

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	Exercise Response Efficiency: A Novel Way to Enhance Population Health?
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/29352/
DOI	##doi##
Date	2019
Citation	Pickering, Craig and Kiely, John orcid iconORCID: 0000-0001-9817-0224 (2019) Exercise Response Efficiency: A Novel Way to Enhance Population Health? <i>Lifestyle genomics</i> , 11 (3-6). pp. 129-135. ISSN 2504-3161
Creators	Pickering, Craig and Kiely, John

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. ##doi##

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

1 **Title: Exercise Response Efficiency – A novel way to enhance population health?**
2

3 **Running Head:** Exercise Response Efficiency
4

5 **Authors:** Craig Pickering¹, John Kiely¹
6

7 1. Institute of Coaching and Performance, School of Sport and Wellbeing, University of Central
8 Lancashire, Preston, UK
9

10 Corresponding Author:
11 Craig Pickering
12 Institute of Coaching and Performance, School of Sport and Wellbeing, University of Central Lancashire, Fylde
13 Road, Preston, PR1 2HE, UK.
14 Email: craigpickering1014@hotmail.com

15 **Abstract Word Count: 213**

16 **Article Word Count: 3152**
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

119 **1. Authors Note**

120
121 At the end of this paper, you'll notice that there is a conflict of interest disclosure. The purposes of
122 such a disclosure are to make the reader aware of any potential conflicts of interests relevant to the content of
123 this article. One of the authors of this article (CP) is a former employee of DNAFit Life Sciences, a genetic
124 testing company selling direct-to-consumer genetic tests. Whilst he received no payment or direction to prepare
125 this article from DNAFit, with the article resulting from his doctoral studies, he realises that, given the subject
126 matter, it is important to make the reader aware of this conflict from the outset. The second author (JK) is CPs
127 academic supervisor. He has no conflict of interest relevant to this article to declare, and has thoroughly vetted
128 the arguments contained within.

129 **2. Introduction**

130
131
132 Obesity, the condition of excess body fat [1], has become increasingly prevalent over the last thirty
133 years [2,3]. Between 1980 and 2008, mean Body Mass Index (BMI) increased globally by 0.4 kg/m^2 , resulting
134 in 1.47 billion adults being categorized as overweight ($\text{BMI} \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 503 million adults classified as
135 obese ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) [2]. These increases were most pronounced in Western countries, with the US—where
136 35% of all adults are classed as obese—leading the way, closely followed by the UK and Australia [2,3].
137 Obesity is recognized as a leading cause of a number of co-morbidities, including cardiovascular disease, type-II
138 diabetes, dyslipidemia, and cancer [4,5]. As such, increasing obesity rates represent a significant global
139 healthcare burden [6,7], with the costs associated with treating obesity and its related diseases forecast to
140 increase by up to \$66 billion per year in the US and £2 billion per year in the UK by 2030 [7]. As a result,
141 considerable effort is being expended by public health bodies in the quest to better prevent and treat obesity
142 [4,6].

143
144 So far, however, these efforts have done little to arrest the increasing obesity rates. In part, this is due
145 to the complex, multifactorial nature of obesity; whilst tempting to believe that obesity is merely a relative
146 overconsumption of energy, the reasons underpinning this can be varied and multi-faceted. These include
147 increased sugar intake, increased portion sizes, alteration of gut microbiota, and genetic predispositions, along
148 with societal, cultural, and environmental influences [8-10]. Recent research has further demonstrated the
149 complex nature of obesity, with aspects such as exposure to environmental toxicants, such as bisphenol-A,
150 shown to modify obesity risk [11], alongside the effects of early-life nutrition [12]. However, a commonly cited
151 reason for the recent explosion in obesity rates is that of a lack of physical activity (PA) [13,14]. In the US, the
152 rise in obesity occurred alongside a significant reduction in leisure time PA, with no change in caloric intake
153 [15], suggesting that a lack of PA is potentially a major driver of climbing obesity rates, at least in the US,
154 where just under 50% of adults report no leisure time PA [15]. Furthermore, recent reports suggest that almost
155 no obese adults meet the currently recommended activity guidelines [16]. Additionally, increasing PA drives
156 caloric expenditure and promotes fat loss [17-19], suggesting that PA could be important in the prevention and
157 treatment of obesity and its related co-morbidities.

158
159 Alongside the inverse association between PA and obesity, PA also reduces the risk of a number of
160 other chronic diseases, including cancer [20] and cardiovascular disease [21], and has demonstrated efficacy as
161 a treatment for type-II diabetes [22]. As a result, physical exercise has been termed a “polypill” [23-26], with
162 wide-ranging health benefits; indeed, the positive health benefits of exercise can be greater than comparative
163 drug treatment, particularly with regards to cardiovascular disease [24,26].

