

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

| Title | Biomechanical predictors of ball velocity during punt kicking in elite rugby |
|----------|---|
| | league kickers |
| Туре | Article |
| URL | https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/16797/ |
| DOI | https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954116644062 |
| Date | 2016 |
| Citation | Sinclair, Jonathan Kenneth, Taylor, Paul John, Atkins, Stephen and Hobbs, Sarah Jane (2016) Biomechanical predictors of ball velocity during punt kicking in elite rugby league kickers. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 11 (3). pp. 356-364. ISSN 1747-9541 |
| Creators | Sinclair, Jonathan Kenneth, Taylor, Paul John, Atkins, Stephen and Hobbs, Sarah Jane |

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954116644062

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

| Biomechanical predictors of ball velocity during punt kicking in elite rugby league |
|--|
| kickers |
| |
| ¹ Sinclair J, ² Taylor P.J, ¹ Atkins S, and ¹ Hobbs S.J |
| 1. Centre for Applied Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Central |
| Lancashire |
| 2. School of Psychology, University of Central Lancashire |
| Contact Details: |
| Jonathan Sinclair, |
| School of Sport Tourism and Outdoors, |
| University of Central Lancashire. |
| Preston |
| PR1 2HF |
| e-mail: iksinclair@uclan.ac.uk |
| e-man. jksmeran @ ucran.ac.uk |
| Vermender mehr histring himemetics hell velocity |
| Keywords: rugby, kicking, kinematics, ball velocity. |
| |
| Word count: |
| Main text (3662): |
| Main text (including references): (4578); |
| ABSTRACT Punt kicking is integral to the attacking and defensive elements of rugby league and the ability to kick the ball with high velocity is desirable. This study aimed to identify important technical aspects of kicking linked to the generation of ball velocity. Maximal punt kicks were obtained from six elite rugby league kickers using a ten camera motion capture system. Three-dimensional kinematics of the lower extremities were obtained. Regression analysis with ball velocity as criterion was used to identify the kinematic parameters associated with the development of ball velocity. The regression model yielded an adj R ² =0.76, p≤0.01. Two parameters were identified: knee extension angular velocity of the kicking limb at impact (R ² =0.50) and peak flexion angular velocity of the kicking hip (R ² =0.26, p≤0.01). It is conceivable that players may benefit from exposure to coaching and strength techniques geared towards the modification of kicking mechanics specific to this study. |
| |

3637 INTRODUCTION

Rugby league is an extremely popular sporting discipline in a number of countries, particularly England, Australia and New Zealand. Kicking has become increasingly important in rugby league. Punt kicking is integral to rugby league and a desired element of any player's skill set is the ability to kick the rugby ball long distances. Lim et al., [1] proposed following their examination of game actions contributing to performance that effective kicking is of greater importance than any of the set piece elements of rugby.

45

46 In professional rugby league effective punt kicking is important for attacking play, 47 typically in the form of a 40-20 where a player behind his side's 40 metre line kicks the 48 ball over the side-lines of the field of play past the opponent's 20 metre line. A 49 successful 40-20 typically gives the offensive side attacking possession by moving the 50 team from their own 40 metre line to the position where the ball went out inside the opposing team's 20 metre area. Furthermore, punt kicking for maximal distance is also 51 52 important for defensive play near the end of the tackle count, whereby the ball will often 53 find its way to the best kicker on the team who will return possession of the ball to the 54 other side in the most favourable position for his team by kicking as far down the 55 opposite end of the field as possible. Thus ensuring the opposing team have to 56 commence their attack in position as far from the defensive try line as possible.

57

58 It is well known that a greater projection velocity results in a greater kick distance [2]. 59 Maximal punt kicking, with the aim of achieving high resultant ball velocity, occurs 60 many times during sport [3]. Punt kicking for maximum distance in rugby league has 61 received a paucity of research attention. However a select number of studies of punt 62 kicking biomechanics have been carried out in other sports [4-7]. The punt kick is 63 described as a proximal-distal sequence of movements including a run up, planting of 64 the stance/support limb, and ball strike with the kicking limb [8]. During maximal 65 velocity kicking, the support limb serves as the axis of rotation for the swinging leg. The generation of power begins at the hip joint, and as the kicking limb comes around, a 66 67 sequential transfer of momentum from the hip to the ankle joint causes an increase in 68 foot speed [7]. Ball, [4] conducted the only study to investigate mechanics of the punt 69 kick in relation to the generation of ball velocity in Australian Rules football. Ball, [4] 70 showed that the most influential parameter was the velocity of the foot at ball contact. 71 However other key parameters linked to the development of ball velocity were shank 72 angular velocity at ball contact, the linear distance of the last stride before ball contact 73 and the position of the ball relative to the body.

