

## Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact
Type	Article
URL	<a href="https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/23912/">https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/23912/</a>
DOI	10.1177%2F1744987118809450
Date	2019
Citation	Feeley, Claire Lauren (2019) Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact. <i>Journal of Research in Nursing</i> , 24 (1-2). pp. 9-19. ISSN 1744-9871
Creators	Feeley, Claire Lauren

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.  
10.1177%2F1744987118809450

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

1 **Freebirthing: A case for using interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology in**  
2 **midwifery research for knowledge generation, dissemination and impact.**

3 **Introduction**

4 This paper has been generated from a primary research study carried out during 2015;  
5 'making sense of childbirth choices; exploring the decision to freebirth in the UK'.

6 Freebirthing is characterised by a woman's *intentional* decision to give birth without  
7 attendance by a midwife or doctor, even where there is access to maternity services.

8 This characterisation precludes women; who give birth before arrival (BBA) to  
9 maternity services (Loughney et al., 2006) (either before arrival to hospital, or before  
10 the arrival of a homebirth midwife), women who have been denied a homebirth  
11 midwife by the local Trust (Plested and Kirkham, 2016), or women who have a  
12 concealed pregnancy (Friedman et al., 2007). Freebirthing raises concerns with health  
13 professionals, where there is potential morbidity or mortality to either the mother or  
14 baby (Holton and de Miranda, 2016). Freebirthing also raises concerns regarding the  
15 inadequacy of maternity systems to meet the needs of childbearing women (Dahlen et  
16 al., 2011; Holton and de Miranda, 2016).

17 A systematic metasynthesis review of the literature (Feeley et al., 2015) identified only  
18 four primary studies; n=1 Australia, n=3 US. The findings suggested that women chose  
19 to freebirth as a way of rejecting both the medical and midwifery model of birth, to  
20 reclaim and assert autonomy and agency during birth, and women reported a faith in  
21 the birth process. There are known variations of healthcare systems between the UK  
22 and that of the US and Australia, for example, the UK has a strong midwifery  
23 workforce and culture that is supported by a free healthcare service and governmental  
24 policies. The UK also has robust legislation supporting women's autonomous  
25 decision-making, including the right to decline recommended treatment or care  
26 (Birthrights, 2013a) and the legal right to freebirth (Birthrights, 2013b; Nursing and  
27 Midwifery Council, 2012). However, at that time, whilst anecdotal data suggested a  
28 growing incidence of freebirthing in the UK (Edwards and Kirkham, 2013), no primary  
29 studies had been published. Thus situating the context of the original primary study,  
30 to explore the phenomenon of freebirthing within a UK context using an  
31 interpretative hermeneutic phenomenological approach.

32 Moreover, embedded into the original research aims was to share the findings to a  
33 wider audience via a range of dissemination activities. This was felt to be important as  
34 ongoing familiarisation with the wider literature and during my midwifery clinical  
35 experience, it appeared that the phenomenon of freebirthing was often  
36 misunderstood. As such, the purpose of this paper is twofold; firstly, using my  
37 research into freebirthing as a case study, I will demonstrate the use and benefits of  
38 interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology to midwifery and nursing research to  
39 generate knowledge for the benefit of service users, healthcare professionals,  
40 researchers and policy-makers. Secondly, I will discuss the activities I carried out to  
41 enhance dissemination and impact for the benefit of service users and clinicians.

#### 42 **Adopting an interpretive hermeneutic phenomenological approach**

43 This study adopted an interpretative hermeneutic phenomenological approach to the  
44 research design, methods and analysis. Positioning the study within an interpretative  
45 phenomenological methodology is integral to understanding both the research  
46 processes and knowledge generated, as philosophy guides the research methods and  
47 influences the knowledge that is generated (Grant and Osanloo, 2015).

48 Phenomenology is a discipline that is both a method of inquiry and a philosophical  
49 view of the world that broadly focuses upon the lived experiences, meaning-making  
50 and the contextual realities of human beings (Husserl, 1970; Heidegger, 1962;  
51 Gadamer, 1960; Ricoeur, 1991). However, within this broad definition of  
52 phenomenology, there are rich and complex variations of theoretical approaches (van  
53 Manen, 2014). Whilst it is beyond the scope of this paper to explore the complex  
54 variations of phenomenology, the following describes and justifies my use of an  
55 interpretative hermeneutic approach.