164
165 Accordingly, it's clear that PA has important, wide-ranging health promoting aspects, serving to reduce
166 the risk of both chronic disease and obesity [13,14], and acting as a treatment for these issues [27]; as a result,
167 exercise can be thought of as a beneficial and cost-effective medicine [28]. Nevertheless, adult rates of PA are
168 low, having declined over the past thirty years [15] in correlation with large increases in obesity and other
169 chronic disease rates. As such, there a plausible relationship between the demonstrated reduction in PA and the
170 increase in obesity seen globally. Free-living adults seem aware of this, with many stating their motivations for
171 partaking in PA stem from their desire to enhance weight management and reduce age-related decline [29]. And
172 yet, despite this awareness, many adults do not take part in any PA at all, with many more failing to meet the
173 recommended guidelines [15,30]. Again, the reasons for this are multi-faceted, but include a lack of confidence
174 [29], time pressures [31,32], and a lack of enjoyment [33]. All of these factors appear to contribute to poor
175 uptake of, and adherence to, exercise training programmes, thereby contributing to an increased incidence of
176 obesity and chronic disease. Enhancing exercise adherence is, therefore, a potentially important aspect of
177 improving population health.

179 With a view to offsetting some of the barriers to exercise adherence, here we propose the concept of
180 *exercise response efficiency*, whereby individuals are matched to the exercise modalities most likely to deliver
181 the greatest improvements in fitness in the shortest amount of time. From this perspective, exercise response
182 efficiency can be described as the appropriate matching of individuals to exercise modalities to which they are
183 most likely to positively respond. We believe exploring the concept of exercise response efficiency is important,
184 and may provide a viable tactic capable of positively contributing to the ongoing fight against obesity and rising
185 chronic disease rates.

186

187 3. Exercise – Good for everyone, all of the time?

188

189 There are many different forms of exercise. Regardless of modality, however, exercise can be
190 conceptualized as existing along a continuum, ranging from lower intensity, longer duration exercise at one end,
191 to higher intensity, shorter duration at the other [34]. These divergent exercise stimuli have demonstrated wide-
192 ranging health promoting effects, including reductions in adipose tissue, enhancement of glucose metabolism,
193 reductions in blood pressure, and increases in bone mineral density [34]. Increasingly, short but highly intense
194 exercise efforts are being demonstrated to promote health and weight-management [35,36], although such high-
195 intensity exercise may—but not always—reduce enjoyment and hence adherence [33,37].

196

197 Given the wide-ranging and well-established health benefits of exercise, it is tempting to believe that
198 exercise is good for everyone, all of the time, and that there is a reasonably standard, predictable adaptive
199 response to such exercise. However, recent research has called into question some of these long-held beliefs.
200 There is now a wide body of evidence suggesting there is inter-individual variation in response to any given
201 exercise training programme. For example, in the seminal HERITAGE Family Study, which explored inter-
202 individual variation in response to a 20-week aerobic training programme, training-induced changes in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$
203 ranged from a decline of approximately 100 mL O₂/min to an increase of over 1000 mL O₂/min [39].
204 Interestingly, whilst the majority of subjects demonstrated a reduction in heart rate (HR) response to a given
205 workload following the training programme, approximately 100 individuals (~14% of subjects) demonstrated an
206 increase in HR response, suggesting a reduction in physical fitness. Furthermore, when analyzing pooled data
207 from six different training interventions, Bouchard and colleagues [39] reported that, following exercise, 8% of
208 subjects had an adverse change in fasting insulin, 12% an adverse change in systolic blood pressure, 10% an
209 increase in triglycerides, and 13% a reduction in high density lipoprotein – all undesired responses that
210 potentially serve to increase the risk of disease. Finally, and of specific interest in the fight against obesity, there
211 is a well-established variation in the amount of energy expended during exercise [40,41], and the subsequent
212 effect of exercise on appetite [42].

213

214 Individuals demonstrating an increase in risk factors following exercise have been termed *adverse*
215 *responders*, whilst those demonstrating no measurable improvement in a measured fitness variable have been
216 termed *non-responders*. Recently, a number of researchers have explored the use of such terms skeptically [43-
217 47], suggesting that this heterogeneity in response may be (at least partly) due to measurement error and random
218 daily variation, and may not be clinically relevant. In a recent review [48], we suggested that global non-
219 responders to exercise—i.e. individuals demonstrating no beneficial response to exercise—likely do not exist.
220 Nevertheless, when it comes to changes in disease-associated measures, such as cardiorespiratory fitness and
221 fasting insulin, it seems clear that not all exercise exerts the same beneficial effects for all.