- 74
- 75

Therefore whilst the importance of maximal distance punt kicking in professional rugby league has been well documented and punt kicking mechanics have received considerable attention in other sports, there has been no examination of the technical elements pertinent to the development of kicking distance using elite rugby league players. This study therefore aims to identify important technical aspects of distance kicking linked to the generation of high ball velocity using regression analyses.

- 82
- 83 METHODS

84 Participants

Six elite standard male rugby league kickers volunteered to take part in this 85 86 investigation (age 24.75 \pm 4.11 years; height 178.25 \pm 5.68 cm; body mass 82.75 \pm 87 7.50 kg). The participants were contracted to a professional rugby league club in 88 England. Although not all of the players typically performed kicks during games all six 89 players practiced punt kicking during training three times per week during the season. 90 All were free from lower extremity pathology and provided written informed consent in 91 accordance with the procedures outlined in the declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval 92 for this project was obtained from the School of Psychology ethics committee at the 93 University of Central Lancashire.

- 94
- 95 Procedure

A ten camera motion analysis system (QualisysTM Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden)
captured kinematic data at 250 Hz from each participant performing maximal punt kicks
with a 5 m run up. A standard sized rugby ball was kicked from the centre of the
laboratory into a net positioned 8 m away. Dynamic calibration of the motion analysis
system was performed before each data collection session.

101

102 The anatomical marker configuration utilized for this study was based on the calibrated 103 anatomical systems technique (CAST) method [9] allowing the thorax, pelvis and 104 bilateral foot, shank and thigh segments to be defined and tracked. Retro-reflective 105 markers (19 mm diameter) were attached in the following locations; bilaterally to the 1st 106 and 5th metatarsal heads, calcaneus, medial and lateral malleoli, medial and lateral 107 epicondyle of the femur, greater trochanter, right and left posterior super iliac spine 108 (PSIS) and right and left anterior super iliac spine (ASIS). Technical tracking clusters 109 were positioned on the right and left thigh and right and left shank. The hip joint centre 110 was determined using regression equations via the positions of the PSIS and ASIS 111 markers [10]. The tracking clusters were comprised of four 19 mm spherical reflective 112 markers mounted to a thin sheath of lightweight carbon fiber with a length to width 113 ratios of 1.5:1 and 2.05:1, in accordance with the previously established guidelines [11]. 114 A static trial was captured to define the pelvis, thighs, feet and tibial segments of both 115 the left and right limbs, following which markers not used for tracking the segments 116 during motion, were removed prior to the collection of dynamic information. The rugby 117 ball was treated as a segment using the motion capture system allowing the centre of the 118 ball to be located. This involved placing two markers at either end of the ball to obtain 119 the proximal and distal aspects, and a further tracking marker was positioned in the 120 middle. Following the static trial markers at the end of the ball that was to be kicked 121 were removed. The motion camera system therefore tracked the rugby ball using three 122 reflective markers, allowing ball release speed to be quantified. Twenty trials were 123 recorded from each player.

- 124
- 125 Data Processing

Kinematic parameters were quantified using Visual 3-D (C-Motion Inc, Germantown, USA) and filtered at 15 Hz using a zero-lag low pass Butterworth 4th order filter. This was selected as being the frequency at which 95% of the signal power was maintained, following a fast fourier transform (FFT). Five trials of maximal punt kicking were averaged for each participant. Stance limb kinematics were defined by the instances of footstrike and take-off from force platform data, whilst kicking limb kinematics were defined from stance limb touch down to ball contact. Stance was defined as the time 133 over which 20 N or greater of vertical force was applied to the force platform [12]. 134 Using the protocol documented by Sinclair et al., [13], ball contact was determined 135 using the change in velocity of the ball. Ball contact was identified as the instance at 136 which the vertical velocity of the ball changed from negative to positive. The trials were 137 split following ball contact in order to quantify ball velocity (Sinclair et al., 2014). This 138 served to reduce the potential for distortion of the markers positioned onto the ball as a 139 result of the foot impact, allowing ball velocity to be more accurately quantified [14]. 140 Angles were created about an XYZ cardan sequence referenced to co-ordinate systems 141 created about the proximal end of the segment, where X = sagittal plane rotations; Y =142 coronal plane rotations and Z = transverse plane rotations. Three-dimensional kinematic 143 measures from the hip, knee and ankle which were extracted for statistical analysis were 144 1) angle at footstrike, 2) angle at toe-off, 3) angle at ball impact, 4) range of motion 145 during stance, 5) peak angle during stance, 6) relative range of motion from footstrike to peak angle, 7) angular velocity at footstrike, 8) angular velocity at toe-off, 9) angular 146 147 velocity at ball impact and 10) peak angular velocity.

