

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Assessing conditions for inter-firm collaboration as a revenue strategy for politically pressured news media
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/39753/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1080/16522354.2021.2002106
Date	2021
Citation	Cook, Clare Elizabeth (2021) Assessing conditions for inter-firm collaboration as a revenue strategy for politically pressured news media. Journal of Media Business Studies.
Creators	Cook, Clare Elizabeth

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1080/16522354.2021.2002106

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>





Journal of Media Business Studies

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/romb20

# Assessing conditions for inter-firm collaboration as a revenue strategy for politically pressured news media

**Clare Elizabeth Cook** 

To cite this article: Clare Elizabeth Cook (2021): Assessing conditions for inter-firm collaboration as a revenue strategy for politically pressured news media, Journal of Media Business Studies, DOI: 10.1080/16522354.2021.2002106

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/16522354.2021.2002106

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



0

Published online: 14 Nov 2021.

_	
Γ	
	0
-	

Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 72



View related articles 🗹

View Crossmark data 🗹

Routledae Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

# Assessing conditions for inter-firm collaboration as a revenue strategy for politically pressured news media

#### Clare Elizabeth Cook

Journalism, University of Central Lancashire School of Journalism Media and Performance, Preston, UK

#### ABSTRACT

The struggle to find resilient journalism revenue models is nowhere starker than for exiled or politically pressured news media operating in fragile markets. One route forward is to explore inter-firm collaborations as a modus operandi to achieve more financial resilience through a collaborative approach amongst themselves. This article presents findings from a multi-stakeholder atelier that assessed operational revenue conditions for such media. It presents a co-created definition of collaborative revenue capture, then addresses the conditions and forms for collaborative structures. It conceptualises opportunities in four areas: technology, revenuebased systems, coordinating actions and journalism production. The article adds new knowledge by assessing collaborations as a revenue strategy within the under-researched media development area through a participatory mode of inquiry.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 5 December 2019 Accepted 1 November 2021

#### **KEYWORDS**

Revenue models: collaboration: iournalism: exiled; politically pressured

### Introduction

There is broad institutional recognition that diversity in the news media should not be hindered due to economic failure, as set out in UNESCO's Mass Media Declaration of 1978 and the Declaration of Talloires in 1981 (Allevne, 1997). Yet this struggle to find stable and "diversified revenue sources" (UNESCO, 2015, p. 8) is a fundamental challenge since the loss of control over audience-content-advertising relationships has squeezed journalism revenues globally. The precipitous losses from traditional advertising (Hirst, 2011; Turow, 2011), disrupted audience-content relations due to a rise in search engines and social media (Carr, 2009) and news aggregators (Nielsen & Ganter, 2018) has challenged the entire industry. It is a long and more perplexing road than anticipated to find sustainable revenues (Rosenstiel & Jurkowitz, 2012). While there is consensus that a "viable economic model for media in the digital age will necessarily rely on a multi-dimensional revenue model" (Pavlik, 2013, p. 192), the conundrum of how to make a profit or grow remain largely unanswered (Lu & Holcomb, 2016). Scholarly calls therefore seek an on-going process of reflection because "there is no panacea for media development, media sustainability and sustainable journalism and no universally applicable solution" (Picard, 2017, p. 253).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

CONTACT Clare Elizabeth Cook 🖾 cecook@uclan.ac.uk 🗈 Journalism, University of Central Lancashire School of Journalism Media and Performance, Preston, United Kingdom

<sup>© 2021</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

The revenue question for exiled and politically pressured news media as a specific type of media organisation is a pertinent challenge (Cook, 2016; Deane, 2013; Ismail, 2018) yet one that is often neglected in media business studies. Such media offer lessons in revenue model adaptation for the media management community seeking models outside corporate legacy media as they survive under the same paradigm shifts in modes of consumption, competition, data and production affecting news media globally, including the dominance of Google, Facebook and Yandex (Gicheru, 2014) and experience similar restrictions to other niche news media in their limited capacity to generate revenues due to a lack of business acumen and skills (Schiffrin, 2017). But they also offer a rich terrain for experimentation as they grapple against short-term shocks, patchy audience data, under-resourced and over-worked teams and from unfavourable political economic factors. Issues of money inevitably fall behind those of safety and security. Poor infrastructure inhibits distribution while limited viable advertising threaten the viability of conventional revenues, and lack of access to training and talent undermines the quality of editorial content (Robinson et al., 2015). They operate either within or outside weak markets dominated by corruption and media capture (IREX, 2018; Pon et al., 2017), where local populations have limited buying power (Jiménez et al., 2017; Schmidt, 2017). As they face particularly bleak economic prospects, they face constraints that require "new kinds of business thinking" (Deselaers et al., 2019, p. 3). The extreme operational cases being faced paves the way for transferable knowledge to media in other developing markets seeking alternative understanding of the sustainability question.

Given the economic challenge, there has been long-standing state and philanthropic financial support. Exact figures on how much international aid and philanthropy flows to politically pressured media are very uneven, both for pragmatic and bureaucratic reasons (Myers & Juma, 2018) as an ever-growing range of civil society organisations, donorfunded intermediaries, private foundations as well as those tied to public service broadcasters or similar bodies have emerged. In all, most media development and media for development literature focusses primarily on the implications of international donor aid: how and what media donors are doing (Deane, 2013; Susman-Pena, 2012); the impact of soft censorship (Podesta, 2009) and so on. There is far less scholarly scrutiny of how these decisions impact on economic practice. Yet the overall trend is media operating in these contexts are under increasing pressure to move away from grant dependency and demonstrate some steps towards revenue diversification, triggered by concerns of the constraining and enabling functions of donor funding. Reliance not only entraps media in a cycle of alignment with shifting donor agendas but also hinges on a dichotomy: successful applications for donor funding rely on being financially weak (Cook, 2016a). Private grants can also have the effect of content bias, with funds being channelled to topics of importance to the donor (Schiffrin, 2017; Wright et al., 2019).

Against this backdrop, evidence from empirical data on the revenue models of oppositional news outlets identified potential revenue diversification from a partnership approach, finding "revenues may be as likely to emerge from pooling resources and content as they are around discrete media outlets" (Cook, 2016, p. 531). In authoritarian contexts, operating "collaboratively, transparently, and ethically" may have short term implications on productivity and profitability but lay stronger foundations for sustainability among media teams, as well as with readers and advertisers, in the long run (Sakr, 2016, p. 45). Industry practice illuminates potential new revenues from inter-firm

approaches: pooled content and common publishing platforms such as Nordot in Tokyo or Dutch online news aggregating platform Blendle; commercial partnerships between media of different sizes such as Mediacités with Mediapart in France; advertising reach and scale from shared platforms such as collaborative advertising networks like publisher-led programmatic advertising marketplace MCIL Multimedia Sdn Bhd for nine participating media (Cook, 2019) or Krama ad platform, a bespoke classified ads platform, developed by and for independent media, especially regional and local as an alternative to Russian-based Yandex ad system, on which many Belarusian online media are dependent (Cook, 2020).