222

223 4. The causes of exercise response heterogeneity

224

225 The drivers of this inter-individual exercise responsivity are wide and varied. Exercise response is most
226 often determined by comparing the pre- and post-intervention scores on a given measure. Inherent within any
227 measurement, however, are technical error and random within-subject variation; both of which are said to
228 represent “false” inter-individual variation [43]. Conversely, drivers of “true”—that is, real—inter-individual
229 variation can best be categorized as either genetic, environmental, or epigenetic in origin [49]. As an example of
230 the impact of a genetic factor, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) within *ACTN3* has been demonstrated to
231 affect the adaptive response to resistance training in elderly subjects [50]. An example of an environmental
232 influence on exercise adaptation is that of stress; individuals who have experienced elevated levels of life stress
233 may exhibit a reduced adaptation to training stimuli [51]. Finally, exemplifying epigenetic modifications and
234 translational control mechanisms, microRNAs may modulate the adaptive response to exercise [52], either by
235 making specific points within DNA more accessible to translation, or exerting control over messenger RNA
236 through either inhibiting translation or causing degradation before translation occurs [53].

237

238 5. A lack of exercise response is both modality and measurement specific

239
240
241
242
243
244

The existence of non- or low-responders to exercise is potentially problematic, as it suggests that a sub-group of people may gain little or no benefit from exercise training. However, it appears that such a low response to exercise is both modality and measurement specific [48], thereby suggesting that changing exercise training type, intensity, volume, or duration, and/or introducing additional measurements, may serve to reduce the rate of exercise non-response.

245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253

A limited number of studies have explored exercise response across more than one exercise modality. Hautala and colleagues [54] had 73 participants undertake separate endurance and resistance training programmes in a randomized cross-over design, and determined improvements in peak oxygen uptake ($\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$) following both interventions. There were individual variations in $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ improvements following both aerobic (range -5 to +22%) and resistance (range -8 to +16%) training, illustrating that some subjects demonstrated no improvements following a particular training type. However, subjects with the lowest $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ improvements following aerobic training exhibited a greater improvement in this measure following resistance training.

254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262

Furthermore, when increasing the number of measurements taken, exercise non-response appears to disappear. Karavirta and colleagues [55] illustrated that, whilst a small number of subjects demonstrated a negative training response in terms of $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ or maximum voluntary contraction following a combined aerobic and strength training programme, no subject exhibited a negative response to both. Similarly, Bonafiglia and colleagues [56] subjected individuals to both endurance and sprint interval training, determining improvements in $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$, lactate threshold, and heart rate following training. Whilst some subjects exhibited non-response to one of these measures, very few (5% following endurance training, 24% following sprint interval training, and 0% from both training modalities combined) were non-responders across all three.

263
264

6. Exercise response efficiency

265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279

Given the research discussed previously, it is apparent that not everyone demonstrates favorable adaptations to every exercise modality, all of the time. Given the clear disease prevention, control, and treatment benefits of exercise, such a finding is potentially problematic, illustrating, as it does, that not everyone obtains the same benefits from the recommended exercise guidelines, and that we clearly don't all gain the same reductions in, nor protection from, disease risk factors. Instead, it would perhaps be of greater benefit to match individuals to the type of training from which they are most likely to reap beneficial adaptations. At present, such an approach typically occurs through trial and error; an individual undertakes a training intervention—often lasting weeks or months—and then discovers whether they have improved or not. If they have, they may continue the intervention; if they haven't, they can try a different exercise modality. However, such an approach is costly in terms of time; given that one of the cited reasons for a lack of exercise adherence are time pressures [31,32], such an approach may not be viable. Additionally, many people who do not currently meet exercise guidelines are anxious and unconfident regarding exercise [29]; failure to demonstrate improvements may further reduce individual confidence, and reduce enjoyment, limiting the potential of that person to undertake exercise in the future.

