

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Are aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability linked to fatigue in professional soccer match-play? A pilot study
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/12299/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.4172/2324-9080.1000129
Date	2013
Citation	Carling, C., Le Gall, F., McCall, A., Nedelec, M., and Dupont, G. (2013) Are aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability linked to fatigue in professional soccer match-play? A pilot study. <i>Journal of Athletic Enhancement</i> , 2 (6). ISSN 2324-9080
Creators	Carling, C., Le Gall, F., McCall, A., Nedelec, M., and Dupont, G.

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.4172/2324-9080.1000129>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

1 This is a pre-proof corrected manuscript, as accepted for publication, of an article
2 published by SciTechnol in *Journal of Athletic Enhancement* on 25th November 2013,
3 available online: [http://www.scitechnol.com/are-aerobic-fitness-and-repeated-sprint-
4 ability-linked-to-fatigue-in-professional-soccer-matchplay-a-pilot-study-
5 ZfkA.php?article_id=1669](http://www.scitechnol.com/are-aerobic-fitness-and-repeated-sprint-ability-linked-to-fatigue-in-professional-soccer-matchplay-a-pilot-study-ZfkA.php?article_id=1669)

6 **PLEASE REFER TO THE PUBLISHED VERSION FOR CITING PURPOSES**

7
8
9 **Title: Are aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability linked to fatigue in
10 professional soccer match-play? A pilot study.**

11
12 Submission type: short communication

13
14 Authors:

15 Christopher Carling, PhD^{1,2}; Franck Le Gall, MD¹; Gregory Dupont, PhD^{1,3}

16
17 Affiliations:

18 ¹LOSC Lille Métropole Football Club, Domain de Luchin, Camphin-en-Pévèle, France,

19 ²Institute of Coaching and Performance, University of Central Lancashire, Preston,
20 United Kingdom,

21 ³Univ Lille Nord de France, UArtois, EA4488, 59000 Lille, France.

22
23 Corresponding author:

24 Christopher Carling

25 LOSC Lille Métropole Football Club,

26 Domain de Luchin, Camphin-en-Pévèle,

27 France,

28 Tel : + 33 (0)6 03921863

29 chris.carling@free.fr

30

31 Running Head: Fitness and match fatigue in soccer

32 **Abstract**

33 This investigation examined the association between aerobic fitness and repeated sprint
34 ability and match-related fatigue in 9 professional outfield soccer players. Aerobic
35 fitness using maximal aerobic speed (MAS) was determined via a continuous
36 progressive incremental running test conducted on a motorised treadmill. A repeated
37 sprint ability test (6 successive 6 s sprints separated by 20 s passive recovery) was
38 performed on a non-motorised treadmill to determine mean and best sprint times and a
39 percentage decrement score (%PD). A total of 114 observations of physical
40 performance derived using computerised time motion analyses were collected from 33
41 matches. Correlations between fitness test and match-play measures were examined for
42 1) accumulated fatigue: percentage difference between halves for total distance covered
43 per minute, distance run at high-intensities (HIR, actions for 1s duration, >19.1 km/h)
44 per minute, mean recovery time between high-intensity runs, and percentage difference
45 between the distance covered in HIR in the first 5- and 15-minute periods versus the
46 final 5- and 15-minute periods respectively in normal time; and for 2) transient fatigue:
47 percentage difference between the distance covered in HIR in a peak 5-minute period
48 and the subsequent 5-minute period and for the latter compared to the mean for all other
49 5-minute periods. No significant relationships were observed between MAS and fatigue
50 scores (magnitude of associations: trivial to large). For mean and best sprint times and
51 %PD, the only reported significant correlation ($r=0.77$, magnitude of association: very
52 large, $p<0.05$) was between %PD and the % difference across halves for mean recovery
53 time between high-intensity runs (magnitude of other associations: small to large).
54 Criterion measures from tests of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability might not

55 accurately depict a player's capacity to resist fatigue during professional soccer

56 competition.