148 149

150 *Statistical analyses*

151 Multiple regression analyses with ball velocity as criterion and the 3-D kinematic 152 parameters as independent variables were carried out using a forward stepwise 153 procedure with significance accepted at the p \leq 0.05 level. The independent variables 154 were examined for co-linearity prior to entry into the regression model using a 155 Pearson's correlation coefficient matrix and those exhibiting high co-linearity R \geq 0.7 156 were removed. All statistical procedures were conducted using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc, 157 Chicago, USA).

158159 **RESULTS**

160 Ball and foot velocities

161 The results revealed mean \pm standard deviation ball velocities of 26.91 \pm 5.45 m.s⁻¹ and 162 foot linear velocities of 20.16 \pm 3.84 m.s⁻¹.

163

164 *Regression analyses*

Figures 1-4 and tables 1-2 present the mean \pm standard deviation 3-D kinematic parameters from both the stance and kicking limbs. The overall regression model yielded an R= 0.95, R² = 0.89 and Adj R² = 0.76, p \leq 0.01. Two biomechanical parameters were obtained as significant predictors of ball velocity. Knee extension angular velocity of the kicking limb in the sagittal plane (*B*=0.90, t=6.95) Adj R²=0.50, p \leq 0.01and peak angular velocity if the hip also in the sagittal plane (*B*=0.29, t=4.60) Adj R²=0.26, p \leq 0.01 were found to be significant predictors of ball velocity.

172 173

- 174
- 175

176

- 177
- 178



Figure 1: Mean and standard deviation hip, knee and ankle joint angular kinematics from the kicking limb in the a. sagittal, b. coronal and c. transverse planes (shaded area is $1 \pm SD$) (FS = stance limb footstrike, IMP = ball impact).



184

Figure 2: Mean and standard deviation hip, knee and ankle joint angular velocities from the kicking limb in the a. sagittal, b. coronal and c. transverse planes (shaded area is 1 \pm SD) (FS = stance limb footstrike, IMP = ball impact)..

| | Hip | | Knee | | Ankle | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sagittal Plane (+ =flexion/ - =extension) | Kick | Stance | Kick | Stance | Kick | Stance |
| Angle at Footstrike (°) | -11.0 ± 6.5 | 49.2 ± 10.5 | 63.6 ± 26.9 | 26.7 ± 3.6 | -34.3 ± 12.3 | -71.0 ± 10.7 |
| Angle at Toe-off / Ball impact (°) | 24.7 ± 12.0 | -3.7 ± 7.6 | 62.7 ± 4.1 | 26.0 ± 7.0 | -28.6 ± 8.0 | -35.5 ± 9.0 |
| Range of Motion (°) | 35.7 ± 6.1 | 53.0 ± 13.7 | 24.6 ± 9.1 | 0.7 ± 5.3 | 6.2 ± 6.8 | 35. 5 ± 11.9 |
| Peak Range of Motion (°) | 36.5 ± 5.9 | 58.2 ± 14.6 | 51.2 ± 16.9 | 16.6 ± 4.9 | 12.0 ± 5.1 | 3.9 ± 2.8 |
| Peak Angle (°) | 25.5 ± 11.7 | -9.0 ± 9.7 | 114.8 ± 13.1 | 43.3 ± 6.7 | -22.3 ± 9.2 | -74.1 ± 8.6 |
| Coronal plane (+ =adduction/ - | | | | | | |
| =abduction) | | | | | | |
| Angle at Footstrike (°) | -8.8 ± 3.0 | -8.3 ± 7.9 | -7.7 ± 3.8 | 6.1 ± 5.3 | 9.1 ± 4.4 | -5.2 ± 4.5 |
| Angle at Toe-off / Ball impact (°) | -9.2 ± 4.0 | -14.1 ± 6.1 | -10.5 ± 3.7 | -6.9 ± 5.5 | 9.6 ± 4.5 | -3.3 ± 7.9 |
| Range of Motion (°) | 3.4 ± 1.4 | 9.2 ± 4.6 | 2.8 ± 2.0 | 13.0 ± 0.8 | 4.1 ± 2.8 | 4.2 ± 4.4 |
| Peak Range of Motion (°) | 6.9 ± 3.6 | 8.0 ± 5.1 | 6.0 ± 3.2 | 13.1 ± 8.4 | 4.3 ± 2.8 | 6.8 ± 5.0 |
| Peak Angle (°) | -15.7 ± 2.5 | -15.5 ± 3.9 | -13.7 ± 4.1 | -7.0 ± 3.3 | 13.4 ± 3.5 | -0.8 ± 9.0 |
| Transverse plane (+ =internal/ - | | | | | | |
| =external) | | | | | | |
| Angle at Footstrike (°) | -15.0 ± 5.0 | -15.6 ± 7.8 | 1.4 ± 6.1 | -1.7 ± 6.0 | -1.8 ± 8.7 | -6.5 ± 7.5 |
| Angle at Toe-off / Ball impact (°) | -6.7 ± 4.5 | -24.2 ± 5.8 | 5.1 ± 6.3 | 11.9 ± 2.3 | 1.3 ± 8.9 | 0.9 ± 6.7 |
| Range of Motion (°) | 8.2 ± 5.9 | 7.9 ± 5.3 | 5.5 ± 2.5 | 13.6 ± 4.4 | 4.0 ± 2.7 | 8.4 ± 3.6 |
| Peak Range of Motion (°) | 10.8 ± 3.8 | 1.8 ± 1.5 | 4.4 ± 3.1 | 17.1 ± 4.8 | 2.5 ± 2.9 | 17.4 ± 7.1 |
| Peak Angle (°) | -4.1 ± 4.3 | -14.5 ± 7.8 | -3.0 ± 6.5 | 15.8 ± 3.8 | -4.3 ± 8.7 | 10.8 ± 3.7 |

