



Article

Utilisation of performance markers to establish the effectiveness of cold-water immersion as a recovery modality in elite football.

Alexander, Jill, Carling, Christopher and Rhodes, David

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/36746/>

Alexander, Jill ORCID: 0000-0002-6492-1621, Carling, Christopher ORCID: 0000-0002-7456-3493 and Rhodes, David ORCID: 0000-0002-4224-1959 (2021) Utilisation of performance markers to establish the effectiveness of cold-water immersion as a recovery modality in elite football. Biology of Sport, 39 (1). pp. 19-29. ISSN 0860-021X

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5114/biolSport.2021.103570>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [policies](#) page.

1 **Title:**

2 UTILISATION OF PERFORMANCE MARKERS TO ESTABLISH THE EFFECTIVENESS
3 OF COLD-WATER IMMERSION AS A RECOVERY MODALITY IN ELITE FOOTBALL.

4

5 **Head Title:**

6 PERFORMANCE MARKERS CRYOTHERAPY ELITE SPORT

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Optimal strategies for recovery following training and competition in elite athletes presents
28 ongoing debate. The effects of cold-water immersion (CWI) compared to passive recovery (PR)
29 though a triad of performance measures after fatiguing exercise within a normal micro-cycle,
30 during mid-competitive training cycle, in elite male footballers were investigated. Twenty-four
31 elite footballers (age 20.58 ± 2.55 years; height 179.9 ± 5.6 cm; weight 75.7 ± 7.5 Kg; body fat
32 $6.2 \pm 1.7\%$) were randomly assigned to CWI or PR following a fatiguing training session.
33 Objective measures included eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength,
34 hamstring flexibility and skin surface temperature (T_{sk}). Subjective measures included overall
35 wellbeing. Data were collected at match day+3, immediately post-training, immediately post-
36 intervention and 24hrs post-intervention. Physiological, biomechanical and psychological
37 measures displayed significant main effects for timepoint for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} ,
38 overall wellbeing, sleep, fatigue, stress and group for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} and sleep
39 (groups combined). Group responses identified significant effects for timepoint for CWI and
40 PR, for eccentric hamstring strength peak force, sleep, fatigue, and muscle soreness for CWI.
41 Significant differences were displayed for eccentric hamstring strength (immediately post-
42 intervention and immediately post-training) for peak force and between CWI and PR eccentric
43 hamstring strength immediately post-intervention. Linear regression for individual analysis
44 demonstrated greater recovery in peak torque and force for CWI. CWI may be useful to
45 ameliorate potential deficits in eccentric hamstring strength that optimise readiness to train/play
46 in elite football settings. Multiple measures and individual analysis of recovery responses
47 provides sports medicine and performance practitioners with direction on the application of
48 modified approaches to recovery strategies, within mid-competitive season training cycles.

49 **Keywords**

50 Cryotherapy, Recovery, Performance, Elite Football, Soccer.

51 **INTRODUCTION**

52 Football requires multi-directional activity where players are exposed to high eccentric muscle
53 loads, commonly associated with injury [1,2]. Deleterious effects of fatigue post-match have
54 been shown to continue for up to 47hrs, with, albeit individual minimal recovery exhibited
55 between 24-48hrs in elite populations [3]. Accordingly, the importance of optimum recovery
56 strategies that allow positive adaptation to competition, maximise performance and reduce the
57 probability of injury [4] is emphasised. The fitness fatigue model [5] and general adaptation
58 syndrome [6] both highlight the importance of recovery before the next competition
59 exposure. Insufficient recovery within this period can heighten injury risk and/or reduce
60 positive training effects [4]. Multifaceted in nature, recovery is a restorative process comprising
61 of physiological and psychological elements, relative to time [7]. Regenerative (physical) and
62 psychological recovery strategies with subcategories of modalities [7] and multifactorial
63 approaches are frequently applied in contemporary elite football settings [8].

64 Cold-water immersion (CWI) is a common recovery modality used within elite sport to reduce
65 symptoms of post-exercise fatigue [9-12]. Temperatures of CWI often represent between 10-
66 15°C and exposure durations of between 10-15 minutes [13]. Importantly, consideration must
67 be given to the rationale for its application [13]. Debate exists within literature with regards
68 to the benefits of immediate post training CWI [14,15]. Studies suggests deleterious or negative
69 effects of cooling such as CWI may mitigate adaptive responses gained through resistance
70 training particularly [11]. Therefore, types of training may be a factor to consider in achieving
71 the desired response to cooling.

72 Commonly in elite sports environments varying measures are utilised to inform decision-
73 making on a player's readiness to train/play. The combination of subjective and objective
74 measures is more likely to determine fatigue status in team-sport athletes, with single measures
75 insufficient in explaining fatigue status [16]. The literature examining the acute effects of CWI

76 does not consider these measures and focusses heavily on physiological measures that can be
77 affected by several factors. Decision-making around optimal recovery choice and application
78 in a practical environment should consider numerous factors including physiological,
79 biomechanical and psychological effects. Varying measures are utilised within football
80 environments, that help effectively monitor and quantify player readiness to train [17]. These
81 are often determined by the club budget and staff resources within the performance
82 department. Some performance metrics alongside psychometric data are previously quantified
83 [18], however the literature fails to synthesise multiple metrics that represent contemporary
84 performance markers relevant to elite sport.

