# Prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria: a systematic review and meta-analysis 

Davies Adeloye ${ }^{1,2^{*}}$, Doofan Q Abaa ${ }^{1}$, Eyitayo O Owolabi ${ }^{3}$, Boni Maxime Ale ${ }^{4,5}$, Rex G Mpazanje ${ }^{6}$, Mary T Dewan ${ }^{6}$, Chiamaka Omoyele ${ }^{7}$, Nnenna Ezeigwe ${ }^{7}$, Wondimagegnehu Alemu ${ }^{8}$, Michael O Harhay ${ }^{9,10}$, Asa Auta ${ }^{11}$, Isaac F Adewole ${ }^{7}$

1. Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK.
2. RcDavies Evidence-based Medicine, Lagos, Nigeria
3. Department of Nursing Sciences, University of Fort Hare, East London, South Africa
4. Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (INSERM), Paris, France
5. Holo Healthcare Limited, Nairobi, Kenya
6. WHO Nigeria Country Office, Abuja, Nigeria
7. Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, Nigeria
8. International Health Consultancy, LLC, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
9. Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA
10. Palliative and Advanced Illness Research (PAIR) Center, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA
11. School of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Fylde Road, Preston, UK
*Correspondence: Dr. Davies Adeloye, Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, 30 West Richmond street, EH8 9DX, email: Davies.Adeloye@ed.ac.uk

Word count: 2786
Tables: 5
Figures: 5
Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge the support of the Nigeria Federal Ministry of Health and the WHO Nigeria Country Office in the conduct of this study. Special thanks to Victoria Nyawira Nyaga for checking through our statistical analyses.

Authorship declaration: DA conceived and designed the study. DA, DQA and AA conducted the literature searches and data extraction. DA, DQA and EOO wrote the first draft. DA and MBA conducted the analysis. DA, AA, RGM, MTD, CO, NE, MOH, WA and IFA contributed to the final draft and checked for important intellectual content. All authors approved the manuscript as submitted.

Disclosure statement: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
Funding: MOH is supported by a grant (K99HL141678) from the NIH/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)

Ethical approval: Not required. This paper was based on publicly available sources and data.


#### Abstract

Objectives: Elevated blood cholesterol (hypercholesterolemia) is a significant cause of cardiovascular disease. We aimed to estimate national and zonal prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria to help guide targeted public health programs.

Design: This is a systematic review and synthesis of publicly available epidemiologic data on hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria.

Methods: We systematically searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Global Health, and Africa Journals Online for studies on the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria published between 1990 and 2018. We used a random-effects meta-analysis (Freeman-Tukey double arscine transformation) and meta-regression model to estimate the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria in 1995 and 2015.

Results: 13 studies ( $\mathrm{n}=16981$ ) were retrieved. The pooled crude prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria was $38 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: $26-51$ ), with prevalence in women slightly higher ( $42 \%, 23-63$ ) compared to men at ( $38 \%, 20-58$ ). The prevalence was highest in the South-south (53\%, 38-68) and lowest in the South-west (3\%, 2-4) and North-east (4\%, 2-7). Urban dwellers had a significantly higher rate (52\%, 24-79) compared to rural dwellers (10\%, 615). We estimated over 8.2 million persons (age-adjusted prevalence 16.5\%) aged 20 years or more had hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria in 1995, increasing to 21.9 million persons (ageadjusted prevalence $25.9 \%$ ) in 2015.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest a high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria. Urbanization, lifestyles, diets, and culture appear to be driving an increasing prevalence, especially among women. Population-wide awareness and education on reducing elevated cholesterol levels and associated risks should be prioritised.
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## HIGHLIGHTS

- Urbanization, with changing lifestyles, diets and culture, appear to be driving an increasing prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, particularly among women;
- In 1995, over 8.2 million persons aged 20 years or more had hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria;
- This increased by about $170 \%$ to 21.9 million persons in 2015.


## INTRODUCTION

Elevated blood cholesterol (hypercholesterolemia) is a leading cause of disease burden, accounting for one-third of ischemic heart disease and one fifth of stroke ${ }^{1,2}$. In 2015, hypercholesterolemia resulted in $4.5 \%$ and $2 \%$ of global deaths and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs), respectively ${ }^{3}$. Recent reports reveal a rising prevalence across many low- and middle-income countries, including Nigeria, with a quarter of adults affected ${ }^{3}$.