57

58 Key words: time motion analysis, physical performance, locomotor activity,

59

60 **Introduction**

61 In professional soccer, a strong relationship has been observed between
62 measures of physical fitness derived from tests of repeated sprint ability [1,2],
63 intermittent endurance [3] and aerobic capacity [2] and running performance in
64 competition determined from time motion analyses data. Unfortunately, these studies
65 have generally only examined associations with ‘overall’ time motion measures of
66 running performance such as the total distance run or that covered in sprinting. The
67 potential relationship between fitness scores and declines in competitive physical
68 performance in professional soccer players has up to now not received any attention
69 despite the comprehensive body of research from time motion analyses that has
70 indirectly demonstrated the existence of fatigue during match-play [4]. To our
71 knowledge, only two studies, both conducted in elite youth soccer players, have
72 addressed this gap in the literature [5,6]. In the former for example [5], despite a
73 significant association between intermittent-endurance fitness (Yo-Yo IR1 test) and
74 overall distance covered in high-intensity activity, no relationship was observed
75 between fitness measures and first- vs. second-half decrements in running distance.

76 Nevertheless, the occurrence of accumulated fatigue represented by a significant
77 drop in running distance between halves and towards the latter stages of games and
78 transient fatigue represented by impaired running performance immediately after short-
79 term periods of intense activity is common in professional soccer match-play [7]. In
80 addition, field tests of sprinting ability have demonstrated declines in performance
81 directly after completion of a match [8] and after intense periods of running activity in
82 the first and second halves [9]. In theory therefore, a higher level of anaerobic and/or
83 aerobic fitness might enable ‘protection’ against these forms of game-induced fatigue

84 and potentially reduce the occurrence of declines in running performance.
85 Consequently, the aim of this pilot study was to examine whether an association exists
86 between measures of physical fitness and match-induced fatigue determined via time
87 motion analyses in professional soccer players.

88

89 **Methods & Subjects**

90

91 **Subjects**

92 A total of 9 first-team outfield professional soccer players participated (age: 26.1 ± 3.0
93 years; height: 180.1 ± 8.2 cm; weight 78.1 ± 8.1 kg). The small sample size was in part
94 due to the inclusion of players in whom measures of both aerobic fitness and repeated
95 sprint ability were available. While player consent was obtained and approval for the
96 study obtained from their club, these data arose as a condition of employment in which
97 player performance was routinely measured over the course of the competitive season
98 [10]. Therefore, usual appropriate ethics committee clearance was not required.

99

100 **Fitness testing**

101 All participants were free from illness and injury at the time of fitness testing.
102 All test protocols were performed at the same time of day and the players were familiar
103 with the test procedures.

104 Aerobic fitness was indirectly determined via a continuous progressive
105 incremental running test performed on a motorised treadmill (Desmo 3.0, Woodway,
106 Waukesha, WI, USA) at the beginning of the competitive season. This protocol
107 employed a 4-minute warm-up run performed at 10 km/h on a constant 1.5% gradient

108 followed by 2 km/h increments for 4-minute stages until voluntary exhaustion [11]. The
109 running speed attained at exhaustion (maximal aerobic speed) was used as the criterion
110 measure of aerobic fitness [2].

111 A repeated sprint ability test (RSA) was performed on a non-motorised treadmill
112 (Force 3.0, Woodway, Waukesha, WI, USA). This test was done during the mid-season
113 winter break as logistical reasons prevented assessment during the same period as the
114 aerobic fitness assessment. Following a 15-minute standardized warm-up, the protocol
115 entailed 6 consecutive 6 s sprints separated by 20 s passive recovery periods. Criterion
116 measures of repeated sprint ability included mean and best sprint time and a percentage
117 decrement score (%PD) across the 6 sprints [1].

118

119 Measures of match performance

120 Physical performance via time motion analysis was analysed in 33 matches
121 played over the course of the 2010/2011 competitive season (League, domestic Cup and
122 UEFA Europa League games). A total of 114 individual match observations
123 (completion of entire game) were generated. The recognised difficulties in obtaining
124 systematic information on match running performance (due to player absence through
125 injury and/or non-selection, unavailability of the match analysis system in away games
126 etc.) [12] led to collection of a minimum of 5 and a maximum of 28 individual
127 performance observations for the 9 players with these randomly obtained at different
128 time points across the competitive season.

129 Time motion analyses data were obtained using a semi-automatic computerised
130 motion analysis tracking system (AMISCO, Sport Universal Process, Nice, France).