56 Interpretive hermeneutic phenomenology is a particular philosophical approach  
57 developed by Heidegger (1962) and further developed by Gadamer (1960) and later  
58 Ricoeur (1991) (amongst other scholars) that looks beyond the description of a  
59 phenomenon to explore meanings embedded within. Its aim is not to seek a unified  
60 'truth' but to reveal the complexity of human experience that relates to a particular  
61 phenomenon (Heidegger, 1962; van Manen, 2014). Emphasis is placed on the  
62 subjective experience of the participant, integrating a person's socialisation,

63 enculturation and interpretation of the world to reveal the meanings they attribute to  
64 their experience (van Manen, 2014). The illumination of an individual's sense-making  
65 and meanings offers researchers an insights into people's experiences, motivations  
66 and actions (Thomson et al., 2011; Regan, 2012).

67 Whilst there are many conceptualisations within interpretative hermeneutic  
68 phenomenology that require understanding, here, I provide a brief overview of some  
69 of those concepts. Heidegger's (1962) concept of '*lifeworld*' related to the notion that  
70 the nature of human experiences is intrinsically entwined within historical, cultural,  
71 political and social influences. These influences are perceived to provide the basis in  
72 which a person comes to engage, understand and make sense of their '*lifeworld*'. As  
73 such, Heidegger's (1962) notion of '*being-in-the-world*' means that one cannot separate  
74 those influences from experience. Moreover, these notions are also related to the  
75 hermeneutic concept that humans are self-interpreting beings (Heidegger, 1962), that  
76 assumes life experiences are processed as an ongoing interpretative act embedded  
77 within historical, sociocultural influences (Heidegger, 1962; Gadamer, 1960). This  
78 includes the role of the researcher whereby preconceived notions known as '*pre-*  
79 *understandings*' (Heidegger, 1962), are not seen as separate, but as part of the  
80 interpretative examination of the phenomenon under focus. Rather than attempting  
81 to bracket pre-existing notions, a researcher brings them to the fore as a starting point  
82 of the interpretative analysis known as the hermeneutic circle (Heidegger, 1962). This  
83 is an important divergence from other phenomenological approaches, notably  
84 Husserlian (1970) phenomenology, where it is perceived it is possible to seek out the  
85 essence of '*the thing itself*', that sits beyond such influences, and whereby it is  
86 perceived possible to '*bracket*' preconceived notions of the phenomenon under  
87 scrutiny.

88 Hans-Georg Gadamer (1900-2002) developed Heidegger's ideas further and further  
89 conceptualised the researcher's own experience of reading and understanding to be an  
90 integral part of the interpretative process in which the relating concepts of pre-  
91 suppositions, inter-subjectivity, authenticity (trustworthiness), temporality (time  
92 affecting understanding/emotion), tradition, and history to interpreting the written  
93 word (Gadamer, 1960; Regan, 2012). As such, both the participant in sharing their

94 experiences and the researcher listening to them (and later during the  
95 transcription/analytical process) are in a continuous space of interpretation (Gadamer,  
96 1960). Through a continual process of reflection and interpretation, the participant's  
97 accounts are considered individually and as part of the whole, whereby the researcher  
98 produces a tentative interpretation of the phenomenon in focus (Regan, 2012;  
99 Gadamer, 1960).

100 Applied to this study, I felt that interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology (as  
101 informed by Heidegger and Gadamer) was appropriate to explore the lived experiences  
102 of decision-making for women who had chosen to freebirth. The philosophical  
103 approach aligned with my worldview that human experiences are embedded within a  
104 complex relationship between socialisation, enculturation and individual  
105 interpretations of their personal lifeworlds. Additionally, some researchers consider an  
106 alignment between interpretative hermeneutic phenomenology and that of a  
107 midwifery philosophy of practice i.e. a holistic approach to care that considers the  
108 woman within both a biopsychosocial model, mirroring the conceptualisation of  
109 'lifeworld' (Thomson et al., 2011; Miles et al., 2013). Furthermore, interpretative  
110 hermeneutic phenomenology has been successfully used in a range of nursing and  
111 midwifery research studies (Lopez and Willis, 2004; Thomson, 2007; Smith et al., 2010;  
112 Longworth and Kingdon, 2011; Miles et al., 2013). Thus strengthening the case for using  
113 it as a research approach.

