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Abstract

**Background:** Rising injury rates within football require further understanding of aetiological risk factors associated with lower limb injury.

**Aim:** The aim of the present study was to examine the temporal pattern of recovery of directional dynamic stability measures post-football specific fatigue.

**Methods:** Eighteen male elite footballers completed baseline assessments of directional dynamic stability measures (Overall Stability Index (OSI); Anterior-Posterior stability (A-P); Medial-Lateral Stability (M-L) on level 1 of the Biodex Stability System (BSS). Post SAFT® measures were repeated immediately, +24hrs, +48hrs and +72hrs. Main effects for recovery time and direction of stability were supplemented by regression modelling to describe the temporal pattern of recovery.

**Results:** Significant main effects for time were identified for all directions of stability (OSI, A-P and M-L) up to +48 hrs post exercise ($p \leq 0.05$). The quadratic pattern to temporal recovery highlights a minima of 37.55 - 38.67hrs and maxima of 75.09 – 77.33hrs. Additionally, a main effect for direction of stability was observed, with significant differences identified between A-P and M-L stability at all timepoints ($p \leq 0.001$).

**Conclusions:** Reductions in directional dynamic stability +48hrs post fatigue highlight implications for training design, recovery strategies and injury management for performance practitioners. Interestingly, A-P stability has been highlighted as being significantly reduced compared to M-L stability at all time points, regardless of the fatigue exposure. Practitioners should consider the reduction of stability in this plane in relation to common mechanisms of injury in the knee to inform injury risk reduction strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Evidence highlights proprioception and fatigue as two key aetiological risk factors associated with lower limb injury.\textsuperscript{1,2,3} The operational definition of proprioception is the body’s ability to sense movements within joints and to have a knowledge of where these joints are in relation to space.\textsuperscript{4} Interpretation and quantification can cause confusion amongst applied practitioners, as measures, tests and exercise prescription relate to the effected output and do not quantify the physiological process.\textsuperscript{5,6} Although it is accepted that the effected output relates to how efficient this neuromuscular process is, future evidence requires clarity on what is being measured to inform injury risk reduction strategies. Debate exists with regards to the effect of fatigue on proprioceptive ability with contrasting views of whether it has any effect at all.\textsuperscript{7} Within this present body of work the extent of fatigue and type of training is not well described. Furthermore, variation of the quantification of proprioceptive output exists between studies in terms of joint position sense, balance or dynamic stabilisation.\textsuperscript{2,7,8} Thus, drawing varying conclusions in response to a fatigue exposure and reasons reduction in performance. Arguably, when relating these findings to injury risk, the effected output is often the focus, relating strongly to dynamic stability responses.

Dynamic stability can be quantified through various subjective or objective means, such as single leg landing, single leg-hop and hold, Y-balance, single leg stand; to name a few.\textsuperscript{3,1,4,9} Commonly when objectively quantifying dynamic stability, literature has focussed on determining an overall stability index (OSI) and refrained from deeper discussion surrounding directional stability.\textsuperscript{7,10} Further understanding of directional dynamic stability response (DDS) may provide practitioners with further insight for conditioning and injury risk reduction strategies. Dynamic stabilisation of the lower limb is affected by muscle response, and as such, poor muscle response may increase injury risk.\textsuperscript{11} Movement patterns performed in football are multi-planar and it is not unreasonable to suggest directional output is important to observe and quantify.

Eccentric loading experienced during game play during such movements is reported to be the most damaging type of muscle contraction that an athlete can be exposed to, resulting in potential detrimental effects on strength.\textsuperscript{12,13,14} Consequently, exposure to exhaustive eccentric loading may result in reductions in dynamic stability performance,\textsuperscript{2,3} demonstrating the influence of muscle response on dynamic stabilisation.\textsuperscript{15} Conclusions drawn from the literature suggest that the fatiguing nature of eccentric loading results in an inhibition of the intrafusal fibres of the muscle spindles and golgi tendon organs (GTO).\textsuperscript{16,17} This inhibition of the neuromuscular pathway may affect strength response or result in an electromechanical delay (EMD) in the neuromuscular pathway, thus impeding dynamic stability output.\textsuperscript{18} Either response would result in increased injury risk, due to exposure of the joint to increased loading or abnormal movement patterns. Several studies acknowledge the effect of fatigue on eccentric
strength of the hamstring, with the consensus that eccentric strength reduces significantly.\textsuperscript{12,13,14} It is suggested that the implications of this are wider. During functional performance the hamstring is a key muscle in stabilisation of the knee, most notably anterior translation and rotation.\textsuperscript{19,20} Consequently, these two movement patterns, through differing planes, are largely associated with anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury.\textsuperscript{6,21,22} Consequently, implications may also be observed inferiorly to the knee, potentially affecting the ankle joint control mechanisms. Failure to identify the effect of fatigue on directional dynamic stability does not provide the practitioner with enough detail to inform injury risk reduction strategies in the lower limb.