280

Recent evidence suggests that exercise non- or low-response can be abated through increases in training volume, intensity, or duration [48]; however, in high-risk populations, increasing exercise intensity may be poorly tolerated and unpalatable [57], whilst increased volumes and durations are unlikely to be successful due to a perceived lack of available time to exercise [31,32]. Instead, by matching individuals to the exercise type in which they demonstrate the greatest adaptive potential, it might be possible to:

281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297

- 1) Reduce disease risk factors in a shorter period of time. This is especially important given the lack of time—real or perceived—often cited as a reason for non-adherence to exercise guidelines. If we can drive larger improvements in shorter time-frames through targeted training, this would be hugely beneficial to many people.
- 2) Promote greater adherence to exercise. Research from the nutrigenetics field demonstrates that, when individuals are placed on a personalized dietary intervention, they are more likely to adhere to that intervention for a greater period of time [58] – we see no reason why that would not be the case with exercise. Additionally, by increasing the improvements gained from exercise, the fulfilment and enjoyment experienced by the individual is likely to be increased – further promoting long-term exercise adherence.

7. How can we match individuals to their optimal training type?

298 The ability to match individuals to the training type most likely to yield the greatest improvements in
299 specific outcomes is, at present, hugely under-explored. In part, this is because it remains to be fully elucidated
300 which variables may predict the most effective training type. From an obesity standpoint, recent work by
301 Leonska-Duniec and colleagues [58–62] has explored the impact of a number of SNPs on changes in fat mass
302 and improvements in aerobic fitness in a group of untrained female subjects. Following a 12-week aerobic
303 training programme, only 75% of subjects lost fat mass, and, notably, subjects with a greater number of obesity-
304 risk alleles tended to lose less fat following training [58]. Other obesity SNPs, such as *LEP* and *LEPR*, which
305 encode for leptin and its receptor, modified the improvements in glucose and LDL cholesterol levels following
306 this same training intervention [62], results which replicated findings from HERITAGE [63]. Similar results
307 have been reported by Klimentidis and colleagues [64], who found that the possession of a greater number of
308 obesity-risk alleles was associated with smaller reductions in fat mass following resistance training. However, at
309 present, whilst we understand that a variety of SNPs, such as *ACTN3* [65] and the obesity related SNPs
310 discussed previously [62,64], impact the adaptive, fat loss, and health biomarker response to training, at present
311 very few studies have attempted to utilise this information to inform training programme design. Furthermore,
312 the relationship between genetic variants and body composition and/or obesity is also potentially affected by
313 measurement characteristics, with Bordoni and colleagues [66], for example, reporting that hydration status
314 modified the relationship between *ACE* genotype and body composition, making accurate quantification of the
315 effects of these SNPs difficult.

316 Jones and colleagues [67] utilised a 15 SNP total genotype score to classify subjects as those expected
317 to more favorably respond to high-volume, moderate-intensity resistance training, and those expected to more
318 favorably respond to low-volume, high-intensity resistance training. The subjects were then randomized to
319 receive either “matched” (i.e. training matched to their genotype score) or “mismatched” training over an eight-
320 week resistance training intervention. Those in the matched training group experienced significantly greater
321 improvements in a test of power and a test of endurance compared to those in the mismatched group.
322 Furthermore, 83% of high responders to the training intervention were from the matched group, whilst 82% of
323 low- and non-responders were from the mismatched training group. Recently, Pickering and colleagues [68]
324 utilised a 5 SNP genetic test to predict the magnitude of improvements in Yo-Yo test score—a measure of
325 aerobic capacity—in a group of youth soccer players. Subjects possessing a greater number of SNPs thought to
326 be associated with larger improvements in aerobic capacity did indeed demonstrate such improvements, whilst
327 those predicted to demonstrate smaller improvements did so. These findings suggest that genetic information
328 may hold promise in matching individuals to the training type most likely to instigate the greatest adaptive
329 response.

330 Similar results have been reported in relation to aerobic training. Timmons and colleagues [69]
331 discovered a specific molecular signature, comprised of 29 RNAs expressed within muscle prior to a training
332 intervention, which predicted the improvements in $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ demonstrated following that training intervention.
333 Similarly, Davidsen et al. [52] uncovered four miRNAs that were differentially expressed between low and high
334 responders following a twelve-week resistance training programme, adding further to the promise of the
335 matching of individuals to their most responsive training type in the future.

336 At present, tentative research suggests that a combination of genetic and miRNA markers at baseline
337 may be able to predict the magnitude of training response to a given intervention [52,68,69]. This raises the
338 potential for those individuals expected to demonstrate a lower response to a specific intervention to undertake a
339 separate intervention—one in which they are expected to demonstrate a larger improvement, and hence derive
340 increased health benefits. Early research suggests that genetic information may assist in the matching of optimal
341 training type to each individual [67], although substantially more research is required to confirm and expand on
342 these early promising findings.