192 Table 1: Hip, knee and ankle joint angles (means and standard deviations) from both the stance and kicking limbs.



193FS% StanceTOFS% StanceTOFS% StanceTO194Figure 3: Mean and standard deviation hip, knee and ankle joint angular kinematics195from the stance limb in the a. sagittal, b. coronal and c. transverse planes (shaded area is196 $1 \pm SD$) (FS = stance limb footstrike, TO = stance limb take-off).



For the stance limb in the a. sagittal, b. coronal and c. transverse planes (shaded area is 1 \pm SD) (FS = stance limb footstrike, TO = stance limb take-off).

220 Table 2: Hip, knee and ankle joint velocities (means and standard deviations) from both the stance and kicking limbs.

| | H | Іір | Kne | e | Ankle | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sagittal Plane (+ =flexion/ - | Kick | Stance | Kick | Stance | Kick | Stance |
| =extension) | | | | | | |
| Velocity at Footstrike (°.s ⁻¹) | 45.4 ± 59.4 | -288.1 ± 61.6 | 780.6 ± 171.4 | -102.3 ± 41.8 | 288.6 ± 78.1 | 320.4 ± 201.5 |
| Velocity at Toe-Off / Ball impact (°.s ⁻ 1) | -24.8 ± 63.1 | -367.3 ± 130.4 | -1554.8 ± 254.4 | -184.4 ± 60.2 | -132.2 ± 129.9 | 41.4 ± 59.1 |
| Peak Velocity (°.s ⁻¹) | 450.2 ± 62.3 | -724.6 ± 120.2 | 893.5 ± 100.2 | 262.4 ± 39.4 | 292.9 ± 66.3 | -127.9 ± 69.6 |
| Coronal plane (+ =adduction/ - =abduction) | | | | | | |
| Velocity at Footstrike (°.s ⁻¹) | -41.1 ± 58.4 | 156.2 ± 38.8 | -135.7 ± 79.8 | 7.4 ± 25.1 | 166.0 ± 59.3 | -241.3 ± 144.6 |
| Velocity at Toe-Off / Ball impact (°.s ⁻ ¹) | 178.7 ± 100.3 | -83.8 ± 30.6 | 128.6 ± 181.7 | -83.8 ± 30.6 | -56.8 ± 96.3 | 58.9 ± 30.3 |
| Peak Velocity (°.s ⁻¹) | -153.0 ± 47.6 | 221.8 ± 97.7 | 249.4 ± 61.3 | 221.8 ± 97.7 | 208.2 ± 81.7 | -501.2 ± 200.1 |
| Transverse plane (+ =internal/ - =external) | | | | | | |
| Velocity at Footstrike (°.s ⁻¹) | 34.2 ± 65.7 | 0.6 ± 78.4 | 132.0 ± 120.9 | 0.6 ± 78.4 | 26.9 ± 98.2 | -108.7 ± 90.5 |
| Velocity at Toe-Off / Ball impact (°.s ⁻ ¹) | -77.8 ± 81.8 | 2.8 ± 20.1 | 38.9 ± 101.2 | 2.8 ± 20.1 | 111.5 ± 121.4 | 40.3 ± 43.8 |
| Peak Velocity (°.s ⁻¹) | $24\overline{6.9 \pm 36.5}$ | $-\overline{25.9 \pm 65.1}$ | $-\overline{184.3 \pm 91.2}$ | -35.9 ± 56.1 | -95.4 ± 31.5 | $1\overline{01.4 \pm 53.6}$ |

