

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Can taste be ergogenic?
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/33393/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02274-5
Date	2020
Citation	Best, Russ, McDonald, Kerin, Hurst, Philip and Pickering, Craig (2020) Can taste be ergogenic? European Journal of Nutrition. ISSN 1436-6207
Creators	Best, Russ, McDonald, Kerin, Hurst, Philip and Pickering, Craig

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-020-02274-5>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

1 **Title: Can Taste be Ergogenic?**

2

3 **Authors**

4 Russ Best^{1,2}

5 Kerin McDonald¹

6 Philip Hurst³

7 Craig Pickering⁴

8

9 1. Centre for Sports Science and Human Performance, Wintec, Hamilton, 3288, New Zealand

10 2. School of Health and Social Care, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, TS1 3BX, UK

11 3. School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

12 4. Institute of Coaching and Performance, School of Sport and Wellbeing, University of Central

13 Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK

14 **Abstract**

15 Taste is a homeostatic function that conveys valuable information such as energy density, readiness to
16 eat, or toxicity of foodstuffs. Taste is not limited to the oral cavity but affects multiple physiological
17 systems. In this review, we outline the ergogenic potential of substances that impart bitter, sweet, hot
18 and cold tastes administered prior to and during exercise performance and whether the ergogenic
19 benefits of taste are attributable to the placebo effect. Carbohydrate mouth rinsing seemingly improves
20 endurance performance, along with a potentially ergogenic effect of oral exposure to both bitter tastants
21 and caffeine – although subsequent ingestion of bitter mouth rinses is likely required to enhance
22 performance. Hot and cold tastes may prove beneficial in circumstances where athletes' thermal state
23 may be challenged. Efficacy is not limited to taste, but extends to the stimulation of targeted receptors
24 in the oral cavity and throughout the digestive tract, relaying signals pertaining to energy availability
25 and temperature to appropriate neural centres. Dose, frequency and timing of tastant application likely
26 require personalisation to be most effective, and can be enhanced or confounded by factors that relate
27 to the placebo effect, highlighting taste as a critical factor in designing and administering applied sports
28 science interventions.

29

30

31 **Keywords**

32 Taste, Carbohydrate, Caffeine, Menthol, Capsaicin, Bitter

33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 Taste is a homeostatic function that aids in deciding what to eat, and acts as a precursor for digestion
36 [1]. Human taste and preferences are evolved due to nutrient availabilities within our ancestral
37 environments [2], where they conveyed information such as energy density, readiness to eat, or toxicity
38 [1,3]. Despite being the area most densely populated with taste receptors, taste is not strictly confined
39 to the oral cavity, but frequently incorporates other sensory inputs from the upper digestive tract and
40 auditory, olfactory and visual systems [1,4-9]. This is most evident in those who suffer with ageusia
41 (loss of taste), or anosmia (loss of smell), and still respond physiologically to tastes [3,10],
42 demonstrating taste as a chemical interaction between a chemesthetic agent and receptors, which drives
43 either ingestion or aversion and accompanying hedonic sensations.

44 Assessment of the physiological responses to taste has not escaped sports scientists, with many ‘tastes’
45 now investigated within the literature [11-15] with a view to attenuating fatigue or improving physical
46 or cognitive performance. Depending upon the tastant investigated, impressions of energy availability
47 [16,17], thermal perceptions [11,12,18] and central drive [15,19] may be altered. Secondary outcomes
48 may also include modifications in autonomic function [20-22], thirst [23,24] and ventilation [25-27],
49 with further downstream effects depending upon whether tastants are ingested or simply rinsed around
50 the oral cavity and expectorated.

51 These outcomes are likely useful to athletes, but depend heavily upon their exercise modality, prior
52 exposure to and preference for specific tastants, as well as the availability of tastants during an exercise
53 bout. Placebo effects associated with tastants cannot be excluded, and indeed may be maximised by
54 including a carefully chosen taste component in personalised sports nutrition interventions, or matching
55 tastes of interventions to other sensory expectations such as colour [28,29]. Previous work has asked
56 whether “the [central] governor has a sweet tooth” [14]; in this review, we explore the ergogenic
57 potential of different tastes administered prior to and during exercise performance. We also raise the
58 question of whether the ergogenic benefits of taste are attributable to the placebo effect.
59 Recommendations for athletes and practitioners, and future research directions are also provided
60 throughout.

61 **2. Sweet and Bitter Tastants and Athletic Performance**

62 *2.1 Carbohydrate*

63 The efficacy of carbohydrates as a means of supporting endurance performance is well established [30].
64 However, a clear, over-riding mechanism by which carbohydrate enhances performance is currently
65 unknown; during exercise, only about a quarter of ingested carbohydrate enters peripheral circulation
66 [31], with exogenous carbohydrate demonstrated to contribute only a small proportion of the
67 carbohydrate oxidised during the late stages of prolonged exercise [32]. This lack of a clear metabolic
68 mechanism lead to speculation that the consumption of carbohydrates during exercise may stimulate
69 central pathways associated with sensations of reward or energy availability, which in turn has a
70 performance-enhancing effect [33]. To test this hypothesis, researchers allowed subjects to rinse a
71 carbohydrate solution around the mouth, but not ingest it, removing the metabolic effects of
72 carbohydrate on performance. In the last decade, an exponential increase in research on this topic has
73 been carried out, with a number of reviews [14,33-36] demonstrating a clear ergogenic effect of a
74 carbohydrate mouth rinse on endurance performance, particularly in glycogen depleted participants.

75

76 Given that little carbohydrate is absorbed in the oral activity during mouth rinsing, the mechanism(s)
77 by which carbohydrate mouth-rinses enhance performance are likely central in nature [14]. The tongue
78 contains a number of taste receptors capable of detecting sweet stimuli [37] and these taste receptors
79 when stimulated activate dopaminergic pathways and reward centres within the brain [17,38]. In turn,
80 this increase in reward may enhance motivation to exercise, allowing the athlete to self-select higher
81 exercise intensities, and reducing the impact of peripheral fatigue-associated signals under both the
82 Central Governor [39] and psychobiological [40] models of fatigue. There may also be a feed-forward
83 effect, whereby the activation of oral carbohydrate receptors suggests that energy is being consumed,
84 allowing for an increase in exercise intensity, although this hypothesis has yet to be experimentally
85 tested.

86

87 At present, it appears that the ergogenic effects of a carbohydrate mouth-rinse are not taste related *per*
88 *se*. This is demonstrated by the fact tasteless carbohydrates, such as maltodextrin, are ergogenic in a
89 mouth-rinse solution [35], and also activate brain regions similarly to sweet tasting carbohydrates such
90 as sucrose [17]. Similarly, artificial sweeteners provide a sweet taste, but a far smaller activation of key
91 brain regions compared to sucrose [41]. Accordingly, it seems likely that it is the carbohydrate binding
92 to as-of-yet unidentified oral carbohydrate receptors, as opposed to taste itself, that drives the ergogenic
93 effects of a carbohydrate mouth rinse [14].

94

95 *2.2 Bitter tastants*

96 Building on the potential ergogenic effects of a sweet taste, as mediated by carbohydrate rinsing
97 (detailed in section 2.1), Gam and colleagues explored the use of bitter tastants on exercise performance
98 (reviewed in Gam et al., [19]). The potential relationship between bitter taste and enhanced exercise
99 performance has a strong molecular underpinning, given that bitter tastants activate similar areas of the
100 brain as sweet tastes [42], with these brain areas being implicated in aspects such as motor control and
101 the processing of emotions [19].