343 8. Conclusion

344 In this paper, we introduced the concept of exercise response efficiency, speculating that, by matching
345 individuals to the type of training they are most likely to see the greatest improvements from, we can increase
346 the protective effects of exercise against disease and promote long term exercise adherence. Such an outcome,
347 we propose, represents a time-efficient method to maximise the health of at-risk populations, offsetting the risks
348 associated with an increasingly sedentary lifestyle. Early research suggests that genotype-matched training [60]
349 can enhance training adaptations, and that a number of biomarkers, including methylation [70], miRNA [52; 70]
350 and genetics [67,68], may enhance prediction of the magnitude of training response prior to an intervention
351 taking place, thereby allowing for the early individualization of training prescription.

358 Clearly, this suggestion requires more substantial investigation before it can be integrated into disease
359 control and treatment plans, with the early positive findings requiring replication. Similarly, further studies are
360 needed to explore the efficacy of such an approach on training-induced outcomes and adherence in at-risk
361 populations, with it being unclear as to whether such an intervention enhances health above the standardized
362 guidelines. There is also evidence that perceived “negative” genetic information may harm dietary and exercise
363 outcomes [71]. Additionally, the cost of genetic and miRNA testing may make such an approach cost-
364 prohibitive, at least in the short-term, to publicly funded health bodies, or lower socio-economic status
365 individuals wishing to pursue such an approach privately. However, any such initial cost may be offset by the
366 potential positive ramifications to multiple dimensions of public health.

367
368 Consequently, we believe that this approach may prove hugely valuable, especially to at-risk
369 populations, in the near future. Given the wide-ranging and well-established health benefits of exercise on
370 obesity and disease risk and treatment, yet the current poor uptake of exercise programmes, this approach may
371 serve to both increase exercise adherence and outcomes. As PA rates decline, and the number of individuals
372 with obesity and chronic disease increases, this approach represents a potentially impactful, yet largely
373 unconsidered and under-investigated, tool to combat these global health threats. Given the increasing numbers
374 of individuals with obesity and chronic disease across the globe, along with declining PA rates, such an
375 approach represents a potentially useful tool to attack such issues.
376

377 **Disclosure Statement**

378 **Funding**

379 No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.

380 **Conflicts of Interest**

381 Craig Pickering is a former employee of DNAFit LifeSciences, a genetic testing company. He received no
382 financial incentives for the preparation of this manuscript, which was prepared as part of his doctoral studies.
383 John Kiely declares that he has no conflict of interest relevant to the content of this article.
384

385 **Author Contributions**

386 CP conceived of the idea for this manuscript, and authored the first draft. JK provided substantial edits and
387 rewriting. Both authors approve the final version of the manuscript.
388
389

390 **References:**

- 392 1. Sweeting HN. Measurement and definitions of obesity in childhood and adolescence: a field guide for
393 the uninitiated. *Nutr J.* 2007;6(1):32.
- 394 2. Finucane MM, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, Danaei G, Lin JK, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and
395 global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and
396 epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9·1 million participants. *Lancet.*
397 2011;377(9765):557-67.
- 398 3. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of
399 body mass index among US adults, 1999-2010. *JAMA.* 2012;307(5):491-7.
- 400 4. Must A, Spadano J, Coakley EH, Field AE, Colditz G, Dietz WH. The disease burden associated with
401 overweight and obesity. *JAMA.* 1999;282(16):1523-9.
- 402 5. Gallus S, Lugo A, Murisic B, Bosetti C, Boffetta P, La Vecchia C. Overweight and obesity in 16
403 European countries. *Eur J Nutr.* 2015;54(5):679-89.
- 404 6. Kelly T, Yang W, Chen CS, Reynolds K, He J. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to
405 2030. *Int J Obes.* 2008;32(9):1431.
- 406 7. Wang YC, McPherson K, Marsh T, Gortmaker SL, Brown M. Health and economic burden of the
407 projected obesity trends in the USA and the UK. *Lancet.* 2011;378(9793):815-25.
- 408 8. Friedman JM. Obesity: Causes and control of excess body fat. *Nature.* 2009;20;459(7245):340.
- 409 9. Sahoo K, Sahoo B, Choudhury AK, Sofi NY, Kumar R, Bhadoria AS. Childhood obesity: causes and
410 consequences. *J Family Med Prim Care.* 2015;4(2):187.
- 411 10. Bordoni L, Gabbianelli R. Primers on nutrigenetics and nutri (epi) genomics: Origins and development
412 of precision nutrition. *Biochimie.* 2019;160:156-171.
- 413 11. Manikkam M, Tracey R, Guerrero-Bosagna C, Skinner MK. Plastics derived endocrine disruptors
414 (BPA, DEHP and DBP) induce epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of obesity, reproductive
415 disease and sperm epimutations. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(1):e55387.
- 416 12. Vickers M. Early life nutrition, epigenetics and programming of later life disease. *Nutrients.*
417 2014;6(6):2165-78.