#### 114 **Applying the research approach**

115 Ethical approval was obtained from one of the ethics sub-committees at the author's  
116 institution, and an amendment was approved in January 2015 (project number:  
117 STEMH 208). The primary study was carried out in 2015 and recruited n=10 consenting  
118 participants into the study via social media and email groups. The sample number was  
119 appropriate for the research design (Smith et al., 2010). Data collection comprised of  
120 two components: a self-written narrative about their decision-making with a follow-up  
121 interview or an interview only. Nine of ten participants wrote a narrative and all were  
122 interviewed. The sequential method of data collection provided two opportunities; the  
123 participants could self-direct their narratives which provided an insight to the areas of  
124 significance that were important to them individually with limited input from me, the

125 researcher. Secondly, I was able to 'get to know' the participant's story by pre-reading  
126 the narrative, making notes, reflections, and making early analytical interpretations to  
127 be explored in the interview. Moreover, the self-written narratives provided rich data  
128 that ranged between 2-7 typed pages of text (841-3624 words), indicating that it was an  
129 acceptable method of data collection. Interviews lasted from 30 minutes to 2 hours,  
130 and one was conducted via an encrypted chat room at the participants' request. A  
131 semi-structured interview style was adopted where questions were individualised for  
132 each participant and were primarily open-ended questions to encourage further  
133 dialogue based on the narrative provided.

134 Data analysis was carried out in a number of iterative stages. Following transcription,  
135 both the self-written narrative and interviews were uploaded to MAXQDA  
136 (maxqda.com, 2015), a qualitative software data programme designed to manage large  
137 quantities of data. Each piece of data was coded line by line - significant phrases were  
138 highlighted as part of an 'in-vivo' method whereby poignant descriptive phrases were  
139 interpreted to create a code. This continued iteratively data was read and no new  
140 codes were developed. Following the coding, an iterative writing process was carried  
141 out as I attempted to bring together the individual accounts together with my  
142 interpretations of their contextual meanings across the data set. Looking for  
143 convergences and divergences within the data, and through a back and forth process  
144 between the original data, codes and further writing, tentative interpretations were  
145 explored. Through this process, a deeper level of immersion in the data, interpretative  
146 insights developed a synthesis, a 'fusion of horizons'(Gadamer, 1960) that brought  
147 together the individual participants into a 'whole', represented as interpretative  
148 themes.

149 Following analysis, participants were invited to provide feedback on the findings to  
150 confirm I had adequately captured their meanings associated with the decision to  
151 freebirth. Whilst member checking is contentious within hermeneutic  
152 phenomenology due to the interpretative processes (Bradbury-Jones et al., 2010), I  
153 purposefully deployed member checking as a way of forging and maintaining trusting  
154 relationships with the participants- a potentially vulnerable group due to the  
155 subversive nature of freebirthing. Therefore, I felt it was important that they retained a

156 sense of ownership by reviewing the findings to ensure I had adequately captured their  
157 perspectives. As such, during member checking, the participants were provided with  
158 the overall findings, the integrated analysis across all of the participants, not just in  
159 relation to their own experiences. The provision of the overall findings was a  
160 pragmatic decision due to the time constraints of the study, and was also derived from  
161 the participants reported interest in the final findings during the interviews and email  
162 communications. It created the space for the participants to view their experiences in  
163 relation to the others, and offered a means of further participation. This method of  
164 member checking appeared to be acceptable to the participants as six participants  
165 responded with positive feedback, for example:

166 *'I enjoyed the consolidation of a variety of viewpoints and reasoning's for choosing*  
167 *freebirth, it further highlighted to me how unique birth choices are. I resonated more*  
168 *with some themes over others. There are very nuanced differences in the decision making*  
169 *process and I think your overview goes some way to addressing this and highlighting,*  
170 *what I feel, is its significant relevance.'* (Alex, pn-8, email correspondence.)

