A preliminary examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves

Troutman, Lauren, Moffatt, Colin and Simmons, Tal Linda Ileen

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/3309/


It is advisable to refer to the publisher’s version if you intend to cite from the work.

For more information about UCLan’s research in this area go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/ and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the policies page.
Title: A preliminary examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves

Article Type: Original Research Paper

Keywords: Forensic science, taphonomy, mass graves, decomposition, accumulated degree days, burial depth

Corresponding Author: Dr Tal Simmons, PhD

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Central Lancashire

First Author: Lauren Troutman, MSc

Order of Authors: Lauren Troutman, MSc; Colin Moffatt, PhD; Tal Simmons, PhD

Abstract: This study represents a preliminary, quantitative approach to the examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves. Five pairs of mass graves, each containing the carcasses of 21 rabbits, were used to examine decomposition extent at four fixed positions within the burial. A pair of graves was exhumed at approximately 100 accumulated degree day (ADD) intervals. At exhumation the total body score (TBS) and internal carcass temperature of each rabbit were recorded. Although there was no significant difference between decomposition extent for core and deep-positioned carcasses ($p = 0.13$), all other position differences were significant ($p < 0.001$). Decomposition occurred fastest in shallow carcasses, followed by mid-outer carcasses; both deep and core carcasses exhibited a lesser extent. Internal carcass temperature was significantly influenced by carcass location within the mass grave ($p < 0.001$); there was a mean internal temperature difference of ca. $1 \, ^\circ C$ between deep and shallow carcasses (30 cm apart). Adipocere formation was minimal and confined, with the exception of a single individual in the mid-periphery, to the deepest level. Decomposition extent may be as affected by the compactness of a mass as by interment depth and/or peripheral substrate contact, and further investigation into the role of oxygenation is required.

Suggested Reviewers:
A preliminary examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves

Lauren Troutman, MSc, Colin Moffatt, PhD and Tal Simmons, PhD

School of Forensic and Investigative Sciences
University of Central Lancashire
Preston PR1 2HE

Running Head: Differential decomposition patterns in mass graves
Abstract:

This study represents a preliminary, quantitative approach to the examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves. Five pairs of mass graves, each containing the carcasses of 21 rabbits, were used to examine decomposition extent at four fixed positions within the burial. A pair of graves was exhumed at approximately 100 accumulated degree day (ADD) intervals. At exhumation the total body score (TBS) and internal carcass temperature of each rabbit were recorded. Although there was no significant difference between decomposition extent for core and deep-positioned carcasses (p = 0.13), all other position differences were significant (p < 0.001). Decomposition occurred fastest in shallow carcasses, followed by mid-outer carcasses; both deep and core carcasses exhibited a lesser extent. Internal carcass temperature was significantly influenced by carcass location within the mass grave (p<0.001); there was a mean internal temperature difference of ca. 1 °C between deep and shallow carcasses (30 cm apart). Adipocere formation was minimal and confined, with the exception of a single individual in the mid-periphery, to the deepest level. Decomposition extent may be as affected by the compactness of a mass as by interment depth and/or peripheral substrate contact, and further investigation into the role of oxygenation is required.
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Introduction

The application of taphonomy to the investigation of mass graves provides assistance in establishing context and reconstructing a broad sequence of events to achieve the ultimate goal of victim identification [1, 2]. One fundamental aspect of such investigations is to establish the post mortem interval (PMI) for remains within the grave and document associated taphonomic evidence [3, 4] in order to corroborate witness statements, limit the number suspects involved, link the grave to a particular event or perpetrator and increase chances of positive identification [4]. Although several researchers (e.g. [5], [6], [7],[8]) have published accounts pertaining to mass grave exhumations, classification systems [9], and guidelines/strategies for excavation and maximisation of data retrieval [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], data concerning the decomposition rate, extent and pattern of remains within mass graves are scant, and experimental approaches to the issue have not been undertaken.

Accurate PMI estimations are generally based on degree of soft tissue decomposition, identifiable stages of tissue alteration and loss that occur in a predictable, sequential and semi-continuous pattern at a rate that is dependent on both accumulated temperature over time (measured in Accumulated Degree Days (ADD)) [15, 16] and insect access [17, 18, 19]. ADD constitutes the accumulation of thermal energy (degrees Celsius) over time (days) and is related to the rate of the chemical and biological processes of decomposition [19, 20, 21].

