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A B S T R A C T

Background
There may be an association between periodontitis and cardiovascular disease (CVD); however, the evidence so far has been uncertain about whether periodontal therapy can help prevent CVD in people diagnosed with chronic periodontitis. This is the second update of a review originally published in 2014, and first updated in 2017. Although there is a new multidimensional staging and grading system for periodontitis, we have retained the label ‘chronic periodontitis’ in this version of the review since available studies are based on the previous classification system.

Objectives
To investigate the effects of periodontal therapy for primary or secondary prevention of CVD in people with chronic periodontitis.

Search methods
Cochrane Oral Health’s Information Specialist searched the Cochrane Oral Health's Trials Register, CENTRAL, MEDLINE, Embase, and CINAHL, two trials registries, and the grey literature to September 2019. We placed no restrictions on the language or date of publication.

We also searched the Chinese BioMedical Literature Database, the China National Knowledge Infrastructure, the VIP database, and Sciencepaper Online to August 2019.

Selection criteria
We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared active periodontal therapy to no periodontal treatment or a different periodontal treatment. We included studies of participants with a diagnosis of chronic periodontitis, either with CVD (secondary prevention studies) or without CVD (primary prevention studies).
Data collection and analysis

Two review authors carried out the study identification, data extraction, and 'Risk of bias' assessment independently and in duplicate. They resolved any discrepancies by discussion, or with a third review author. We adopted a formal pilot-tested data extraction form, and used the Cochrane tool to assess the risk of bias in the studies. We used GRADE criteria to assess the certainty of the evidence.

Main results

We included two RCTs in the review. One study focused on the primary prevention of CVD, and the other addressed secondary prevention. We evaluated both as being at high risk of bias. Our primary outcomes of interest were death (all-cause and CVD-related) and all cardiovascular events, measured at one-year follow-up or longer.

For primary prevention of CVD in participants with periodontitis and metabolic syndrome, one study (165 participants) provided very low-certainty evidence. There was only one death in the study; we were unable to determine whether scaling and root planning plus amoxicillin and metronidazole could reduce incidence of all-cause death (Peto odds ratio (OR) 7.48, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.15 to 376.98), or all CVD-related death (Peto OR 7.48, 95% CI 0.15 to 376.98). We could not exclude the possibility that scaling and root planning plus amoxicillin and metronidazole could increase cardiovascular events (Peto OR 7.77, 95% CI 1.07 to 56.1) compared with supragingival scaling measured at 12-month follow-up.

For secondary prevention of CVD, one pilot study randomised 303 participants to receive scaling and root planning plus oral hygiene instruction (periodontal treatment) or oral hygiene instruction plus a copy of radiographs and recommendation to follow-up with a dentist (community care). As cardiovascular events had been measured for different time periods of between 6 and 25 months, and only 37 participants were available with at least one-year follow-up, we did not consider the data to be sufficiently robust for inclusion in this review. The study did not evaluate all-cause death and all CVD-related death. We are unable to draw any conclusions about the effects of periodontal therapy on secondary prevention of CVD.

Authors' conclusions

For primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in people diagnosed with periodontitis and metabolic syndrome, very low-certainty evidence was inconclusive about the effects of scaling and root planning plus antibiotics compared to supragingival scaling. There is no reliable evidence available regarding secondary prevention of CVD in people diagnosed with chronic periodontitis and CVD. Further trials are needed to reach conclusions about whether treatment for periodontal disease can help prevent occurrence or recurrence of CVD.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Treating chronic gum inflammation (periodontitis) to prevent heart and blood vessel (cardiovascular) disease

Review question

The main question addressed by this review was whether treatments for chronic periodontitis (gum inflammation) can prevent or manage cardiovascular (heart and blood vessel) diseases.

Background

Chronic periodontitis causes swollen and painful gums, and loss of the alveolar bone that supports the teeth. 'Chronic' is a label that means the disease has continued for some time without treatment. The term 'chronic periodontitis' is being phased out as there is a new system for categorising different types of gum disease, but we have used this term in our review because the studies we found were based on the old system.

There may be a link between periodontitis and cardiovascular diseases. The treatment for chronic periodontitis gets rid of bacteria and infection, and controls inflammation, and it is thought that this may help prevent the occurrence or recurrence of diseases of the heart and blood vessels. We wanted to find out whether periodontal therapy could help prevent death, or reduce the likelihood of having cardiovascular ‘attacks’ like a stroke or heart attack.