A research gap exists at the intersection of these discussions. Firstly, where there are no universally applicable solutions to the revenue challenge of heterogenous exiled and politically pressured media, and we know little about moves away from grant dependency towards more diversified revenue models, this prompts inquiries into new approaches. Then, as practitioners lead the way on new collaborative ways of working, they legitimise therefore research that asks how once-regimented journalism economic logics are being negotiated and, potentially, reshaped. Addressing the research gap, the central inquiry here asks in what ways exiled or politically pressured media might be more financially resilient through a collaborative approach amongst themselves. Rather than approaching the issue of income at the individual firm level, it proposes to address similar needs through a collaborative response.

Firms interact with each other and across their networks in complex ways. Therefore, this study draws on an action-oriented two-day atelier to explore collaboration between media facing similar revenue challenges. This was a valid approach as Prenger and Deuze (2017, p. 235) suggest innovation is a moving object raising the issue of "how to adequately study something so dynamic". The intention was to gain a holistic view of the patterns of behaviours and discourse to further understand collaborations as experimental practice in determining revenue opportunities among media in exile or politically pressured environments beyond donor dependency.

The article begins with the contextual literature on economic practice within authoritarian regimes and reviews the gap in knowledge on revenues specifically. Methodological considerations are addressed so as to critically review the participatory mode of inquiry. The study contributes to the media management field in different ways. Firstly, it assesses the operational revenue conditions for exiled and politically pressured media at the time of investigation. The co-created definition for collaborative revenue capture as a firm-level approach to form an actionable partnership to open new revenue opportunities in the digital economy follows. Then it assesses the conditions that can facilitate inter-firm collaborations for revenue generation. Most pertinently, it details digital technologies for inter-firm collaborations. Then, four main conceptual areas for collaboration are identified: technology, revenue-based systems, coordinated actions and journalism production. This leads to summative discussions on the appetite for collaboration as a strategic tool for interfirm organising enabled by digital technology. The findings connect to the broader digital journalism field and provide insights that offer utility to media development programme managers at the national and international level.

#### Literature review

#### Politically pressured news media

Politically pressured environments are identified as such from official development assistance listings such as eligibility from the Development Assistance Committee of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and media system rankings and indices including the Media Pluralism Monitor, Reporters Without Borders, Freedom House, IREX, and the Friedrich Ebert Foundation. Exiled is a subgroup of diaspora with a temporary and uncertain state, living outside their homeland and providing journalism back in-country. The most common reason to go into exile is the threat of violence, such as from Somalia and Syria. Others flee the threat of prison, especially in Iran, where the government deepened its crackdown ahead of elections (Schilit, 2013). Scholarly scrutiny is limited to studies showing exiled journalists' motivations for societal change (Balasundaram, 2019; O'Loughlin & Schafraad, 2016; Skjerdal, 2011) and an examination of peripheral actors' motivations and conceptualisations of their roles (Belair-Gagnon & Holton, 2018; Eldridge, 2017; Schapals et al., 2019). Ownership structures are often distinct from conglomerate chains, with an unaffiliated output online, complemented by social and mobile, and in some cases with print products (either legacy or new), radio (often shortwave) or broadcast. Teams are typically small and cross-border, relying on some full-time professional journalists. Therefore, while traditional news media structures "are still producing most of the news we consume today" (Domingo et al., 2015, p. 53), this discussion departs from the majority of investigations by moving the lens away from established media organisations and attending to the omission of business concerns and economic practice among exiled and politically pressured news media.

#### Business and revenue challenges

Scholarly work on the business aspects of independent media in politically pressured or weaker environments is particularly limited. There is no one successful model and a pressing need to better understand media management and data analytics (Foster, 2014, 2017). General economic challenges through a period of political transition, such as in Bosnia (Taylor & Kent, 2000) have been charted as have barriers to opening media operations (Hughes & Lawson, 2005), and looking at issues sustaining operations (Requejo-Alemán & Lugo-Ocando, 2014). A tactical and strategic lens finds oppositional Syrian media operating in exile in Turkey deploying a complex system of self-censorship, official registration and adaptable production in order to survive when "outgunned relative to the institutional actors they need to work with" (Badran, 2020, p. 70). This study goes further by drawing together international perspectives from media organisations in multiple contexts.

The revenue model is the overall configuration of incomes that make up financial resources, as only one part of the firm's broader business (Linder & Cantrell, 2000; Picard, 2011). The first academic study of the revenues of news media in exile and politically pressured environments empirically detailed grant funding, earned income and donations (Cook, 2016). A taxonomy model advanced understanding of income in free markets compared to repressed markets, detailing the extensive challenges in economic practice. It found mixed revenue models or cross-subsidising of media

businesses with complementary for-profit business activities is growing in developing countries. Alternative revenue strategies have been developed through experimentation into memberships or subscriptions in Latin America (Breiner, 2017); native and affiliate advertising in India (Sen & Nielsen, 2016) or adjacencies to complementary activities such as consulting services, public relations and book sales (Ismail, 2018). Warner and Iastrebner (2017) reviewing 100 digital native start-ups in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia and Mexico found revenue diversity is critical to sustainability. More focus has been given to the African context, where the lack of economic viability is a major constraint. Good content, development of media management capacities, and local audience research are needed to develop local advertising markets that serve local media (Madon et al., 2009; Mhlanga, 2017; Spurk & Dingerkus, 2017). Revisiting journalism startups in the Global South, Schiffrin (2019) found financial survival was the biggest worry, followed by political risk and physical safety. After three years, the outlets remained dependent on donors. Together, these drive inquiries to explore the operational revenue conditions for exiled and politically pressured media and potential new and diversified revenue strategies.

Programme work has addressed a gap in this field by focussing on capacity building (Veendorp, 2011) and business skills through toolkits or sprints (Deselaers et al., 2019). The FOJO Media Institute drew the lens specifically on media in exile as "an undervalued area" that "often fall between the cracks" (Hughes, in Ristow, 2011, p. 22), with their intervention programme between 2013–2015. This responded to a number of challenges of business sustainability by journalists in exile (FOJO, 2013) but stopped short of exploring inter-firm collaborations.