Reduced dynamic stability has been associated with common lower limb injuries often seen in elite footballers, predominantly associated with the ankle (lateral ankle sprains) and knee (ACL).\textsuperscript{21, 23} Interestingly, current evidence has reported a large increase in the number of ACL injuries sustained within elite male footballers and the detrimental effect these injuries can have on a player’s career.\textsuperscript{19,22,23} Both lateral ankle sprains and knee injuries are associated with multi-planar movements when the injury is sustained.\textsuperscript{22,23,24} Analysis of an athlete’s functional directional dynamic stability is essential for determining injury risk.\textsuperscript{9} Evidence surrounding the fatigue effect on dynamic stabilisation focusses primarily on an immediate response\textsuperscript{1,5} and falls short of successfully analysing the resultant temporal pattern. This approach fails to consider the context of contemporary elite football, where demand is placed on frequency and subsequent congestion of fixtures and training. Literature clearly highlights the detrimental effect of fixture congestion on injury.\textsuperscript{25,26} Subsequently further identification of the temporal pattern of directional dynamic stabilisation post-football specific fatigue, would inform training design and injury risk reduction strategies. Therefore, the aim of the present study is to quantify the temporal pattern of recovery in directional dynamic stabilisation of elite footballers for 72 hrs post a simulated specific fatigue protocol.

\textbf{METHODS}

\textit{Participants}

From an available squad of twenty-four, eighteen elite male football players took part (age: 22.94±4.57 years, height: 185.3±4.2 cm; body mass: 75.91±6.38 kg). A minimum sample size was based on sixteen players who met the inclusion / exclusion criteria of; no history of previous lower limb injury in the last 6 months and highlighted by the clubs medical team as having no mechanical or functional instability in the knee or ankle at time of testing.\textsuperscript{9} Players included were also free from systemic or vestibular disorders known to impair cutaneous sensation of balance.\textsuperscript{7} In total, eight players were excluded from partaking in the study due to: injury (n=2), playing...
position (goalkeepers’ n=3), unavailable due to being on loan to another club (n=3). All players provided written informed consent in accordance with Department and Faculty Research Ethics committees at the host University (SPA-REC-2014-334), and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013).

Experimental Design

Players completed a familiarisation trial 7 days prior to testing to negate potential learning effects, which included the football Aerobic Field Test (SAFT90) protocol and the directional dynamic stability (DDS) testing on the BSS (Medical Systems, Shirley, NY). Subsequently, the testing session also included elements of the SAFT90 as part of the pre-exercise warm-up, and trial repetitions of the DDS testing on the BSS. All testing was completed between 13:00 and 17:00 hrs to account for the effects of circadian rhythm and in accordance with regular competition times. All trials were completed on the dominant lower limb, identified by their favoured kicking foot, based on non-contact musculoskeletal injury epidemiology.

All testing was completed on the same BSS at testing level 1. The BSS (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY) is an unstable platform that can tilt up to 20° in any direction, with the stability of the platform determined by the level by which it is set ranging from 1 (most unstable) to 12 (most stable). Research has shown that the most appropriate level for an elite footballer is level 1, with ICC repeatability scores indicated to be 0.85. Players were asked to complete 10 minutes of the SAFT90 protocol as a warm up followed by directed dynamic stretching focussed on the quadriceps, hamstrings, gluteal muscles and gastrocnemius. The SAFT90 was utilised within the study as it is a free running protocol that replicates the physiological and mechanical demands experienced during game play. Over a 20m distance, players move through a series of cones and poles, alternating between side steps, backwards running, accelerations and decelerations with varying intensities, which are prompted by audio cues. The 15-min activity profile is repeated six times to formulate the 90 mins, with players having a 15-min half time break, where they are directed to sit, as they would in normal game play. The activity profile is performed in a randomised and intermittent fashion and incorporates 1269 changes in speed and 1350 changes in direction over a 90-min period.