Characteristic features of decomposition have been categorized into phases for the purpose of soft tissue taphonomy [22], most recently by Megyesi et al. [15] and previously by Reed [23], Payne [24], Johnston [25] and Galloway et al. [26]. Megyesi et al. [15] assigned numerical values to three anatomical regions (head and neck, abdomen and limbs) by visually evaluating the
state of decomposition according to macroscopic criteria. These values are summed to
generate a Total Body Score (TBS) that is used to predict Accumulated Degree Days (ADD),
which, in turn, provides an accurate and reliable method of estimating the PMI [15].
Buried remains however, generate unique microenvironments quite different to those of surface
remains with consequences for the rate and pattern of decomposition; the interactions of
various biological, geological and environmental variables (i.e. temperature, insect access,
surrounding substrate, etc.) results in a slower rate of decomposition. When such biological,
geological and environmental factors are eliminated and temperature remains relatively
constant, decomposition of buried remains is frequently stated to take approximately eight
times as long [15, 27, 28, 29, 30]. This delay is primarily attributed to the limitation of insect
access (which eliminates insect-mediated degradation of soft tissue) and ambient
temperature. Simmons et al. [18, 19] demonstrated that where insects can freely access a
carcass, decomposition progresses faster than where they are excluded by any mechanism,
whether indoors, in water, or buried. Likewise, the speed at which decomposition progresses
increases as temperature rises. The mechanism by which this occurs is through the metabolic
activity of micro-organisms in soil; as temperature of the soil decreases, so does the rate that
cellular processes occur within the microbial cells, retarding microbial activity and ultimately,
decomposition [30, 31]. Fiedler and Graw [27] note greater interment depths can produce a
cooling effect resulting in lower internal burial temperatures contributing to a reduced rate of
decomposition in deeply buried remains. Simmons et al. [17, 18, 19] demonstrated that
decomposition (as measured by TBS) is strongly correlated with the accumulation of soil
temperature at burial depth, and ADD predictive equations can be produced for burials (with and without insect access) in addition to surface remains.

The nature of the surrounding also soil bears influence on the rate and pattern of decomposition. Moisture rich or wetter environments are conducive to adipocere formation, well drained or dry soils can promote mummification, and extreme soil acidity or alkalinity has been reported to decrease microbiological activity and, subsequently, decomposition [31, 32, 33]. Soil moisture, modified by the soil texture and structure, is understood to control microbial motility, the diffusion of nutrients and waste, and the activity of extracellular enzymes [28]. Where the host soil environment exhibits moisture content which exceeds optimal matric potential (suction with which water is held between soil particles) decomposition processes can be retarded [28]. Moreover, in areas where soil is poorly drained or seasonally waterlogged (wetter soils), levels of free oxygen are low and gas diffusion is slower, limiting microbial activity resulting in low bioactivity [16, 28, 32, 34].

Though there is published literature pertaining to decomposition rate of buried remains [17, 18, 30, 35] with and without insect access [17, 36], the majority of the literature concerning mass graves is instead primarily focused upon excavation technique [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Although Haglund [5] considers the taphonomic properties of mass burials, a comprehensive, quantitative examination of how decomposition processes differ within mass graves has not been satisfactorily undertaken since Mant’s [37] initial work.

Mass graves have been most recently defined as a single burial unit containing two or more victims who have died as a result of extra-judicial, summary or arbitrary executions [9] and are characterized by two main components: a body mass and a periphery. The body mass consists
of bodies which are only in contact with one another and often forms a dense contiguous
aggregate, whereas the periphery is a zone comprised of bodies in contact with both the mass
and the surrounding substrate [5, 33, 37].