- 418 13. Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Boyce WF, Vereecken C, Mulvihill C, Roberts C, et al. Health Behaviour in
419 School-Aged Children Obesity Working Group. Comparison of overweight and obesity prevalence in
420 school-aged youth from 34 countries and their relationships with physical activity and dietary patterns.
421 *Obes Rev.* 2006;6(2):123-32.
- 422 14. Frank LD, Andresen MA, Schmid TL. Obesity relationships with community design, physical activity,
423 and time spent in cars. *Am J Prev Med.* 2004;27(2):87-96.
- 424 15. Ladabaum U, Mannalithara A, Myer PA, Singh G. Obesity, abdominal obesity, physical activity, and
425 caloric intake in US adults: 1988 to 2010. *Am J Med.* 2014;127(8):717-27.
- 426 16. Ekkekakis P, Vazou S, Bixby WR, Georgiadis E. The mysterious case of the public health guideline
427 that is (almost) entirely ignored: call for a research agenda on the causes of the extreme avoidance of
428 physical activity in obesity. *Obes Rev.* 2016;17(4), 313-329.
- 429 17. Ballor DL, Keesey RE. A meta-analysis of the factors affecting exercise-induced changes in body
430 mass, fat mass and fat-free mass in males and females. *Int J Obes.* 1991;15(11):717-26.
- 431 18. Boutcher SH. High-intensity intermittent exercise and fat loss. *J Obes.* 2010;doi:
432 10.1155/2011/868305.
- 433 19. Hazell TJ, Hamilton CD, Olver TD, Lemon PW. Running sprint interval training induces fat loss in
434 women. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2014;39(8):944-50.
- 435 20. Latino-Martel P, Cottet V, Druesne-Pecollo N, Pierre FH, Touillaud M, Touvier M, et al. Alcoholic
436 beverages, obesity, physical activity and other nutritional factors, and cancer risk: a review of the
437 evidence. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 2016;99:308-23.
- 438 21. Myers J, McAuley P, Lavie CJ, Despres JP, Arena R, Kokkinos P. Physical activity and
439 cardiorespiratory fitness as major markers of cardiovascular risk: their independent and interwoven
440 importance to health status. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 2015;57(4):306-14.
- 441 22. Grace A, Chan E, Giallauria F, Graham PL, Smart NA. Clinical outcomes and glycaemic responses to
442 different aerobic exercise training intensities in type II diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
443 *Cardiovas Diabetol.* 2017;16(1):37.
- 444 23. Piepoli MF. Exercise Rehabilitation in Heart Disease: the real “polypill” for primary and secondary
445 prevention. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis.* 2005;64(2).
- 446 24. Fiuza-Luces C, Garatachea N, Berger NA, Lucia A. Exercise is the real polypill. *Physiology.*
447 2013;28(5):330-58.
- 448 25. Pareja-Galeano H, Garatachea N, Lucia A. Exercise as a polypill for chronic diseases. *Prog Mol Biol
449 Transl Sci.* 2015;135:497-526.
- 450 26. Sanchis-Gomar F, Fiuza-Luces C, Lucia A (2015) Exercise as the master polypill of the 21st century
451 for the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Int J Cardiol* 181:360-1.
- 452 27. Epstein LH, Goldfield GS. Physical activity in the treatment of childhood overweight and obesity:
453 current evidence and research issues. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1999;31(11):553-9.
- 454 28. Sallis RE. Exercise is medicine and physicians need to prescribe it! *Br J Sports Med.* 2009;43(1):3-4.
- 455 29. Allender S, Cowburn G, Foster C. Understanding participation in sport and physical activity among
456 children and adults: a review of qualitative studies. *Health Educ Res.* 2006;21(6):826-35.
- 457 30. Harris CD, Watson KB, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Dorn JM, Elam-Evans L. Adult participation in aerobic
458 and muscle-strengthening physical activities—United States, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.*
459 2013;62(17):326-30.
- 460 31. Welch N, McNaughton SA, Hunter W, Hume C, Crawford D. Is the perception of time pressure a
461 barrier to healthy eating and physical activity among women? *Public Health Nutr.* 2009;12(7):888-95.
- 462 32. Sequeira S, Cruz C, Pinto D, Santos L, Marques A. Prevalence of barriers for physical activity in adults
463 according to gender and socioeconomic status. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(15):A18-9.
- 464 33. Ekkekakis P, Hall EE, Petruzzello SJ. The relationship between exercise intensity and affective
465 responses demystified: to crack the 40-year-old nut, replace the 40-year-old nutcracker! *Ann Behav
466 Med.* 2008;35(2):136-49.
- 467 34. Egan B, Zierath JR. Exercise metabolism and the molecular regulation of skeletal muscle adaptation.
468 *Cell Metab.* 2013;17(2):162-84.
- 469 35. Shiraev T, Barclay G. Evidence based exercise: Clinical benefits of high intensity interval training.
470 *Aust Fam Physician.* 2012; 41(12):960.
- 471 36. Gillen JB, Gibala MJ. Is high-intensity interval training a time-efficient exercise strategy to improve
472 health and fitness? *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2013;39(3):409-12.
- 473 37. Vella CA, Taylor K, Drummer D. High-intensity interval and moderate-intensity continuous training
474 elicit similar enjoyment and adherence levels in overweight and obese adults. *Eur J Sport Sci.*
475 2017;17(9):1203-11.
- 476 38. Bouchard C, Rankinen T. Individual differences in response to regular physical activity. *Med Sci
477 Sports Exerc.* 2001;33(6 Suppl):S446-51.

