outcomes are likely to contribute to a negative birth experience. To reveal this and enhance value based birth care, we advocate to systematically measure not only clinical 55 outcomes but also patient reported outcomes and birth experiences in individual women, as 56 57 defined in the outcome set for evaluating and improving perinatal care, proposed by the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM). 9,10 58 Although in some countries prostaglandins or misoprostol are used for induction of labor, 59 many women still receive oxytocin when labor is induced. Emerging evidence suggests that 60 61 exogenous oxytocin has potential side effects regarding postpartum maternal physical and psychological health. 11,12 The longer term health consequences for children are not yet fully 62 elucidated. There are studies suggesting that exogenous oxytocin has an adverse impact on the 63 fetal preparation for the extra-uterine environment and on longer term health problems. 11,13,14 64 65 Based on the Hippocratic principle of 'first do no harm' widespread use induction of labor

should not be introduced for healthy populations of pregnant women until the potential longer term harms have been thoroughly investigated, and a clear benefit of a reduction of absolute risk of stillbirth outweigh the harms of induction. 13

68 69 70

71

72

73

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91 92

93

94

95

96

99

109

66

67

Shared decision making

Offering an induction of labor is the response of care providers to the increased risk of stillbirth for women aged 35 years or older. Nowadays, the choice for or against a treatment strategy is increasingly being shifted to women. At first sight, this seems reasonable, because through shared decision making women are offered a choice whether or not to accept the disadvantages of an induction to reduce the risk of stillbirth. However, shared decision making is not offered consistently. For instance, the stillbirth rate among nulliparous women is 0.12% and 0.13% among multiparous women who have given birth twice or more, and 0.14% for a group of women of low socioeconomic status (Table 1 and Textbox 1). Routine induction is not offered to for instance nulliparous women, multiparous women who have given birth twice or more, and women of lower socioeconomic status in the Netherlands, but it is increasingly offered to women aged 35 to 39 years, despite the stillbirth rate among this group of women being 0.12%. Hence, the threshold for shared

Textbox 1: Methods of data analyses (Table 1)

We analysed data from the Dutch Perinatal Data register (Perined) of 824,653 births ≥ 37 weeks from the years 2012 to 2016.

The exclusion criteria were: missing information on maternal age, gestational age, perinatal mortality, or parity, and birth before 37 weeks of gestation. The following risk factors for stillbirth were also excluded from the analyses: lethal fetal congenital disorders, maternal disease, hypertensive disorders, diabetes, intra-uterine growth restriction, suspected macrosomia or polyhydramnios, and other problems such as infection (apart from urinary tract infections), use of medication, drugs or alcohol, blood group incompatibility, placenta previa, lack of antenatal care and fetal heart arrhythmia.

Maternal age categories of 40-44 and ≥45 years were combined, because of the low number in the category of ≥45 years. To calculate the mortality rates at each week of gestation, we estimated the incidence of stillbirths that occurred during that week among all women that were still pregnant at the beginning of that week. The registered gestational age was based on the moment of birth and not the moment of death, but we assumed that the time period between death and birth was limited to a few days. A limitation of Perined data is that risk factors are not very well registered in this database. The population without known risk factors will, therefore, contain a proportion of women with existing risk factors that were not registered.

In the Dutch Perinatal register, different non-native ethnic groups are inaccurately registered and therefore we only classified women into Dutch or non-Dutch ethnicity. A woman was assigned to a socioeconomic status category based on the education, employment, and income level of her residential postal code area.

97 Care providers are obliged to inform women 98

decision making is not equally applied.

about the risks of interventions¹, because interventions are accompanied with iatrogenic side effects. The EU Convention on human rights and biomedicine states that informed consent is

mandatory before applying an intervention 100

(see Textbox 2). This implies that women 101

102 should always be offered the choice whether

103 they want to be exposed to disadvantages of 104

induction of labor or not. Informed consent is

105 the cornerstone of the relationship of patients

106 with health care providers. However, it is a

107 misunderstanding that healthy women should

108 be informed about every small absolute

increase of risk of a certain characteristic, or

Textbox 2: Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine¹

"Chapter II - Consent Article 5 – General rule

An intervention in the health field may only be carried out after the person concerned has given free and informed consent to it.

This person shall beforehand be given appropriate information as to the purpose and nature of the intervention as well as on its consequences and risks."

about all risks of pregnancy itself. This is simply not achievable nor desirable. Neither is it compulsory by law.