102

103 In their first study exploring the ergogenic effects of a bitter tastant, Gam and colleagues [43]
104 administered 14 competitive male cyclists with a bitter solution containing 2 mM quinine, which was
105 rinsed in the mouth for 10 seconds, and then ingested. The quinine solution enhanced mean power
106 output in a 30-second maximum cycle by 2.4% compared to an aspartame (sweet taste) mouth, and by
107 3.9% compared to water. In a subsequent study [44], a stronger concentration (10 mM) of quinine was
108 utilised, but the solution was only rinsed around the mouth, and not ingested. In this scenario, there was
109 no ergogenic effect of the bitter solution on a 30-s cycle sprint, suggesting that the ingestion of the bitter
110 solution is potentially important. The proposed mechanism underpinning the need for ingestion is that
111 there are an increased number of bitter taste receptors beyond the oral cavity in the upper gastrointestinal
112 tract [45] which are not activated following mouth rinse only. Outside the work of Gam and colleagues
113 [43,44,46], there is little additional research exploring the ergogenic effects of a bitter tastant, and so

114 further research in this area is warranted. This would be particularly pertinent from a practical approach,
115 with strong bitter tastants—such as those used in the research by Gam and colleagues—able to induce
116 nausea in some subjects upon ingestion [43]; given this information, further research exploring the
117 optimal intensity of the bitter taste would likely be very useful.

118

119 *2.3 Caffeine*

120 Given the demonstrated ergogenic effects of an ingested bitter tastant [43,46], Pickering [15] recently
121 reviewed whether caffeine—itself a bitter tastant [47] that has been shown to activate bitter taste
122 receptors located in the oral cavity [48]—exerted some of its well established ergogenic effects [49]
123 via its bitter taste. A small number of studies [50-56] have utilised a caffeine mouth rinsing protocol as
124 a method to enhance performance. Studies that demonstrated an ergogenic effect employed a repeated
125 6-s Wingate sprint protocol [50,53], or a self-paced endurance effort over 30-minutes [56]; whereas
126 investigations that showed no effect employed either fixed work rate [51], progressive running [55] or
127 repetitions to failure [52] models. Whilst the results are currently equivocal, there is a trend for no
128 demonstrated performance enhancement when caffeine is rinsed around the mouth for both endurance
129 and high-intensity exercise [15]. The reasons for this are currently unclear; it may be that caffeine's
130 bitter taste is not ergogenic, that the caffeine solutions utilised were not sufficiently bitter to evoke an
131 ergogenic effect, or that like quinine [44], ingestion of caffeine is required for its bitter taste to be
132 ergogenic [54]. However, caffeine mouth rinses have been demonstrated to improve cognitive function
133 during exercise [57] and limit mental fatigue [58] suggesting that there might be psychological
134 ergogenic effect of caffeine mouth rinses—and therefore potentially caffeine's bitter taste—for future
135 research to uncover.

136

137 *2.4 Sweet and Bitter Tastes Section Summary*

138 Based on the research discussed here, there is a clear ergogenic effect of carbohydrate mouth rinsing on
139 endurance performance [14], along with a potentially ergogenic effect of oral exposure to both bitter

140 tastants [19] and caffeine [15] – although in the latter two cases, subsequent ingestion of the mouth
141 rinse is likely required to enhance performance. Regarding bitter tastants, it is believed that this
142 subsequent ingestion is required in order to further stimulate bitter taste receptors in the upper
143 gastrointestinal tract [44]. These bitter taste receptors are not necessarily linked to gustatory neurons
144 [59], meaning that this activation is not associated with “tasting” the bitterness. Additionally, tasteless
145 carbohydrates evoke an identical ergogenic effect as sweet carbohydrates in a mouth rinse [35], whilst
146 sweet tasting artificial sweeteners do not [33]. As such, it is important to note that the sensation of a
147 particular taste may not be driving these ergogenic effects, but instead it is likely the stimulation of
148 other receptors, which in turn act centrally to enhance performance [14].

149

150 **3. Thermal Tastants and athletic performance**

151 *3.1 Chilli and Capsaicin*

152 For millennia, humans have included spices such as chili peppers in their diets, experiencing and often
153 enduring the associated pungent sensation of oral heat [60,61]. Mechanistically the sensation of
154 increased temperature derives from the interaction between the compound capsaicin (8-methyl-N-
155 vanillyl-6-nonenamide), and transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 proteins (TRPV1) [62]. TRPV1 is
156 also stimulated when temperatures are elevated [63], hence foods containing capsaicin are perceived as
157 being hot [62]. This perceptual heat is not limited to taste, with capsaicin also used in topical ointments,
158 patches and sprays as a temporary but targeted analgesic [61]. The application of which is widely used
159 by recreational and elite athletes to reduce joint and muscle pain, whereas the possible ergogenic
160 properties of capsaicin taste and ingestion is an emerging field.

161

162 To date only four studies have investigated the ergogenic properties of capsaicin ingestion [64-66] or
163 mouth swilling [12] in humans, and as such an array of protocols, dosages and performance measures
164 have been assessed. Three studies have investigated the effect of acute supplementation of capsaicin
165 (12mg), 45-minutes prior to athletic performance; 1500m running time trial [65], four sets of 70% 1RM

166 repeated squats to failure [13], and time to exhaustion during repeated 15 second treadmill running at
167 120% $\text{VO}_{2\text{Peak}}$ with 15-second rest intervals [66]. Capsaicin supplementation improved 1500-m time
168 trial performance (CAP 371.6 ± 40.8 seconds vs. Pla 376.7 ± 39 seconds), total mass lifted (CAP $3,919.4$
169 $\pm 1,227.4$ kg vs. Pla $3,179.6 \pm 942.4$ kg) and time to exhaustion (CAP 1530 ± 515 seconds vs. Pla 1342
170 ± 446 seconds) compared to placebo. RPE was also significantly lower, although no differences in
171 blood lactate were shown [13,65]. Researchers suggested that capsaicin supplementation may have
172 stimulated activation of TRPV1 in skeletal muscle increasing calcium release at the sarcoplasmic
173 reticulum; a phenomenon seen in rodent studies [67]. This increased influx of calcium may have
174 resulted in greater actin and myosin interactions leading to improved performance. Alternatively,
175 capsaicin has been shown to have an analgesic effect [61], which may have lowered RPE values and
176 facilitated performance [13]. Increased endurance capabilities may also be facilitated by spared
177 glycogen and concomitant increases in lipolysis through capsaicin ingestion [68-70].

178

179 The above literature suggests that ingesting capsaicin as a capsule is effective for improving sport
180 performance. However, when capsaicin is ingested as food, the ergogenic effects are not consistent. A
181 7-day ingestion of cayenne herbal supplement totalling $25.8 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ of capsaicin, did not result in
182 improved 30m sprint times, nor a reduction in RPE or muscle soreness scores [64]. Whereas, Lim *et*
183 *al.*, [71] showed the ingestion of 10g of hot red peppers 2.5 hours prior to exercise (150w cycling for
184 60 minutes) significantly elevated both respiratory quotient and blood lactate levels at rest and during
185 exercise, suggesting increased carbohydrate oxidation. The differences in supplementation type
186 (cayenne vs. red peppers), dose amount (25.8 vs. 12 mg) and protocol (repeated vs. acute) likely
187 contributed to the variation in efficacy; the higher dose in particular, may negatively influence GI
188 motility[13]. This is supported by a rodent study that found swimming endurance was optimal when
189 mice were supplemented with 10mg/kg, 2 hours prior to performance [72]. This dose and ingestion
190 timing appear to be a 'sweet-spot', with doses or timings that fall below or exceed these values proving
191 ineffective or deleterious to performance, respectively [73]. It should be noted that a similar dosage in

192 a human diet would equate to 100g of red chilli pepper consumption [74], which would be impractical
193 and likely cause serious gastrointestinal (GI) discomfort [69].