Mass graves present a unique micro-environment where decomposition differs from single
burials, as a number of bodies within a single grave unit can result in complex interactions
among a wide range of variables (i.e. climate, depth, oxidisation, soil environment, hydrology,
clothing, size/weight individuals) [38]. Mant [37], who exhumed 150 World War II graves in
North West Europe, reported that bodies decomposed at various rates within a mass grave
based on their relative position to the body mass; bodies positioned towards the centre mass
decomposed at a slower rate than those towards the periphery [33, 37]. This differential
decomposition/preservation, a phenomenon termed the “feathered edge effect” [37], has been
attributed to the unique taphonomic microenvironments which are created in such
circumstances. According to Haglund [5], the peripheral bodies bridge two taphonomic
interfaces (i.e. the bodies of the mass and surrounding substrate) and are affected by the
porosity and percolation of the soil. Conversely, bodies of the mass generate their own
synergistic environment, separate from that of the soil [5]. This phenomenon however, remains
largely anecdotal and there is a little in the published literature to wholly confirm its presence,
the degree of differential appearance and the frequency of occurrence.

The aim of this research was to conduct a preliminary experiment, to determine whether the
position of a carcass within a mass grave affects its extent of decomposition over time.
Consistent with what Mant [33, 37] proposed, it was hypothesized that there would be a
significant difference in the extent of decomposition over time between carcasses situated in
various positions within the grave. In particular, it was predicted that carcasses positioned more superficially and along the periphery would decompose to a greater extent than those positioned in the centre of the mass (surrounded only by other carcasses) and those situated deeper along the periphery.

Materials and Methods

This research was conducted at the University of Central Lancashire’s TRACES (‘Taphonomic Research in Anthropology: Centre for Experimental Studies’) facility, located in Northwest England. TRACES consists of 13 acres of semi-improved grade 3 rough pastureland surrounded by a thin mixed native tree line and is situated approximately 270 m above average mean sea level [39]. The soil is approximately 50 cm of slow-permeable, wet upland soil over clay and milnow sandstone that supports vegetation of wet unimproved pasture [40].

A total of 210 wild rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), with an average weight of 1.59 kg and an accrued ADD of 8 since death, were used in this study. The rabbits exhibited projectile trauma resulting from a 12 gauge shotgun with which they were culled, the most common type of weapon used to hunt rabbits. Detailed information concerning the location the gunshot wounds could not be determined as it would have require removal of the fur and skin. Penetrating trauma and location has been previously shown to have no influence on the extent of decomposition in either surface or buried remains [33, 37, 41] and gunshot trauma is common within mass graves [8]. Twenty-one rabbits were interred within ten graves (dimensions of each: 60 cm X 60 cm X 60 cm). The rabbits were arranged in a circular fashion so as to establish concrete positions, i.e. the location, or position, of a carcass within a mass grave.
The four zones include: Shallow (Periphery - 5 rabbits), Mid-Outer (Periphery - 5 rabbits), Core (Center Mass - 5 rabbits) and Deep (Periphery - 6 rabbits) (Figure 1).

The graves were dug manually 48 hours prior to burial in order to facilitate rapid inhumation and avoid pre-burial insect access [17]. All graves had flat bases (to prevent the localized collection of water from rainfall and/or of bodily fluids which may encourage the formation of adipocere in specific locations) and a surface depth of 30 cm (to inhibit insect access and scavenging for the duration of the experiment). The graves were positioned in a grid formation of five columns and two rows with graves situated two meters apart, generating a total surface area of approximately 10 meters X 3 meters. A pair of graves was exhumed at every data collection interval.

Internal Carcass Temperature

The internal carcass temperature of each rabbit was measured in °C upon exhumation. These were manually recorded using a probe thermometer placed immediately into the rabbit’s abdomen when it was extracted from the ground.

Total Body Score

Decomposition was measured by recording Total Body Scores of each rabbit upon exhumation using a refined scale from Bachmann and Simmons [17] for rabbit carcasses. This scale is a modification of White’s [42] scale for scoring buried rabbit remains, a revision created from Megyesi et al. [15] and Adlam and Simmons [43]. Numerical values were attributed to the head and neck, abdomen and limbs of each rabbit by visually evaluating the state of decomposition according to macroscopic criteria described by Megyesi et al. [15]. These values were summed
to generate the TBS. Additionally, visual characteristics of decomposition were recorded for each carcass and photographs of every rabbit were taken using a Nikon D80 digital camera.