Study characteristics

We searched for scientific research studies known as ‘randomised controlled trials’, up to 17 September 2019. In this type of study, participants are assigned in a random way to an experimental or control group. People in the experimental group receive the treatment being tested, and people in the control group usually receive either no treatment, placebo (fake treatment), another type of treatment or routine care.

We found two studies to include in our review. One study assessed 165 participants who did not have cardiovascular diseases, but had metabolic syndrome (a combination of risk factors for cardiovascular disease, such as obesity, high blood pressure, and high blood sugar). The other study started off with 303 participants who had cardiovascular diseases, but after a year, only 37 participants were assessed and so we thought the results were not be reliable enough to be used. Both studies had problems with their design, and we judged them to be at high risk of bias.
**Key results**

For people who have metabolic syndrome but no cardiovascular diseases, we were unable to determine whether treating chronic periodontitis, by removing the plaque and tartar (‘scaling’) from the roots of teeth and giving antibiotics, reduced the risk of dying or having cardiovascular attacks when compared with scaling the teeth from above the gumline only.

For people with cardiovascular diseases and chronic periodontitis, we found no reliable evidence about the effects of periodontal treatment.

**Certainty of the evidence**

We classified the evidence as ‘very low certainty’. We are uncertain about the findings because there are only two small studies, at high risk of bias, with very imprecise results. Overall, we cannot draw any reliable conclusions from the findings. Further research is needed.
**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Summary of findings for the main comparison. Primary prevention: scaling and root planing (SRP) plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling for people with periodontitis and metabolic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Relative effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of Participants (studies)</th>
<th>Quality of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assumed risk</td>
<td>Corresponding risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supragingival scaling</td>
<td>SRP plus antibiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause death</td>
<td>12 per 1000</td>
<td>71 per 1000</td>
<td>Peto OR 7.48, 95% CI 0.15 to 376.98</td>
<td>165 (1 study)</td>
<td>⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low(^a)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All CVD-related death</td>
<td>12 per 1000</td>
<td>71 per 1000</td>
<td>Peto OR 7.48, 95% CI 0.15 to 376.98</td>
<td>165 (1 study)</td>
<td>⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low(^a)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cardiovascular events</td>
<td>49 per 1000</td>
<td>237 per 1000</td>
<td>Peto OR 7.77, 95% CI 1.07 to 56.1</td>
<td>165 (1 study)</td>
<td>⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low(^a)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The basis for the assumed risk (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

**High certainty:** further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

**Moderate certainty:** further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

**Low certainty:** further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

**Very low certainty:** we are very uncertain about the estimate.

\(^a\) Downgraded two levels due to serious risk of bias (performance bias)
Summary of findings 2. Secondary prevention: periodontal treatment (scaling and root planing plus advice) versus community care (advice only) for the management of cardiovascular disease in people with chronic periodontitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Illustrative comparative risks*</th>
<th>Relative effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of participants (studies)</th>
<th>Quality of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assumed risk</td>
<td>Corresponding risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause death</td>
<td>Outcome not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All CVD-related death</td>
<td>Outcome not reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cardiovascular events</td>
<td>One study of 303 participants assessed this outcome; however, we considered data were unreliable as participants were followed up for different lengths of time, and only just over 10% of participants were evaluated at follow-up of 1 year or longer.</td>
<td>1 study</td>
<td>⊕⊕⊕⊕ very low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The basis for the assumed risk is the control group risk in the one included study. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; CVD: cardiovascular disease; RR: risk ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.
Moderate certainty: further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
Low certainty: further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.
Very low certainty: we are very uncertain about the estimate.

^Downgraded to three levels due to risk of bias from high attrition
BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) covers a wide array of disorders (including diseases of the cardiac muscle and the vascular system supplying the heart, brain and other vital organs), such as coronary heart disease (with narrowing or blockage of the coronary arteries, which can cause angina and myocardial infarction) and stroke (with narrowing, blockage or haemorrhage of the cerebrovascular system), which are the world's largest killers, causing the death of 17.1 million individuals per year (Nabel 2003; Jamison 2006). There are a variety of risk factors involved in the pathogenesis of CVD, such as smoking or passive smoking (Law 1997; He 1999; Kallio 2010), hypertension (Di’Auto 2019), excess sodium intake (Strazzullo 2009), and hyperlipidaemia (Austin 1999). Numerous successful modalities of treatment that are based on these aetiological or risk factors have been developed (Law 2005; Manktelow 2009; Rees 2013). However, in modern society, people are increasingly exposed to such factors, and the aforementioned therapies are still not enough, thus, the incidence of CVD increases year on year (WHO 2007).