### 171 **Knowledge production: Revealing complexities and unexpected findings**

172 The initial study generated rich, detailed and nuanced data regarding the variety of  
173 decision-making paths that led women to freebirth. Whilst the detailed findings have  
174 been reported elsewhere (blinded for review), overall the 10 women had collectively  
175 experienced 33 births including 15 freebirths with no adverse outcomes (at the time of  
176 the study, two women were pregnant and had further successful freebirths).

177 Therefore, the women had vast and variable experiences of childbearing and  
178 interactions with maternity services. This study found that even with a sample size of  
179 10, there were different and complex reasons that drove decision-making which  
180 generated three main themes from the data; *Contextualising herstory* describes how  
181 the participants' backgrounds (personal and/or childbirth related) influenced their  
182 decision making. *Diverging paths of decision-making* described detailed insights into  
183 how and why women's different backgrounds and experiences of childbirth and  
184 maternity care influenced their decision to freebirth. *Converging path of decision*  
185 *making*, outlined the commonalities in the women's narratives in terms of how they

186 sought to validate their decision to freebirth, such as through self-directed research,  
187 enlisting the support of others and conceptualising risk.

188 To illustrate some of the differences between the participant's accounts, here I present  
189 three examples from the theme '*diverging paths of decision-making*'. One woman had a  
190 traumatic hospital birth the first time and opted for a homebirth the second time as a  
191 way of seeking to overcome the psychological trauma. Her homebirth was a positive,  
192 empowering experience with midwives. However, she expressed a long-held desire to  
193 freebirth but only found the courage and faith in her body following the successful  
194 homebirth. Therefore, in her third pregnancy, she opted for freebirth:

195 *'I think in hindsight I probably needed to prove to myself I was capable of*  
196 *doing it before contemplating doing it alone.'* (June, pn-6, narrative).

197 Conversely, another participant experienced a traumatic hospital birth the first time,  
198 and also expressed the desire to homebirth the second time. However, she found her  
199 community midwives obstructive, fearful and resorted to coercive tactics to encourage  
200 the participant to birth in hospital. This poor experience of community midwives  
201 compounded the participant's previous birth trauma, facilitating the decision to opt  
202 out of all care and to freebirth:

203 *'The obstructive behaviour by the community midwives, the lottery of who would*  
204 *turn up at the birth. If their behaviour was indicative of many of the midwives in*  
205 *the Trust, then I could not trust that they were supportive of home births. I*  
206 *actually became fearful that they would turn up in time for the birth as they*  
207 *seemed more scared of attending a home birth than I felt about having a home*  
208 *birth.'* (Cat, pn-9, narrative).

209 Different again, another participant was a primiparous woman and had opted to  
210 freebirth early in her first pregnancy, a decision that was driven by an instinctual  
211 desire to birth alone:

212 *'I hadn't really explicitly thought about where/how to give birth before then, but*  
213 *if I had, I would have identified immediately that it wouldn't be in hospital, and I*



214 *didn't want anyone else around. So as soon as I came across the concept, it made*  
215 *complete sense to me.'* (Claire, pn-3, interview).

216 Moreover, the participant's accounts revealed unexpected data such as the experience  
217 of significant tensions and conflicts with maternity caregivers once the decision to  
218 freebirth had been made (blinded for review). Therefore, a secondary analysis was  
219 carried out to capture the participants lived experiences following the decision to  
220 freebirth. The findings generated three key themes; '*violation of rights*' that  
221 highlighted the conflicts women faced from maternity carers who were unaware of  
222 women's legal rights to freebirth, conflating this choice with issues of child protection.  
223 '*Tactical planning*' described some of the strategies women used in their attempts to  
224 achieve the birth they desired and to circumnavigate any interference or reprisals.  
225 The third theme, '*unfit to be a mother*' described distressing accounts of women who  
226 were reported to social services.

227 To illustrate the findings with data, I present an exemplar quote from each theme. All  
228 of the women were aware of their legal rights to freebirth (Birthrights, 2013b) and to  
229 opt out/decline any care of their choosing (Birthrights, 2013a). However, several  
230 participants found that this was not respected or understood by midwives that was  
231 central to the theme of '*violation of rights*' which is expressed here:

232 *"I think I told her either immediately, or maybe at the second appointment, that I*  
233 *intended to freebirth (although I didn't know that term then, so I was calling it*  
234 *unattended birth). She informed me (incorrectly of course) that it was illegal... I*  
235 *now know that the official NHS position on freebirth is that midwives should*  
236 *support it as a valid choice. But I didn't then, so I couldn't show her that*  
237 *document, and it was frustrating (and I even felt bullied at times) to have to fight*  
238 *my corner during every interaction with health professionals."* (Claire, pn-3  
239 interview).