221 **DISCUSSION**

The aim of the current investigation was to determine the 3-D kinematic parameters pertinent to the development of ball velocity during maximal punt kicking. This study represents the first to examine these factors in rugby league using elite standard kickers.

225

226 The obtained ball velocities correspond well with those obtained in rugby league/ union punt kicking analyses by Holmes et al., [15] (25.60 m.s⁻¹) and Ball et al., [16] (27.80 227 m.s⁻¹). The regression analysis revealed that knee extension angular velocity of the 228 229 kicking limb at ball impact and peak hip angular velocity were the best predictors of ball velocity. The fit of the multiple regression analysis ($R^2 = 0.76$) suggests that 230 231 variance in ball velocity may be significantly influenced by the kicking technique 232 employed by the player. This concurs with the early proposition by Macmillan [17] who 233 documented that variations in ball velocity during punt kicking are influenced by 234 alterations in kinematics.

235

236 That knee extension angular velocity at ball impact served as a strong predictor of ball 237 velocity is unsurprising and concurs with the observations of De Witt & Hinrichs [18] 238 and Ball, [4] who found that knee angular velocity was significantly related to ball 239 velocity during maximal instep soccer kicking and Australian Rules football punt 240 kicking respectively. This observation supports the notion that the velocity of the foot 241 which ultimately governs the resultant ball velocity is a function of the angular velocity 242 of the shank [4]. The linear velocity of the centre of mass of the rotating foot which 243 strikes the ball is directly proportional to the product of the angular velocity and the 244 radius of rotation of the proximal body segments thus the strong influence of shank 245 angular velocity on ball velocity is logical.

246

247 The second significant contributor to resultant ball velocity peak hip flexion velocity 248 also makes empirical and practical sense. Baker & Ball [19] observed that kickers who 249 produced high ball speeds were associated with significantly greater maximum thigh 250 angular velocities than in kickers who produced low ball velocities. Putnam [20] 251 suggested that a high angular velocity of the proximal thigh segment is central in the 252 transfer of momentum to the distal shank segment. It was hypothesized that the peak 253 angular velocity of the thigh segment contributes to about 50% of the resultant angular 254 velocity of the shank. The co-ordination pattern between the thigh and shank segment 255 angular velocities throughout the kick phase is similar to those previously observed 256 during maximal kicking in both soccer and American football [21-24]. During the latter 257 half of the kick phase the shank angular extension velocity increased as the thigh flexion 258 angular velocity decreased. Although the flexion angular velocity of the thigh decreased 259 in the latter part of the movement it is still important that a high maximum thigh angular 260 velocity be attained to facilitate greater angular velocity of the distal segments.

261

Based on the findings of the current investigation, recommendations for training modifications can be made in order to improve ball velocity during punt kicking. In order to improve resultant ball velocity it is recommended that coaching drills be implemented firstly with the aim of increasing sagittal plane knee angular velocity at ball contact. It has been documented that conditioning and skill drills that promote greater foot speeds and shank angular velocities, might be useful methods of training this skill [25]. There is further evidence that an efficacious strength training program 269 which encompasses concentric and eccentric exercises also improves kicking distance 270 and power [26]. Cabri et al., [27] observed high correlations between knee flexor and 271 extensor strength and kick distance. Similarly Poulmedis [28] and Narici et al., [29] also 272 determined that lower extremity muscle strength parameters were significantly related 273 to ball velocity. Similarly a significant relationship between hip flexor and extensor 274 strength was observed which was lower than that for the knee joint. This corresponds 275 with the kinematic observations of the current investigation. As the principal contributor 276 to knee extension and also secondarily to hip flexion, the quadriceps and psoas muscle 277 groups would generate high intensity forces during the punt kick. Therefore, from a 278 biomechanical perspective, the strength training for knee and hip muscle groups may be 279 of particular importance for rugby players.