111112113

110

Too much, too soon

Interventions during childbirth are crucial for preventing mortality and other adverse 114 outcomes. However, safety is not limited to clinical outcomes. Psychosocial factors are also 115 very important for women to feel safe. 15 Ignoring this can have unintended consequences. For 116 example, studies indicate that the care provider's pressure to induce labor is one of the 117 reasons women avoid mainstream systems of birth care and choose to have unattended births 118 or high risk homebirths 16, or travel long distances to avoid interventions. 17 The majority of 119 women highly value a positive birth experience and to give birth without medical 120 121 interventions. 15 122 The perinatal mortality rate has decreased substantially in the past century. On the other hand, the rate of many childbirth interventions, including induction of labor, is rising. After the 123 'point of optimality' an increase in the use of interventions will lead to more harm than 124 benefits at a population level. 18 Interventions are potentially harmful and costly when used 125 inappropriately or routinely.8 The Lancet Series on Maternal Health identifies high rates of 126 induction of labor as care that is provided "too much, too soon". Experts at the World Health 127 Organization and authors of the Lancet Series on Caesarean Section, have recently also 128 warned against excessive use of obstetric interventions. 8,19,20 They call for a reduction in the 129 overuse of interventions, since it causes avoidable harm and interventions can increase the 130 need for further interventions, with a risk of an exponential increase in harm.^{8,19,20} Inducing 131 women to prevent small absolute risks based on trials undertaken with very discrete 132 133 populations neglects these warnings. Besides, a small increase in absolute risk does not necessarily mean that outcomes will be improved if labor is induced. Without the full picture 134 of longer term outcomes from single and multiple cumulative interventions, and in the 135

absence of a clear understanding of the compiled morbidity that may eventuate over a

woman's life time of reproduction, it is not possible to achieve fully informed judgements.

137138139

140

141

142

143

144145

146

147148

136

Limited resources

An associated unintended consequence of overuse of induction of labor is the pressure put on health care resources, which are already constrained. Overuse of interventions for women at very marginal risk of adverse outcomes will reduce the availability of resources for those with high-risk factors and complications, and for prevention.^{8,19} It also limits resources for the implementation of evidence-based non-medical interventions, such as continuous support during labor, which has been shown to reduce the rate of caesarean section by 25%, and a low five-minute Apgar score by 38%, and may therefore also reduce perinatal mortality and morbidity if implemented on a large scale.⁴ Continuous labor support is also more likely to be associated with spontaneous vaginal birth, less need for pharmacological pain relief, shorter labors, and fewer women reporting a negative childbirth experience.⁴

149150

151152

153

Conclusion

Although induction of labor can be crucial for preventing morbidity and mortality, more and more women (and their offspring) are being exposed to the disadvantages of this intervention

L54	while the benefit is at best small or even uncertain. Induction of labor should only be offered
155	to individual women if there is a medical necessity. Moreover, induction should not be
156	offered, until there is sufficient evidence that it has the best clinical and psychosocial
L57	outcomes for women and their babies in both the short and longer term, compared to
L58	expectant management. Care providers should be aware of groups of women that have higher
L59	rates of stillbirth, including those over 35 years of age, and use this information in clinical
L60	decision making together with individual women. However, we argue that a small absolute
L61	increase in risk on its own, without any other medical risks or complications during
L62	pregnancy, does not justify a policy of routinely offering induction of labor without strong
L63	evidence of the benefits of that policy.
L64	
L65	Declarations
L66	Declaration of interests
L67	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
L68	
L69	Funding
L70	This study was funded by Cooperative of Midwifery Practices Leiden and larger Leiden.
L71	
L72	Ethics approval and consent to participate
173	Ethical approval was not required for this article and there were no participants involved.
L74	
L75	Consent for publication
L76	Not applicable
L77	
L78	Acknowledgements
L79	We thank Perined for the use of the national database.
L80	
l81	
182	

Table 1. Stillbirth rates ≥37 weeks in a population of healthy pregnant women in the Netherlands specified for gestational age of birth (2012-2016).

				Gestational age at birth in weeks											
To		otal	37+0 - 37+6		38+0 - 38+6		39+0 - 39+6		40+0 - 40+6		41+0 - 41+6		≥42+0		
Total pregnant	631,437		631,437		597,282		510,318		341,360		134,270		10,566		
women							_		_						
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Total stillbirths	690	0.11	105	0.02	137	0.02	166	0.03	161	0.05	107	0.08	12	0.11	
Parity															
Nulliparous	330	0.12	42	0.02	61	0.02	69	0.03	81	0.05	69	0.10	8	0.12	
Multiparous (para 1)	198	0.08	28	0.01	41	0.02	54	0.03	43	0.03	29	0.06	3	0.13	
Multiparous (≥ para 2)	162	0.13	35	0.03	35	0.03	43	0.05	37	0.06	9	0.04	1	0.07	
Maternal age															
<20 years	4	0.06	1	0.02	2	0.03	1	0.02	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	
20-24 years	58	0.10	12	0.02	9	0.02	14	0.03	15	0.05	7	0.06	1	0.11	
25-29 years	199	0.10	26	0.01	42	0.02	45	0.03	50	0.05	32	0.08	4	0.14	
30-34 years	259	0.11	38	0.02	57	0.02	66	0.03	57	0.04	40	0.08	1	0.02	
35-39 years	133	0.12	24	0.02	21	0.02	33	0.04	28	0.05	21	0.09	4	0.19	
≥40 years	37	0.19	4	0.02	6	0.03	7	0.05	11	0.11	7	0.17	2	0.49	
Ethnicity															
Dutch	511	0.10	81	0.02	110	0.02	119	0.03	113	0.04	79	0.07	9	0.11	
Non-Dutch	179	0.14	24	0.02	27	0.02	47	0.04	48	0.07	28	0.11	3	0.13	
Socioeconomic status															
Low	99	0.14	11	0.02	16	0.02	24	0.04	27	0.08	20	0.15	1	0.10	
Medium	536	0.11	91	0.02	112	0.02	126	0.03	119	0.04	77	0.07	9	0.11	
High	44	0.08	1	0.00	8	0.01	12	0.03	13	0.04	9	0.07	1	0.11	
Conception															
Spontaneous	641	0.11	100	0.02	126	0.02	157	0.03	145	0.04	100	0.08	11	0.11	
Artificially assisted	49	0.23	5	0.02	11	0.05	9	0.06	16	0.15	7	0.15	1	0.22	