240 To circumvent negative reprisals, the second theme highlighted that some women  
241 resorted to 'tactical planning' such as planning a birth before arrival (BBA) as to not  
242 arouse suspicion:

243 *“Well I know quite a few people that I don't know in real life but in online groups*  
244 *who have had freebirths who haven't called the midwife out afterwards have been*  
245 *referred to social services for putting their babies at risk and have had social*  
246 *services and police turn up at their door and that is not something that I want to*  
247 *happen. So we made the decision to have the baby on our own and call out the*  
248 *midwife afterwards and just pretend it happened so quickly they didn't get there*  
249 *in time. Or not that they didn't get there on time, but we didn't have time to ring*  
250 *before”.* (Jane, pn-4, interview).

251 However, four women experienced a statutory referral to social services despite the  
252 legality of freebirthing in the UK (Birthrights, 2013b) and felt stigmatised as captured  
253 in this third theme as ‘unfit to be a mother’:

254 *‘My midwife referred me to Social Services for opting out. This situation did not*  
255 *resolve itself until after the birth, where it culminated in, what I feel was a*  
256 *violation of my rights and privacy. I feel this is important to mention this as it*  
257 *profoundly affected my transition to motherhood leaving a lingering imprint and*  
258 *I was more than ever, grateful for my wonderful birth to keep me grounded.’*  
259 (Alex, pn-8, narrative).

260 Therefore, the findings raised important human rights issues (Birthrights, 2017), as the  
261 participants revealed, legal and ethical frameworks of care were not respected.

262 The relevance of the findings from both iterations of research questions identified key  
263 implications for women’s experiences, clinical practice, education and policy-makers.  
264 Whilst the number of participants were small and not generalisable, the findings are  
265 likely to be transferrable to other high-income settings that relate to the phenomenon.  
266 Moreover, the findings suggested resonance with other studies, for example, previous  
267 research highlights how women choose elective caesareans due to a previous poor  
268 experience (Lavender et al., 2006), or how women opt for a homebirth following a  
269 traumatic caesarean birth (Keedle et al., 2015), or homebirth with significant risk  
270 factors following traumatic NHS care (Symon et al., 2010; Holton and de Miranda,  
271 2016) or even forgoing subsequent children such is the extent of their previous  
272 traumatic experience (McKenzie-McHarg et al., 2015).

273 As such, implications from the study findings were identified: for maternity services,  
274 the findings suggested that *some* women were unable to get their needs met,  
275 particularly those who had experienced a traumatic birth. Conversely, for some  
276 women, the decision to freebirth was borne from a positive positioning, informed by a  
277 philosophical belief and preference to birth without midwives. For these women, they  
278 required supportive, sensitive communication to ensure women did not fear reprisal.  
279 For midwives, the findings suggested that the midwifery philosophy of woman-  
280 centred care was not always carried out, leaving women to feel disillusioned with  
281 maternity services. For educators, improved awareness regarding the legalities around  
282 freebirthing and autonomous decision-making. For policy-makers, the rhetoric of  
283 woman-centred care needs to be addressed through staffing, availability of services  
284 (i.e. homebirth, debriefing) and clinical practice.

### 285 **Dissemination and Impact**

286 Central to all healthcare research is the dissemination - the communication of  
287 research findings. Impact is the use of research findings beyond academia so it can be  
288 used to benefit a wider audience (Keen and Todres, 2007). Therefore, dissemination is  
289 an active task of applying research into clinical practice, policy, and education (Keen  
290 and Todres, 2007). However, critics suggest that dissemination activities are often  
291 limited to a journal publication and/or conference presentations (Barnes et al., 2003;  
292 Keen and Todres, 2007). The proliferation of qualitative research faces particular  
293 criticism that researchers lack the knowledge or skills to demonstrate practical,  
294 communicable usability of research findings (Barnes et al., 2003), thus, limiting the  
295 potential impact of research. Dissemination and impact were key aims from the start  
296 of the study for several reasons; firstly, the study was an opportunity to offer women a  
297 platform in which their voices could be heard. Secondly, to raise awareness and  
298 understanding for maternity professionals regarding the complexity of such decision-  
299 making and to clarify the legalities in association with human rights and social  
300 services. Thirdly, as a means to provide evidence-based information to support  
301 midwives in clinical practice should a woman disclose an intention to freebirth.