#### **Conceptual parameters for collaboration**

Given the particularly stubborn and contested challenge of journalism sustainability for exiled or politically pressured news media, the challenge here was to assess how onceregimented economic logics are being reshaped in ways that might make such media more financially resilient through a collaborative approach amongst themselves.

In the business literature, scholars have studied inter-firm collaboration through symbiotic strategy for several decades (e.g. Varadarajan & Rajaratnam, 1986). The most important characteristic is to develop and maintain a mutually beneficial symbiotic relationship with external parties where there is neither significant conflicting interests nor fierce competition for common resources (Li et al., 2012). A symbiotic relation in the proximal environment is defined in terms of collaboration, co-evolution and cooperation (Li et al., 2018; Sagarin, 2013). Dimensions of symbiotic relations include time frame, proximity, number, focus, scope (Varadarajan & Rajaratnam, 1986) and trust (Li et al., 2018). In other forms of strategic alliances, partners may still have conflicting interests or power struggles. The phenomenon of coopetition (Bengtsson & Kock, 2000; Brandenburger & Nalebuff, 1997) reflects an increasing awareness of the complexity of relations between economic agents. By drawing from the business literature towards media development, the paper contributes to new perspectives.

Within the journalism literature, there has been extensive assessment of partnerships between media for converged route-maps to overcome competitive pressures and organisational difference. Certainly, tactical and structural convergences are rapidly expanding and there is an appetite in practice to collaborate in ways not seen before by mainstream corporate news (Myllylahti, 2017). Convergence can include cross-promoting content, cloning, coopetition, content sharing, and inter-firm collaborations (Dailey et al., 2005) through partnerships. Dailey and Spillman (2013) examined the level of cooperation that exists between cross-media and the types of newsroom partnerships that have emerged, including those with digital native media. Exploring the willingness of different types of media to collaborate, Hatcher and Thayer (2017) found public broadcasters, community newspapers, and online news startups to have an openness to experiment with collaboration and content sharing but tensions around intense competition for advertisers. Such collaborations can bring win-win (Pathania-Jain, 2001) and prompt the inquiry to explore forms of collaborative structures and success factors.

Looking for opportunities beyond closed walls draws from the "open" concept. Sill (2011, p. 1) makes the case for open journalism as a way to save journalism as a company, based on a problem-solving mentality through collaboration between media that "once ignored each other's work". Scholars suggest journalists can adapt to newsroom cutbacks by forming symbiotic relationships with non-media news providers, including local police (Carson et al., 2016) and educational institutions (Kim et al., 2016). The works suggest the need to dive more deeply into collaboration in multiple forms, such as how resources could be pooled (Drew & Thomas, 2018) or economic relations nurtured. The potential of these exchanges to spawn open innovation approaches (Chesbrough, 2003, 2010) is based on independent actors possessing diverse knowledge assets that can be used to create novel combinations (Crossan & Inkpen, 1994). Regional cooperation in South Africa between a coalition of media organisations, civil society actors, advocacy platforms, and funders fostered cross-pollination of ideas, enhanced capacities by pooling expertise and resources while also providing solidarity and fraternity (Wasserman, 2021).

Within the broader context of who is involved in the news making context, scholars have drawn attention to the importance of rethinking such news firm boundaries. Sakr (2017, p. 298) notes in his assessment of sustainable digital news in Egypt that "the implication is that scrutiny of collaborative and innovative practice may tell us more about the sustainability of journalism in a precarious and rapidly changing environment than a focus on any specific institution." Singer (2011, p. 109) also suggests new economic structures will emerge to sustain journalism asking "what sorts of collaborations will prove valuable and how will they be nurtured, strengthened and extended?" As little is known about how collaborations form or the affordances of such, it is this exploratory gap the paper fills. Through an empirical approach of practitioner-led knowledge creation the focus drills into four questions:

- What are the operational revenue conditions for exiled and politically pressured media?
- How can collaborative revenue capture be articulated and defined?
- What conditions can facilitate inter-firm collaborations for revenue generation?
- What are the conceptual areas for inter-firm collaborations?

#### Methodology

A participatory mode of action-oriented inquiry was designed in the form of a two-day atelier. It included a series of facilitated panels, activities and knowledge exchange, held in December 2014. Forms of ateliers hold particular interest because they represent an important type of "strategic episode" (Hendry & Seidl, 2003) as they suspend normal structures and engage in new conversations. The overall aim was to step back from daily operations and institutional priorities. Space to think in this way is rare, particularly for oppositional media facing authoritarian pressures. Given that revenue challenges varied across global regions, participants were invited to the atelier in Preston, UK. Journalists operating media under threat representing Iran, Belarus, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Syria and Sri Lanka, Jordan, Azerbaijan and Ukraine (11) were included. No specific exclusions on media platform or company were made, as the goal was to enable broad ideation away from their immediate concerns and firm-contained perspectives. All operated on digital platforms, with varied specialisms across broadcast, print, web and radio subject to licence and distribution restrictions. Representatives from the media development community included Rory Peck Trust, Open Society Foundations and Internews Europe (3) alongside journalism experts and innovators (6) business experts (4) and academics (6). In total, there were 30 participants. As such, the multidisciplinary atelier was designed to integrate numerous perspectives on practice, industry, media development, media for development, academia and activism appropriate for the complex and holistic view needed to stimulate new knowledge on revenue models. The view of Van De Ven (2007) led this inquiry in that knowledge is produced not only in academia but also in industry.

There were six genres of activities that formed data collection: idea brainstorming via an ideas wall; small focused discussion groups; structured anonymous question and response sessions; mini presentation panels; plenary discussions; and an ideas lab to move forward towards market and development. The process allowed issues that practitioners themselves regarded as problematic to be singled out and then explored, appreciating the call by Küng (2016) to stay in constant contact with the industry in order to co-formulate a research inquiry. Together, this stimulated ideation on what forms and purpose collaborations could take and what would be of most use to exiled media organisations. Participants were encouraged to develop imagined futures quite freely by developing five collaborations as experimental projects for application. These were developed using mixed media visualisations and into mini presentations for group feedback articulating the key proposition and need met. A further development phase gave teams the opportunity to explore and work up in more detail the strongest ideas, with input from a wider group of participants. Group discussions, constructive critique and next steps drew the atelier to a close. Data was captured by two facilitators and the researcher, and a multimedia practitioner captured photographic evidence of handwritten notes and brainstorming activities. Anonymous digital tablet-activated interactive brainstorming software was used to capture observation discussions.