194

195 As TRPV1 receptors are found in the oesophagus, stomach, intestine and colon [75], the possibility of
196 GI discomfort is increased following capsaicin consumption. In a study where participants ingested
197 capsaicin capsules, moderate visceral pain was reported following a median dose of 1mg [76]. Opheim
198 & Rankin's [64] repeated sprint study reported GI distress symptoms increased 6.3 times compared to
199 placebo and resulted in 3 participants withdrawing from the study [64], thus capsaicin induced GI
200 discomfort may deleteriously affect performance. A possible solution may be the use of a unique variety
201 of chili pepper, CH-19 Sweet, which contains capsiate, a non-pungent capsaicin analogue that has been
202 shown to activate TRPV1 [69,77] and return similar responses as capsaicin, including improving time
203 to exhaustion in rodent studies [69,74]. Haramizu et al., [69] also observed no aversion to capsiate
204 ingestion; like carbohydrate, efficacy of capsaicin supplementation may be less about the taste of the
205 intervention, and more about the activation of desired receptors.

206

207 In each of the aforementioned human studies [64-66], capsaicin was delivered via a capsule. As
208 a result, receptors in the oral cavity were by-passed, eliminating capsaicin's pungent oral
209 sensation. Recently, Gibson *et al.*, [12], employed a 0.2% capsaicin mouth swill every 10-minutes
210 during repeated 6-second cycle ergometer sprints in the heat (40°C, 40% relative humidity). This
211 delivery method (mouth swill) directly targets TRPV1 channels in the mouth and reduces possible GI
212 discomfort; yet, results showed no difference in peak power, work performed or RPE across
213 experimental groups (control, placebo, menthol and capsaicin mouth swills). Interestingly, thermal
214 perception (comfort and sensation) was not altered after capsaicin mouth swill compared to control and
215 placebo, but menthol trials reported significant improvements in thermal comfort [12].

216 Despite many reported health benefits from the regular consumption of capsaicin (e.g. improved
217 cardiovascular function, diabetes control, etc. [61]), the effect of capsaicin on sports performance is

218 limited. It would appear that acute supplementation (45-minutes prior to exercise) of low dose capsaicin
219 (12mg) may induce an ergogenic response in near maximal exercise [65,66]. Further investigation on
220 precise timing, dosage and delivery methods are required. Minimising GI discomfort should be a
221 primary consideration for researchers while still effectively stimulating TRPV1 channels.

222

223 3.2 Menthol

224 Menthol imparts its familiar minty flavour via stimulation of transient receptor melastatin 8 (TRP-M8)
225 receptors. These sodium voltage gated ion channels are especially concentrated in the trigeminal nerve
226 , which innervates the oral cavity, and when stimulated mimic a ‘cold’ temperature range (8-28°C; [78]),
227 feeling and tasting ‘cool’. The effects of menthol are inversely proportional to the thickness of the
228 stratum corneum [11,79], hence application to the oral cavity often confers a greater stimulatory effect
229 than topical menthol application [11,80]. Menthol can be experienced by anosmic individuals [81],
230 emphasising its neurological mechanism [82,83], but the ability to detect menthol has been shown to
231 decline with age [84] suggesting higher menthol concentrations may be required to elicit ergogenic
232 effects in masters athletes.

233 Menthol application to the oral cavity can be individualised by using a preferred menthol concentration
234 and may be enhanced by using colour [29]. A relative dose is yet to be administered to athletes, but an
235 experimental dose of 30mg/kg was prescribed by food scientists investigating the effects of carbonation
236 and menthol upon oral cooling [85]. Partnering menthol’s chemosensory cooling effects with
237 physiological coolants such as ice slurries may further enhance its efficacy [86-88], but there is an
238 increased risk for overstimulation of the trigeminal system potentially resulting in “brain freeze” [89-
239 91].

240 Performance literature to date has assessed the effects of menthol mouth swilling upon cycling in
241 intermittent [12] and time to exhaustion [25,26,92] models, as well as running time trial performance
242 [27,93]. Intermittent performance was not improved, however time to exhaustion and time trial
243 performance demonstrate *trivial-moderate* improvements (Hedge’s g : 0.40; 0.04 – 0.76 [18]).

244 Concomitant improvements in thermal comfort and thermal sensation are noted following menthol
245 exposure [12,25,27,92,93], with an increase in ventilation also reported [25-27]. These effects are likely
246 mediated by TRP-M8 expression and stimulation of jugular and nodose neurons which provide
247 interoceptive feedback from the alimentary organs and the cardiorespiratory system [94,95]. This may
248 explain the increase in ventilation seen with menthol mouth swilling. The rate and volume of airflow
249 passing through the nasal canal also increase TRP-M8 activity and ventilation [96-98]. Whilst this can
250 be contrived in the laboratory, it is likely that this effect is more apparent in ecologically valid settings
251 with faster wind and performance velocities.

252 Despite participants reporting feeling cooler, no changes in body temperature have been reported to
253 date following the oral application of menthol exclusively [12,25-27,92,93]. An emerging secondary
254 effect of menthol use is an attenuation of thirst [23], however the potential ergogenic and contextual
255 relevance of this is unknown as of yet, highlighting that menthol should be applied to sport cautiously.
256 Thirst, more so than taste, conveys a homeostatic message regarding hydration status [99,100];
257 however, thirst can also be quenched by carbonated and cool/cold products [85,100-103] emphasising
258 the role of TRP-M8 receptors in our somatosensory interpretation of cool and refreshing [104-107] and
259 the potential for deception driven dehydration if water intake is attenuated in an event where hydration
260 status is performance limiting e.g. ultramarathon [108,109], or in athletes with abnormally high sweat
261 rates [110].

262

263 *3.3 Thermal Tastants Section Summary*

264 Whilst the research pertaining to the TRP channel afferents capsaicin and menthol is in its infancy, in
265 comparison to caffeine and carbohydrate, these thermal tastes may prove ergogenic under certain
266 circumstances and likely serve to disrupt an athlete's perception of their thermal state, which may be
267 ergogenic of itself. Individual sensory thresholds for effective doses likely exist, and timing of
268 administration requires further elucidation, with the potential impact of these strategies on GI
269 discomfort an important consideration. What is clear though, is that if capsaicin and menthol are to be

270 supplemented, attaining meaningful doses via wholefoods would either be impractical or ineffective
271 [73,111]

272 **4. The sweet taste of placebo**

273 The ergogenic effect of taste could be influenced by the placebo effect. The placebo effect is a desirable
274 outcome resulting from a person's expected and/or learned response to a treatment or situation [28].
275 Placebo effects have shown to improve sport performance [112-114], with a systematic review reporting
276 small to moderate effects for nutritional ($d = 0.35$) and mechanical ($d = 0.47$) ergogenic aids [115].
277 Placebo effects are often created within a psychosocial context that influences a person's response to a
278 placebo. These include the interaction between the person receiving the placebo and the person
279 administering it (e.g. participant and researcher), the environment in which it is delivered (e.g.
280 laboratory) and sensory processes, such as colour, smell and taste [28]. The placebo effect is therefore
281 a response to a signal, or set of signals, which convey information that trigger self-regulatory
282 mechanisms.