302 Whilst direct impact is difficult to assess, here I present the key dissemination  
303 activities as 'routes to impact' (University of York, 2016). Activities began with two

304 journal publications (blinded for review), of which one was supported by grant  
305 funding to pay for open access publication. Advantages of an open access publication  
306 include increased visibility and usage of the research study (Nature, 2018) and greater  
307 public engagement (Cambridge University Press, 2018). Furthermore, I allocated time  
308 to facilitate the dissemination of the open access paper where I directly shared the  
309 paper; firstly, with the participants and the online freebirthing groups that had  
310 advertised the study. Secondly, using social media the paper was disseminated across a  
311 number of social media midwifery and birth worker online groups, reproductive  
312 research interest groups and Research Gate (a social media platform for academics).  
313 Additionally, the journal provided an opportunity to write a blog relating to the first  
314 publication. The blog was a plain language summary of the study findings alongside  
315 implications for women, maternity professionals and maternity services. Again, I  
316 allocated time to disseminate the blog (linked to the publication) across social media  
317 groups to enhance the visibility and potential usage of the research findings.

318 The two publications generated significant interest amongst social media users and  
319 professional networks. The interest was captured by the Conversation, an independent  
320 news outlet sourced from the academic and research community, who requested an  
321 article of a lay summary of the issues related to freebirthing. I wrote an overview of the  
322 phenomenon of freebirthing, incorporated the findings of my study and that of others  
323 published at similar times. The article for the Conversation was reprinted in the  
324 Independent and the Sun online newspapers. Additionally, I approached the RCM  
325 Magazine, in which I fulfilled one of the main aims of dissemination, a publication  
326 aimed at midwives in clinical practice (blinded for review). The article was written to  
327 outline the issues that related to the research findings and to provide structured  
328 advice regarding practice issues related to freebirthing. Coinciding with the article  
329 aimed at midwives, I worked with a local trust to support the development of  
330 guidelines regarding women's choice to freebirth. The purpose of the guideline was to  
331 provide a mechanism of knowledge and support for the community midwives and the  
332 women in their care.

333 Dissemination activities also included national and international conference  
334 presentations of both publications and a submission of the findings to the Better

335 Births Maternity Review (NHS England, 2016). Additional activities included  
336 attending community midwifery groups at two local Trusts and student midwives at  
337 three universities. The purpose of the talks was to raise awareness of women's  
338 decision-making, women's experiences and the legalities of freebirthing. The talks  
339 were part presentation and part open discussion where midwives' and student  
340 midwives' concerns could be raised and discussed within a positive, open  
341 environment. Discussions included how midwives can support women in their choices  
342 whilst fulfilling their professional responsibilities. Moreover, the talks revealed the  
343 wider applicability of the research findings for *all* choices women make. Through an  
344 opportunity to discuss the legalities of freebirthing, the human rights in childbirth  
345 framework were revisited offering renewed awareness of women's rights and  
346 midwives' professional, ethical and legal obligations (Birthrights, 2017). Informal  
347 feedback from the participants was positive and it was reported that  
348 midwives'/student midwives felt greater confidence in supporting women's  
349 autonomous decision-making.

## 350 **Conclusion**

351 Using my research of freebirthing, this paper has presented a case for the use and  
352 benefits of interpretative phenomenology. A strength of interpretative  
353 phenomenology, as demonstrated, is the capacity to elicit rich, detailed and complex  
354 insights into an under-represented phenomenon. The knowledge generated from the  
355 study raised important issues regarding the impact of women's birthing experiences,  
356 interactions with healthcare professionals and motivating factors towards such a  
357 choice. Such insights have raised implications pertinent to women, maternity  
358 professionals, educators and policy-makers. Whilst small-scale, the study was an  
359 opportunity for women to voice the unheard, and the findings offered an exploratory  
360 commentary in which to open up space for further dialogue, clinical reflection and  
361 research. Moreover, I have presented methods of dissemination that facilitated the  
362 wider access to the research findings. Although the dissemination activities could be  
363 pertinent to any study, I suggest that it was the depth of insights generated from an  
364 interpretative phenomenological study that captured the interest of a wider audience.