Findings from this workshop form the primary material analysed. The participatory mode of inquiry transferred also to the early data analysis. The embedded nature of analysis in a social setting allowed for ongoing review through interactive brainstorming software viewed on a digital wall and activated from hand-held tablet devices. This

enabled participants to vote in real time on responses to structured questions, analyse responses into themes, connect topics and sub-topics, and see word clouds. During idea brainstorming via the ideas wall or World Café discussions, participants synthesised discussions and clustered action points. Developing visualisations of the data assisted in summarising and categorising to aid understanding and interpolating. These included drawings, mind maps and collages in both physical and digital form. In a similarly structured process by which data, analysis, and interpretation can be integrated into the analytical, Northcutt and McCoy (2004) refer to this type of method as interactive qualitative analysis.

The second phase of analysis was carried out by the primary researcher after the atelier to offer a systematic reading of the data. An open-access immersive report was produced to offer a first review and collection of the multimedia outputs (Cook, 2015). This atheoretical output included word clouds and images to avoid premature closing and a first filter about what was done, how and why. Writing up in this way can assist learnings and prompt further reflection (Coghlan & Brydon-Miller, 2014). This was also a way to advance the research findings into academic lexicon so as to "pack them differently for different audiences" for scholarly conventions, bridging theory and practice (Rohn & Evens, 2020, p. 22). The qualitative data outputs from the atelier were then outputted in list form and then treated with applied thematic analysis (Guest et al., 2012) to build a comprehensive, contextualised and integrated understanding of the structured data outputs. Preliminary explorations of the free form responses were grouped to find higher level categories appropriate for clustering and emerging interrelationships within responses and discussions. Patterns were noted and developed into "thematic connections" from the data (Bazeley, 2013, p. 192) and further synthesised into table form. While the study is limited due to the time between investigation and publication, revisiting atelier findings is justified as material gathered in this way is rare. It also corresponds with renewed interest in other collaborative journalism business models to which the findings are relevant, including franchise (Arnold & Blackman, 2021).

#### Findings

#### **Operational revenue conditions for exiled and politically pressured news media**

An overarching theme was surviving economically rather than sustainability. In the wider landscape, large state-controlled media extracted the largest share of advertising markets and exiled media were disconnected from valuable domestic advertising opportunities. Participants spoke of challenges around regulation, establishing legal status and cash flow in unbanked situations exacerbated by restrictions on registering businesses. Often state-controlled telecommunications companies blocked exiled media websites which suppressed domestic audiences. They came under serious cyber-attack, needing defences which impact website uptime and performance. Audiences also used proxy servers. For example, a reader based in Iran visiting an exiled media site using a US based proxy server appears to advertisers as being in the United States. An advert served in this context is likely to have limited click-through and financial return. Similar challenges existed using major social media platforms such as Facebook, where audience data was flawed. This affected the ability to connect with and engage with end users. These

challenges existed against a backdrop of shifting wider technological changes that were little understood. There was consensus that politically pressured news media had less support than in other media systems.

A deeper understanding of lived revenue experiences was achieved. There was a sense that going beyond "living to fight another day" to emerge a longer perspective on funding was a challenge, particularly around revenue diversification away from grant dependency. Expertise in revenue models and innovation were found to be lacking. Output quality levels were variable. Internal to their operations, participants spoke of limited time, resource and capacity for running the business under already strained health and welfare circumstances working long hours alone with limited skills, affecting their quality of life and safety to operate. Many were overworked, under-funded and living hand-tomouth. Challenges included the need to cover their costs for staffing, website development and hosting, administration and their own living expenses. Concerns were raised around the need to have a "proactive rather than a purely reactive strategy" towards their business. Longevity was a concern due to lack of strategy, robust media management skills, lacking skills to facilitate or adapt to change and having funds to hire more people. Networks were recognised as a source of potential strength within the target country. Participants were asked what determines their financial resilience. Responses ranged from internal (needing diverse funding sources, ability to balance the mission with sustainability, business competence) and external (security, anonymity and safety; the scale of the audience and market, cash flow where formal banking was not possible, and challenges around regulation).

There was a broad orientation around measures of success. Consensus was around the need to "have an impact – whatever that impact may be". Success definitions oscillated from basic functions such as needing to be kept safe, achieving opportunities to move back in-country and structuring efforts to protect journalists. Many participants had first-hand experience of intimidation or atrocities. Success was getting past government controls with a consistent and regular broadcast, then reaching a well-defined audience or widening visibility. The goal was relevant or credible content, having exclusivity and achieving sharable content focussing on under-reported, misreported or censored stories instead of soft news "being a real voice that's credible". Broader impact success included influencing in-country and diaspora civic values. Some sought governmental change on democratic processes. For others influencing Western news agendas and conversations was impact particularly where those led to policy change for fairer or more just societies.

#### Defining collaborative revenue capture

A new term was introduced to develop and debate the proposed concept and its principles, to incite self-reflection and changes in practice. The co-created definition of collaborative revenue capture emerged as a firm-level approach to form an actionable partnership to open new revenue opportunities in the digital economy. Firstly, this served as a sense-maker to summarise a number of initiatives being trialled by journalism sites. As Hautakangas and Ahva (2018, p. 743) note, "new keywords are best understood as lenses through which the journalism profession and its practices can be re-examined." It was also a way to explain emergent approaches to revenue generation by media organisations working together, and served as a starting point for discussions.

10 😉 C. E. COOK

#### **Conditions for inter-firm collaborations**

It was helpful to categorise factors affecting collaboration in the areas of conduct, values, resources and operations (set out in Table 1) both as sources of success and tensions. Some were generic to any inter-relational working (such as trust, respect and understanding) while others were specific to cross-territorial working (need for a shared language, allowing for political and cultural differences). Participants identified the need for shared goals, and funding commitments. Several examples of successful collaborations were shared during plenary discussions. These varied from personal relationships such as marriages to creating technical global norms, co-writing industry reports or working with other partner organisations to coordinate a strategy to deliver support to journalists. Knowledge sharing around revenue generation and access to seed or grant money were cited as good starting points for collaboration.

Challenges included having unblocked internet provision, unavailable audience data sets from some regions, divergent supplies of in-country advertising, apathetic audiences, and exiled media competing against one another particularly for donor funding. Those relating to revenues included having incompatible partnerships or exclusive relationships with other media organisations, or divergent funding strategies. Regarding editorial practice, these covered the need for synergy across editorial values, agendas and content quality. The challenge of collaborating as a unified body of exiled or restricted media was articulated including practically (language, country-by-country differences) operationally (shared ethical and legal frames) and ideologically (finding a shared mission and vision).