280

281 The regression analysis suggests that there is still variance in ball velocity that could not 282 be accounted for by the 3-D kinematic parameters observed in the current investigation. 283 It is possible that some of this will be associated with the nature of impact, reported by 284 various authors as important for kicking tasks [24; 30-33]. Bull-Andersen et al., [34] 285 reported that the resultant ball velocity in soccer kicking was due to foot speed and the 286 coefficient of restitution between foot and ball. Ball flight characteristics could also 287 alter these results, as different angles of trajectory and spin rates of the ball will alter 288 how the ball flies through the air. Finally, whilst this study considered the contribution 289 of the lower extremities to resultant ball velocity, no inferences were considered with 290 regards to the arms and their influence on ball velocity. Chen & Chang, [35] noted that 291 arm swing significantly influences the resultant ball velocity, thus it is recommended 292 that future analyses be conducted in order to examine in greater detail the upper body 293 contribution to ball velocity during punt kicking.

294

295 That the current investigation utilized an all-male sample may limit its generalizability 296 as Barfield et al., [36] documented kinematic differences in kicking kinematics during 297 the maximal instep soccer kick. There remains currently a paucity of research regarding 298 the mechanics of punt kicking in females, and the growth in female participation has 299 failed to lead to a corresponding growth in the study of the mechanics of kicking in 300 females. It is therefore recommended that the current investigation be repeated using a 301 female sample. A further limitation of the current investigation is the small sample size. 302 Regression analyses with multiple predictor variables can be sensitive to the number of 303 participants. The preferred ratio of participants to number of predictor variables ranges 304 from 5:1 - 15:1 [37], and is not adhered to in the current examination. However, smaller 305 sample sizes are common when elite level participants are examined and it is unlikely 306 that a sample sufficient to meet the required ratio could be recruited for a study of this 307 nature. Furthermore, as the populations from which elite participants are drawn from are typically much smaller (than when recreational athletes are examined) it could be 308 309 contended that the sample is representative of the population. The findings may 310 therefore require further investigation in larger samples using non-elite players.

311

Whilst the kinetic and kinematic determinants of ball velocity/distance have been the subject of a number of investigations, the accuracy of punt kicking is also pertinent as the kick still has to reach a specific target. There is currently a paucity of research examining 3-D kinematics of movement associated with accuracy in punt kicking. Dichiera et al., [38] have performed the only investigation concerning the accuracy of 317 drop punt kicking. They showed that accurate kickers were associated with significant 318 increases in hip flexion of both stance and kicking limbs, knee flexion in the stance limb 319 and anterior pelvic tilt; indicating that lower limb joint angles may be related to kicking 320 accuracy. However, the research conducted by Dichiera et al., [38] was comparative in 321 nature and there remains a lack of 3-D kinematic research examining the movement 322 patterns associated with accurate punt kicking using correlational techniques. It is 323 recommended therefore that future investigations consider the discrete variables 324 associated with the development of accuracy during punt kicking.

325

326 CONCLUSIONS

327 The current investigation shows that a significant proportion of the variance in ball 328 velocity was explained by a small number of kinematic parameters, indicating that these 329 parameters are clearly pertinent to the development of high ball velocities during punt kicks in rugby league. It is therefore conceivable that players may benefit from exposure 330 331 to coaching and strength techniques geared towards the modification of kicking mechanics specific to this study. The outcomes from interventions utilizing 332 333 biomechanical feedback to improve kicking performance are currently unknown, future 334 work should still focus on implementing interventions to improve kicking performance.

335

338

350

351

360

361

Acknowledgements: Thanks go to Glenn Crook for his technical help and supportthroughout this work.

339 **References**

- Lim, E., Lay, B., Dawson, B., Wallman, K. and Anderson, S., Development of Player Impact Ranking Matrix in Super 14 Rugby Union. <u>International Journal</u> of Performance Analysis of Sport, 2009, 9, 354-367.
- 343
 343
 344
 2. de Mestre, N., The mathematics of projectiles in sport. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- 345
 3. Baktash, S., Hy, A., Muir, S., Walton, T. and Zhang, T., The Effects of Different 346
 347
 348
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 340
 340
 341
 341
 342
 343
 344
 344
 344
 344
 345
 345
 345
 345
 346
 347
 347
 347
 348
 348
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 349
 34
- Ball, K., Biomechanical Considerations of Distance Kicking in Australian Rules
 Football. <u>Sports Biomechanics</u>, 2008, 7, 10-23.
 - 5. Ball, K., Kinematic Comparison of the Preferred and Non-Preferred Foot Punt Kick. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2011, 29, 1545-1552.
- 352
 6. Dichieraa, A., Webstera, K.E., Kuilboera, L., Morrisa, M.E. Bacha, T.M., and
 353
 354
 354
 355
 355
 355
 356
 357
 357
 358
 359
 350
 350
 350
 350
 350
 351
 351
 352
 352
 352
 352
 352
 352
 353
 354
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 355
 <l
- 7. Pavely, S., Adams, R. D., Di Francesco, T., Larkham, S. and Maher, C.G.
 Bilateral Clearance Punt Kicking in Rugby Union: Effects of Hand Used for
 Ball Delivery. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 2010, 10,
 187-196.
 - 8. Orchard, J., Walt, S., McIntosh, A. and Garlick, D., Muscle Activity During the Drop Punt Kick. Journal of Sports Sciences, 1999, 17, 837-838.
- 362
 9. Cappozzo, A., Catani, F., Leardini, A., Benedeti, M.G. and Della, C.U., Position
 and Orientation in Space of Bones During Movement: Anatomical Frame
 364
 Definition and Determination. <u>Clinical Biomechanics</u>, 1995, 10, 171-178.