283 While there are many theories to propose the underpinning mechanisms of the placebo effect (e.g.
284 expectancy theory, classical conditioning), in this paper we adopt a broader and general conception that
285 the placebo effect of taste could be explained through an anticipation on resource allocation. Beedie *et*
286 *al.*, [116] recently argued that the brain modulates and anticipates the relationship between a signal (e.g.
287 taste) and the body, which regulates subsequent resource allocation. Based on this understanding, the
288 taste of glucose, for example, signals to the brain that resources will soon be available, which in turn,
289 regulates the resources allocated. Theoretically, if a placebo tastes like glucose, the brain would
290 anticipate that glucose has been received and subsequently offloads more resources. In short, the
291 placebo effect may impact the ergogenic effect of taste through its application of signalling to the brain

292 that more resources are available, which sets in motion a chain of self-regulatory responses that produce
293 an improvement in performance¹.

294 Research into taste and the placebo effect on sport performance is limited. However, early research into
295 the placebo effect provides compelling evidence of the significant role taste can have for inducing
296 placebo effects and influencing physiological responses. Ader and Cohen [119] administered a
297 distinctly flavoured drink followed by a toxic agent capable of suppressing the immune system. After
298 repeat administrations of the drink and toxic agent, the taste of the drink alone resulted in an
299 immunosuppression response. Similarly, Olness and Ader [120] reported a clinical case study of a child
300 with lupus erythematosus (an autoimmune disease) after administering cyclophosphamide paired with
301 taste and smell stimuli similar to Ader and Cohen [119]. After initial pairings of the drug with the
302 sensory stimuli, the taste alone was administered and the patient's symptoms improved after 12 months.
303 The publication of these studies resulted in a proliferation of similar taste aversion research [121], which
304 has demonstrated the influence of taste and anticipatory responses in inducing placebo effects.

305 It is likely that placebo effects of taste are mediated by neurobiological pathways. While there are many
306 neurobiological pathways associated with the placebo effect, a large amount of research has investigated
307 the role of the endogenous opioid system [122]. This is not surprising given that μ -opioid receptors are
308 located throughout the brain are critical for the reduction of pain [123]. Amanzio and Benedetti [124]
309 exposed participants to a conditioning procedure of the opioid drug buprenorphine and measured pain
310 tolerance and endogenous opioid release in the brain. After repeat trials of the opioid drug, when
311 replaced with saline, pain tolerance significantly increased compared to baseline, which was mediated
312 by increases in activation of the endogenous opioid system. Similar results have been reported

¹ Providing an explanation for why this occurs is outside the scope of the paper, but we refer the reader to the work of Humphrey [117] and Miller, Colloca and Kaptchuk [118], who offer a more thorough explanation.

313 elsewhere [125,126], and highlight the significant mediating role the endogenous opioid system has for
314 inducing placebo effects.

315 Like placebo effects, taste receptors can also mediate the release of endogenous opioids [127,128].
316 Although the magnitude of the effect can depend on age and gender[129], the sweet taste of glucose
317 and sucrose can modulate the production of endogenous opioid release [130], whereas administration
318 of sucrose directly to the stomach has no effect [131]. This suggests that sweet taste can have analgesic
319 effects. However, where the ergogenic effects of taste tend to report pain relieving effects, placebo
320 effects are often the result of similar mechanisms e.g. pain, fatigue and perception of effort
321 [113,114,132]. While taste could have direct neurobiological mechanisms, there is evidence that
322 placebo effects can mimic the neurobiological pathways of a treatment [133]. It could be suggested that
323 the same pathways activated by taste are also activated by the administration of a placebo. We are by
324 no means implying that the ergogenic effects of taste are the result of a placebo effect, but we, like
325 others [28,134,135], are suggesting that the mechanisms in which a nutritional ergogenic aid exerts its
326 effect is likely to be a combination of both. As with most treatments and interventions on sport
327 performance, the ergogenic effect of taste will be influenced via the placebo effect (see Beedie, Foad &
328 Hurst [134]). It is likely that they are both components of a self-regulatory system that act as signals to
329 the brain for resource allocation, which are likely mediated by neurobiological pathways, such as the
330 endogenous opioid system. However, there is a lack of research in sport explicitly examining whether
331 the ergogenic effect of taste and the placebo effect activate shared or distinct mechanisms. To help
332 develop knowledge and understanding in this area beyond speculation, empirical research is needed
333 that examines whether the placebo effect of taste is partially or fully responsible for its ergogenic effect.

334 **5. Practical Recommendations**

335 Tastants have the potential to be employed as ergogenic strategies during sport and exercise
336 performance, with tentative evidence supporting the efficacy of sweet [14], bitter [19], spicy [65], and
337 cooling [11] tastants. However, consideration of event demands, nutritional state of the athlete and
338 athletes' performance environment are strongly recommended to successfully employ taste related
339 strategies in athletic settings. Developing taste related strategies with regular input from athletes also

340 allows for maximisation of other sensory factors such as colour and odour, which may confer further
341 psychological and performance benefits through placebo effects. At present, given the evidence
342 discussed, we can tentatively suggest that athletes undertaking aerobic endurance and/or repeated high
343 intensity efforts may benefit from the use of sweet-tasting carbohydrate or bitter-tasting beverages, with
344 the addition of caffeine. Similar to carbohydrate and bitter tastants, athletes may benefit from menthol
345 supplementation during endurance exercise, whereas capsaicin ingestion may be of use during activities
346 that are near maximal in nature. Menthol may be administered as a mouth rinse, at concentrations
347 between 0.01% and 0.1% [29] and can be employed throughout the exercise bout. Capsaicin may be
348 ingested as a capsule containing a 12mg dose, 45 minutes prior to maximal effort exercise. All strategies
349 should be trialled prior to use in competition, and the potential for GI disturbance using a validated tool
350 [136]. In using these beverages, there may be additional advantages—and no obvious negatives—
351 gained by the athlete from rinsing the liquid around the oral cavity prior to ingestion. Furthermore,
352 augmented ergogenic effects may occur if the athlete recognises a taste as performance-enhancing via
353 expectancy and placebo effects [15].

354 **6. Future Research Directions**

355 Future research in taste and athletic performance should consider investigating differences between
356 tasting, swilling and ingesting, and their subsequent effects upon performance; this is especially
357 important given the emerging research that ingestion of bitter tastants such as quinine and caffeine is
358 required to maximise their ergogenic effects above those demonstrated through mouth-rinse only [15]
359 Each strategy exposes tastants to different densities and volumes of taste receptors, and may be
360 accompanied by other sports nutrition strategies, so the inclusion of tastants need to be weighed against
361 established ergogenic strategies such as maintaining carbohydrate availability during an event. The
362 optimal dose of each tastant, including their physiological tolerance and associated side-effects, also
363 represent an important practical avenue for future research. Similarly, habituation to tastants is also
364 worthy of investigation, as we must understand the time course of these strategies to maximise their
365 efficacy. It is acknowledged that there is likely a strong genetic underpinning to preference and
366 responses to tastes [137,138]. Some work has already begun in caffeine [139,140], carbohydrate

367 [141,142] and TRP-M8 [143], but understanding the genetic contributions to liking, or tolerance for,
368 thermal tastes and bitterness may confer further benefits beyond athletic populations.

369 **7. Conclusion**

370 This review synthesises the evidence from a variety of tastes that have shown ergogenic promise with
371 respect to athletic performance. This efficacy is not limited to taste *per se*, but extends to the stimulation
372 of targeted receptors in the oral cavity and throughout the digestive tract, which relay signals pertaining
373 to energy availability and temperature to appropriate neural centres. Timing of tastant application, dose
374 and frequency of application likely require personalisation to be most effective, and can be enhanced
375 or confounded by factors that relate to the placebo effect.