#### **Conceptual areas for collaboration**

Four main conceptual areas for collaboration were then hypothesised: technology, revenue-based systems, coordinated actions and journalism production. Here, the focus was to explore possibilities, with the view of envisaging strategically useful longterm benefits from collaborative approaches to generate revenues (see Table 2). Five ideas were developed. Two involved software-led technology including aggregating and repurposing content in sub channels on different platforms. This allowed media providers to pool content and retain insights on behavioural data. The aim was penetration via proxy internet servers, extension of audiences in country and diaspora, filtering out poor content bounce rates and developing unique proprietary content. New revenues would be generated from selling behaviours to advertisers, new audiences from geotagging, and content production. Issues to overcome included content relevance to different geographic audiences and rights management. Plans for a shared data-insights platform for revenue generation were spearheaded by two participants, who extended their ideas beyond the atelier. A third proposal focussed on leveraging distribution-led technology that would be too expensive or prohibitive taken at the individual firm level, whether from satellite balloons, proximity broadcasting. sensor-based networks, new secret flows of news, or content in disposable places. The fourth focussed on the revenue-led coordinating actions through merchandise, events or products championing impact and freedom of expression. A large internationally supported fundraiser under an umbrella organisation was envisaged. The final idea proposed payment-led revenue-based solutions

SuccessConductValuesListeningShared aims and set normsRespectValue creepAgreed language forClarity on motivationsCommunicationA common objective or corFace-to-face relationsthe causeHard working HonestyShared vision	Decement		
Shared aim Value cree Clarity on r A common s the cause ty Shared visit			Operations
_	nmitment to	Good recruitment No overlap in terms of expertise Diverse stakeholders Strong leadership Editorial supply chain enabling partner Access to seed or capital funds Access to business and market experts	Shared outcomes Shared goals Shared timetable Commitment to making money Funding strategies
Tensions Conduct Values	Resources		Operations
Everyday life Success can prompt protectionist Language and culture approaches barriers Political differences Lack of trust and Diverse interests understanding Different objectives Different dotorial agendas and va conternt value exchange for all pi Political interests Economic alliances internationally Expectation of free content Theme fitting	tionist and values Creating a or all parties ionally	Lack of open unblocked internet Finding other things to monetise such as language skills Risk assessment Often too political Unavailable data statistics in some countries such as Syria Lack of in-country advertising Branding content Different agencies Lack of time to think about revenues Lack of skilled experts and knowledge	Exiled media competing with one another Donor funding is a game to play High set-up costs Direct relationship advertising to media – needs to be aggregated or green washed An expectation of subsidy Froduct needs to match the audience Product needs to match the audience Disengaged or apathetic audiences, global reactive funding environment, far from the market Donor fatigue Diverse context with many different needs

Technology	Revenue-based systems	Coordinating Actions	Journalism production
Software-led technology	Advertising-led solutions	Revenue-led	Service-oriented
Shared drone expertise Content aggregation technology* Geo-tagging content for use in maps and feeds Algorithms to promote fragile environment media Encryption services		Aggregated audience tools Find funds from new donors Shared agency for training and consultancy Establish sector level data Merchandise sales* Events*	しっててられる
Technology	Revenue-based systems	Coordinating Actions	Journalism production
Distribution-led technology	Payment-led solutions	Resource-led	Practice-led
Global or regional shared video platform such as YouTube Content distribution via the Internet of Things* Bluetooth beacons Chat applications Sensor base network distribution Live transmission Syndicated wire service Pooled data dashboard Create a dark web Anonymous audience and protection tools Proxy servers akin to a hotspot shield	Micropayments Online lead generation Private payment environments Syndication Paywalls Donate function with revenue allocated proportionally Partnerships with social networks SMS donations * Licencing Mobile payment systems * Shared membership models Bespoke crowdfunding platform	Meet-ups and knowledge exchange Share and centralise IT, finance and sales support between a group Create a formalised exiled media network Attract global expertise Working with existing shared content platforms Back-end administration to stream content submission via content management system	Joined-up photography agency Centralised infrastructure for cheaper video production Virtual newsroom

closed-network payment services and non-monetary exchange transactions to support donations and other revenues to exiled and restricted media. Content would be provided for free, triggering an acknowledgement via SMS triggering a micro-payment opportunity to express support. The specific challenge here was technical faced with government control of the mobile network.

#### Discussion

Faced with internal and external barriers, the assessment of economic operations at the time of investigation fits with previous scholarly work indicating capacity for income generation to be weak, due to limited resources and business knowledge, remote teams and weak distribution, poor purchasing power of audiences and limited viable advertising revenues. Co-existing economic orientations existed amongst exiled and politically pressured media. While one was open to the idea that journalism can still "earn" money because "revenue generation is not a dirty word", the other underlined the need to be free from economic pressures and requiring donor funding. The former orientation approached revenue diversification with potential and highlighted an interest in alternative funding sources. The participatory mode of inquiry at least in part motivated some participants to seek "business models not donor models". Moves away from donor dependency would certainly be hard won. The latter justified donor interventions due to the content: often heavy on politics and corruption, but light on topics that create broader audiences and increased engagement. Such views fit with broader assessments on interventionist funding of non-profit journalism as a public good (Konieczna, 2018). The idealised notion of an apolitical landscape of support does not stand and media navigate this landscape in their attempts to secure financial survival. These further illuminate the complexities surrounding grant support.

Such diverse assessments of operational revenue conditions would have been beyond the outputs of more orthodox qualitative approaches. Practitioners were re-orientated on their shared practical daily challenges and deeper understanding of revenue opportunities as it "raised awareness of the challenges exiled media face above the obvious security ones". This included thinking differently about in-country and out-of-country audiences. In resolving or renegotiating different perceptions, participants developed a deeper understanding of the economic situation they faced, and how it might be improved. Frank, transparent and anecdotal sharing in this way can move the sector forward. This fits with research elsewhere in authoritarian regimes which found a management culture that values collaborative reflection, ethical practice, and editorial innovation helping to embed lasting professional relationships and codes of conduct (Sakr, 2016).

Defining collaborative revenue capture was challenging and the atelier therefore added a cognitive dimension. Collaborative revenue capture was co-defined as a firm-level approach to form an actionable partnership to open new revenue opportunities in the digital economy. Collaboration as a term was particularly loaded with connotations particularly in this context as it was associated with government collaboration for illicit gain, working with government spies or colluding with authorities. The atelier stopped short of challenging the terms, and seeking alternatives such as revenue creation instead of capture. The term capture has been more recently popularised in reference to media capture and may therefore be easily confused.