Pre-exercise, all players completed the BSS testing, which comprised of 3 trials of 20-seconds completed on stability level 1. Once completed metrics of Overall Stability Index (OSI), Anterior-Posterior (A-P) and Medial-Lateral (M-L) were observed and noted. These metrics were calculated by the BSS software and based on the amount of tilt in degrees of the foot platform during the trial. A low index score indicated high stability and high score a low level of stability. All testing on the BSS was
completed barefoot, due to the effect footwear can have on kinematics of the foot and muscle activity in the lower limb. The BSS was setup in accordance with previous literature. They then stood on the platform in full extension with their dominant limb with their foot in the centre of the platform. The feedback screen was set at eye level and the players were asked to look at the screen, this was set as such to avoid any unwanted head movement and avoid vestibular distraction. Once set the players were then asked to adjust their standing foot to a comfortable position, while the marker on the feedback screen maintained a central position, once done and comfortable the platform was locked into a stable position and the players foot position was recorded. Once recorded the foot position remained consistent through each trial throughout the entire testing period. In between each trial players were told to weight bear through the contralateral limb to minimise the effect of fatigue when testing. In cases where players lost their balance, they were told to use the contralateral limb to stabilise themselves by placing it at the back corner of the BSS and were only encouraged to use the handrails if they completely lost balance. Figure 1 provides a representation of the testing set-up.

Following completion of the SAFT the standardised BSS testing was completed immediately. Further trials were completed following the same protocol at +24hrs, +48hrs and +72hrs post SAFT in order to monitor the temporal pattern of recovery in DDS performance. Between trials players were reminded to refrain from exercise and to maintain a normal diet.

**Statistical Analysis**

The directional stability output was analysed for each 20-second trial and an average score across the three trials was taken for analysis. Directional stability scores were identified for OSI, anterior-posterior stability (A-P) and medial-lateral stability (M-L). Each directional stability variable was determined pre-exercise, immediately post-exercise, and then at +24-, +48- and +72-hrs after exercise.

A univariate repeated measures general linear model was used to quantify main effects for recovery duration post-exercise and DDS. Interaction effects were quantified, and significant main effects in recovery duration were explored using post-hoc pairwise comparisons with a Bonferonni correction. The assumptions associated with the statistical model were assessed to ensure model adequacy. To assess residual normality for each dependant variable, q-q plots were generated using stacked standardised residuals. Scatterplots of the stacked unstandardised and standardised residuals were utilised to assess the error of variance associated with the residuals. Mauchly's test of sphericity was completed for all dependent variables, with a Greenhouse Geisser correction applied if the test was significant. Partial eta squared ($\eta^2$) values were calculated to estimate effect sizes for all significant
main effects and interactions. As recommended in literature\(^4\), partial eta squared was classified as small (0.01–0.059), moderate (0.06-0.137), and large (>0.138).

The temporal pattern of changes in each directional dynamic stability variables over the 72hr data collection period was examined using a regression analysis. Linear and quadratic polynomial models were applied, with the optimum fit determined by the strength of the correlation coefficient (r). Where a quadratic regression analysis represented the best fit, the regression equation was differentiated with respect to time to elicit the time (post-exercise) at which the data reached maxima (or minima). All statistical analysis was completed using PASW Statistics Editor 26.0 for windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). Statistical significance was set at \(P \leq 0.05\), and all data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

Figure 2 summarises the effects of the exercise protocol and the temporal pattern of recovery on directional dynamic stability, for OSI, A-P and M-L. There was a significant main effect of direction \((F = 34.20, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.21)\) and time \((F = 10.54, p = < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.14)\). Significant differences were displayed between all directions of stability represented as \(p \leq 0.05\). Pre-exercise directional stability values were significantly lower (pre to post: \(p \leq 0.05\); pre to +24hrs and pre to +48hrs \(p \leq 0.001\)), no significant difference was indicated pre to +72hrs \((p > 0.05)\). There was no direction x time interaction \((F = 0.49, p = 0.38, \eta^2 = 0.12)\).