376

377 **References:**

- 378 1. Breslin PAS. An Evolutionary Perspective on Food Review and Human Taste. *Current Biology*.
379 2013;23:R409–18.
- 380 2. Bachmanov AA, Bosak NP, Lin C, Matsumoto I, Ohmoto M, Reed DR, et al. Genetics of taste
381 receptors. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2014;20:2669–83.
- 382 3. Reed DR, Knaapila A. Genetics of Taste and Smell. *Genes and Obesity*. 2010. pp. 213–40.
- 383 4. Devillier P, Naline E, Grassin-Delyle S. The pharmacology of bitter taste receptors and their role in
384 human airways. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*. 2015;155:11–21.
- 385 5. Freund JR, Lee RJ. Taste receptors in the upper airway. *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-*
386 *Head and Neck Surgery*. 2018;4:67–76.
- 387 6. Spence C. On the psychological impact of food colour. *Flavour*. 2015;4:21.
- 388 7. Skinner M, Eldeghaidy S, Ford R, Giesbrecht T, Thomas A, Francis S, et al. Variation in thermally
389 induced taste response across thermal tasters. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2018;188:67–78.
- 390 8. Spence C. Just how much of what we taste derives from the sense of smell? *Flavour*. 2015;:1–10.
- 391 9. Small DM. Flavor is in the brain. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2012;107:540–52.
- 392 10. Frasnelli J, Albrecht J, Bryant B, Lundström JN. Perception of specific trigeminal chemosensory
393 agonists. *Neuroscience*. 2011;189:377–83.
- 394 11. Stevens CJ, Best R. Menthol: A Fresh Ergogenic Aid for Athletic Performance. *Sports Med*.
395 2017;47:1035–42.
- 396 12. Gibson OR, Wrightson JG, Hayes M. Intermittent sprint performance in the heat is not altered by
397 augmenting thermal perception via L-menthol or capsaicin mouth rinses. *European Journal of Applied*
398 *Physiology*. 2018;46:936–12.
- 399 13. de Freitas MC, Cholewa JM, Freire RV, Carmo BA, Bottan J, Bratfich M, et al. Acute capsaicin
400 supplementation improves resistance training performance in trained men. *The Journal of Strength &*
401 *Conditioning Research*. 2017;:1–21.
- 402 14. Burke LM, Maughan RJ. The Governor has a sweet tooth – Mouth sensing of nutrients to enhance
403 sports performance. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2014;15:29–40.
- 404 15. Pickering C. Are caffeine's performance-enhancing effects partially driven by its bitter taste?
405 *Medical Hypotheses*. 2019;131:109301.
- 406 16. Fares E-JM, Kayser B. Carbohydrate Mouth Rinse Effects on Exercise Capacity in Pre- and
407 Postprandial States. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*. 2011;2011:1–6.
- 408 17. Chambers ES, Bridge MW, Jones DA. Carbohydrate sensing in the human mouth: effects on
409 exercise performance and brain activity. *The Journal of Physiology*. 2009;587:1779–94.
- 410 18. Jeffries O, Waldron M. The effects of menthol on exercise performance and thermal sensation: A
411 meta-analysis. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2019;22:707–15.
- 412 19. Gam S, Guelfi KJ, Fournier PA. New Insights into Enhancing Maximal Exercise Performance
413 Through the Use of a Bitter Tastant. *Sports Med*. 2016;46:1385–90.

- 414 20. Rousmans S, Robin O, Dittmar A, Vernet-Maury E. Autonomic nervous system responses
415 associated with primary tastes. *Chemical Senses*. 2000;25:709–18.
- 416 21. Leterme A, Brun L, Dittmar A, Robin O. Autonomic nervous system responses to sweet taste:
417 Evidence for habituation rather than pleasure. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2008;93:994–9.
- 418 22. Michlig S, Merlini JM, Beaumont M, Ledda M, Tavenard A, Mukherjee R, et al. Effects of TRP
419 channel agonist ingestion on metabolism and autonomic nervous system in a randomized clinical trial
420 of healthy subjects. *Nature*. 2016;:1–12.
- 421 23. Eccles R. Role of cold receptors and menthol in thirst, the drive to breathe and arousal. *Appetite*.
422 2000;34:29–35.
- 423 24. Eccles R, Du-Plessis L, Dommels Y, Wilkinson JE. Cold pleasure. Why we like ice drinks, ice-
424 lollies and ice cream. *Appetite*. 2013;71:357–60.
- 425 25. Flood TR, Waldron M, Jeffries O. Oral L-menthol reduces thermal sensation, increases work-rate
426 and extends time to exhaustion, in the heat at a fixed rating of perceived exertion. *European Journal of*
427 *Applied Physiology*. 2017;117:1501–12.
- 428 26. Mündel T, Jones DA. The effects of swilling an l(-)-menthol solution during exercise in the heat.
429 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2009;109:59–65.
- 430 27. Stevens CJ, Thoseby B, Sculley DV, Callister R, Taylor L, Dascombe BJ. Running performance
431 and thermal sensation in the heat are improved with menthol mouth rinse but not ice slurry ingestion.
432 *J Appl Physiol*. 2016;26:1209–16.
- 433 28. Beedie C, Benedetti F, Barbiani D, Camerone E, Cohen E, Coleman D, et al. Consensus statement
434 on placebo effects in sports and exercise: The need for conceptual clarity, methodological rigour, and
435 the elucidation of neurobiological mechanisms. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2018;18:1383–9.
- 436 29. Best R, Spears I, Hurst P, Berger N. The Development of a Menthol Solution for Use during Sport
437 and Exercise. *Beverages*. 2018;4:44–10.
- 438 30. Stellingwerff T, Cox GR. Systematic review: Carbohydrate supplementation on exercise
439 performance or capacity of varying durations 1. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab*. 2014;39:998–1011.
- 440 31. McConell GK, Canny BJ, Daddo MC, Nance MJ, Snow RJ. Effect of carbohydrate ingestion on
441 glucose kinetics and muscle metabolism during intense endurance exercise. *Journal of Applied*
442 *Physiology*. 2000;89:1690–8.
- 443 32. Carter JM, Jeukendrup AE, Mann CH, Jones DA. The effect of glucose infusion on glucose
444 kinetics during a 1-h time trial. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2004;36:1543–50.
- 445 33. Rollo DI, Williams C. Effect of Mouth-Rinsing Carbohydrate Solutions on Endurance
446 Performance. *Sports Med*. 2011;41:449–61.
- 447 34. Jeukendrup AE, Chambers ES. Oral carbohydrate sensing and exercise performance. *Current*
448 *Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*. 2010;13:447–51.
- 449 35. de Ataide e Silva T, Di Cavalcanti Alves de Souza ME, de Amorim JF, Stathis CG, Leandro CG,
450 Lima-Silva AE. Can carbohydrate mouth rinse improve performance during exercise? A systematic
451 review. *Nutrients*. 2013;6:1–10.
- 452 36. Brietzke C, Franco-Alvarenga PE, Coelho-Júnior HJ, Silveira R, Asano RY, Pires FO. Effects of