The rising burden of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria corresponds with increasing rates of unhealthy diets and lifestyles in the population. A key driver of this is urbanization, and the corresponding expansion of processed food outlets in the country ${ }^{4,5}$. Further, reports suggest that major cooking oils in the country are high in saturated fats, and these are often unbranded or content not displayed ${ }^{6}$. Though, even if this information was better reported, many people do not check fats or cholesterol content of cooking oils, due to limited population-wide information and awareness on associated risks ${ }^{6}$. There is also evidence of a growing number of Nigerians with sub-optimal levels of physical activity ${ }^{3,7}$, another driver of poor cholesterol management.

Yet public health responses are largely absent across many settings ${ }^{8}$. Epidemiologic studies are few, thus limiting a precise understanding of the burden ${ }^{8,9}$. Thus, we systematically searched and synthesized available data to estimate the national and zonal prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria. Our primary goal is to raise awareness regarding this burden and to prompt relevant policy response and measures across the country.

## METHODS

## Search strategy

We searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Global Health, and Africa Journals Online (AJOL), for studies on hypercholesterolemia or dyslipidemia in Nigeria using the search terms shown in Table 1. Searches were conducted on 01 August 2018 and limited to studies published after 1 January 1990. Unpublished documents were sourced from Google Scholar and Google searches. Titles and abstracts of studies were reviewed, and the full-text of relevant studies accessed. The reference lists of accessed full-texts were further hand-searched for additional studies. We contacted authors of selected papers for any missing information.

## Selection criteria

We selected population- or hospital-based studies reporting on the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in a Nigerian setting. However, due to the high number of studies on cardio-metabolic risks identified from an initial scoping exercise reporting on cholesterol levels or any form of dyslipidemia, we also carefully reviewed several studies on NCDs risks in Nigeria and extracted data on hypercholesterolemia or population lipid levels from such studies when reported. We excluded studies on Nigerians in diaspora, reviews, case reports, viewpoints, and commentaries.

## Case definitions

We checked for studies that defined hypercholesterolemia as elevated blood levels of total cholesterol, high low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, or high non-high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol (which is the subtraction of HDL-cholesterol from total cholesterol) ${ }^{3}$. Some studies were based on dyslipidemia (i.e., abnormal lipid levels), as elevated cholesterol levels may be accompanied by a decrease in HDL-cholesterol or an increase in triglycerides. Hence, we also checked for studies that provided numerical estimates on elevated plasma cholesterol, triglycerides, or a low HDL cholesterol level, which satisfies a working definition of dyslipidemia ${ }^{3}$. However, to limit heterogeneity resulting from different case definitions in our analysis, we applied the United States National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute recommended diagnostic criteria for hypercholesterolemia or dyslipidemia ${ }^{10}$ in our quality checks as follows: i) total cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $5.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ); ii) LDL-cholesterol $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $3.4 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ); iv) HDLcholesterol $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $1.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ); or v) triglyceride $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $1.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ). We included any studies that reported estimates based on these diagnostic criteria.

## Data extraction

Assessment of eligible studies was conducted independently by two reviewers (DA and AA), with an eligibility guideline to ensure that consistent selection criteria were applied. Any disagreement in study selection was resolved by consensus. Data on the location, study period, study design, study setting (urban or rural), sample size, diagnostic criteria, and mean age of the population were extracted. These were matched with corresponding data on persons with hypercholesterolemia, sample population, the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in each study. For studies conducted on the same study site, population or cohort, the first published study was selected, and all additional data from the other studies were extracted and merged with data from the selected paper.

## Quality assessment

For each full text selected, we further screened for an explicit description of methodology, case definitions, and generalizability of reported estimates to a larger population within the geopolitical zone. For quality grading, we adapted a previously used quality assessment criteria for studies examining the prevalence of chronic diseases ${ }^{11-14}$. For each full text selected, we screened for sampling strategy (was it representative of a target subnational population?), statistical methods (was it appropriate for the study outcome?), and case ascertainment (was it based on standard diagnostic criteria, unspecified clinical criteria, informant interviews, or not reported?). Studies were graded as high (4-5), moderate (2-3), or low quality (0-1) (see Tables 2 and 3, for details of all full-text manuscripts accessed and quality grading).