85

86 Generally, reductions in perceived symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) in
87 sport are positively reported following the application of various cryotherapy modalities
88 [18,19], highlighting the support of cryotherapeutic applications to enhance physiological
89 recovery. Literature suggests CWI is superior to passive recovery (PR), in relation to reducing
90 muscle soreness [20]. Consensus fails to agree on optimal implementations of recovery
91 strategies with several variables influencing the best approach. Investigation into the effects of
92 CWI on functional performance are still warranted [21] particularly in elite populations.
93 Evidently, research into optimum periodisation of cooling applications such as CWI to
94 understand dose-response are important [9], simultaneous to investigations that compare CWI
95 to PR in applied sport settings to inform contemporary practice. The aim of the current study
96 was to explore the effects of CWI post fatiguing exercise on multiple performance parameters
97 in elite footballers, compared to PR during mid-competitive season.

98

99 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

100 The study was approved by the host university ethical committee. The professional football
101 club permitted the dissemination of anonymous data for publication. Twenty-four healthy, elite
102 male footballers took part (age:20.58±2.55years; height:179.9±5.6cm; weight:75.7±7.5kg)
103 providing written consent. Participants were defined as elite in the current study through
104 professional full-time footballer status, competing at national or international level and met
105 recommendations for defining elite athletes [22]. All quantification measures that players were
106 exposed to in the present study were regular measures taken within the club to monitor readiness
107 to train and play. Participants were excluded if they had a history of lower limb injury/surgery
108 or known neurological compromise to cold. Players were accustomed to all biomechanical
109 measures which are representative of regular parameters of performance measures taken at the
110 club throughout the season.

111

112 *Testing Protocol*

113 Testing protocol took place at the club's training facility corresponding with pre-determined
114 weekly training schedules collected mid-competitive season. Players were familiar with all
115 tests performed, wore normal training attire, refrained from caffeine intake, food, or exercise
116 outside of normal schedules prior to testing. Ambient temperature was monitored to identify
117 fluctuations in room temperature (21.0±0.8°C).

118

119 Objective measures included; eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength, skin
120 surface temperature (T_{sk}), hamstring flexibility and perception of wellbeing [23,24]. Baseline
121 data was collected on match day+3 pre-training, players then completed the training session.
122 Subsequent measures were taken immediately post-training, immediately post-intervention and
123 24hrs post-intervention (24hrsPI). Training was quantified utilising time-motion analysis
124 (Global Positioning System (GPS), Catapult ClearSky, Vector S7, Australia) measuring relative

125 mechanical load (PlayerLoad™; Catapult Innovations, Australia) and distance to ensure
126 standardisation of fatigue levels. Following training, players were randomised to Group 1
127 (CWI) or Group 2 (PR). Group 1 received an 11-minute exposure to CWI (RecoveryTub Solo),
128 and target temperature of 10°C [25] and CWI temperature ranges reported in the literature [13],
129 immersed up to sternum level. A digital multimeter (Voltcraft MT52, Wollerau, Switzerland)
130 monitored water temperature to ensure maintenance of the targeted temperature, with ice added
131 to maintain consistency [26]. Following CWI, immersed body parts were towel dried and dry
132 shorts provided [27]. Group 2 (PR) lay still in a semi-recumbent position on a plinth for the
133 same 11-minute period. Measures taken at 24hrsPI were completed at the same time as baseline
134 to account for circadian variation (Table 1).

135

136 ****Insert Table 1 Here****

137

138 *Physiological Measure (T_{sk})*

139 T_{sk} using Infrared Thermal Imaging (ThermoVision A40M, FLIR, Danderyd, Sweden) and
140 analysis (Thermacam Researcher V2.8, FLIR) followed Thermographic Imaging in Sports and
141 Exercise Medicine (TISEM) guidelines [28]. The camera was situated 134cm from the ground
142 perpendicular to the limb [29] with 0.97-0.98 emissivity settings. Images for adductors and
143 hamstrings bilaterally provided unilateral limb data for each region of interest combined to
144 provide an average (Table 2). Region of interest were determined by placement of thermally
145 inert markers, providing a framework for T_{sk} analysis [30] (hamstrings; adductors). Images of
146 adductors were taken with the player laying supine on a plinth placing their lower limb into an
147 externally rotated and flexed hip position, moving into prone to capture the hamstring region.
148 Three images were taken per region of interest per timepoint for analysis. Posterior thigh
149 markers were applied superiorly one-third from the ischial tuberosity to the lateral epicondyle

150 of the femur and inferiorly two-thirds from the lateral epicondyle of the femur to ischial
151 tuberosity. Central posterior thigh was determined by measure of thigh circumference, 50%
152 between ischial tuberosity and lateral epicondyle of the femur thigh marker. Markers to define
153 the adductor region for T_{sk} analysis were placed one third of the way superiorly from the medial
154 epicondyle of the femur and one third inferiorly from the ASIS, with thigh circumference
155 applied in a similar fashion to posterior thigh markers. Inert markers were placed 10% medially
156 and laterally and from the centre of the thigh to complete each region of interest.