131 The workings, accuracy and reliability of this system in measuring player movements in
132 elite soccer competition have been described elsewhere [4].

133 The association between MAS and RSA (mean, best and %PD) and the
134 following match-play measures [7] was examined: a) accumulated fatigue: percentage
135 difference between halves for: total distance, distance run at high-intensities (HIR;
136 running performed at speeds >19.8 km/h for a minimum duration of 1 s) per minute and
137 mean recovery time between high-intensity runs; and percentage difference between the
138 distance covered in HIR in the first 5- and 15-minute periods versus the final 5- and 15-
139 minute periods respectively in normal time; b) transient fatigue: percentage difference
140 between the distance covered in HIR in a peak 5-minute period and the subsequent 5-
141 minute period and for the latter compared to the mean for all other 5-minute periods
142 (minus peak period).

143

144 Statistical analyses

145 Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows Version 13.0
146 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Changes in running performance in all players across
147 selected time periods are expressed as a percentage difference (mean \pm SD). The
148 normality of the data was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Pearson's product-
149 moment correlations were employed to examine relationships between fitness measures
150 and percentage changes in match data. The level of statistical significance was set at
151 $p < 0.05$. The correlation coefficients (r) (presented with 95% Confidence Intervals [95%
152 CI]) were assessed according to the following scale of magnitude: ≤ 0.1 , trivial; > 0.1 -
153 0.3 , small; > 0.3 - 0.5 , moderate; > 0.5 - 0.7 , large; > 0.7 - 0.9 , very large; and > 0.9 - 1.0 ,
154 almost perfect [13].

155 **Results:**

156 The mean scores across all players for MAS and mean, best and %PD
157 respectively were 17.7 ± 0.9 km/h and 4.34 ± 0.32 s, 3.91 ± 0.34 s and $-9.3\pm 3.1\%$. While
158 performance dropped in all match-play measures of accumulated and transient fatigue
159 across the selected match periods, no significant relationships (magnitude range for the
160 associations: trivial to large) were observed between MAS and any of the fatigue scores
161 (Table 1). Regarding mean, best & %PD scores, the only significant correlation
162 observed was with the % difference across halves for mean recovery time between high-
163 intensity runs ($r=0.77$, very large, $p<0.05$). The magnitude of the other associations
164 ranged from small to large.

165

166 Insert Table I about here.

167

168 **Discussion**

169 To our knowledge, this study was the first to investigate the association between
170 fitness and match-induced fatigue determined via time motion analyses in professional
171 soccer players. Here, correlation analyses showed that maximal aerobic speed and
172 repeated sprint ability were generally unrelated and even inversely associated (albeit
173 non-significantly) to accumulated and transient match fatigue.

174 The fitness components examined here have previously been associated with
175 overall measures of running performance (total distance run and that covered at high-
176 intensities) in professional soccer players [2]. However, despite the moderate to large
177 magnitude of several of the present correlations, inconsistencies across values and the
178 general lack of significant relationships tend to raise doubts on the capacity and

179 pertinence of the present tests as valid indicators for predicting a player's ability to
180 resist fatigue in match-play. Indeed, the increase in mean recovery time between
181 consecutive high-intensity actions was significantly and positively correlated to the
182 negative percentage decrement observed across sprints in the RSA test inferring that
183 lower decrement scores were actually associated with greater second-half mean
184 recovery times. Thus it would seem that despite the observed declines in distances
185 covered across match periods, higher levels of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability
186 as measured by the current tests were not necessarily associated with a better capacity to
187 resist fatigue in match-play. The present results, while admittedly using different fitness
188 test protocols, partly concord with those observed in young elite soccer players in whom
189 no relationships were found between field tests of intermittent-endurance (Yo-Yo IR1)
190 [5] and aerobic fitness (maximal incremental running test) [6] and first- vs. second-half
191 decrements in match running activities.