The relevance of symbiosis here was to understand how politically pressured news media could overcome their resource constraints, to reduce external threats and uncertainty, and to achieve long-term cooperation and some stability through inter-firm organising. There was general motivation that working together was possible grounded in "a real desire and potential to innovate in this space". Commonalities were born not in their situation as exiled or politically pressured but in the kinds of values and shared struggles being faced with an "unequivocally ameliorative impulse" (West, 1989. p. 4). We can say that there was an appetite for horizontal approaches which preserve niche and independence while offering strength and resilience. These focussed on leveraging skills and motivations from participating media, as workable exploratory options. The conditions for collaborative approaches were most favourable where interdependence offered editorial, practical and commercial opportunities for mutual support. Success depended as much on conduct and shared values as it did on resources and operational considerations. Participants showed willing to collaborate in a way not seen typically by corporately owned mainstream news. The findings build on earlier literature (Cook, 2016; Sakr, 2016) to identify how stronger foundations for sustainability can be created among media teams, as well as with readers and advertisers as we know little about how to assess the quality of collaboration as an inter-firm dynamic and the merits of collaborative structures for politically pressured news media.

Collaboration was not embraced straightforwardly as a guiding notion. Many reflections during the workshop were critical or doubtful. Fitting with coopetition literature, these experiences reflect an increasing awareness of the complexity of conditions between economic agents. These were heightened for politically pressured news media operating in multiple languages, inconsistent availability of audience data and patchy internet access. The diverse contexts and varied pressures present barriers. What happens through the paradox of the simultaneous pursuit of competition and cooperation includes felt tension (Gnyawali et al., 2016) raising pertinent challenges for those working in media development. While the partners in this type of alliances have cooperation among them, they also compete for some common resources that they all need, such as the same market or the same supply, not least for donor funding. As a regional network to promote solidarity in South Africa also found, cooperation needs clear goals and a decentralised structure that avoids imposing hierarchy to encourage unhealthy competition (Wasserman, 2021).

The four main conceptual areas for collaboration were grouped into technology, revenue-based systems, coordinating actions and journalism production. In fact, participants were encouraged to be exploratory as to where and with whom collaborations may emerge. Specifically, participants noted learning about possibilities in the post-Soviet space, potential new partners, cross-border collaboration "that could generate revenues". Digital technologies offered clear routes to facilitate such collaborations. Many collaborative ideas were real-world feasible projects that could take shape in an everyday context. Content aggregation, geo-tagging, digital encryption and algorithms presented rich terrains for inter-firm collaborations. There was striking variety and ambition in these imagined future projects. These were particularly illuminating as

increased digital and global connectivity are beginning to challenge exile as a concept. The conceptual collaborations were not intended as a systematic typology; this would be better achieved with methodologies better suited to mapping and would be a worthy addition to the literature. Rather, the opportunities pose a powerful argument about the centrality of collaboration in journalism's economic viability in the digital economy: particularly in a time of increased globalisation and the survival of small- to medium-sized news media.

These non-linear discussions may not be very helpful in emerging concrete or quick fix knowledge nor are there opportunities to derive extensive follow-up research as the atelier was context specific. While fruitful and meaningful discussions can be generated during intensive episodes of exchange such as this, the risk is that intentions succumb to an action cliff post-event. The scope of collaborative initiatives will also remain limited without levels of coordination or management. This included the desire for a forum for regular contact and exchange, or to seek project funding. The absence of a coordinating or representative body limit the real-world adoption of proposals. Thus, there are implications for media development actors to support or facilitate the necessary coordination activities needed to unlock collaborative approaches that could strengthen fragile media. As feedback indicated, "ideas are great, but you need action to make a difference". Organisations such as United for News or Global Forum for Media Development would be well placed to take further steps. This echoes findings from Schiffrin (2019) who calls for an industry-wide body that would assist small civic-minded outlets in building capacity for doing international fundraising, channel funds from donors and other kinds of efforts to generate revenue with peer-to-peer learning and fundraising.

While these conclusions add to the current knowledge about economic experiences, they also propose new directions for future research into journalism sustainability more generally. A fitting next step would be to systematically review and critique collaborative approaches to revenue creation. These would be timely given the industry-led practices of collaboration amongst small- to medium- sized news media, and particularly pertinent helping to avoid exiled and politically pressured news media having to fight the sustainability battle as a singular challenge.

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Sameer Padania for contextual notes, and anonymous peer reviewers for their comments on earlier drafts. The atelier was funded by Research Council UK New Economic Models in the Digital Economy and conducted under ethics approval BAHSS219 from the University of Central Lancashire.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Research Council UK through NEMODE: New Economic Models in the Digital Economy, Network+.

### Notes on contributor

*Clare Cook* (PhD) is a senior lecturer at the University of Central Lancashire, UK, where she co-founded the Media Innovation Studio research group. She is also a media advisor at International Media Support, Denmark.

## ORCID

Clare Elizabeth Cook (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5063-6013