157

158 *Biomechanical Measures (eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength,*
159 *hamstring flexibility)*

160 Bilateral eccentric hamstring strength was quantified using the Nordbord[®] and performed
161 following a previous protocol [31]. Knee position was recorded for each player to standardise
162 position at each timepoint. During the movement players were encouraged to execute maximal
163 effort through verbal instruction by gradually leaning forward, resisting the movement at the
164 slowest speed performing one set of three maximal repetitions [31,32]. Hands were crossed
165 over the chest with hips remaining in a neutral position [31]. Analyses of peak force and torque
166 (PkF/PkT) measures from all repetitions were recorded per timepoint.

167 Isometric adductor strength was measured via a Biofeedback Cuff (Donjoy Chattanooga
168 Stabilizer). Before each maximal effort, the biofeedback cuff was pre-inflated to 10 mm Hg
169 and placed between the femoral condyles. Players were instructed to squeeze as hard as
170 possible on each effort with a 15-second rest between each trial, and one-minute rest between
171 each 45° hip flexion test position [33] with three trials performed per timepoint. If any of the
172 following occurred during testing; head lifted off the plinth, hands moved away from the chest,
173 slippage of the pressure cuff, pushing through heels or feet, trials were considered invalid and
174 repeated [33].

175 Hamstring flexibility was quantified via the sit and reach test (Apollo Sit & Reach Box).
176 Players positioned themselves in a seated position with feet against the testing box, knees in
177 full extension. Players placed one hand over the other flexing forward as far as possible sliding
178 their fingers along the measuring board on the box [34]. One measure was taken per timepoint.

179

180 *Psychological Measures*

181 A self-reported psychometric questionnaire sensitive to the fluctuations of daily training load
182 [16,24] quantified fatigue, sleep quality, general muscle soreness, stress levels and mood on a
183 five-point scale [23,24], 5 being the most positive score and 1 the least, in increments of 1, with
184 one score reported per category per timepoint [23]. Perceived fatigue monitored with this scale
185 has been related to total distance covered at high intensity in elite football populations [24].

186

187 *Statistical Analysis*

188 Data are presented as mean±SD and 95% confidence limits. Statistical significance was set at
189 $p \leq 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (V26, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). A
190 univariate repeated-measures general linear model quantified main effects for all measures
191 across all timepoints for both groups. Significant main effects were explored using post-hoc
192 analysis with a Bonferonni and Wilcoxon signed-rank test correction. To assess residual
193 normality for each dependant variable, q-q plots were generated using stacked standardised
194 residuals. Scatterplots of the stacked unstandardized and standardised residuals were utilised
195 to assess error of variance associated with the residuals. Assumptions associated with the
196 statistical model were assessed to ensure model adequacy. Mauchly's test of sphericity were
197 completed for all dependent variables, with a Greenhouse Geisser correction applied if the test
198 was significant. Partial eta squared (η^2) values were calculated to estimate effect sizes for all
199 significant main effects and interactions. Partial eta squared was classified as small (0.01–

200 0.059), moderate (0.06-0.137), or large (>0.138). Individual response for each metric were
201 assessed utilising a linear regression model to determine recovery responses between timepoint
202 immediately-post training to immediately-post intervention; and immediately-post intervention
203 to 24hrsPI. Proportion of variance (R^2), the linear relationship between the measures at listed
204 timepoints (r) and significance of these relationships were identified for each metric.

205

206 RESULTS

207 Mean \pm SD training load quantified through GPS was comparable between groups
208 (CWI=67.4 \pm 6.1 m; PR=70.5 \pm 7.1 m), with total distance of 5862.4 \pm 1297.6 m and HSRD of
209 111.83 \pm 53.2 m. No significant differences were identified between training load for either
210 group across all metrics or anthropometric data ($p\geq 0.05$). All measures and percentage changes
211 compared to baseline are presented in Table 2.

212

213 ****Insert Table 2 here****

214 Overall Analysis

215 Overall analysis for physiological, biomechanical and psychological measures reported
216 significant main effects for time and group, for Adductor T_{sk} (Timepoint: $F=102.0$, $p<0.001$,
217 $\eta^2=0.810$; Group: $F=101.5$, $p=0.001$, $\eta^2=0.585$), Hamstring T_{sk} (Timepoint: $F=916.0$, $p<0.001$,
218 $\eta^2=0.947$; Group: $F=1171.5$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.942$), PkT (Timepoint: $F=2.41$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.48$;
219 Group: $F=25.43$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.150$; Side: $F=9.84$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.64$), and PkF
220 (Timepoint: $F=2.41$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.05$; Group: $F=25.43$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.15$; Side: $F=9.84$,
221 $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.64$).

222

223 *Biomechanical Measures (eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength,*
224 *hamstring flexibility)*

225 Isometric adductor strength and hamstring flexibility measures reported no significant effects
226 of group (Isometric adductor strength: $F=1.471$, $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.020$; hamstring
227 flexibility: $F=0.785$, $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.11$) or timepoint (Isometric adductor strength: $F=0.708$,
228 $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.029$; hamstring flexibility: $F=0.31$, $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.49$).