Data Collection

The duration of the experiment was determined based on an experiment conducted at a different site with different soil conditions by Bachmann and Simmons [17], where the maximum slope of single rabbit burials’ decomposition curve was used to predict skeletonisation at 500 ADD. The average ambient soil temperature in North West England is 10ºC [17, 4] resulting in an expected experimental duration of 50 days. Data were collected from five paired grave exhumations; one pair of graves (i.e. Grave 1A/1B) was exhumed and the carcasses evaluated at each of five data collection points approximately every ten days (100 ADD). Upon exhumation of the third set of graves (Grave 3A/3B) it was determined that this study would benefit extending the duration of interment for the remaining two sets of graves. Therefore, for the purpose of collecting additional data and allowing for a greater level of decomposition, the timing of the remaining exhumations was extended. Hence the final two pairs of graves (4A and 4B; 5A and 5B) were exhumed 120 ADD and 240 ADD after the exhumation of 3A and 3B, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the open access software R [44]. A mixed-effects model was used to compare Total Body Scores (TBS) of different positions over time using the nlme package [45], and treating TBS as a continuous variable. Internal exhumation temperature
data were found to be non-normally distributed, restricting the possible analyses available, so data were converted to differences from the mean temperature for each exhumation period and non-parametric statistics were generated across all exhumations using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Follow-up pairwise comparisons were performed using Steele’s test [46] using the npmc package [47].

Results

Unfortunately, ADD for the four positions could not be calculated as settling of the carcasses within the mass had caused movement of the dataloggers; instead of the dataloggers recording temperatures within each zone of rabbits, the final positions recorded the temperature between each layer. These data could not be corrected for use in the analysis as it was not possible to ascertain at which point during the experiment the loggers ceased to record correctly with regard to their initial position. As a result, the following analyses are based solely on the relationship of TBS to day since burial.

There was a marked levelling off of Total Body Scores after the penultimate sampling period, so the final period (Day 64) was not included in this analysis. This produced a model which fit the data sufficiently well, as indicated by diagnostic plots, despite the suggestion of a sigmoid pattern (Figure 3). The mixed-effects model showed a clear relationship between TBS and day across all grave positions \( t = 17.4, \text{ df} = 6, p < 0.001 \) with a unit increase in TBS taking 3.45 days. There was no significant difference between the decomposition extent in the core- and the deep positioned carcasses \( t = 1.53, \text{ df} = 154, p = 0.13 \), but all other differences were highly significant \( p < 0.001 \) in each case. As Figure 2 reflects, decomposition was fastest in shallow
carcasses, followed by mid-outer carcasses with the deep and core carcasses showing the lesser extent.

There were significant differences amongst the internal temperatures of rabbits at different positions (Kruskal-Wallis: $\chi^2 = 121$, df = 4, $p < 0.001$). While there was no difference between the core and outer middle position temperatures (Steel’s Test: $p = 0.999$), there were significant differences between all other pairs of positions ($p < 0.001$ in every case). Figure 3 (lower axis) illustrates that the shallower carcasses were at a higher temperature (mean difference = 0.58°C) and the deeper carcasses at a lower temperature (mean difference = 0.56°C) than those of the middle layer (whose values are combined for parsimony). There was a mean temperature difference of a little over 1°C between the deep and shallow carcasses, a distance of approximately 30 cm. Thus, the location of a carcass within a mass grave made a significant difference to internal carcass temperature ($p<0.001$).

**Discussion**

While the results suggest that position of a carcass within a mass grave can influence its extent of decomposition, there appears to be more than one environmental factor influencing this. The more rapid decomposition at the top of the grave was expected, since temperature is a main driver of decomposition [27, 34, 48, 49, 50, 51] and carcasses nearer the surface experienced higher temperatures than those beneath. However, differences were found in decomposition extent of deeper carcasses buried at the same depth and at similar temperatures between those at the periphery and those in the centre. This phenomenon has been noted before by others, e.g. Haglund [5]. Furthermore, carcasses that experienced different temperatures (e.g.
those deepest or in the mass centre) actually showed similar extents of decomposition. Clearly, factors other than temperature must be responsible for these discrepancies.

We speculate that the amount of oxygen available to the carcasses may be important to the extent of decomposition (and we intend to follow this up with experimental investigation in the near future). For other types of biological matter, it has long been held that decomposition occurs faster in an aerobic environment [52, 53]. It seems reasonable to suggest that oxygen availability would have been greater with proximity to the soil surface and without other carcasses lying intermediate. At the shallowest layer, carcasses are subject to the percolation of air and water through the superficial layer and/or surrounding substrate [5, 54]. Moreover, the periphery of the middle layer tends to be less densely compacted than those of the deep layer.