- 478 39. Bouchard C, Blair SN, Church TS, Earnest CP, Hagberg JM, Häkkinen K, et al. Adverse metabolic
479 response to regular exercise: is it a rare or common occurrence? *PLoS One*. 2012;7(5):e37887.
- 480 40. Mahadeva K, Passmore R, Woolf B. Individual variations in the metabolic cost of standardized
481 exercises: the effects of food, age, sex and race. *J Physiol*. 1953;121(2):225-31.
- 482 41. Westerterp KR. Physical activity and physical activity induced energy expenditure in humans:
483 measurement, determinants, and effects. *Front Physiol*. 2013;4:90.
- 484 42. Blundell JE, Gibbons C, Caudwell P, Finlayson G, Hopkins M. Appetite control and energy balance:
485 impact of exercise. *Obes Rev*. 2015;16:67-76.
- 486 43. Atkinson G, Batterham AM. True and false interindividual differences in the physiological response to
487 an intervention. *Exp Physiol*. 2015;100(6):577-88.
- 488 44. Hecksteden A, Kraushaar J, Scharhag-Rosenberger F, Theisen D, Senn S, Meyer T. Individual
489 response to exercise training-a statistical perspective. *J Appl Physiol*. 2015;118(12):1450-9.
- 490 45. Williamson PJ, Atkinson G, Batterham AM. Inter-individual responses of maximal oxygen uptake to
491 exercise training: a critical review. *Sports Med*. 2017;47(8):1501-13.
- 492 46. Williamson PJ, Atkinson G, Batterham AM. Inter-individual differences in weight change following
493 exercise interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obes
494 Rev*. 2018;19(7):960-75.
- 495 47. Atkinson G, Williamson PJ, Batterham AM. Exercise training response heterogeneity: statistical
496 insights. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61(2):496-497
- 497 48. Pickering C, Kiely J. Do non-responders to exercise exist—and if so, what should we do about them?
498 *Sports Med*. 2018; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-01041-1>
- 499 49. Pickering C, Kiely J. Understanding Personalized Training Responses: Can Genetic Assessment Help?
500 *Open Sports Sci J*. 2017;10(1).
- 501 50. Delmonico MJ, Kostek MC, Doldo NA, Hand BD, Walsh S, Conway JM, et al. Alpha-actinin-3
502 (ACTN3) R577X polymorphism influences knee extensor peak power response to strength training in
503 older men and women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2007;62(2):206-12.
- 504 51. Bartholomew JB, Stults-Kolehmainen MA, Elrod CC, Todd JS. Strength gains after resistance training:
505 the effect of stressful, negative life events. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2008;22(4):1215-21.
- 506 52. Davidsen PK, Gallagher IJ, Hartman JW, Tarnopolsky MA, Dela F, Helge JW, et al. High responders
507 to resistance exercise training demonstrate differential regulation of skeletal muscle microRNA
508 expression. *J Appl Physiol*. 2010;110(2):309-17.
- 509 53. Nielsen S, Åkerström T, Rinnov A, Yfanti C, Scheele C, Pedersen BK, Laye MJ. The miRNA plasma
510 signature in response to acute aerobic exercise and endurance training. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(2):e87308.
- 511 54. Hautala AJ, Kiviniemi AM, Mäkkilä TH, Kinnunen H, Nissilä S, Huikuri HV, et al. Individual
512 differences in the responses to endurance and resistance training. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2006;96(5):535-
513 42.
- 514 55. Karavirta L, Häkkinen K, Kauhanen A, Arija-Blazquez A, Sillanpää E, Rinkinen N, et al. Individual
515 responses to combined endurance and strength training in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
516 2011;43(3):484-90.
- 517 56. Bonafiglia JT, Rotundo MP, Whittall JP, Scribbans TD, Graham RB, Gurd BJ. Inter-individual
518 variability in the adaptive responses to endurance and sprint interval training: a randomized crossover
519 study. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(12):e0167790.
- 520 57. Hardcastle SJ, Ray H, Beale L, Hagger MS. Why sprint interval training is inappropriate for a largely
521 sedentary population. *Front Psychol*. 2014;5:1505.
- 522 58. Arkadianos I, Valdes AM, Marinos E, Florou A, Gill RD, Grimaldi KA. Improved weight management
523 using genetic information to personalize a calorie controlled diet. *Nut J*. 2007;6(1):29.
- 524 59. Leonśka-Duniec A, Jastrzębski Z, Jaźdżewska A, Moska W, Lulińska-Kuklik E, Sawczuk M, et al.
525 Individual responsiveness to exercise-induced fat loss and improvement of metabolic profile in young
526 women is associated with polymorphisms of adrenergic receptor genes. *J Sports Sci Med*.
527 2018;17(1):134.
- 528 60. Leonśka-Duniec A, Grzywacz A, Jastrzębski Z, Jaźdżewska A, Lulińska-Kuklik E, Moska W, et al.
529 ADIPOQ polymorphisms are associated with changes in obesity-related traits in response to aerobic
530 training programme in women. *Biol Sport*. 2018;35(2).
- 531 61. Leonśka-Duniec A, Cieszczyk P, Jastrzębski Z, Jaźdżewska A, Lulińska-Kuklik E, Moska W, et al.
532 The polymorphisms of the PPARD gene modify post-training body mass and biochemical parameter
533 changes in women. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(8):e0202557.
- 534 62. Leonśka-Duniec A, Jastrzebski Z, Jazdzewska A, Krzysztof F, Cieszczyk P. Leptin and leptin receptor
535 genes are associated with obesity-related traits changes in response to aerobic training program. *J
536 Strength Cond Res*. 2018;32(4):1036-44.