365 **Key points**

- 366 • Interpretative phenomenology is a philosophical and methodological research  
367 approach that can be applied to a range of nursing and midwifery clinical  
368 research investigations.
- 369 • Using a research study that explored the phenomenon of freebirthing, I have  
370 demonstrated the benefits of using interpretative phenomenology to generate  
371 rich and complex data regarding an under-researched area.
- 372 • This research study highlighted that a small qualitative study can be used to  
373 inform clinical practice, education and policy-making. However, the onus is on  
374 the researcher to plan and implement a variety of dissemination activities to  
375 enhance impact.

376 **Acknowledgements**

377 I gratefully acknowledge the participants in this study, my supervisory support by Dr  
378 Gill Thomson, and the grant received by the Wellbeing of Women in conjunction with  
379 the Royal College of Midwives and the Burdett Trust.

380 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

381 The Author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

382 **Funding**

383 This work was supported by the Wellbeing of Women in conjunction with the Royal  
384 College of Midwives and the Burdett Trust (grant number ELS505).

385 **Ethical approval**

386 Ethical approval was gained by the STEMH Ethics Committee at the University of  
387 Central Lancashire June 2014, and an amendment was approved January 2015 (project  
388 number: STEMH 208).

389 **References**

390 Barnes V, Clouder D, Pritchard J, Hughes C and Purkis J (2003) Deconstructing  
391 dissemination: Dissemination as qualitative research. *Qualitative Research* 32(2): 147-  
392 164.

393 Birthrights (2017) Human Rights in Maternity Care. Available at:  
394 <http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/> (Accessed 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan 2018).

395 Birthrights (2013a) Consenting to Treatment. Available at:  
396 <http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/> (Accessed 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan 2018).

397 Birthrights (2013b) Unassisted Birth: The Legal Position. Available at:  
398 <http://www.birthrights.org.uk/resources/factsheets/> (Accessed 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan 2018).

399 Bradbury-Jones C, Irvine F and Sambrook S (2010) Phenomenology and participant  
400 feedback: convention or contention. *Nurse Researcher* 17(2): 25-33.

401 Cambridge University Press (2018) Benefits of Open Access. Available at:  
402 [https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policies/open-access-](https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policies/open-access-resources/benefits-of-open-access)  
403 [resources/benefits-of-open-access](https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/open-access-policies/open-access-resources/benefits-of-open-access) (Accessed 4th Jan 2018).

404 Dahlen H, Jackson M and Stevens J (2011) Homebirth, freebirth, and doulas: casualty  
405 and consequence of a broken maternity care system. *Women and Birth* 24: 47-50.

406 Edwards N and Kirkham M (2013) Birthing without a midwife; a literature review.  
407 *MIDIRS Midwifery Digest* 23(1): 7.

408 Feeley C, Burns E, Adams E and Thomson G (2015) Why do some women choose to  
409 freebirth? A meta-thematic synthesis, part one. *Evidence Based Midwifery* 13(1): 4-9.

410 Friedman S, Heneghan A and Rosenthal M (2007) Characteristics of women who deny  
411 or conceal pregnancy. *Psychosomatics* 48: 117-122.

412 Gadamer H (1960) *Truth and Method*. New York: Continuum.

413 Grant C and Osanloo A (2015) Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical  
414 framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your 'house'.  
415 *Administrative Issues Journal: Connecting Education, Research and Practice*: 12-26.

416 Heidegger M (1962) *Being and Time*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

417 Holton L and de Miranda E (2016) Women's motivations for having unassisted  
418 childbirth or high-risk homebirth: An exploration of the literature on 'birthing outside  
419 the system'. *Midwifery* 38: 55-62.

420 Husserl E (1970) *The Crisis of European Sciences and Transcendental Phenomenology*  
421 (D.Carr Trans). Evanston IL: Northwestern University Press.