Compactness of both soil and carcasses coupled with the temperature difference would likely inhibit gaseous diffusion and moisture availability which would limit microbial activity and prolong the course of decomposition therefore, better preserving the remains [16, 28, 31, 34, 50, 51]. This is consistent with the results presented here, where more decomposition was seen in the periphery of the middle layer than in either the centre of the middle layer or the entirety of the layer beneath. Carcasses at the bottom of a mass may become increasingly more compressed and compact, with reduced oxygen and moisture availability resulting in a higher proportion of anaerobic decomposition. While anaerobic conditions have been shown to slow decomposition rates in a number of systems, the interaction between decomposition, body position and oxygen availability in mass graves is unclear and requires further investigation.

Moreover, the surrounding substrate may inhibit various organisms’ microbiological activities; as the natural limits of bacteria to function in hyper-acidic/alkali environments are met,
microbiological function can be inhibited, resulting in a reduced extent of decomposition and in some cases the formation of adipocere [55]. Small quantities of adipocere formation were observed throughout the duration of this study, most commonly observed at the deepest layer of the mass and on one carcass of the mid-outer periphery. The translocation of the liquefied fat content via gravity and its influence on decomposition [27, 56] may result in higher levels of adipocere located deeper within a mass contributing to the lesser extent of decomposition of carcasses situated deeper within a grave. Due to the compact nature of the body mass in comparison to the periphery, which potentially inhibits water entry percolating from above, adipocere formation deeper in the mass is more likely. Nevertheless, given that there were few carcasses (mostly of the deeper layer, one of the mid-outer periphery) exhibiting adipocere formation, this speculation requires further experimental observation.

Conclusions

This study took a preliminary, quantitative approach to the examination of differential decomposition patterns in mass graves, a grey area in the realm of forensic anthropology with few experimentally supported findings and some largely anecdotal reports. Results revealed that decomposition proceeds differentially over time depending on the position of a carcass within a mass; carcasses which were situated in the centre mass and/or deeper within the grave were revealed to decompose to a lesser extent compared to those situated along the periphery and/or more shallow within the grave. These findings confirm current assumptions reported in academic literature. The core mass did decompose to a lesser extent than the peripheral carcass excluding those of the deepest layer (feathered edge effect), suggesting that
decomposition extent may be as affected by compactness of a mass as interment depth and/or peripheral substrate contact. These observations hold implications of a preliminary nature for investigations involving the exhumation of mass graves. Further research that considers the effect of oxygen access and compactness of a mass will be beneficial in advancing an experimentally supported understanding of decomposition processes within mass graves.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. The layers comprising the circular arrangement of carcasses determining the internal grave positions designated in this study: a) Deep b) Mid-Outer c) Core d) Shallow e) Two-Dimensional, vertical cross-section representation of grave.

Figure 2. Total body scores for all carcasses against exhumation day (excluding final day – see text) with regression lines.

Figure 3. Mean total body scores (grey) and mean temperatures (black) for grave positions against day of exhumation. In the temperature plot, mid outer and mid inner temperatures have been combined as have their symbols. Points have been offset slightly on Day axis for the sake of ease of interpretation; there were five exhumation days.
Figure 2
Figure 3
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Dear Editor,

We hereby submit the revisions to Manuscript FSI-D-12-00060R1 in hopes that it now meets the required standard.

We sincerely apologise for the delay in re-submission. Ms Troutman, the senior author, was travelling in South America for much of the intervening time and subsequently suffered a death in the family, so her required input was, of necessity, deferred.

We urge you to review the table below that details how we have met each of the requirements specified in the reviewer’s comments. We have done a very thorough job and responded to each one of the specifications made by the reviewer – and I have furthermore noted each line and page number on which the response/correction/explanation can be found within the revised manuscript. It is therefore hoped that you, in your editorial capacity, will find this acceptable without requiring further review and it can be published without further postponement.