- 537 63. Lakka TA, Rankinen T, Weisnagel SJ, Chagnon YC, Lakka HM, Ukkola O, et al. Leptin and leptin
538 receptor gene polymorphisms and changes in glucose homeostasis in response to regular exercise in
539 nondiabetic individuals: the HERITAGE family study. *Diabetes*. 2004;53(6):1603-8.
- 540 64. Klementidis YC, Bea JW, Lohman T, Hsieh PS, Going S, Chen Z. High genetic risk individuals benefit
541 less from resistance exercise intervention. *Int J Obes*. 2015;39(9):1371.
- 542 65. Pickering C, Kiely J. ACTN3: More than just a gene for speed. *Front Physiol*. 2017;8:1080.
- 543 66. Bordoni L, Napolioni V, Marchegiani F, Amadio E, Gabbianelli R. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme
544 Ins/Del polymorphism and body composition: The intermediary role of hydration status. *J Nutrigenet*
545 *Nutrigenomics*. 2017;10(1-2):1-8.
- 546 67. Jones N, Kiely J, Suraci B, Collins DJ, De Lorenzo D, Pickering C, et al. A genetic-based algorithm for
547 personalized resistance training. *Biol Sport*. 2016;33(2):117.
- 548 68. Pickering C, Kiely J, Suraci B, Collins D. The magnitude of Yo-Yo test improvements following an
549 aerobic training intervention are associated with total genotype score. *PLoS One*.
550 2018;13(11):e0207597
- 551 69. Timmons JA, Knudsen S, Rankinen T, Koch LG, Sarzynski M, Jensen T, et al. Using molecular
552 classification to predict gains in maximal aerobic capacity following endurance exercise training in
553 humans. *J Appl Physiol*. 2010;108(6):1487-96.
- 554 70. Rowlands DS, Page RA, Sukala WR, Giri M, Ghimbovschi SD, Hayat I, et al. Multi-omic integrated
555 networks connect DNA methylation and miRNA with skeletal muscle plasticity to chronic exercise in
556 Type 2 diabetic obesity. *Physiol Genomics*. 2014;46(20):747-65.
- 557 71. Turnwald BP, Goyer JP, Boles DZ, Silder A, Delp SL, Crum AJ. Learning one's genetic risk changes
558 physiology independent of actual genetic risk. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2018; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0483-4>
- 560