192 One explanation for these results could be that the logical validity or intrinsic
193 characteristics of the present fitness tests are simply unrelated to actual match-play
194 demands. Additional work is thus warranted to examine associations with intermittent-
195 type test performance (e.g., Yo-Yo tests) [3] and submaximal parameters of aerobic
196 fitness (e.g., ventilatory or lactate threshold) [14]. An alternative explanation could be
197 that match-related fatigue is simply a function of the physical demands associated with
198 playing positions and/or its link to tactical choices made by coaching staff [6]. While
199 relating playing position to match-related fatigue and player fitness scores would have
200 been pertinent, the small sample size used did not permit this sub-analysis. However,
201 doubts have recently been raised on the use, in isolation, of time-motion analyses to
202 identify occurrence of player fatigue in match-play represented by changes in distance

203 covered across selected time intervals [15]. Declines in running performance identified
204 here might have been over- or under-estimated due to confounding factors such as the
205 time the ball was in play, ball possession and score line [4]. Finally, the players might
206 simply have adopted a pacing strategy whereby they modulated, by intermittently
207 lowering, their physical efforts in an attempt to avoid fatigue [16].

208 A major limitation of this study is the small sample of players (n=9) from a
209 single team which might have left it statistically underpowered. Indeed, the wide
210 confidence intervals for the correlations tend to prevent formulation of any firm
211 conclusions as these frequently overlapped small positive and negative values thus the
212 magnitude of the correlations can be considered unclear [6, 13]. Here, only players who
213 completed both test protocols were included for analysis which substantially reduced
214 the sample size and larger and wider scale samples of professional teams and players are
215 thus necessary to verify the present findings. Ideally, physical performance data in the
216 same players clustered from matches played over a shorter period closer to the tests
217 would also have helped to account for and potentially reduce the effect of any changes
218 across the season in aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability as well as the inherent
219 high variability (expressed as % coefficient of variation) in competitive physical
220 performance [17]. However, as explained earlier, in the present setting and as commonly
221 experienced in other professional soccer club environments this was practically
222 unfeasible due to non-availability (e.g., illness/injury) and/or non-selection of players
223 and the lack of time motion analyses data for every game played (notably in away
224 matches) across the season [12].

225

226 **Conclusion**

227 Within the restrictions of the present sample size and study design, these results imply
228 that practitioners should be aware that criterion measures from fitness tests such as
229 aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability might not accurately depict a player's capacity
230 to resist fatigue during professional soccer competition. Similar investigations using
231 larger sample sizes and additional measures of physical fitness as well as accounting for
232 the present study limitations are nevertheless warranted.

233

234 **Acknowledgements**

235 The dataset from this study was originally presented at the Third World Congress on
236 Science and Soccer held in Ghent, Belgium (14-16th May, 2012).

237 **References**

- 238 1. Carling C, Dupont G, Le Gall, F (2012) Analysis of repeated high-intensity
239 running performance in professional soccer. *J Sports Sci* 30: 325-336.
- 240 2. Rampinini E, Bishop D, Marcora SM, Ferrari Bravo D, Sassi R, et al. (2007)
241 Validity of simple field tests as indicators of match-related physical performance
242 in top-level professional soccer players. *Int J Sports Med* 28: 228-235.
- 243 3. Bradley PS, Mohr M, Bendiksen M, Randers MB, Flindt M, et al. (2011) Sub-
244 maximal and maximal Yo-Yo intermittent endurance test level 2: heart rate
245 response, reproducibility and application to elite soccer. *Eur J Appl Phys* 111:
246 969-978.
- 247 4. Carling C, Bloomfield J, Nelsen L, Reilly T (2008) The role of motion analysis in
248 elite soccer: Contemporary performance measurement techniques and work-rate
249 data. *Sports Med* 38: 839-862.
- 250 5. Castagna C, Impellizzeri F, Cecchini E, Rampinini E, Alvarez JC (2009) Effects
251 of intermittent-endurance fitness on match performance in young male soccer
252 players. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: 1954-1959.
- 253 6. Mendez-Villanueva A, Buchheit M, Simpson B, Bourdon PC (2013) Match play
254 intensity distribution in youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 34:101-110.
- 255 7. Bradley PS, Sheldon W, Wooster B, Olsen P, Boanas P, et al. (2009) High-
256 intensity running in English FA Premier League soccer matches. *J Sports Sci*
257 27:159-168.
- 258 8. Rampinini E, Bosio A, Ferraresi I, Petruolo A, Morelli A, et al. (2011) Match-
259 related fatigue in soccer players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 43; 2161-2170.