229

230 *Psychological Measures*

231 Perceptual recovery displayed significant effects of time for sleep, fatigue and stress
232 (Sleep: $F=10.00$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.43$; Fatigue: $F=6.42$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.33$; Stress: $F=3.03$,
233 $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=1.86$), with sleep displaying a significant effect of group ($F=10.00$, $p=0.003$,
234 $\eta^2=0.20$). No significant effects for time or group were identified for muscle soreness or mood
235 (Muscle soreness: Time: $F=2.34$, $p=0.08$, $\eta^2=0.150$; Group: $F=0.98$, $p=0.33$, $\eta^2=0.24$; Mood:
236 Time: $F=0.417$, $p=0.74$, $\eta^2=0.03$; Group: $F=4.00$, $p=0.52$, $\eta^2=0.91$). No significant effects for
237 group were identified for fatigue or stress (Fatigue: $F=0.000$, $p=1.00$, $\eta^2=0.00$; Stress: $F=1.47$,
238 $p=0.23$, $\eta^2=0.04$).

239 Significant interactions were displayed between group \times timepoint for T_{sk} , sleep, fatigue and
240 stress (Sleep: $F=10.0$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.43$; Fatigue: $F=5.19$, $p=0.004$, $\eta^2=0.28$; Stress: $F=5.24$,
241 $p=0.04$, $\eta^2=0.282$). No other significant interactions were identified between
242 group/timepoint/side for metrics taken ($p>0.05$). Collapsing of biomechanical and
243 psychological data displayed significant effects for timepoint for CWI for fatigue, muscle
244 soreness, sleep and PkF (Fatigue: $F=7.25$, $p=0.002$, $\eta^2=0.521$; Muscle soreness: $F=2.69$,
245 $p=0.02$, $\eta^2=0.512$; Sleep: $F=7.45$, $p=0.002$, $\eta^2=0.565$; PkF: $F=3.74$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.049$). No
246 other significant differences were detected between timepoints for all other metrics. For PR,

247 significant effects for timepoint were reported for fatigue, sleep, stress, PkF and PkT
248 (Fatigue: $F=5.135$, $p=0.009$, $\eta^2=0.435$; Sleep: $F=10.00$, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.600$; Stress: $F=5.287$,
249 $p=0.008$, $\eta^2=0.442$; PkF: $F=10.66$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.087$; PkT: $F=1.636$, $p<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.064$), but
250 not for muscle soreness, mood, isometric adductor strength or hamstring flexibility (Muscle
251 soreness: $F=2.098$, $p=0.113$, $\eta^2=0.239$; Mood: $F=0.143$, $p=0.933$, $\eta^2=0.021$; Isometric
252 adductor strength: $F=0.291$, $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.024$; hamstring flexibility= 0.50 , $p>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.004$).
253 Significant effects for PkT and PkF for side (PkT: $F=8.880$, $p=0.004$, $\eta^2=0.110$; PkF: $F=17.84$,
254 $p<0.001$, $\eta^2=0.199$) were reported. No significant interactions were identified for either group
255 between timepoint or side ($p>0.05$).

256 Collapse of the data into CWI and PR displayed significant T_{sk} reductions for hamstring and
257 adductor regions following CWI between immediately-post intervention, immediately-post
258 training and baseline ($p\leq 0.001$). No significant differences were displayed across hamstring or
259 adductor regions of interest when comparing all timepoints for PR ($p\geq 0.05$). No significant
260 differences between any timepoints for PkT, Isometric adductor strength or hamstring
261 flexibility ($p\geq 0.05$) for either group were reported. For PR, significant differences were
262 displayed between baseline and immediately-post training ($p=0.023$) and intervention ($p=0.03$)
263 timepoints for PkF. A significant difference was reported when comparing CWI to PR at
264 immediately-post intervention ($p\leq 0.001$). No significant changes in T_{sk} were reported for any
265 other timepoint between groups.

266 Linear regression modelling for individual responses to training are displayed for eccentric
267 hamstring strength (PkT, PkF) (Figure 1), and isometric adductor strength, hamstring
268 flexibility, overall wellbeing scores and T_{sk} (Figure 2). Significance, R and R^2 values are
269 represented in Table 2.

270

271 ***Insert Figure 1 Here***

272 ***Insert Figure 2 Here***

273

274 **DISCUSSION**

275 The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of CWI compared to PR on readiness to train
276 measures, within an elite population of male footballers following a football specific fatiguing
277 training session during mid-competitive season. Previously only a handful of components that
278 quantify readiness to train are examined, limiting interpretation and the ability to draw
279 agreement on optimal recovery methods, effect of immediate application or implementation of
280 them in an elite performance environment. Through a triad of markers commonly employed
281 within an elite sport setting the present study quantified biomechanical, physiological and
282 psychological factors with analysis of the overall data displaying significant main effects for
283 timepoints for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} , overall wellbeing, sleep, fatigue and stress.
284 Further significant main effects of group were identified for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk}
285 and sleep. Individual group response identified significant effects for timepoint in both groups
286 for PkF, sleep and fatigue, with CWI displaying significant effects of muscle soreness. No
287 effects were identified for isometric adductor strength or hamstring flexibility. Interestingly,
288 significant differences were displayed for eccentric hamstring strength (PkF) at immediately-
289 post training and immediately-post intervention, with significant differences displayed between
290 CWI and PR eccentric hamstring strength at immediately-post intervention. It is important to
291 note these findings were based on group averages. Therefore, additional linear regression
292 modelling of % change to baseline scores were completed. Important considerations in relation
293 to individual analysis and magnitude of linear regression for each measure demonstrated greater
294 recovery in PkF, PkT, for CWI and changes in isometric adductor strength and hamstring

295 flexibility for PR between immediately-post training to 24hrsPI. For effective transfer of
296 knowledge into practice this style of analysis was important to illustrate individual response.
297 Findings have implications on decision-making utilising CWI as a recovery strategy,
298 individualisation of approach and ideal periodisation of this modality compared to PR in an
299 elite football setting.