### References

- Alleyne, M. (1997). News revolution: Political and economic decisions about global information. St. Martin's Press.
- Arnold, C., & Blackman, S. (2021). Retrieving and repurposing: A grounded approach to hyperlocal working practices through a subcultural lens. *Digital Journalism*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10. 1080/21670811.2021.1880330
- Badran, Y. (2020). Strategies and (survival) tactics: The case of Syrian oppositional media in Turkey. *Journal of Alternative & Community Media*, 5(1), 69–85. https://doi.org/10.1386/joacm\_00075\_1
- Balasundaram, N. (2019). Exiled journalists as active agents of change: Understanding their journalistic practices. In S. Shaw & S. Selvarajah (Eds.), *Reporting human rights, conflicts, and peacebuilding: Critical and global perspectives* (pp. 265–280). Springer International Publishing. Bazeley, P. (2013). *Qualitative data analysis: Practical strategies.* SAGE.
- Belair-Gagnon, V., & Holton, A. (2018). Boundary work, interloper media, and analytics in newsrooms: An analysis of the roles of web analytics companies in news production. *Digital Journalism*, 6(4), 492–508. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2018.1445001
- Bengtsson, M., & Kock, S. (2000). "Coopetition" in business networks—To cooperate and compete simultaneously. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(5), 411-426. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0019-8501(99)00067-X
- Brandenburger, A. M., & Nalebuff, B. J. (1997). Co-opetition. Currency Doubleday.
- Breiner, J. (2017). Mapping the world's digital media ecosystem: The quest for sustainability. In P. Berglez, U. Olausson, & M. Ots (Eds.), What is sustainable journalism? Integrating the environmental social and economic challenges of journalism (pp. 257–277). Peter Lang.
- Carr, N. (2009). The big switch: Rewiring the world, from Edison to Google. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Carson, A., Muller, D., Martin, J., & Simons, M. (2016). A new symbiosis? Opportunities and challenges to hyperlocal journalism in the digital age. *Media International Australia*, 161(1), 132–146. https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X16648390
- Chesbrough, H. (2003). Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology. Harvard Business School Press (Boston, Massachusetts).
- Chesbrough, H. (2010). Business model innovation: Opportunities and barriers. Long Range Planning, 43(2-3), 354-363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.010
- Coghlan, D., & Brydon-Miller, M. (2014). The sage encyclopaedia of action research. SAGE.
- Cook, C. (2015). *Collaborative revenue capture for exiled media. Readymag.* https://readymag.com/ u61590527/54803/
- Cook, C. (2020). *Re-modelling journalism revenues in Belarus* Medium. . https://medium.com/ @cook.ce/re-modelling-journalism-revenues-in-belarus-d4b4dbc7e43c
- Cook, C. (2016a). The ethics of revenue generation for oppositional news outlets in J. Townend, D. Muller and R.L. Keeble (Eds.) Special issue Beyond clickbait and commerce: The ethics, possibilities and challenges of not-for-profit media. *The International Journal of Communication Ethics*, 13(23), 66–80 ISSN 1742-0105.
- Cook, C. (2016). Fragile finance: The revenue models of oppositional news outlets in repressive regimes. *International Communication Gazette*, 78(6), 514–535. https://doi.org/10.1177/1748048516640212

- Cook, C. (2019). Unlocking journalism resilience: Adapting a digital business model to promote press freedom. Wan-Ifra.
- Crossan, M., & Inkpen, A. (1994). Promise and reality of learning through alliances. *The International Executive*, 36(3), 263–273. https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.5060360302
- Dailey, L., Demo, L., & Spillman, M. (2005). The convergence continuum: A model for studying collaboration between media newsrooms. *Atlantic Journal of Communication*, 13(3), 150–168. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15456889ajc1303\_2
- Dailey, L., & Spillman, M. (2013). Newspapers form partnerships with more than television stations. *Newspaper Research Journal*, 34(3), 72-85. https://doi.org/10.1177/073953291303400306
- Deane, J. (2013). Fragile States: The role of media and communication. BBC Media Action.
- Deselaers, P., James, K., Mikhael, R., & Schneider, L. (2019). *More than money: Rethinking media* viability in the digital age. DW Akademie.
- Domingo, D., Masip, P., & Costera Meijer, I. (2015). Tracing digital news networks: Towards an integrated framework of the dynamics of news production, circulation and use. *Digital Journalism*, 3(1), 53–67. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2014.927996
- Drew, K., & Thomas, R. (2018). From separation to collaboration: Perspectives on editorialbusiness collaboration at United States news organizations. *Digital Journalism*, 6(2), 196–215. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1317217
- Eldridge, S. (2017). Online journalism from the periphery: Interloper media and the journalistic field. Routledge.
- FOJO. (2013). Independent media in exile A baseline consultation FOJO media institute.
- Foster, M. (2014). *Measuring the audience: Why it matters to independent news media and how it can contribute to media development.* Center for International Media Assistance.
- Foster, M. (2017). *Media feast news famine ten global advertising trends that threaten independent journalism*. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Gicheru, C. (2014). *The challenges facing independent newspapers in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.
- Gnyawali, D. R., Madhavan, R., He, J., & Bengtsson, M. (2016). The competition-cooperation paradox in inter-firm relationships: A conceptual framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 53, 7–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.11.014
- Guest, G., MacQueen, K., & Namey, E. (2012). Applied thematic analysis. Sage.
- Hatcher, J., & Thayer, D. (2017). Assessing collaboration in one media ecosystem. *Journalism Practice*, 11(10), 1283–1301. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2016.1254059
- Hautakangas, M., & Ahva, L. (2018). Introducing a new form of socially responsible journalism: Experiences from the conciliatory journalism project. *Journalism Practice*, 12(6), 730–746. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2018.1470473
- Hendry, J., & Seidl, D. (2003). The structure and significance of strategic episodes: Social systems theory and the routine practices of strategic change: Social systems theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(1), 175–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00008
- Hirst, M. (2011). News 2.0: Can journalism survive the Internet? Allen & Unwin.
- Hughes, S., & Lawson, C. (2005). The barriers to media opening in Latin America. *Political Communication*, 22(1), 9–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584600590908410
- IREX. (2018). Media sustainability index. http://www.irex.org/project/media-sustainability-index-msi
- Ismail, Z. (2018). *Strengthening the financial independence of independent media organisations*. K4D. Department for International Development.
- Jiménez, P., Cárdenas, D. A., Shi Lai, M., & Rasquinet, N. (2017). *The political economy of media capture*. Department for International Development.
- Kim, N., Konieczna, M., Yoon, H. Y., & Friedland, L. A. (2016). Sustainability factors of emergent civic news websites: A qualitative comparative analysis approach. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 93(4), 750–769. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699016628807
- Konieczna, M. (2018). *Journalism without profit: Making news when the market fails*. Oxford University Press.