| 365 | 10 | Bell, A.L., Brand, R.A. and Pedersen, D.R., Prediction of Hip Joint Centre |
|-----|----|---|
| 366 | | Location From External Landmarks, <u>Human Movement Science</u> , 1989, 8, 3-16. |
| 367 | 11 | Cappozzo, A., Cappello, A., Croce, U. and Pensalfini, F., Surface-Marker |
| 368 | | Cluster Design Criteria for 3-D Bone Movement Reconstruction. IEEE |
| 369 | | Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 1997, 44, 1165-1174. |
| 370 | 12 | Sinclair, J., Edmundson, C.J., Brooks, D. and Hobbs, S.J., Evaluation of |
| 371 | | Kinematic Methods of Identifying Gait Events During Running. International |
| 372 | | Journal of Sports Science and Engineering, 2011, 5, 188-192. |
| 373 | 13 | Sinclair, J., Fewtrell, D., Taylor, P.J., Bottoms, L., Atkins, S. and Hobbs, S.J., |
| 374 | | Three-Dimensional Kinematic Correlates of Ball Velocity During Maximal |
| 375 | | Instep Soccer Kicking in Males, European Journal of Sports Sciences, 2014, 14, |
| 376 | | 799-805. |
| 377 | 14 | Knudson, D. and Bahamonde, R., Effect of Endpoint Conditions on Position and |
| 378 | | Velocity Near Impact in Tennis. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2001, 19, 839-844. |
| 379 | 15 | Holmes, C., Jones R., Harland A. and Petzing J., Ball Launch Characteristics for |
| 380 | | Elite Rugby Union Players, in The Engineering of Sport, Springer, 2006. |
| 381 | 16 | Ball, K., Ingleton, C., Peacock, J. and Nunome, H., Ball Impact Dynamics in the |
| 382 | | Punt Kick. In T.Y. Shiang, W.H. Ho, P.C. Huang and C.L. Tsai (Eds.), e- |
| 383 | | Proceedings of the 31 st Conference of the International Society of Biomechanics |
| 384 | | in Sports, B9-4 ID77. National Taiwan Normal University: Taipei, 2013. |
| 385 | 17 | Macmillan, M.B., Determinants of the Flight of the Kicked Football. The |
| 386 | | Research Quarterly, 1975, 46, 48-57. |
| 387 | 18 | De Witt, J.K. and Hinrichs, R.N., Mechanical Factors Associated with the |
| 388 | | Development of High Ball Velocity During an Instep Soccer Kick. Sports |
| 389 | | Biomechanics, 2012, 11, 382–390. |
| 390 | 19 | Baker, J., and Ball, K., Biomechanical Considerations of the Drop Punt. |
| 391 | | Technical Report for the Australian Institute of Sport AFL Football |
| 392 | | Development Squad. Canberra: Australian Institute of Sport, 1996. |
| 393 | 20 | Putnam, C.A., Segment Interaction Analysis of Proximal-to-Distal Sequential |
| 394 | | Segment Motion Patterns. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 1991, |
| 395 | | 23, 130-141. |
| 396 | 21 | Putnam, C., Sequential Motions of Body Segments in Striking and Throwing |
| 397 | | Skills: Descriptions and Explanations. Journal of Biomechanics, 1993, 26, 125- |
| 398 | | 135. |
| 399 | 22 | Robertson, D.G. and Mosher, R.E., Work and Power of the Leg Muscles in |
| 400 | | Soccer Kicking. In Biomechanics IX-B (edited by D.A. Winter, R.V. Norman, |
| 401 | | R.P. Wells, K.C. Hayes and A.E. Patla), pp. 533-538. Champaign, IL: Human |
| 402 | | Kinetics, 1985. |
| 403 | 23 | Putnam, C.A. and Dunn, E.G., Performance Variations in Rapid Swinging |
| 404 | | Motions: Effects on Segment Interaction and Resultant Joint Moments. In: |
| 405 | | Jonsson, B. (Eds), Biomechanics X-B. Human Kinetics Publishers, Inc., |
| 406 | | Champaign, IL, 661 – 665, 1987. |
| 407 | 24 | Lees, A. and Nolan, L., The Biomechanics of Soccer: A review. Journal of |
| 408 | | Sports Sciences, 1998, 16, 211–234. |
| 409 | 25 | Ball, K., Use of Weighted Balls for Improving Kicking for Distance. Journal of |
| 410 | | Sports Science and Medicine, 2007, 6, \$44. |
| | | |