With our thanks,

Tal Simmons, Colin Moffatt and Lauren Troutman

* * * * * * * * * * * *

The points raised by the reviewer have been addressed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer Comment</th>
<th>How addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please include continuous line numbers throughout all of your manuscripts. It makes the review process much more efficient. Also, include page numbers in the manuscript.</td>
<td>This has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the Abstract - Third to last sentence: you state, &quot;temperature was significantly influenced.&quot; but do not provide a p value. Please provide a p value or change your terminology.</td>
<td>This has been added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction (1st paragraph) - please remove author names after &quot;Although several researchers (e.g.&quot; They are not necessary with the FSI citation style.</td>
<td>This has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction (2nd paragraph) - Citing Simmons et al. (2010) to describe ADDs is not acceptable. With the wording of the sentence you need to cite Arnold's work from 1959 and 1960 – and please remove &quot;amongst others&quot; or include citations for the other work you refer to.</td>
<td>The citations have been added and the phrase &quot;amongst others&quot; has been removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please identify the &quot;array of interrelated,</td>
<td>These have been identified in the text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
synergistic biological and geological variables."

Although the reference to Casper's Law has been acknowledged, as you state, recent work shows that it is not accurate. A corpse in soil does not necessarily decompose eight times more slowly than one in open air. Please modify this sentence.

The sentence that begins "This delay, with ADD constant," is redundant and poorly worded. Please modify.

There exist no datasets to show that autolysis is the primary driver of buried corpse breakdown. There are certainly no datasets presented in the book chapter that you cite for this statement. I concede that it might be possible for autolysis to outstrip putrefaction in the early postmortem period when the internal microbiota are in a lag phase. But there is no evidence to support the claim that autolysis results in more decomposition than internal bacteria during exponential growth or the stationary phase. In fact, suggesting such a phenomenon goes against fundamental principles of the ecology of decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems: the vast majority of decomposition is mediated by organisms, not abiotic processes.

3rd paragraph - Anaerobic conditions are not always the result of high levels of carbon dioxide. Anaerobic simply means a lack of oxygen to be used as the terminal electron acceptor in the electron transport chain. Also, you should not discount an environment of low oxygen availability; the microaerophilic bacteria can thrive in these habitats. Again, you cite work that does not support your statements. Some work you should be familiar with, that would represent better citations are:

4th paragraph - The fourth paragraph restates many of the same points introduced in the third paragraph. Please merge these two paragraphs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>synergistic biological and geological variables.&quot; that you refer to.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Although the reference to Casper's Law has been acknowledged, as you</td>
<td>This has been addressed by rewording lines 48-51, page 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state, recent work shows that it is not accurate. A corpse in soil ...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sentence that begins &quot;This delay, with ADD constant,&quot; is redundant</td>
<td>This has been re-worded in lines 51-53, page 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There exist no datasets to show that autolysis is the primary driver...</td>
<td>This is clearly a difference of opinion and remains as such</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd paragraph - Anaerobic conditions are not always the result of ...</td>
<td>The suggested citations have been duly added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th paragraph - The fourth paragraph restates many of the same points...</td>
<td>This has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of postmortem change is not brought about by adipocere.</td>
<td>This has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipocere slows decomposition and acts as a resource for a number of</td>
<td>Inhibition of postmortem change is not brought about by adipocere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bacteria. Also delete the term &quot;spontaneous&quot;.</td>
<td>Adipocere slows decomposition and acts as a resource for a number of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bacteria. Also delete the term &quot;spontaneous&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please modify &quot;soils cater to.&quot; Perhaps, &quot;soils promote&quot; instead?</td>
<td>This has been done - Line 70, page 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Be careful with the term &quot;reduce&quot;. I suggest that you change it to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;decrease&quot; to avoid confusion for readers that will be prompted to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>think of oxidation-reduction reactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th Paragraph - Please describe the &quot;wide range of variables&quot;</td>
<td>This has been done – lines 93-4, page 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>among which a mass grave can function.</td>
<td>7th Paragraph - Please describe the &quot;wide range of variables&quot; among</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>which a mass grave can function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th Paragraph - It is not necessary to tell the reader that your</td>
<td>The phrase “scientifically robust” has been removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experiment is &quot;scientifically robust&quot;. Please delete that clause.</td>
<td>Materials &amp; Methods (1st paragraph) - Please include the soil type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The World Reference Base classification is probably the most</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Paragraph - You imply that the rabbits were killed with a</td>
<td>The weapon has been described in line 124 and the reason that wound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gunshot. Please make this explicit and describe the type of firearm</td>
<td>locations could not be described has been explained in line 125-6,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>used to kill the rabbits. Also describe the location of the gunshot</td>
<td>page 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wound.</td>
<td>8th Paragraph - It is not necessary to tell the reader that your</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>experiment is &quot;scientifically robust&quot;. Please delete that clause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please change &quot;manner&quot; to &quot;cause&quot; in the 2nd sentence. Manner of</td>
<td>Materials &amp; Methods (1st paragraph) - Please include the soil type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>death has a very strict definition; gunshot wound is not a manner of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>death.</td>
<td>The World Reference Base classification is probably the most</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Analysis - Please change text to state,</td>
<td>Results (2nd Paragraph) - You refer to Figure 2 in the 2nd sentence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;non-parametric statistics were generated.&quot;</td>
<td>Do you not mean Figure 3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This has been corrected to read “Figure 3”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please change all references to the calculation of rate of</td>
<td>Please change all references to the calculation of rate of decomposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decomposition. You did not measure a rate, which is a function of</td>
<td>You measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time. You measured</td>
<td>All references to this experiment studying decomposition “rate”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>removed, replaced with reference to “extent of decomposition”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the extent of decomposition at a series of times. Rather than say carcasses showed the "slowest rate" you must say that carcasses "decomposed less".