300 Significant reductions in T_{sk} occurred after CWI exposure, although not meeting therapeutic
301 range (10-15°C) considered in literature to induce several physiological effects [35]. CWI was
302 standardised in respect to current dose recommendations and target water temperatures
303 [13,25,36]. Average T_{sk} for hamstrings (16.9±1.8°C) and adductors (17.61±.4°C) respectively
304 are in line with previous CWI exposures of similar duration and modality temperatures [37].
305 Overall analysis indicated reductions in T_{sk} appeared to influence biomechanical recovery
306 outputs with trends in eccentric hamstring strength demonstrating larger continued declines
307 caused by fatigue following PR compared to CWI. When considering individual response,
308 linear regression analysis displayed greater recovery for timepoints immediately-post
309 intervention-24hrsPI for eccentric hamstring strength metrics for CWI exposure (CWI: $r=0.81-$
310 0.95 ; PR: $r=0.50-0.82$). Percentage change between timepoints compared to baseline data
311 represented in Figure 2. More positive influences on eccentric hamstring strength with a
312 consistently stronger individual response noted for CWI compared to individual analysis for
313 PR where metrics for eccentric hamstring strength responded in a haphazard fashion.

314 It is reported that cooling negatively affects strength output [29]. The current study presented
315 contrasting findings in relation to strength measures, highlighting contemporary issues for
316 decision-making within performance departments. CWI group reduces further detrimental
317 declines in eccentric hamstring strength following a football specific training session [3], with
318 CWI exposure displaying higher strength output compared to PR, up to 24hrsPI. Contrastingly
319 isometric adductor strength and hamstring flexibility function for both groups displayed no

320 significant change, indicating no effect of CWI exposure on these parameters. Although,
321 analysis of the data trends associated with these measures is interesting. CWI exposure resulted
322 in a rapid return to baseline post intervention, however this was not displayed for PR. Further
323 analysis of individual response between timepoints immediately-post intervention-24hrsPI
324 supported this with further improvements detected following CWI (CWI: $r=0.50$; PR: $r=0.30$).
325 Reduced decrements to isometric adductor strength following fatigue reveals a positive
326 response to CWI seen in previous literature [38], albeit in different muscle groups. Findings in
327 relation to strength parameters highlighted in this body of work can be associated with the
328 physiological mechanisms caused by cooling [38,39], although these mechanisms are
329 speculative within the limitations of the current study as simultaneous indices of muscular
330 inflammation were not attained.

331 Although it may be assumed that attainment of lower T_{sk} may instigate better outcomes in
332 recovery responses, Vieira et al [26] reported that warmer CWI temperatures (15°C) produced
333 superior benefits in performance recovery compared to cooler CWI (5°C) temperatures despite
334 lower T_{sk} reported in the group exposed to 5°C CWI. Therefore, the recommendations to meet
335 T_{sk} ranges of between 10-15°C may appear more fitting for acute injury management rather than
336 recovery, as the detrimental effects of fatigue on specific biomechanical measures (eccentric
337 hamstring strength) were ameliorated through CWI in the current study, despite this. Though
338 it is acknowledged that CWI is best avoided immediately following resistance training [13],
339 current findings agree with the suggestion by Ihsan et al [13] that there is a place for CWI in
340 recovery following other types of training. This may be during mid-competitive season where
341 fixture congestion applies enhanced pressure on players during training both physically and
342 mentally. Importantly the contrasting findings with regards quantifying strength output
343 highlight the importance of relating measures to the functional demands placed on the athlete
344 when performing.

345 Variance within the physical outputs of athletes could be associated with the players perception
346 of their current physical status post fatigue exposure or physical stress of the test. Psychological
347 overall wellbeing scores suggested accumulative scores of the five categories were maintained
348 for CWI, whereas following PR, scores worsened significantly at the same timepoint.
349 Interestingly at 24hrsPI overall wellbeing scores significantly improved following PR above
350 baseline, comparatively following CWI a decline to below baseline was displayed. The
351 effectiveness of CWI to improve perceptual recovery is well documented [38], and current
352 results agree in terms of an immediate increase in overall wellbeing scores post CWI response.
353 The inability however to maintain or return overall wellbeing scores at 24hrsPI following CWI
354 is interesting and may reflect that although a ‘halt’ on the effects of further biomechanical
355 fatigue (eccentric hamstring strength) was achieved, perhaps one exposure of CWI fails to
356 impact wellbeing continuously to the point of measurement at 24hrsPI. It would be wise to
357 consider that detrimental functional deficits of eccentric hamstring strength are reported to last
358 up to 40-47hrs post-fatigue [3], and at this timepoint eccentric hamstring strength had not
359 returned to baseline measures in the current study, therefore impacting overall wellbeing scores.
360 This may explain CWI overall wellbeing results, but not PR responses. Improvements in
361 overall wellbeing scores at 24hrsPI for PR may be associated with the increase noted in
362 biomechanical measures of hamstring flexibility. Psychological response mechanisms to CWI
363 may be dependent on dose i.e. number of exposures or representative of a placebo effect.
364 Through linear regression analysis greater change for PR between timepoints immediately-post
365 intervention-24hrsPI for overall wellbeing was reported (CWI: $r=0.13$; PR: $r=0.78$) (Table 2).
366 Collectively, observation of eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength,
367 hamstring flexibility and overall wellbeing results suggest that group analysis may not
368 optimally identify nor account for individual responses, which consequently indicate some
369 measures are more advantageous to the practitioner than others in terms expediency. It may be

370 inappropriate to employ a standardised approach of recovery strategies across a whole squad
371 based on these directives.