## Data Analysis

A random-effects meta-analysis was employed to generate national and sub-national estimates of the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia and mean cholesterol levels in Nigeria, using the Freeman-Tukey double arscine transformation ${ }^{15}$ to normalize data given the wide variation in extracted crude estimates from studies. Standard errors were determined from the reported crude estimates and population denominators, assuming a binomial (or Poisson) distribution.

Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using l-squared ( $I^{2}$ ) statistics. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's regression test for funnel plot asymmetry ${ }^{16}$. A meta-regression model based on mean age from each study and adjusted for the study period was developed to determine prevalence distribution of hypercholesterolemia by the age of the Nigerian population over two separate years. As explained in previous studies ${ }^{17,18}$, we tested various models (linear, exponential, polynomial, logarithmic, etc.) to determine which was most predictive, representing the greatest proportion of variance $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ of the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia as explained by the inputted variables. The equation of the model was used to generate ageadjusted prevalence of hypercholesterolemia, at midpoints of 5 -year age groups (ie. from 20-24 (22), 25-29 (27), 30-34 (32), to 80 years and over). The age-adjusted prevalence for each year was multiplied by the corresponding United Nation (UN) population for Nigeria (by 5-year age group) ${ }^{19}$ to estimate the absolute number of persons with hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria for the years 1995 and 2015. All statistical analyses were conducted in Stata (Stata Corp V.14, Texas, USA). The study was guided by the PRISMA checklist ${ }^{20}$.

## RESULTS

## Search results

The databases' searches returned 496 studies (MEDLINE 192, EMBASE 261, Global Health 34, and AJOL 9). An additional 11 studies were identified through Google Scholar, and handsearching reference lists of relevant studies. After duplicates have been removed, 322 titles were screened for relevance (i.e. any epidemiologic studies on hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria). On applying the selection criteria, 261 studies were excluded. Hence, 61 full-texts were assessed and further screened comprehensively using the pre-designed selection and quality criteria. Forty-eight studies were then excluded at this stage. We finally included 13 studies ${ }^{21-33}$ for both quantitative and qualitative synthesis (Figure 1).

## Study Characteristics

The 13 studies covering a population of 16981 were selected across the southern and northern parts of Nigeria, with only the North-western geopolitical zone not represented (Table 3). There were five studies from the South-south, four from the South-east, two from the North-central, and one study each from the North-east and South-west. Although most studies were conducted outside hospital settings, they may not be entirely referred as population-based, as some studies were limited to specific population groups, including professionals, civil servants, university employees, with a total population of 16,981. Most studies mainly presented prevalence estimates for hypercholesterolemia (expressed as the proportion of the population with total cholesterol $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ or $5.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Hence this was the only pooled prevalence rate reported in this study. However, as mean blood cholesterol levels were reported across studies, we pooled nation-wide blood levels of total cholesterol LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides. Six (6) studies were conducted in urban settings, four (4) in semi-urban settings (i.e. a mix of urban and rural dwellers), and three (3) in rural settings. Study period ranged from 1992 to 2015, with most studies conducted within a one-year period. The aggregated mean age from all studies ranged from 22 to 65 years (Table 3). Although five studies were rated as high
quality, heterogeneity was high across studies ( $l$-squared $\left(I^{2}\right)=99.6 \%, P \leq 0.001$ ) and funnel plot was asymmetrical suggesting publication bias (Egger's test $(z)=1.67, P=0.008$ ) (Figure 2).

## Prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria

The highest prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was reported among staffs of a higher education institution in Owerri, Imo State, South-east Nigeria at $91.3 \%{ }^{22}$. Other high estimates of hypercholesterolemia were reported among elderly urban residents in Asaba, Delta State, South-south Nigeria (70.0\%) ${ }^{25}$, and in another higher education community in Jos, Plateau State, North-central Nigeria (51.8\%) ${ }^{21}$. The lowest prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was reported in Egbeda, a rural town in Oyo State, South-west Nigeria, at $3.2 \%{ }^{24}$. There were also some low prevalence rates of hypercholesterolemia estimated in two separate sites in Imo State, South-east Nigeria, at $7.8 \%$ and $8.2 \%{ }^{32,33}$ (Table 3). Across all data-points, the pooled crude prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria was $38 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 26-51$ ). (Figure 3). When estimates from population-based studies were considered, the pooled prevalence was $33 \%$ lower, though the confidence interval were wide ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 9-62). The prevalence in women was higher at $42 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 23-63) than estimated among men at $38 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 20-58) (Figures 4 \& 5, Table 4). Across the geolpolitical zones, the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was highest in the South-south at $53 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 38-68), followed by North-central (36\%, 95\% CI: 34-38) and South-east (34\%, 95\% CI: 14-58). The South-west (3.2\%, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 2-4$ ) and the North-east ( $4 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 2-7$ ) had the lowest estimated prevalence rates. The distribution of the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia across study settings suggest a significantly higher rate in urban settings at $52 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 24-79), compared to rural settings at $10 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 6-15) (Table 4). See supplementary material for detailed sex variation across study design, setting and geopolitical zone.