***insert figure 2 here***

With the data set collapsed to consider the temporal pattern of recovery for each direction of stability, all directions displayed a significant effect of time \((OSI: F = 3.98; p = 0.005, \eta^2 = 0.16; A-P: F = 2.81; p = 0.03, \eta^2 = 0.12; M-L: F = 5.19; p = 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.20)\). OSI and M-L directional stability displayed significant increases in stability scores up to +48hrs when compared to pre exercise scores \(p \leq 0.05\). A-P stability displayed no significant difference between pre and immediately post exercise stability \((p > 0.05)\). Although displayed significant increases in stability values pre to +24 hrs and pre to +48hrs post exercise \((p \leq 0.05)\). Each direction of stability also indicated significant reductions in stability scores from +24hrs to +72hrs \((p \leq 0.05)\). Significant differences in stability scores were also found between each directional measure \((p \leq 0.001)\). It was found that OSI stability scores were significantly higher that A-P and M-L \((p \leq 0.001)\) and A-P was significantly higher than M-L \((p \leq 0.001)\). Mean stability scores also highlighted that A-P stability scores at each time point were higher than M-L stability scores (14 – 25%).

***insert table 1 here***
The relationship between directional dynamic stability and post-exercise recovery duration was best represented as a quadratic polynomial function \((r \geq 0.86)\). The differentiated regression equations yielded a minima between 37.55 – 38.00hrs (OSI: 37.55hrs \((y = -0.0011x^2 + 0.0826x + 2.5303)\); A-P: 38hrs \((y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.0532x + 1.9633)\); M-L: 38.67hrs \((y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0464x + 1.5125)\)) and maxima between 75.09 – 77.33hrs (OSI: 75.09hrs; A-P: 76hrs; M-L: 77.33hrs). This would result in a predicted return to baseline values of up to 77.33hrs.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of the present study was to investigate the temporal pattern of recovery in directional dynamic stability in elite male footballers for up to 72-hrs post a simulated football specific fatigue protocol. Research in this area is limited, as it predominantly focuses on the immediate acute effect of fatigue and does not differentiate measures based on the direction of stability.\(^1,2,3,32\) It was observed that completion of the fatigue protocol induced an average 22% - 30% reduction in directional dynamic stability scores (OSI, A-P and M-L) when compared to pre-fatigue measures. These findings are consistent with previous research that analysed the effects of fatigue on eccentric hamstring strength.\(^12,13,14\) Current findings, in agreement with previous studies report positive correlations between hamstring function and directional dynamic stability.\(^9\) Main findings within this body of work indicate significant differences between pre exercise measures and +48hrs for all directions of stability, with no differences observed at 72hr+. Interestingly, no significant differences were observed for A-P stability when compared to pre fatigue measures, despite a 22% average increase in scores. Significant reductions in stability scores for all directions were observed between 24hr+ and 72hr+, highlighting a period of recovery. This said, a 14–19% increase in stability scores still existed at 72hr+ when compared to pre-fatigue values. Further analysis via polynomial regression indicated that mean stability values took up to 77.33hrs to return to pre-exercise levels, with variations of recovery within specific directions of stability metrics. The present findings are based on a cohort of elite footballers, where group averages are observed. In practice it is important to consider individual responses to fatigue and their temporal pattern, as these will differ between players. Future research should consider these factors to bridge the gap between academia and applied practice.

Controversy exists within recent evidence indicating that sustaining knee injuries, such as anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), may not be related to fatigue.\(^35\) This contradicts previous evidence, where fatigue has been highlighted as a key aetiological factor to sustaining these injuries.\(^36\) It is important to note that the research by Doyle et al., (2019) only observes the stage of the season and period of the game when the injuries were sustained. Concluding that there were no specific periods of the season or difference between halves of the game when these injuries were sustained, thus discounting a fatigue
effect. Although, this may discount the acute fatigue responses (in game), there is no indication within the study of the players readiness to play. Prompting the question of whether sustaining this injury was a result of accumulative fatigue during a fixture congested period or an increased training load exposure. Understanding of the temporal pattern of fatigue in relation to directional dynamic stability provides further insight into the recovery patterns of footballers in relation to key aetiological factors associated with injury. Particularly useful in the current modern game where COVID-19 has impacted on recovery time due to condensed fixture schedules, reduced preparation and training. It is important to note that injury risk is multi factorial and this is only one piece of the injury risk paradigm. Present findings fail to consider a multifactorial model and future research should consider multiple outcome measures utilised in the field to quantify fatigue effect. They should carefully relate to biomechanical, physiological and psychological measures.