- 453 Carbohydrate Mouth Rinse on Cycling Time Trial Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta-
454 Analysis. *Sports Med.* 2019;49:57–66.
- 455 37. Berthoud H-R. Neural systems controlling food intake and energy balance in the modern world.
456 *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care.* 2003;6:615–20.
- 457 38. de Araujo IE, Ren X, Ferreira JG. Metabolic Sensing in Brain Dopamine Systems. In: Meyerhof
458 W, Beisiegel U, Joost H-G, editors. *Sensory and Metabolic Control of Energy Balance.* Berlin,
459 Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2010. pp. 69–86.
- 460 39. Noakes TD. The central governor model of exercise regulation applied to the marathon. *Sports*
461 *Med.* 2007;37:374–7.
- 462 40. Marcora S. Perception of effort during exercise is independent of afferent feedback from skeletal
463 muscles, heart, and lungs. *Journal of Applied Physiology.* 2009;106:2060–2.
- 464 41. Frank GKW, Oberndorfer TA, Simmons AN, Paulus MP, Fudge JL, Yang TT, et al. Sucrose
465 activates human taste pathways differently from artificial sweetener. *NeuroImage.* 2008;39:1559–69.
- 466 42. Zald DH, Hagen MC, Pardo JV. Neural correlates of tasting concentrated quinine and sugar
467 solutions. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2002;87:1068–75.
- 468 43. Gam S, Guelfi KJ, Fournier PA. Mouth rinsing and ingesting a bitter solution improves sprint
469 cycling performance. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise.* 2014;46:1648–57.
- 470 44. Gam S, Tan M, Guelfi KJ, Fournier PA. Mouth rinsing with a bitter solution without ingestion
471 does not improve sprint cycling performance. *European Journal of Applied Physiology.*
472 2015;115:129–38.
- 473 45. Behrens M, Foerster S, Staehler F, Raguse J-D, Meyerhof W. Gustatory expression pattern of the
474 human TAS2R bitter receptor gene family reveals a heterogenous population of bitter responsive taste
475 receptor cells. *J. Neurosci. Society for Neuroscience;* 2007;27:12630–40.
- 476 46. Gam S, Guelfi KJ, Hammond G, Fournier PA. Mouth rinsing and ingestion of a bitter-tasting
477 solution increases corticomotor excitability in male competitive cyclists. *European Journal of Applied*
478 *Physiology.* 2015;115:2199–204.
- 479 47. Poole RL, Tordoff MG. The Taste of Caffeine. *Journal of Caffeine Research.* 2017;7:39–52.
- 480 48. Meyerhof W, Batram C, Kuhn C, Brockhoff A, Chudoba E, Bufe B, et al. The molecular receptive
481 ranges of human TAS2R bitter taste receptors. *Chemical Senses.* 2010;35:157–70.
- 482 49. Grgic J, Grgic I, Pickering C, Schoenfeld BJ, Bishop DJ, Pedisic Z. Wake up and smell the coffee:
483 caffeine supplementation and exercise performance-an umbrella review of 21 published meta-
484 analyses. *British Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2019;:bjssports–2018–100278.
- 485 50. Beaven CM, Maulder P, Pooley A, Kilduff L, Cook C. Effects of caffeine and carbohydrate mouth
486 rinses on repeated sprint performance. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 2013;38:633–7.
- 487 51. Doering TM, Fell JW, Leveritt MD, Desbrow B, Shing CM. The effect of a caffeinated mouth-
488 rinse on endurance cycling time-trial performance. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2014;24:90–7.
- 489 52. Clarke ND, Kornilios E, Richardson DL. Carbohydrate and Caffeine Mouth Rinses Do Not Affect
490 Maximum Strength and Muscular Endurance Performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015;29:2926–31.
- 491 53. Kizzi J, Sum A, Houston FE, Hayes LD. Influence of a caffeine mouth rinse on sprint cycling

- 492 following glycogen depletion. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2016;:1–8.
- 493 54. Pataky MW, Womack CJ, Saunders MJ, Goffe JL, D'Lugos AC, El-Soheily A, et al. Caffeine and
494 3-km cycling performance: Effects of mouth rinsing, genotype, and time of day. *J Appl Physiol*.
495 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); 2016;26:613–9.
- 496 55. Dolan P, Witherbee KE, Peterson KM, Kerksick CM. Effect of Carbohydrate, Caffeine, and
497 Carbohydrate + Caffeine Mouth Rinsing on Intermittent Running Performance in Collegiate Male
498 Lacrosse Athletes. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2017;31:2473–9.
- 499 56. Bottoms L, Hurst H, Scriven A, Lynch F, Bolton J, Vercoe L, et al. The effect of caffeine mouth
500 rinse on self-paced cycling performance. *Comparative Exercise Physiology*. 2014;10:239–45.
- 501 57. Pomportes L, Brisswalter J, Casini L, Hays A, Davranche K. Cognitive Performance
502 Enhancement Induced by Caffeine, Carbohydrate and Guarana Mouth Rinsing during Submaximal
503 Exercise. *Nutrients*. 2017;9:589.
- 504 58. Van Cutsem J, De Pauw K, Marcora S, Meeusen R, Roelands B. A caffeine-maltodextrin mouth
505 rinse counters mental fatigue. *Psychopharmacology*. 2018;235:947–58.
- 506 59. Rozengurt E. Taste receptors in the gastrointestinal tract. I. Bitter taste receptors and alpha-
507 gustducin in the mammalian gut. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol*. 2006;291:G171–7.
- 508 60. Macneish RS. Ancient Mesoamerican Civilization. *Science*. 1964;143:531–7.
- 509 61. Fattori V, Hohmann MSN, Rossaneis AC, Pinho-Ribeiro FA, Verri WA. Capsaicin: Current
510 Understanding of Its Mechanisms and Therapy of Pain and Other Pre-Clinical and Clinical Uses.
511 *Molecules*. 2016;21:844.
- 512 62. Simon SA, de Araujo IE. The salty and burning taste of capsaicin. *J. Gen. Physiol*. 2005;125:531–
513 4.
- 514 63. Caterina MJ, Schumacher MA, Tominaga M, Rosen TA, Levine JD, Julius D. The capsaicin
515 receptor: a heat-activated ion channel in the pain pathway. *Nature*. 1997;389:816–24.
- 516 64. Opheim MN, Rankin JW. Effect of capsaicin supplementation on repeated sprinting performance.
517 *J Strength Cond Res*. 2012;26:319–26.
- 518 65. de Freitas MC, Cholewa JM, Gobbo LA, de Oliveira JVNS, Lira FS, Rossi FE. Acute Capsaicin
519 Supplementation Improves 1,500-m Running Time-Trial Performance and Rate of Perceived Exertion
520 in Physically Active Adults. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2018;32:572–7.
- 521 66. de Freitas MC, Billaut F, Panissa VLG, Rossi FE, Figueiredo C, Caperuto EC, et al. Capsaicin
522 supplementation increases time to exhaustion in high-intensity intermittent exercise without
523 modifying metabolic responses in physically active men. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*.
524 2019;119:971–9.
- 525 67. Lotteau S, Ducreux S, Romestaing C, Legrand C, Van Coppenolle F. Characterization of
526 functional TRPV1 channels in the sarcoplasmic reticulum of mouse skeletal muscle. Kanzaki M,
527 editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8:e58673.
- 528 68. Glickman-Weiss EL, Hearon CM, Nelson AG, Day R. Does capsaicin affect physiologic and
529 thermal responses of males during immersion in 22 degrees C? *Aviat Space Environ Med*.
530 1998;69:1095–9.