## Pooled blood lipid levels in Nigeria

The pooled mean total cholesterol concentration in Nigeria is approaching the borderline high levels, with an upper limit reaching the borderline ( $189.1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}, 95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 177.6-200.7$ ). The pooled mean concentration of LDL-cholesterol was $100.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 65.6-131.2), which can be regarded as good, but also with an upper limit above the borderline high levels. We estimated a nation-wide mean HDL-cholesterol concentration at $61.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 50.2-73.3$ ) which is in the range of the accepted levels needed to lower cardiovascular disease risk. The estimated pooled triglycerides concentration may also be regarded as acceptable at $132.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 97.4-159.3), although as observed with total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol, the upper limit is above normal.

## Estimated number of persons with hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria

Age-adjusted prevalence of hypercholesterolemia increased as the population ages, $P=0.012$ (see supplementary material for meta-regression model). Using the United Nations demographic projections for Nigeria, and based on an assumption that our extracted dataset was collected between 1992 and 2015 (see study period in Table 3), we estimated over 8.2 million persons (17\%) aged 20 years or more with hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria in 1995. By 2015, cases of hypercholesterolemia have increased by about $166 \%$ to 21.9 million persons
(26\%) in the same age group. Although, it appears the rapid population and demographic changes in Nigeria over this 20-year period were partly responsible for this increase (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we sought to estimate the number of individuals living with elevated blood cholesterol levels in Nigeria. We estimate that the age-adjusted prevalence of hypercholesterolemia increased from $17 \%$ to $26 \%$ among persons aged 20 years or more between 1995 and 2015. In 1992, Akinkugbe and Akinkanju ${ }^{34}$ estimated a nation-wide prevalence of hypercholesterolemia at 4\%. Though there is not yet another national estimate, a number of community-based studies conducted across the country independently suggest an increasing prevalence, with estimates ranging from $27-52 \%$ across different population groups ${ }^{4,}$ 8, 9,35 . When compared with the WHO African region, our 2015 estimate is slightly higher ( $26 \%$ versus $23 \%$ ), although still lower than the global prevalence at $39 \%{ }^{3}$. Moreover, we reported a near-borderline population mean total cholesterol at $189 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. While mean total cholesterols may not be key indicators of the distribution of hypercholesterolemia in a population ${ }^{36}$, a coexisting high and rising prevalence imply a need for more comprehensive population-wide measures to prevent health consequences.

In this study, we found a slightly higher hypercholesterolemia prevalence among women compared to men in Nigeria. This difference has also been documented in previous studies ${ }^{1,36}$, however the higher rate among women in Nigeria is largely connected to less physical activity and an increasing patronage of processed food outlets ${ }^{34,37}$. Reports suggest some women adopt this lifestyle to add weight, as this indicates higher social class and better living standards ${ }^{38,39}$. Other factors thought to be influencing the higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in females include gender roles and religious expectations. In many Nigerian settings, women are required to primarily stay indoors and complete domestic chores, with this predisposing women to higher caloric intake and sedentary lifestyles ${ }^{38}$.

Our study sought to provide the first zonal estimates of hypercholesterolemia across Nigeria. Though these are marked by wide uncertainties, the highest prevalence was reported in the South-south at $53 \%$. Some authors have noted that dyslipidemia was highly prevalent across all geopolitical zones in Nigeria, although Southern ethnic groups whose typical diet contained higher fat contents had significantly higher total blood cholesterol levels ${ }^{8,40}$. It is likely that the relatively higher socio-economic status in these settings could partly drive elevated blood cholesterol levels, as a positive correlation between hypercholesterolemia and wealth index is well-documented ${ }^{35,36,41}$. As the southern parts of Nigeria are experiencing faster rates of urbanization, the higher rates of hypercholesterolemia in these settings could be explained. Venkichatalam and colleagues ${ }^{1}$ reported that observed elevated cholesterol levels across world regions vary with national and sub-national economic developments.