18 👄 C. E. COOK

- Küng, L. (2016). Why is media management research so difficult And what can scholars do to overcome the field's intrinsic challenges? *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 13(4), 276–282. https://doi.org/10.1080/16522354.2016.1236572
- Li, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Liang, L., & Sun, W. (2018). Symbiosis-orientation and the survival of small-large strategic alliances. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies*, 4(2), 121–136. https://doi.org/10.1177/2393957518778475
- Li, J., Young, M. N., & Tang, G. (2012). The development of entrepreneurship in Chinese communities: An organizational symbiosis perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 29(2), 367–385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-010-9192-x
- Linder, J., & Cantrell, S. (2000). Changing business models: Surveying the landscape. Accenture.
- Lu, K., & Holcomb, J. (2016). Digital news audience: Fact sheet. Pew Research Center.
- Madon, A., Reinhard, N., Walsham, D., & Walsham, G. (2009). Digital inclusion projects in developing countries: Processes of institutionalization. *Information Technology for Development*, 15(2), 95–107. https://doi.org/10.1002/itdj.20108
- Mhlanga, B. (2017). *The survival strategies used by Bustop TV and Magamba TV in Zimbabwe's uncertain media landscape.* Bachelor thesis. Faculty of science. Midlands State University.
- Myers, M., & Juma, L. (2018). *Defending independent media a comprehensive analysis of aid flows*. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Myllylahti, M. (2017). The rise of tactical partnerships: Media converging beyond ownership. *MEDIANZ: Media Studies Journal of Aotearoa New Zealand*, 17((1) 74–92). https://doi.org/10. 11157/medianz-vol17iss1id181
- Nielsen, K., & Ganter, S. (2018). Dealing with digital intermediaries: A case study of the relations between publishers and platforms. *New Media & Society*, 20(4), 1600–1617. https://doi.org/10. 1177/1461444817701318
- Northcutt, N., & McCoy, D. (2004). Interactive qualitative analysis. SAGE.
- O'Loughlin, C., & Schafraad, P. (2016). News on the move: Towards a typology of journalists in exile. *Observatorio*, 10(1), 45–66 https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download? .
- Pathania-Jain, G. (2001). Global parents, local partners: A value-chain analysis of collaborative strategies of media firms in India. *Journal of Media Economics*, 14(3), 169–187. https://doi.org/ 10.1207/S15327736ME1403\_3
- Pavlik, J. V. (2013). Innovation and the future of Journalism. *Digital Journalism*, 1(2), 181–193. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2012.756666
- Picard, R. G. (2011). *Mapping digital media: Digitization and media business models*. Open Society Foundations.
- Picard, R. G. (2017). Monitoring media sustainability: Economic and business revisions to development indicators. In P. Berglez, U. Olausson, & M. Ots (Eds.), What is sustainable journalism? Integrating the environmental, social, and economic challenges of journalism (pp. 295–313). Peter Lang.
- Podesta, D. (2009). *Soft censorship: How governments around the globe use money to manipulate the media.* Center for International Media Assistance.
- Pon, B., Donner, J., Locke, C., & Kishor, N. (2017). *Paying attention to the poor digital advertising in emerging markets*. Caribou Digital Publishing.
- Prenger, M., & Deuze, M. (2017). A history of innovation and entrepreneurialism in Journalism. In P. Boczkowski & C. W. Anderson (Eds.), *Remaking the news: Essays on the future of journalism scholarship in the digital age* (pp. 235–251). MIT Press Cambridge Massachusetts London England.
- Requejo-Alemán, J. L., & Lugo-Ocando, J. (2014). Assessing the sustainability of Latin American investigative non-profit journalism. *Journalism Studies*, 15(5), 522–532. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 1461670X.2014.885269
- Ristow, B. (2011). Independent media in exile. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Robinson, J., Grennan, K., & Schiffrin, A. (2015). Publishing for peanuts: Innovation and the Journalism Startup. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Rohn, U., & Evens, T. (2020). Media management matters: Challenges and opportunities for bridging theory and practice. Routledge.

- Rosenstiel, T., & Jurkowitz, M. (2012). The search for a new business model an in-depth look at how newspapers are faring trying to build digital revenue. Pew Research Center.
- Sagarin, R. (2013). *To overcome your company's limits, look to symbiosis*. Harvard Business Review. Sakr, N. (2016). Survival or sustainability? Contributions of innovatively managed news ventures to the
- future of Egyptian journalism. Journal of Media Business Studies, 13(1), 45-59. https://doi.org/10. 1080/16522354.2015.1125608
- Sakr, N. (2017). Managing for sustainable journalism under authoritarianism: Innovative business models aimed at good practice. In P. Berglez, U. Olausson, & M. Ots (Eds.), What is sustainable journalism? Integrating the environmental, social, and economic challenges of journalism (pp. 295– 313). Peter Lang.
- Schapals, A. K., Maares, P., & Hanusch, F. (2019). Working on the margins: Comparative perspectives on the roles and motivations of peripheral actors in journalism. *Media and Communication*, 7(4), 19. https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v7i4.2374
- Schiffrin, A. (Ed.). (2017). In the service of power: Media capture and the threat to democracy. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Schiffrin, A. (2019). Fighting for survival: Media startups in the global south. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Schilit, N. (2013). Journalists in exile. Centre for the Protection of Journalists.
- Schmidt, C. (2017). Viability of alternative online news media in developing and transition countries. Universities, Entrepreneurship and Enterprise Development in Africa – Conference Proceedings, 2016 (5): 66–78 https://ideas.repec.org/h/sau/ueedcc/05066-078.html. German African University Partnership Platform for the Development of Entrepreneurs and Small/ Medium Enterprises
- Sen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2016). Digital journalism startups in India. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism.
- Sill, M. (2011). *The case for open journalism now. A new framework for informing communities.* Annenberg School for Communication and Journalism.
- Singer, J. B. (2011). Journalism in a network. In M. Deuze (Ed.), *Managing media work* (pp. 103–109). Sage.
- Skjerdal, T. (2011). Journalists or activists? Self-identity in the Ethiopian diaspora online community. *Journalism*, 12(6), 727–744. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884911405471
- Spurk, C., & Dingerkus, F. (2017). The need and the opportunities for sustainability The case of local radio stations in Tanzania. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 14(1), 38–59. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/16522354.2017.1292715
- Susman-Pena, T. (2012). *Making media development more effective*. Center for International Media Assistance.
- Taylor, M., & Kent, M. L. (2000). Media transitions in Bosnia from propagandistic past to uncertain future. *International Communication Gazette*, 62(5), 355-378. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0016549200062005002
- Turow, J. (2011). *The daily you: How the new advertising industry is defining your identity and your worth*. Yale University Press.
- UNESCO. (2015) . *Media viability indicators*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- Van De Ven, A. (2007). Engaged scholarship: A guide for organizational and social research. Oxford University Press.
- Varadarajan, P. R., & Rajaratnam, D. (1986). Symbiotic marketing revisited. *Journal of Marketing*, 50(1), 7–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224298605000101
- Veendorp, S. (2011). Addressing the capitalization gap for independent news media mobilizing funding for independent news media. Wan-Ifra, SIDA.

20 👄 C. E. COOK

- Warner, J., & Iastrebner, M. (2017). Inflection point impact, threats and sustainability a study of Latin American digital media entrepreneurs. SembraMedia.
- Wasserman, H. (2021). The untapped potential of regional cooperation for media reform in Southern Africa. Center for International Media Assistance.
- West, C. (1989). The American evasion of philosophy: A genealogy of pragmatism. University of Wisconsin Press.
- Wright, K., Scott, M., & Bunce, M. (2019). Foundation-funded journalism, philanthrocapitalism and tainted donors. *Journalism Studies*, 20(5), 675–695. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2017. 1417053