- 531 69. Haramizu S, Mizunoya W, Masuda Y, Ohnuki K, Watanabe T, Yazawa S, et al. Capsiate, a
532 nonpungent capsaicin analog, increases endurance swimming capacity of mice by stimulation of
533 vanilloid receptors. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*. 2006;70:774–81.
- 534 70. Shin KO, Yeo NH, Kang S. Autonomic nervous activity and lipid oxidation postexercise with
535 capsaicin in the humans. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2010;9:253–61.
- 536 71. Lim K, Yoshioka M, Kikuzato S, Kiyonaga A, Tanaka H, Shindo M, et al. Dietary red pepper
537 ingestion increases carbohydrate oxidation at rest and during exercise in runners. *Medicine & Science
538 in Sports & Exercise*. 1997;29:355–61.
- 539 72. Oh T-W, Ohta F. Dose-dependent effect of capsaicin on endurance capacity in rats. *British Journal
540 of Nutrition*. 2003;90:515–20.
- 541 73. Kim KM, Kawada T, Ishihara K, Inoue K, Fushiki T. Increase in swimming endurance capacity of
542 mice by capsaicin-induced adrenal catecholamine secretion. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and
543 Biochemistry*. 1997;61:1718–23.
- 544 74. Kim KM, Kawada T, Ishihara K, Inoue K, Fushiki T. Swimming capacity of mice is increased by
545 oral administration of a nonpungent capsaicin analog, stearyl vanillylamide. *J. Nutr*. 1998;128:1978–
546 83.
- 547 75. Yu X, Yu M, Liu Y, Yu S. TRP channel functions in the gastrointestinal tract. *Semin
548 Immunopathol*. 2015;38:385–96.
- 549 76. Li X, Cao Y, Wong RKM, Ho KY, Wilder-Smith CH. Visceral and somatic sensory function in
550 functional dyspepsia. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil*. 2013;25:246–53–e165.
- 551 77. Iida T, Moriyama T, Kobata K, Morita A, Murayama N, Hashizume S, et al. TRPV1 activation
552 and induction of nociceptive response by a non-pungent capsaicin-like compound, capsiate.
553 *Neuropharmacology*. 2003;44:958–67.
- 554 78. Patel T, Ishiuiji Y, Yosipovitch G. Menthol: A refreshing look at this ancient compound. *Journal of
555 the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2007;57:873–8.
- 556 79. Watson HR, Hems R, Rowsell DG, Spring DJ. New compounds with the menthol cooling effect. *J
557 Soc Cosmet Chem*. 1978;29:185–200.
- 558 80. Best R, Payton S, Spears I, Riera F, Berger N. Topical and Ingested Cooling Methodologies for
559 Endurance Exercise Performance in the Heat. *Sports*. 2018;6:11–1.
- 560 81. Cometto-Muñiz JE, Cain WS. Thresholds for odor and nasal pungency. *Physiology & Behavior*.
561 1990;48:719–25.
- 562 82. Viana F. Chemosensory Properties of the Trigeminal System. *ACS Chem. Neurosci*. 2011;2:38–
563 50.
- 564 83. Kollndorfer K, Kowalczyk K, Frasnelli J, Hoche E, Unger E, Mueller CA, et al. Same Same but
565 Different. Different Trigeminal Chemoreceptors Share the Same Central Pathway. McKemy DD,
566 editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0121091–12.
- 567 84. Murphy C. Age-related effects on the threshold, psychophysical function, and pleasantness of
568 menthol. *J Gerontol*. 1983;38:217–22.
- 569 85. Saint-Eve A, Déléris I, Feron G, Ibarra D, Guichard E, Souchon I. How trigeminal, taste and

- 570 aroma perceptions are affected in mint-flavored carbonated beverages. *Food Quality and Preference*.
571 2010;21:1026–33.
- 572 86. Riera F, Trong TT, Sinnapah S, Hue O. Physical and Perceptual Cooling with Beverages to
573 Increase Cycle Performance in a Tropical Climate. Hayashi N, editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e103718–7.
- 574 87. Riera F, Trong T, Rinaldi K, Hue O. Precooling does not Enhance the Effect on Performance of
575 Midcooling with Ice-Slush/Menthol. *Int J Sports Med*. 2016;37:1025–31.
- 576 88. Tran Trong T, Riera F, Rinaldi K, Briki W, Hue O. Ingestion of a cold temperature/menthol
577 beverage increases outdoor exercise performance in a hot, humid environment. Romanovsky AA,
578 editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0123815.
- 579 89. Siegel R, Laursen PB. Keeping Your Cool. *Sports Med*. 2012;42:89–98.
- 580 90. Mages S, Hensel O, Zierz AM, Kraya T, Zierz S. Experimental provocation of “ice-cream
581 headache” by ice cubes and ice water. *Cephalalgia*. 2017;37:464–9.
- 582 91. Hulihan J. Ice cream headache. *BMJ*. 1997;314:1364.
- 583 92. Jeffries O, Goldsmith M, Waldron M. L-Menthol mouth rinse or ice slurry ingestion during the
584 latter stages of exercise in the heat provide a novel stimulus to enhance performance despite elevation
585 in mean body temperature. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2018;118:2435–42.
- 586 93. Stevens CJ, Bennett KJM, Sculley DV, Callister R, Taylor L, Dascombe BJ. A comparison of
587 mixed-method cooling interventions on pre-loaded running performance in the heat. *The Journal of*
588 *Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2016:1–28.
- 589 94. Kupari J, Häring M, Agirre E, Castelo-Branco G, Ernfors P. An Atlas of Vagal Sensory Neurons
590 and Their Molecular Specialization. *CellReports*. 2019;27:2508–2523.e4.
- 591 95. Kaczyńska K, Szereda-Przestaszewska M. Nodose ganglia-modulatory effects on respiration.
592 *Physiol Res*. 2013;62:227–35.
- 593 96. Baraniuk JN, Merck SJ. Nasal reflexes: implications for exercise, breathing, and sex. *Curr Allergy*
594 *Asthma Rep*. 2008;8:147–53.
- 595 97. Naito K, Komori M, Kondo Y, Takeuchi M, Iwata S. The effect of l-menthol stimulation of the
596 major palatine nerve on subjective and objective nasal patency. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 1997;24:159–62.
- 597 98. Eccles R. Menthol: Effects on nasal sensation of airflow and the drive to breathe. *Curr Allergy*
598 *Asthma Rep*. 2003;3:210–4.
- 599 99. Thornton SN. Thirst and hydration: Physiology and consequences of dysfunction. *Physiology &*
600 *Behavior*. 2010;100:15–21.
- 601 100. van Belzen L, Postma EM, Boesveldt S. How to quench your thirst. The effect of water-based
602 products varying in temperature and texture, flavour, and sugar content on thirst. *Physiology &*
603 *Behavior*. 2017;180:45–52.
- 604 101. Peyrot des Gachons C, Avriillier J, Gleason M, Algarra L, Zhang S, Mura E, et al. Oral Cooling
605 and Carbonation Increase the Perception of Drinking and Thirst Quenching in Thirsty Adults.
606 Glendinning JI, editor. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11:e0162261–12.
- 607 102. Mündel T, King J, Collacott E, Jones DA. Drink temperature influences fluid intake and
608 endurance capacity in men during exercise in a hot, dry environment. *Experimental Physiology*.