Although urbanization, lifestyles, and diets are believed to be leading causes of raised cholesterol levels in Nigeria, culture, ethnic diversities, literacy levels and lack of information also play important roles, and should be considered in preventive measures ${ }^{35,42}$. In three studies conducted across southern and northern Nigeria, several participants reported being unaware of the risks of abnormal lipid patterns and a need for lipid profile and screening ${ }^{35,42,43}$.

In many parts of the country, the quantity and type of food consumed, and where they are purchased, can contribute to social status ${ }^{44}$.

Though there is robust evidence linking early identification and treatment of hypercholesterolemia with reduced cardiovascular morbidity and mortality ${ }^{45,46}$, interventions targeting hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria are limited. Although the management of elevated blood cholesterol and other cardiovascular risks may be complex at the country level ${ }^{1}$, the challenges are worsened in Nigeria due to poor understanding of the burden, limited research, varying guidelines, complex prescriptions, and several health system challenges ${ }^{1}$. Across many health centres, hypercholesterolemia is an incidental clinical finding, and patients appear to be unwilling to incur high out-of-pocket expenses on lipid-lowering therapy as part of the management of other ailments ${ }^{5,6,47}$. Clinicians have advocated for more awareness and government response to complement treatment efforts ${ }^{5,47}$

Our study has some limitations. First, there was a limited number of epidemiologic reports on high blood lipids in Nigeria, and studies appear to be poorly conducted, with only five studies rated as high quality. In addition, we could only retrieve studies from five of the six geopolitical zones in Nigeria, with no studies providing information regarding populations from the Northwest. This covers seven states, accounting for about $25 \%$ of Nigeria's population. Second, heterogeneity was high across studies, reflecting varying study designs, case ascertainment, and the population covered-limited to specific groups including university employees, professionals and civil servants in some studies. Third, although we ensured hospital-based studies were from outpatient settings with a well-defined catchment population, our denominators could have been under-reported, thus presenting with higher estimates from hospital-based studies ( $41 \%$ ) compared to population-based studies (33\%), possibly affecting our overall estimates (38\%). Moreover, the different contexts under which these studies were designed are important sources of heterogeneity and indeed affect overall representativeness of our estimates. These are important considerations in the interpretation of our findings. Albeit, we have provided important datasets and estimates relevant that can support and motivate further research and necessary policy interventions.

## CONCLUSION

Our findings suggest a high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria. Urbanization, lifestyles, diets, and culture appear to be driving an increasing prevalence, especially among women. Population response and clinical management have been sub-optimal. Population-wide awareness and education on elevated cholesterols and associated risks should be prioritized among other interventions.
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FIGURE 1. Flow chart of selection of studies on hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria.


FIGURE 2. Funnel plot showing distribution of selected studies
Funnel plot with pseudo 95\% confidence limits


Egger's test $(z)=-1.67, P=0.008$.

FIGURE 3. Plate A. Pooled rate of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, by geopolitical zone.


FIGURE 3. Plate B. Pooled rate of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, by study design.


FIGURE 3. Plate C. Pooled rate of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, by study setting.


FIGURE 4. Pooled rate of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, men.


FIGURE 5. Pooled rate of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, Women.


TABLE 1. Search terms on hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria

| $\#$ | Searches |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | africa/ or africa, sub-sahara/ or africa, western/ or <br> nigeria/ |
| 2 | exp vital statistics/ |
| 3 | (incidence* or prevalence* or morbidity or <br> mortality).tw. |
| 4 | (disease adj3 burden).tw. |
| 5 | exp "cost of illness"/ |
| 6 | case fatality rate.tw |
| 7 | hospital admissions.tw |
| 8 | Disability adjusted life years.mp. |
| 9 | (initial adj2 burden).tw. |
| 10 | exp risk factors/ |
| 11 | 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 |
| 12 | exp dyslipidemia / or abnormal lipids / or abnormal <br> cholesterol / or hypercholesterolemia /or elevated <br> cholesterol |
| 13 | 1 and 11 and 12 |
| 14 | Limit 13 to "1990-current" |