In the last sentence of the Results you state "The location of a carcass within a mass grave made a significant difference." Please include a p value or modify this sentence.

The p-value has been included in line 211, page 9

Discussion – The Discussion presents several problems. Chief of which is that it provides more evidence to show that you are not familiar with the literature relevant to your study. You speculate that the presence of oxygen may be important to the rate of decomposition. This is fair, but you should be familiar with this work because it addresses many of your points. You also speculate about the role of pH in decomposition but fail to cite the following work.

Some of the requested references have been duly cited

You state, "In aerobic conditions respiration, synthesis of microbial material and rapid disappearance of simple organic compounds represents decomposition." This is true but these processes also occur during anaerobic respiration. It is not until fermentation is reached that the components of this sentence will change. Again, you refer to anoxic conditions without considering low-oxygen conditions. You must do so.

This paper is a preliminary investigation in to the differential decomposition within mass graves, it was never intended, nor presented, to be a conclusive discussion of this phenomenon. We indicate on page 10, lines 225-6, that this will be investigated in the future. As O2 levels were not measured in our experiment, we really cannot comment further on this at the present time.

One area of the Discussion that is clearly missing is the modification of the duration of your experiment. You wisely based the duration of your experiment on previous datasets generated at TRACES. Well done. However, those data did not seem to give you an accurate timeframe and your experiment had to be extended. Why was this? Why were the previous datasets not accurate? What implications do this have on PMI estimates using data from the TRACES facility? Without addressing these points it become easy for a reader to argue that the data are not reliable. I strongly suggest that you address

This has been discussed earlier in the paper now on page 7, lines 161-2 re. this being an experiment at a different location (i.e. not TRACES) with single burials in different soil conditions
these points and offer logical explanations.

| Conclusions - You again refer to the rate of decomposition without actually measuring a rate. Please modify this sentence. Overall, the latter half of your Conclusion section is not comprehensible. What does the "entirety" of the periphery represent? I am not sure how your results "both challenge and confirm" current assumptions. To me it appears as though your findings confirm previous work. | The phrase “entirety of the periphery” has been removed and re-phrased (see line 263, page 11) and the phrase "both challenge and confirm" has been removed to indicate “confirm” (line 264, page 11) |
| References - Citation 16 has an incorrect title. Please provide the correct title. | This has been corrected |
| Figure Legends - It is not appropriate to include "see text" in a figure legend. A figure legend must be able to stand alone without the manuscript text. A reader must be able to understand the nature of the experiment, its protocol, and the figure by reading a figure legend. As such, figure legends typically do not have a word limit. Please make your figure legends robust and include the details of your experiment in each one. | The phrase “see text” has been removed – the figure legends can stand alone s they were without this phrase. |