References

188

187

- 189 1. Council of Europe. Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the 190 Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine: Convention on 191 Human Rights and Biomedicine. *European Treaty Series* 1997; **164**.
- Page JM, Snowden JM, Cheng YW, Doss AE, Rosenstein MG, Caughey AB. The risk of stillbirth and infant death by each additional week of expectant management stratified by maternal age. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2013; **209**(4): 375.e1-7.
- Grobman WA, Rice MM, Reddy UM, et al. Labor Induction versus Expectant
 Management in Low-Risk Nulliparous Women. The New England journal of medicine
 2018; 379(6): 513-23.
- Bohren MA, Hofmeyr GJ, Sakala C, Fukuzawa RK, Cuthbert A. Continuous support for women during childbirth. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2017; 7: Cd003766.
- Vogel JP, Betran AP, Vindevoghel N, et al. Use of the Robson classification to assess
 caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO
 multicountry surveys. *The Lancet Global health* 2015; 3(5): e260-70.
- Hildingsson I, Karlstrom A, Nystedt A. Women's experiences of induction of labour findings from a Swedish regional study. *The Australian & New Zealand journal of obstetrics & gynaecology* 2011; **51**(2): 151-7.
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines Approved by the Guidelines Review
 Committee. WHO recommendations: Induction of labour at or beyond term. Geneva:
 World Health Organization; 2018.
- Miller S, Abalos E, Chamillard M, et al. Beyond too little, too late and too much, too soon: a pathway towards evidence-based, respectful maternity care worldwide. *Lancet* 2016; **388**(10056): 2176-92.
- Porter ME. What is value in health care? The New England journal of medicine 2010;
 363(26): 2477-81.
- Nijagal MA, Wissig S, Stowell C, et al. Standardized outcome measures for pregnancy and childbirth, an ICHOM proposal. *BMC health services research* 2018; **18**(1): 953.
- 217 11. Bell AF, Erickson EN, Carter CS. Beyond labor: the role of natural and synthetic 218 oxytocin in the transition to motherhood. *Journal of midwifery & women's health* 219 2014; **59**(1): 35-42: quiz 108.
- 12. Kroll-Desrosiers AR, Nephew BC, Babb JA, Guilarte-Walker Y, Moore Simas TA,
 Deligiannidis KM. Association of peripartum synthetic oxytocin administration and
 depressive and anxiety disorders within the first postpartum year. *Depression and* anxiety 2017; 34(2): 137-46.
- 224 13. Peters LL, Thornton C, de Jonge A, et al. The effect of medical and operative birth 225 interventions on child health outcomes in the first 28 days and up to 5 years of age: A 226 linked data population-based cohort study. *Birth* 2018.
- 14. Kenkel WM, Yee JR, Carter CS. Is oxytocin a maternal-foetal signalling molecule at birth? Implications for development. *Journal of neuroendocrinology* 2014; 26(10): 739-49.
- Downe S, Finlayson K, Oladapo OT, Bonet M, Gulmezoglu AM. What matters to women during childbirth: A systematic qualitative review. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**(4): e0194906.
- 233 16. Rigg EC, Schmied V, Peters K, Dahlen HD. A survey of women in Australia who choose the care of unregulated birthworkers for a birth at home. *Women and Birth* 2018; **Article in Press: Corrected proof**.

- Parizkova A, Clausen JA. Women on the move: A search for preferred birth services.
 Women Birth 2019; 32(4): e483-e91.
- 238 18. Gray M, Jani A. Promoting Triple Value Healthcare in Countries with Universal
 239 Healthcare. *Healthc Pap* 2016; 15(3): 42-8.
- Brownlee S, Chalkidou K, Doust J, et al. Evidence for overuse of medical services around the world. *Lancet* 2017; 390(10090): 156-68.
- 242 20. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations: Intrapartum care for a positive
 243 childbirth experience. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
 244