ACL injuries sustained in footballers are commonly associated with an increased anterior shearing force. Resulting in excessive load being exerted through the ACL. It is suggested that decreased functional strength of the hamstrings may have an impact on directional dynamic stability performance, and with the addition of acute fatigue exposure or insufficient recovery this risk could be heightened. Combined with the additional rotational load, potentially creating further damage to major joint structures, these injuries can result in significant time loss for the athlete. The hamstrings are a key muscle group providing support to the knee joint through these movement patterns, best explained by understanding its functional anatomical role in performance. Future work should consider quantifying muscle activity in relation to dynamic stability output, with the use of electromyography. The present study identifies significantly elevated A-P stability scores throughout all time points compared to M-L (14-25%), which potentially indicates the vulnerability of the ACL to increased anterior load exposure during performance. This further acknowledges the vulnerability of the structure and recent evidence to suggest that injury occurrence may not solely be attributed to fatigue. Further research is required and should consider the effect of training load, accumulated fatigue or readiness to play when analysing injury incidence in game play or within periods of high occurrences of ACL involvement.

It is important to note that the post-exercise response displayed in the present study highlighted an increase in directional dynamic stability scores up to a predicted 77hrs post exercise (Figure 2), potentially increasing injury risk. This is accompanied by increases in M-L stability. Providing support to suggest that eccentric loading experienced during football specific activity can result in an inhibition of the intrafusal fibres of the muscle spindles and GTO. Thus, reducing muscle response to provide dynamic stabilisation. The reduction in stabilisation experienced, potentially as a result of reduced eccentric hamstring function, may have implications to other joints within the lower limb. It is important to note the reduced function of the hamstrings as discussed may not be the only contributory
factor to reductions in dynamic stabilisation. These links are suggestive based on previous findings, consideration of the functional anatomy and results reported within the present study. Further research should consider the effect and influence of other muscle groups within the lower limb and their influence on lower limb dynamic stability. Consideration should also be given to the electromyographic response of varying muscle groups within the lower limb in response to fatigue and directional dynamic stability.

Literature has indicated the acute detrimental effect of fatigue on dynamic stability with directional stability being identified as a key aetiological factor associated with common non-contact lower limb musculoskeletal injuries. It is important to contextualise the meaning of OSI, A-P and M-L stability. Previous research has heavily focussed on only OSI scores when quantifying dynamic stability. It is suggested however that further insight into function to inform injury risk may be gained from observing the planar outputs of A-P and M-L directional stability. These specific movement patterns can be related to the injury mechanism during functional performance. Consideration of the mechanisms associated with common joint injuries sustained at the knee and ankle indicate that injuries sustained at these joints may be associated with multi planar movement patterns. The findings of the present study highlight the differing temporal pattern of recovery of directional dynamic stability (OSI, A-P and M-L) post-football specific fatigue, displaying a return to baseline at 77.33hrs. In addition, this identifies the differential response of A-P to M-L dynamic stability when quantified on the BSS. Interestingly the detrimental effect of exercise continues for 38.67hrs post-football specific fatigue. Consequently, these findings provide considerations for training design, player monitoring and injury risk reduction strategies in elite football settings.

**Conclusions**

Directional dynamic stability of OSI, A-P and M-L were shown to significantly deteriorate up to 48 hrs, as a result of football specific fatigue. Quadratic polynomial regression modelling suggest that mean scores only truly returned to baseline at 77.33 hrs and continued to decline post fatigue exposure up to 38.67 hrs. Regardless of time points, A-P stability measures were shown to be significantly elevated compared to M-L, indicating poorer stability performance through this plane. These findings provide important considerations for sports performance practitioners with regards injury risk reduction, recovery interventions and training design. Careful consideration must be given to the implications of these findings and their association with the mechanism of common lower limb injuries sustained in football. Injury risk reduction strategies, conditioning or rehabilitation of the athlete post injury should consider specific directional stability training when the athlete is fatigued.
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Table 1: Mean Directional Dynamic Stability Measures and Temporal Pattern Changes over a 72-hour Data Collection Period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dynamic Stability Measures</th>
<th>Time Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSI</td>
<td>2.42 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-P</td>
<td>1.89 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-L</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(23%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: +24 hrs - 24 hours post fatigue; +48 hrs - 48 hours post fatigue; +72 hrs - 72 hours post fatigue. % indicates difference between A-P and M-L Stability Scores. Data is presented as mean ± SD.
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Experimental set-up for Stabilometry testing.

Figure 2. The temporal pattern of recovery of OSI, A-P and M-L directional stability.