- 609 2006;91:925–33.
- 610 103. Lee JKW, Shirreffs SM. The influence of drink temperature on thermoregulatory responses
611 during prolonged exercise in a moderate environment. *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2007;25:975–85.
- 612 104. Labbe D, Almiron-Roig E, Hudry J, Leathwood P, Schifferstein HNJ, Martin N. Sensory basis of
613 refreshing perception: Role of psychophysiological factors and food experience. *Physiology &*
614 *Behavior*. 2009;98:1–9.
- 615 105. Labbe D, Gilbert F, Antille N, Martin N. Sensory determinants of refreshing. *Food Quality and*
616 *Preference*. 2009;20:100–9.
- 617 106. Fenko A, Schifferstein HNJ, Huang T-C, Hekkert P. What makes products fresh: The smell or
618 the colour? *Food Quality and Preference*. 2009;20:372–9.
- 619 107. Eccles R, Du-Plessis L, Dommels Y, Wilkinson JE. Cold pleasure. Why we like ice drinks, ice-
620 lollies and ice cream. *Appetite*. 2013;71:357–60.
- 621 108. Best R, Barwick B, Best A, Berger N, Harrison C, Wright M, et al. Changes in Pain and
622 Nutritional Intake Modulate Ultra-Running Performance: A Case Report. *Sports*. 2018;6:111–3.
- 623 109. Hoffman MD, Stellingwerff T, Costa RJS. Considerations for ultra-endurance activities: part 2 –
624 hydration. *Research in Sports Medicine*. 2018;00:1–13.
- 625 110. Armstrong LE, Hubbard RW, Jones BH, Daniels JT. Preparing Alberto Salazar for the Heat of
626 the 1984 Olympic Marathon. *The Physician and Sportsmedicine*. 2016;14:73–81.
- 627 111. Shepherd K, Peart DJ. Aerobic capacity is not improved following 10-day supplementation with
628 peppermint essential oil. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab*. 2017;42:558–61.
- 629 112. Hurst P, Foad A, Coleman D, Beedie C. Athletes Intending to Use Sports Supplements Are More
630 Likely to Respond to a Placebo. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2017;49:1877–83.
- 631 113. Hurst P, Schiphof-Godart L, Hettinga F, Roelands B, Beedie C. Improved 1000-m running
632 performance and pacing strategy with caffeine and placebo effect: a balanced placebo design study.
633 *Int J Physiol Perf*. 2019, 9 (1): 1-6
- 634 114. Ross R, Gray CM, Gill JMR. Effects of an Injected Placebo on Endurance Running Performance.
635 *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2015;47:1672–81.
- 636 115. Hurst P, Schipof-Godart L, Szabo A, Raglin J, Hettinga F, Roelands B, et al. The Placebo and
637 Nocebo effect on sports performance: A systematic review. *European Journal of Sport Science*.
638 2019;46:1–14.
- 639 116. Beedie C, Benedetti F, Barbiani D, Camerone E, Lindheimer J, Roelands B. Incorporating
640 methods and findings from neuroscience to better understand placebo and nocebo effects in sport.
641 *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2019;7:1–13.
- 642 117. Humphrey N. Great expectations: The evolutionary psychology of faith-healing and the placebo
643 effect. In: Backman L, Hofsten von C, editors. *Psychology at the Turn of the Millennium*, Volume.
644 2002. p. 225.246.
- 645 118. Miller FG, Colloca L, Kaptchuk TJ. The placebo effect: illness and interpersonal healing.
646 *Perspect. Biol. Med*. 2009;52:518–39.
- 647 119. Ader R, Cohen N. Behaviorally conditioned immunosuppression. *Psychosom Med*.

- 648 1975;37:333–40.
- 649 120. Olness K, Ader R. Conditioning as an adjunct in the pharmacotherapy of lupus erythematosus. *J*
650 *Dev Behav Pediatr.* 1992;13:124–5.
- 651 121. Smits RM, Veldhuijzen DS, Wulffraat NM, Evers AWM. The role of placebo effects in immune-
652 related conditions: mechanisms and clinical considerations. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* 2018;14:761–
653 70.
- 654 122. Benedetti F. Placebo and the new physiology of the doctor-patient relationship. *Physiological*
655 *Reviews.* 2013;93:1207–46.
- 656 123. Colloca L. The Placebo Effect in Pain Therapies. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2019;59:191–
657 211.
- 658 124. Amanzio M, Benedetti F. Neuropharmacological dissection of placebo analgesia: expectation-
659 activated opioid systems versus conditioning-activated specific subsystems. *J. Neurosci.*
660 1999;19:484–94.
- 661 125. Wager TD, Scott DJ, Zubieta J-K. Placebo effects on human μ -opioid activity during pain. *Proc*
662 *Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2007;104:11056–61.
- 663 126. Zubieta J-K, Bueller JA, Jackson LR, Scott DJ, Xu Y, Koeppe RA, et al. Placebo Effects
664 Mediated by Endogenous Opioid Activity on μ -Opioid Receptors. *J. Neurosci. Society for*
665 *Neuroscience;* 2005;25:7754–62.
- 666 127. Jain R, Mukherjee K, Singh R. Influence of sweet tasting solutions on opioid withdrawal. *Brain*
667 *Res. Bull.* 2004;64:319–22.
- 668 128. Lewkowski MD, Young SN, Ghosh S, Ditto B. Effects of opioid blockade on the modulation of
669 pain and mood by sweet taste and blood pressure in young adults. *Pain.* 2008;135:75–81.
- 670 129. Wise PM, Breslin PAS, Dalton P. Effect of taste sensation on cough reflex sensitivity. *Lung.*
671 2014;192:9–13.
- 672 130. Pelchat ML. Of human bondage: food craving, obsession, compulsion, and addiction. *Physiology*
673 *& Behavior.* 2002;76:347–52.
- 674 131. Ramenghi LA, Evans DJ, Levene MI. “Sucrose analgesia”: absorptive mechanism or taste
675 perception? *Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 1999;80:F146–7.
- 676 132. Beedie CJ, Stuart EM, Coleman DA, Foad AJ. Placebo effects of caffeine on cycling
677 performance. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise.* 2006;38:2159–64.
- 678 133. Benedetti F, Dogue S. Different Placebos, Different Mechanisms, Different Outcomes: Lessons
679 for Clinical Trials. Eldabe S, editor. *PLoS ONE.* 2015;10:e0140967.
- 680 134. Beedie C, Foad A, Hurst P. Capitalizing on the Placebo Component of Treatments. *Current*
681 *Sports Medicine Reports.* 2015. pp. 284–7.
- 682 135. Halson SL, Martin DT. Lying to win-placebos and sport science. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*
683 2013;8:597–9.
- 684 136. Gaskell SK, Snipe RMJ, Costa RJS. Test Re-Test Reliability of a Modified Visual Analogue
685 Scale Assessment Tool for Determining Incidence and Severity of Gastrointestinal Symptoms in
686 Response to Exercise Stress. *Int J Sports Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2019;:1–26.

- 687 137. Newcomb RD, Xia MB, Reed DR. Heritable differences in chemosensory ability among humans.
688 Flavour. 2012.
- 689 138. Pickering C, Kiely J. What Should We Do About Habitual Caffeine Use in Athletes? Sports
690 Med. 2018;;1–10.
- 691 139. Guest N, Corey P, Vescovi J, El-Sohemy A. Caffeine, CYP1A2 Genotype, and Endurance
692 Performance in Athletes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2018;50:1570–8.
- 693 140. Loy BD, O'Connor PJ, Lindheimer JB, Covert SF. Caffeine Is Ergogenic for Adenosine A
694 2A Receptor Gene (ADORA2A) T Allele Homozygotes: A Pilot Study. *Journal of Caffeine Research*.
695 2015;5:73–81.
- 696 141. Sjøberg S, Sandholt CH, Jespersen NZ, Toft U, Madsen AL, Holstein-Rathlou von S, et al.
697 FGF21 Is a Sugar-Induced Hormone Associated with Sweet Intake and Preference in Humans. *Cell*
698 *Metabolism*. 2017;25:1045–6.
- 699 142. Han P, Keast RSJ, Roura E. Salivary leptin and TAS1R2/TAS1R3 polymorphisms are related to
700 sweet taste sensitivity and carbohydrate intake from a buffet meal in healthy young adults. *Br. J. Nutr.*
701 2017;118:763–70.
- 702 143. Key FM, Abdul-Aziz MA, Mundry R, Peter BM, Sekar A, D'Amato M, et al. Human local
703 adaptation of the TRPM8 cold receptor along a latitudinal cline. Gojobori T, editor. *PLoS Genet.*
704 2018;14:e1007298–22.

705