- 260 9. Krstrup P, Mohr M, Steensberg A, Bencke J, Kjaer M, et al. (2006) Muscle and
261 blood metabolites during a soccer game: implications for sprint performance. *Med*
262 *Sci Sports Exerc* 38: 1165-1174.
- 263 10. Winter EM, Maughan RJ (2009) Requirements for ethics approvals. *J Sports Sci*
264 27: 985.
- 265 11. Reilly T (2007). *Science of training: Soccer*. Routledge; London, UK.
- 266 12. Carling C, Wright C, Nelson LJ, Bradley PS. (2013) Comment on ‘Performance
267 analysis in football: A critical review and implications for future research’. *J*
268 *Sports Sci*, in press.
- 269 13. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J (2009) Progressive statistics
270 for studies in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med & Sci Sports & Exerc* 41:
271 3-13.
- 272 14. Abt G, Lovell, R (2009) The use of individualized speed and intensity thresholds
273 for determining the distance run at high-intensity in professional soccer. *J Sports*
274 *Sci* 27: 893-898.
- 275 15. Carling, C (2013) Interpreting physical performance in professional soccer match-
276 play: should we be more pragmatic in our approach. *Sports Med*, 43: 655-663.
- 277 16. Bradley PS, Noakes TD (2013) Match running performance fluctuations in elite
278 soccer: Indicative of fatigue, pacing or situational influences? *J Sports Sci*,
279 31:1627-1638.
- 280 17. Gregson W, Drust B, Atkinson G, Salvo Di, VD (2010) Match-to-match
281 variability of high-speed activities in premier league soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 31:
282 237-242.

283 **Table I:** Associations between measures of aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability and match-related fatigue in 9 professional soccer
 284 players.
 285
 286

Match period			% Difference	RSA Best	Correlation coefficient (95%CI)		Maximal Aerobic Speed
					RSA Mean	RSA % Decrement Score	
1st vs. 2nd Half							
Total distance (m/min) [#]	124.4±8.2	117.2±6.8	-5.8±1.9	0.51 (-0.23 to 0.88)	0.39 (-0.38 to 0.84)	-0.43 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.24 (-0.78 to 0.50)
High-intensity running (m/min)	9.6±2.2	9.4±1.9	-2.5±8.5	0.45 (-0.31 to 0.86)	0.44 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.41 (-0.84 to 0.36)	-0.35 (-0.82 to 0.41)
High-intensity action recovery time (s)	100.0±25.9	117.1±26.2	+17.1±8.7	-0.44 (-0.85 to 0.34)	-0.39 (-0.84 to 0.37)	*+0.77 (0.22 to 0.95)	0.20 (-0.54 to 0.76)
Total distance covered in selected match periods (m)							
0-15min vs. 75-90mins	153.7±41.3	152.9±30.7	-0.5±13.4	0.56 (-0.16 to 0.89)	0.45 (-0.30 to 0.86)	-0.38 (-0.84 to 0.38)	-0.55 (-0.89 to 0.18)
0-5mins vs. 85-90mins	58.5±15.6	56.3±12.3	-3.9±30.2	0.34 (-0.42 to 0.82)	0.17 (-0.55 to 0.75)	-0.43 (-0.85 to 0.33)	0.03 (-0.65 to 0.68)
Peak 5mins vs Following 5mins	108.5±14.4	39.7±14.7	-63.4±8.4	-0.37 (-0.83 to 0.39)	-0.52 (-0.88 to 0.22)	-0.14 (-0.75 to 0.58)	0.28 (-0.46 to 0.80)
Peak 5mins vs Mean for all 5mins	45.1±10.0	38.3±14.7	-15.2±15.8	-0.51 (-0.88 to 0.24)	-0.62 (-0.91 to 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.75 to 0.56)	0.39 (-0.37 to 0.83)

287
 288 *Significant association (p<0.05) between the % Decrement Score across sprints for the test of repeated sprint ability (RSA) and the difference in mean recovery time
 289 between high-intensity actions across match halves.
 290

291 [#]m/min: metres covered per minute