- 411 26. DeProft, E., Cabri, J., Dufour, W. and Clarys, J.P., Strength Training and Kick
 412 Performance in Soccer Players. In Science and Football. Eds: Reilly, T., Lees,
 413 A., Davids, K., and Murphy, W.J. New York: E & F.N. Spon, 1988, 108-113.
- 414 27. Cabri, J., De Proft, E., Dufour, W. and Clarys, J.P., The Relation Between
 415 Muscular Strength and Kicking Performance. In: Science and football. Eds:
 416 Reilly, T., Lees, A., Davids, K. and Murphy, W.J. London: E & FN Spon, 1988,
 417 186-193.
- 28. Poulmedis, P., Muscular Imbalance and Strains in Soccer. In: Sports injuries and their prevention. Eds: Van der Togt, C.R., Kemper, A.B. Proceedings 3rd meeting council of Europe. Oosterbeek; National Institute for Sports Health Care, 1988, 53-57.
- 422 29. Narici, M., Sirtori, M. and Mognoni, P., Maximal Ball Velocity and Peak
 423 Torques of Hip Flexor and Knee Extensor Muscles. In: Science and Football.
 424 Eds: Reilly, T., Lees, A., Davids, K. and Murphy, W.J. London: E & FN Spon,
 425 1988, 429-433.
- 30. Asami, T. and Nolte, V., Analysis of Powerful Ball Kicking. In H. Matsui & K.
 Kobayashi (Eds.), Biomechanics VIII-B (pp. 695–700). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1983.
- 429 31. Asai, T., Nunome, H., Maeda, A., Matsubara, S. and Lake, M., Computer
 430 Simulation of Ball Kicking Using the Finite Element Skeletal Foot Model. In T.
 431 Reilly, J. Cabri, & D. Arau´ (Eds.), Science and Football V (pp. 77–82).
 432 London: Routledge, 2005.
- 433 32. Nunome, H., Lake, M., Georgakis, A. and Stergioulas, L.K., Impact Phase
 434 Kinematics of the Instep Kick in Soccer. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2006, 24,
 435 11–22.
- 436
 437
 438
 439
 439
 439
 439
 439
 430
 431
 431
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 437
 437
 438
 438
 438
 439
 439
 439
 439
 430
 430
 431
 431
 431
 432
 432
 433
 434
 435
 435
 436
 437
 437
 437
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 439
 439
 439
 430
 430
 431
 431
 431
 432
 432
 432
 433
 434
 435
 435
 437
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
- 438 34. Bull-Andersen, T., Dorge, H. C., and Thomsen, F.I., Collisions in Soccer
 439 Kicking. <u>Sports Engineering</u>, 1999, 2, 121–126.
- 440 35. Chen, Y., and Chang, J-H., An Investigation of Soccer Ball Velocity on Instep
 441 Kick With and Without Arm Swaying. XXVIII International Symposium of
 442 Biomechanics in Sports July 2010 Marquette, MI, USA, 2010.
- 36. Barfield, W.R., Kirkendall, D.T. and Yu, B., Kinematic Instep Kicking
 Differences Between Elite Female and Male Soccer Players. Journal of Sports
 Science and Medicine, 2002, 1, 72-79.
- 446 37. Stevens, J., Applied Multivariate Statistics for the Social Sciences (4th ed.).
 447 Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2002.
- 38. Dichiera, A., Webster, K.E., Kuilboer, L., Morris, M.E., Bach T.M. and Feller
 J.A., Kinematic Patterns Associated with Accuracy of the Drop Punt Kick in Australian Football. Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport, 2006, 9, 292-298.