TABLE 2. Quality assessment of selected studies

| Quality criteria | Assessment | Score | Maximum score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling method (was it representative of a target subnational population?) | Yes | 1 | 1 |
|  | No | 0 |  |
| Appropriateness of statistical analysis | Yes | 1 | 1 |
|  | No | 0 |  |
| Case ascertainment (was it based on standard diagnostic criteria, other criteria or case definition, unclear, or not reported?) | Standard diagnostic criteria | 3 | 3 |
|  | Other criteria | 2 |  |
|  | unclear | 1 |  |
|  | Not-reported | 0 |  |
| Total (high (4-5), moderate (2-3), or low quality (0-1)) |  |  | 5 |

TABLE 3. Characteristics of studies on prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria

| Author | Study Perio d | Location | Geopolit ical zone | Study design | Study Settin g | Me an ag e (ye ars ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Sa} \\ \mathrm{mp} \\ \mathrm{le} \end{gathered}$ | Prev alenc <br> e (\%) | Qua lity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agaba et al 21 | 2014 | Jos, Plateau State | Northcentral | Population-based | Urban | 44 | 883 | 51.8 | High |
| Emerole et al ${ }^{22}$ | 2007 | Owerri, Imo State | Southeast | Descriptive crosssectional study | Urban | 53 | 241 | 91.3 | Mod erat e |
| Ugwuja et al ${ }^{23}$ | 2008 | Abakaliki, Ebonyi State | Southeast | Descriptive crosssectional study | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 40 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 205 | 37.1 | Mod erat e |
| Oladapo et al ${ }^{24}$ | 2005 | Egbeda, Oyo State | Southwest | Population-based | Rural | $\begin{gathered} 42 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 3.2 | Mod erat e |
| Odenigbo et al ${ }^{25}$ | 2009 | Asaba, Delta State | Southsouth | Hospital-based | Urban | 67 | 176 | 69.9 | Mod erat e |
| Okaka \& Eiya ${ }^{26}$ | 2013 | Ovia, Edo state | Southsouth | Hospital-based | Rural | 48 | 161 | 31.7 | Mod erat e |
| Odenigbo et al ${ }^{27}$ | 2008 | Asaba, Delta State | Southsouth | Population-based | Mixed | $\begin{gathered} 41 . \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 23.0 | Mod erat e |
| Oyeyemi \& Adeyemi ${ }^{28}$ | 2013 | Maiduguri, Borno State | Northeast | Population-based cross-sectional study | Mixed | $\begin{gathered} 44 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 292 | 6.8 | High |
| *Forrest et al 29 | 1992 | Benin, Edo State | Southsouth | Population-based cross-sectional study | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 41 . \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 799 | - | High |
| Oguoma et al ${ }^{30}$ | 2015 | Kwale, Delta State | Southsouth | Population-based cross-sectional study | Mixed | $\begin{gathered} 39 . \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 422 | 38.1 | High |
| Ojji et al ${ }^{31}$ | 2010 | Abuja, FCT | Northcentral | Hospital-based | Urban | 49 | 913 | 22.5 | High |


| Iloh et al ${ }^{32}$ | 2009 | Imo State | South- <br> east | Hospital-based | Rural | 40 | 215 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | 7.8 |
| :---: |
| Mod <br> erat <br> $e$ |
| Iloh et al ${ }^{33}$ |

*study reported only blood lipid levels.

Table 4. Pooled estimates of hypercholesterolemia and blood lipid levels in Nigeria