422 Keedle H, Schmeid V, Burns E and Dahlen H (2015) Women's reasons for, and  
423 experiences of, choosing a homebirth following a caesarean. *BMC Pregnancy and*  
424 *Childbirth* 15(206).

425 Keen S and Todres L (2007) Strategies for Disseminating Qualitative Research  
426 Findings: Three Exemplars. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 8(3): 1-15.

427 Lavender T, Hofmeyr G, Neilson J, Kingdon C and Gyte G (2006) Caesarean section for  
428 non-medical reasons at term. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (3).

429 Longworth H and Kingdon C (2011) Fathers in the birth room: What are they expecting  
430 and experiencing? A phenomenological study. *Midwifery* 27(5): 588-594.

431 Lopez K and Willis D (2004) Descriptive Versus Interpretive Phenomenology: Their  
432 Contributions to Nursing Knowledge. *Qualitative Health Research* 14: 726-735.

433 Loughney A, Collis R and Dastgir S (2006) Birth before arrival at delivery suite:  
434 Associations and consequences. *British Journal of Nutrition* 14(4): 204-208.

435 maxqda.com (2015) Qualitative Data Analysis Software.

436 McKenzie-McHarg K, Ayers S, Ford E, Horsch A, Jomeen J, Sawyer A, et al. (2015) Post-  
437 traumatic stress disorder following childbirth: an update of current issues and  
438 recommendations for future research. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*  
439 33(3): 219-237.

440 Miles M, Francis K, Chapman Y and Taylor B (2013) Hermeneutic phenomenology: A  
441 methodology choice for midwives. *International Journal of Nursing Practice* 19(4):  
442 409-414.

443 Nature (2018) Open Access at Nature. Available at:  
444 <https://www.nature.com/openresearch/about-open-access/benefits-for-authors/>  
445 (Accessed 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan 2018).

446 NHS England (2016) National Maternity Review. *Better Births; Improving Outcomes of*  
447 *Maternity Services in England*. London: NHS England.



448 Nursing and Midwifery Council (2012) Freebirthing. Available at: <http://www.nmc->  
449 [uk.org/Nurses-and-midwives/Regulation-in-practice/Regulation-in-Practice-](http://www.nmc-uk.org/Nurses-and-midwives/Regulation-in-practice/Regulation-in-Practice-)  
450 [Topics/Free-birthing/](http://www.nmc-uk.org/Nurses-and-midwives/Regulation-in-practice/Regulation-in-Practice-Topics/Free-birthing/) (Accessed 14<sup>th</sup> November 2014).

451 Plested M and Kirkham M (2016) Risk and fear in the lived experience of birth without  
452 a midwife. *Midwifery* 38: 29-34.

453 Regan P (2012) Hans-Georg Gadamer's philosophical hermeneutics: Concepts of  
454 reading, understanding and interpretation. *Research in Hermeneutics,*  
455 *Phenomenology and Practical Philosophy* 4(2): 286-303.

456 Ricoeur P (1991) *Life in Quest of Narrative*. In: Wood D (ed) *On Paul Ricoeur,*  
457 *Narrative and Interpretation*. London: Routledge, 20-33.

458 Smith J, Flowers P and Larkin M (2010) *Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis*.  
459 London: SAGE.

460 Symon A, Winter C, Donnan P and Kirkham M (2010) Examining Autonomy's  
461 Boundaries: A Follow-up Review of Perinatal Mortality Cases in UK Independent  
462 Midwifery. *Birth* 37(4): 280-287.

463 Thomson G (2007) *A Hero's Tale of Childbirth: An Interpretive Phenomenological*  
464 *Study of Traumatic and Positive Childbirth*. Preston: University of Central Lancashire.

465 Thomson G, Dykes F and Downe S (2011) Authenticity and poetics: what is different  
466 about phenomenology. In: Thomson G, Dykes F and Downe S (eds) *Qualitative*  
467 *Research in Midwifery and Childbirth*. Abingdon: Routledge, 233-235.

468 University of York (2016) *Routes to Impact*. Available at:  
469 <https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/research/research-impact/impact-definition/> (Accessed  
470 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan 2018).

471 van Manen M (2014) *Phenomenology of Practice; Meaning-Giving Methods in*  
472 *Phenomenological Research and Writing*. California: Left Coast Press Inc.

473

474