372 To facilitate optimal recovery strategies, a single battery of tests is not yet recognised in practice
373 that would best inform optimal individualised approaches for readiness to train/play. We agree
374 that the method of applying multiple performance measures to quantify fatigue and intervention
375 response is a resourceful approach providing an inclusive picture of the effects of recovery
376 modalities across one cohort. Current findings advocate the application of multiple components
377 of testing aligning to the recommendations in other literature [17]. This approach better
378 expedites the understanding around optimal strategies to improve readiness for training/play.
379 That said, not all tests best represent ‘readiness to train’ and consideration needs to be given to
380 the choice of performance measure most beneficial to provide applied data that supports the
381 ability to modify tailored recovery strategies in elite performance settings. Variables that
382 impact dose-response in terms of multiple exposures, duration of cooling and temperature of
383 CWI should be evaluated within practical settings, utilising appropriate fatigue monitoring
384 measures with the intention to develop decision-making of sports medicine and performance
385 practitioners for injury risk reduction and recovery strategies.

386 Some evidence is supportive in the application of cooling such as CWI, to enhance performance
387 post-competitive fixture fatigue [12,14], conversely agreement over the appropriate window to
388 expose players to this modality is debateable. In many elite performance settings decision-
389 making tools based around fitness-fatigue models whereby an ideal relationship between
390 training and performance is developed [40] instigates a recovery phase which may include
391 exposure to such modalities as CWI. It is important to note that participants were exposed to
392 football specific training and quantified in the current study, not resistance training,
393 highlighting the potential for different outcomes in performance response following CWI.
394 Collectively findings may dictate when CWI is applied but insufficient evidence is available

395 that considers periodisation around such schedules or variables that affect decision-making of
396 this kind. In contemplation of the current results, whereby positive effects on some
397 biomechanical parameters were seen after exposure to CWI (eccentric hamstring strength) and
398 others after PR (hamstring flexibility), and type of training, future research may consider
399 investigating the combination of both CWI followed by a window of PR, or multiple exposures
400 of both interventions sequentially to develop optimal periodisation of CWI. This supports our
401 earlier recommendations based on the current findings, of tailoring recovery strategies to the
402 individual requirements of the player to optimise subsequent performances.

403

404 Whilst current findings provide insight for sports medicine and performance practitioners as to
405 the effects of within-season exposure to CWI following fatiguing exercise on multi-measures
406 of performance, there are limitations to this study which the authors recognise. It is impossible
407 to blind players to the conditions (CWI/PR), a common acknowledgement within applied
408 cryotherapy research, although investigators were blinded. Players had used CWI previously
409 although were not accustomed to regular exposure within a scheduled recovery session. A
410 follow up of measures would have been beneficial at up to 48hrs representative of post-match
411 fatigue effects [3] and to that effect we recommend further applied investigations on the
412 application of CWI in elite sport environments.

413

414 **CONCLUSION**

415 Despite conflicting evidence regarding the effectiveness of CWI and PR, current findings
416 suggest CWI may be useful to ameliorate potential deficits in eccentric hamstring strength that
417 may optimise readiness to train/play in consideration of congested levels of exposure to
418 fatiguing exercise during mid-competitive football seasons. A focus on individual response

419 should be observed in future studies with judgement of cryotherapy effectiveness made through
420 a battery of measures to determine factors that affect choice and periodisation of recovery
421 strategies, applicable to a practical setting with individual athlete approaches in mind.
422 Practitioners should be mindful of which measures best define functional performance and
423 typical stresses which the athlete is exposed with an emphasis of psychological impacts on
424 biomechanical measures. Variable responses to functional performance parameters indicate the
425 need for further investigation of multiple CWI exposures over longer periods to account for the
426 known temporal patterns of fatigue reported for hamstring function in elite football populations.
427 Optimal periodisation of recovery strategies in response to fatigue on an individualised basis
428 requires the implementation of appropriate methods of monitoring and analysis which may
429 positively influence performance and readiness to train/play in elite performance settings.

430

431 **Key Points Summary:**

- 432 • Cold water immersion and passive recovery are common recovery modalities used
433 within elite sport to reduce symptoms of post-exercise fatigue.
- 434 • Several performance indicators are used in sport to determine readiness to train/play yet
435 the effects of recovery strategies on multi-measures are limited aiding confusion around
436 optimal protocols for cold water immersion or passive recovery.
- 437 • Our results suggest cold water immersion may be useful to ameliorate potential deficits
438 in eccentric hamstring strength that optimise readiness to train/play in elite football
439 settings.
- 440 • We suggest that multi-measures and individual analysis of recovery responses provide
441 sports medicine and performance practitioners with direction on recovery strategies
442 within mid-competitive season training cycles.