| Region |  | Both sexes |  | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prevalence \% } \\ & \text { (95\% CI) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I^{2}, P- \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | Prevalence $\% ~(95 \% ~ C I) ~$ | $\begin{aligned} & I^{2}, P- \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | Prevalence \% (95\% CI) | $\begin{aligned} & I^{2}, P- \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| Nation-wide |  | 38 (26-51) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 99.5, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 38 (20-58) | $\begin{gathered} 98.8, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 42 (23-63) | $\begin{gathered} 98.7, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
| Study design | Populationbased | 33 (9-62) | $\begin{gathered} 99.7, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 18 (2-46) | $\begin{gathered} 99.3 \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 23 (3-54) | $\begin{gathered} 99.3 \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Hospitalbased | 41 (29-55) | $\begin{gathered} 99.1, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 58 (29-85) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 97.1, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 62 (34-86) | $\begin{gathered} 94.5, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
| Settings | Urban | 52 (24-79) | $\begin{gathered} 99.4, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 34 (32-37) | 0.0 | 37 (34-41) | 0.0 |
|  | Rural | 10 (6-15) | $\begin{gathered} 98.0, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 3 (2-4) | - | 3 (2-4) | - |
|  | Semiurban | 48 (25-71) | $\begin{gathered} 98.3, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 46 (19-74) | $\begin{gathered} 97.7, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 50 (30-70) | $\begin{gathered} 93.9, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
| Geopolitical zone | Northcentral | 36 (34-38) | 0.0 | 34 (32-37) | 0.0 | 37 (34-41) | 0.0 |
|  | North-east | 4 (2-7) | - | 2 (1-5) | - | 9 (5-16_ | - |
|  | South-east | 34 (14-58) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 94.6, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
|  | Southsouth | 53 (38-68) | - | 56 (37-73) | $\begin{gathered} 91.8, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | 57 (40-73) | $\begin{gathered} 86.6, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | South-west | 3 (2-4) | - | 3 (2-4) | - | 3 (2-4) | - |
| Pooled blood lipid levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lipid type |  | Concentration (mg/dl; mmol/I) | $\begin{aligned} & I^{2}, P- \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Total cholesterol |  | $\begin{gathered} 189.1 \text { (177.6- } \\ 200.7) ; 4.9 \\ (4.6-5.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.0, \\ 0.808 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| LDL-cholesterol |  | $\begin{gathered} 100.4 \text { (65.6- } \\ 131.2) ; 2.6 \\ (1.7-3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.7, \\ <0.001 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| HDL-cholesterol |  | $\begin{gathered} 61.8 \text { (50.2- } \\ 73.3) ; 1.6(1.3- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.0, \\ & 0.254 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - |


|  | $1.9)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triglycerides | $132.8(97.4-$ | 0.0, | - | - | - | - |
|  | $159.3) ; 1.5$ | 0.743 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(1.1-1.8)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5. Absolute number of persons with hypercholesterolemia in Nigeria, aged 20 years or more, 1995 and 2015

| Age <br> (years) | 1995 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prevalence <br> (\%) | Population <br> (000) | Cases <br> (000) | Prevalence <br> (\%) | Population <br> (000) | Cases <br> (000) |
| $20-24$ | 0.1 | 9732.1 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 15981.8 | 636.5 |
| $25-29$ | 0.8 | 7814.7 | 61.1 | 10.7 | 14051.0 | 1497.6 |
| $30-34$ | 5.9 | 6586.9 | 388.2 | 17.3 | 12102.3 | 2097.7 |
| $35-39$ | 12.6 | 5534.3 | 695.6 | 24.0 | 9982.6 | 2396.6 |
| $40-44$ | 19.2 | 4611.6 | 887.4 | 30.7 | 7767.7 | 2383.4 |
| $45-49$ | 25.9 | 3894.2 | 1009.3 | 37.4 | 6008.7 | 2244.7 |
| $50-54$ | 32.6 | 3330.8 | 1085.6 | 44.0 | 4993.8 | 2198.9 |
| $55-59$ | 39.3 | 2690.9 | 1056.7 | 50.7 | 4146.1 | 2102.4 |
| $60-64$ | 45.9 | 2090.9 | 960.6 | 57.4 | 3325.7 | 1908.4 |
| $65-69$ | 52.6 | 1544.5 | 812.7 | 64.1 | 2554.2 | 1636.2 |
| $70-74$ | 59.3 | 1031.8 | 611.8 | 70.7 | 1821.5 | 1288.4 |
| $75-79$ | 66.0 | 581.5 | 383.6 | 77.4 | 1077.6 | 834.2 |
| $80+$ | 76.6 | 353.3 | 270.8 | 88.1 | 721.8 | 635.8 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | 49797.6 | $\mathbf{8 2 2 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 5 3 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 6 0 . 8}$ |

Note: Estimates based on the epidemiologic model ( $\mathrm{y}=1.335 \mathrm{x}+0.573 \mathrm{t}-1178.246$ ). Where $\mathrm{y}=$ prevalence of hypercholesterolemia, $x=a g e$, and $t=y e a r$. Coefficients of ' $x$ ' and ' $t$ ' are ' 1.335 ' and ' 0.573 ' for the metaregressed line, with an intercept of ' -1178.246 '