443

444 **REFERENCES**

- 445 1. Greig M. The influence of soccer-specific fatigue on peak isokinetic torque production
446 of the knee flexors and extensors. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;36(7):1403-1409.
- 447 2. Small K, McNaughton LR, Greig M, Lovell R. The effects of multidirectional soccer-
448 specific fatigue on markers of hamstring injury risk. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2009;13(1):120-
449 125.
- 450 3. Rhodes D, McNaughton L, Greig M. The temporal pattern of recovery in eccentric
451 hamstring strength post-soccer specific fatigue. *Res Sports Med.* 2018;27(3):339-350.
- 452 4. Abaïdia A-E, Dupont G. Recovery Strategies for football players. *Swiss Sports Ex Med.*
453 2018;66(4):28-36.
- 454 5. Bannister EW. Modelling elite athletic performance. In: JD Mac-Dougall, HA Wenger,
455 and HJ Green, editors. *Physiological Testing of the High-Performance Athlete.*
456 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. pp. 403-424.
- 457 6. Selye H. *The Stress of Life.* New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.
- 458 7. Kellman M, Bertollo M, Bosquest L, Brink M, Coutts AJ, Duffield R, Erlacher D,
459 Halson SL, Hecksteden A, Heidari J, Kallus KW, Meeusen R, Mujika I, Robazza C,
460 Skorski S, Venter R, Beckmann J. Recovery and Performance in Sport: Consensus
461 Statement. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2018;13(2):240-245.
- 462 8. Sawczuk T, Jones B, Scantlebury S, Till K. Relationships between training load, sleep
463 duration, and daily well-being and recovery measures in young athletes. *Pediatric Ex*
464 *Sci.* 2018;30(3):345-352.
- 465 9. Allan R, Mawhinney C. Is the ice bath finally melting? Cold water immersion is not
466 greater than active recovery upon local and systemic inflammatory cellular stress in
467 humans. *J Physiol.* 2017;595(6):1-2.

- 468 10. Cross R, Siegler J, Marshall P, and Lovell R. Scheduling of training and recovery during
469 the in-season weekly micro-cycle: Insights from team sport practitioners. *Eur J Sport*
470 *Sci.* 2019;19(10):1287-1296.
- 471 11. Peake JM. Independent, corroborating evidence continues to accumulate that post-
472 exercise cooling diminishes muscle adaptations to strength training. *J Physiol.*
473 2020;598(4):625-626.
- 474 12. Nédélec M, McCall A, Carling, Legall, F, Berthoin S DuPont G. Recovery in soccer.
475 Part 2 – Recovery Strategies. *Sports Med.* 2013;43(1):9-22.
- 476 13. Ihsan M, Abbiss CR, Gregson W, Allan R. Warming to the ice bath: Don't go cool on
477 cold water immersion just yet! *Temperature.* 2020;595(1):7413-7426.
- 478 14. Ascensão A, Leite M, Rebelo AN, Magalhães S, Magalhães. Effects of cold-water
479 immersion on the recovery of physical performance and muscle damage following a
480 one-off soccer match. *J Sports Sci.* 2011;29(3):217-225.
- 481 15. Hohenauer E, Taeymans J, Baeyens JP, Clarys P, Clijsen R. The effect of post-exercise
482 cryotherapy on recovery characteristics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS*
483 *One.* 2015;10(9):1-22.
- 484 16. Thorpe RT, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring fatigue status in elite team-
485 sport athletes: Implications for practice. *Int J Sports Physiol Per.* 2017;12(12):27-34.
- 486 17. Christmas BCR, Taylor L, Thornton HR, Murray AS, Stark G. External training loads
487 and smartphone-derived heart rate variability indicate readiness to train in elite soccer.
488 *Int J Performance Analysis Sport.* 2019;19(2):143-152.
- 489 18. Elias GP, Wyckelsma VL, Varley MC, McKenna MJ, Aughey RJ. Effectiveness of
490 water immersion on post-match recovery in elite professional footballers. *Int J Sports*
491 *Phys Perform.* 2013;8(3):243-253.

- 492 19. Kwiecien SY, McHugh MP and Howatson G. Don't lose your cool with cryotherapy:
493 the application of phase change material for prolonged cooling in athletic recovery and
494 beyond. *Front. Sports Act. Living.* 2020;2(118):1-12.
- 495 20. Bleakley C, McDonough S, Gardner E, Baxter GD, Hopkins JTY and Davison GW.
496 Cold-water immersion (cryotherapy) for preventing and treating muscle soreness after
497 exercise. *Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews.* 2012;130(5):15.
- 498 21. Kalli K, Fousekis K. The effects of cryotherapy on athletes muscle strength, flexibility,
499 and neuromuscular control: A systematic review of the literature. *J Bodywork*
500 *Movement Therapies.* 2019;24(2):1-14.
- 501 22. Swann C, Moran A, Piggott D. Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of expert
502 performance in sport psychology. *Psych Sport Ex.* 2015;16(1):3-14.
- 503 23. McLean BD, Coutts AJ, Kelly V, McGuigan MR, Cormack SJ. Neuromuscular,
504 endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length between-match
505 microcycles in professional rugby league players. *Int J Sports Physiology Per.*
506 2010;5(3):367-383.
- 507 24. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Tracking
508 monitoring fatigue status across in-season training weeks in elite soccer players. *Int J*
509 *Sports Physiol Perf.* 2016;11(7):947-952.
- 510 25. Vromans BA, Thorpe RT, Viroux PJ, Tiemessen IJ. Cold water immersion settings for
511 reducing muscle tissue temperature: a linear dose-response relationship. *J Sports Med*
512 *Phys Fit.* 2019;59(11):1861-1869.
- 513 26. Vieira A, Siqueira AF, Ferreira-Junior JB, do Carmo J, Durigan JLQ, Bottaro M. The
514 effect of water temperature during cold-water immersion on recovery from exercise-
515 induced muscle damage. *Int J Sports Med.* 2016;37(12):937-943.

- 516 27. Mawhinney C, Low DA, Jones H, Green DJ, Costello JT, Gregson W. Cold-water
517 mediates greater reductions in limb blood flow than whole body cryotherapy. *Med Sci*
518 *Sports Exerc.* 2017;49(6):1252-1260.
- 519 28. Moreira DG, Costello JT, Brito CJ., et al. Thermographic imaging in sports and exercise
520 medicine: a Delphi study and consensus statement on the measurement of human skin
521 temperature. *J Therm Bio.* 2017;69(0):155-162.
- 522 29. Alexander J, Rhodes D. Temporal Patterns of Knee-Extensor Isokinetic Torque
523 Strength in Male and Female Athletes following Comparison of Anterior Thigh and
524 Knee Cooling over a Rewarming Period. *J Sports Rehab.* 2019;29(6):1-7.
- 525 30. Hardaker N, Moss AD, Richards J, Jarvis S, McEwan I, Selfe J. The relationship
526 between skin surface temperature measured via non-contact thermal imaging and
527 intramuscular temperature of the rectus femoris muscle. *Thermol Int.* 2007;17(2):45-
528 50.
- 529 31. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Short
530 biceps femoris fascicles and eccentric knee flexor weakness increase the risk of
531 hamstring injury in elite football (soccer): a prospective cohort study. *Br J Sports Med.*
532 2015;50(24):1524-1535.
- 533 32. Buchheit M, Cholley Y, Nagel M, and Poulos N. The effect of body mass on eccentric
534 knee-flexor strength assessed with an instrumented Nordic hamstring device (Nordbord)
535 in football players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perf.* 2016;11(6):721-726.
- 536 33. Mehta KH, Parmar SS, Sorani DM, Rathod SR. Normative values of strength of hip
537 adductors in runners assessed by using pressure biofeedback unit. *NJIRM.*
538 2019;10(6):1-10.

- 539 34. Gkrilias PD, Tsepis EM, Fousekis KA. The effects of hamstring' cooling and
540 cryostretching on sit and reach flexibility test performance in healthy young adults. Br
541 J Med Res. 2017;19(6):1-11.
- 542 35. Kennet J, Hardaker N, Hobbs S, et al. Cooling efficiency of 4 common cryotherapeutic
543 agents. J Athl Train. 2007;42(3):343-348.
- 544 36. Jinnah AH, Lu TD, Mendias C, Freehill M. Cryotherapy duration is critical in short-
545 term recovery of athletes: a systematic review. J ISAKOS. 2019;4(0):131-136.
- 546 37. Hohenauer E, Costello JT, Stoop R, Küng UM, Clarys P, Deliens T, and Clijsen R.
547 Cold-water or partial-body cryotherapy? Comparison of physiological responses and
548 recovery following muscle damage. Scan J Med Sci Sports. 2018;28(3):1252-1262.
- 549 38. Ingram J, Dawson B, Goodman C, Wallman KM, and Beilby J. Effects of water
550 immersion methods on post-exercise recovery from simulated team sport exercise. J Sci
551 Med Sport. 2009;12(3):417-421.
- 552 39. Rowsell GJ, Coutts AJ, Reaburn P, et al. Effect of post-match cold-water immersion on
553 subsequent match running performance in junior soccer players during tournament play.
554 J Sports Sci. 2011;29(1):1-6.
- 555 40. Ludwig M, Asteroth A, Rasche C, and Pfeiffer M. Including the past: Performance
556 modelling using a preload concept by means of the fitness-fatigue model. Int J Comp
557 Sci Sport. 2019;18(1):115-134.

558

559 **Figure Captions**

560 **Figure 1.** Linear regression demonstrating % change for eccentric hamstring strength (P_{kT} and
561 P_{kF}), left and right limbs between immediately-post training to immediately-post intervention
562 and immediately-post intervention to 24hrsPI for CWI group and PR group.

563

564 **Figure 2.** Linear regression demonstrating % change for isometric adductor strength, hamstring
565 flexibility, overall wellbeing scores and T_{sk} between immediately-post training to immediately-
566 post intervention, and immediately-post intervention to 24hrsPI, for CWI and PR groups.

567

568 **Table Captions**

569 **Table 1.** Testing protocol.

570 **Table 2.** Physiological, biomechanical and psychological scores for all groups across all
571 timepoints (mean \pm SD) with significance, R, and R^2 values for CWI and PR following linear
572 regression analysis.