Recently, considerable attention has been paid to the aetiological role of acute or chronic infections on CVD; infections that accelerate vascular inflammation and promote thrombosis of vascular vessels (Herzberg 2005; Viles-Gonzalez 2006), are believed to be a secondary pathogenic pathway (Smeeth 2004; Hansson 2006; Moutsopoulos 2006). Among the infections, periodontitis might be the most common. It is an infectious disease resulting in inflammation within the supporting tissues of teeth, resulting in progressive attachment and alveolar bone loss (Armitage 1999). In the last century, periodontitis was reported to affect 6% to 20% of the population (Oliver 1991; AAP 1999); and the estimate of disease in the USA exceeds 47%. In those over 65 years, 64% have either moderate or severe periodontitis (Eke 2012).

The label ‘chronic periodontitis’ has not been retained in the new classification for periodontal diseases, which was developed at a World Workshop run by the American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) and the European Federation of Periodontology (EFP) in 2017 (Caton 2018). For this version of the review, we are retaining the descriptor ‘chronic’, and the new classification will be used in the next review update. The British Society of Periodontology has published a flowchart to assist dental practitioners to implement the new classification (see British Society of Periodontology flowchart).

There are two reasons why periodontitis and CVD are believed to be related. First, the levels of systemic inflammation increase when moderate or severe periodontitis is present, and when treating periodontitis, there is a clear reduction in the clinical signs, with a decrease in the levels of systemic inflammatory mediators (Tonetti 2007; Paraskevas 2008). Secondly, the periodontal bacteria may invade the damaged periodontal tissue, enter the bloodstream, and further invade the cardiovascular system. Several periodontal pathogens, such as Porphyromonas gingivalis, Bacteroides forsythus, Prevotella intermedia and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans have been detected in carotid atheromas by polymerase chain reaction (Haraszyth 2000; Padilla 2006). Experimental studies have shown that the presence of these periodontal pathogens and oral bacteria in the atheromas could induce platelet activation and aggregation through collagen-like platelet aggregation-associated protein expression. The activated and aggregated platelets could then play an important role in atheromatous formation and thrombosis, and finally lead to cardiovascular events (Herzberg 1983; Herzberg 1996). Besides this, periodontal bacteria may play a role in the formation of coronary atherosclerotic plaques, which is indirectly proven by the presence of bacterial DNA from the oral pathogenic micro-organisms in coronary atherosclerotic plaques and the special characteristics of the aortic aneurysms in cardiovascular disease patients harboring P. gingivalis (Mahendra 2010; Nakane 2011). They can induce cell-specific innate immune inflammatory pathways, causing and maintaining a chronic state of inflammation at sites distant from the periodontitis (Hayashi 2010). An indirect association between the two diseases was identified by investigators, as they share similar risk factors, such as smoking, diabetes mellitus, obesity, and hypertension (Friedewald 2009; Han 2017). The association between periodontitis and CVD was proven by clinical trials, and further confirmed by meta-analysis (Janket 2003; Scannapieco 2003; Khader 2004). Based on this evidence, some investigators have concluded that there could be a mild to moderate association between periodontitis and CVD (Genco 2002; Hujol 2002; Hansen 2016).

As a relationship between periodontitis and CVD is evident, it is rational to explore whether CVD can be managed, or its occurrence or recurrence prevented, by treating periodontitis.

Description of the intervention

It is believed that bacterial infection is the main aetiologic factor for periodontitis. Other factors, such as occlusal trauma, calculus, and smoking are considered risks for accelerating the progression of periodontitis (Meng 2009). Fortunately, there are several effective ways to control these factors, and further control periodontitis. Supragingival and subgingival scaling and root planing (SRP) could remove the periodontal bacteria or calculus, and create a relatively healthy environment to reduce bacterial regrowth (Eberhard 2015; Lamont 2018). Occlusal adjustment has also been suggested as a means to eliminate the harmful occlusal trauma that induces abnormal stress on the periodontal tissue (Foz 2012). Periodontal surgery, including guided tissue regeneration, replaces the lost or necrotic bone and periodontal tissue (Esposito 2009). All of these therapies play a role in reducing the biofilm, or number of bacteria, and controlling the accelerating factors. Such maintenance and preventive interventions should be adopted for periodontitis sufferers as a life-long commitment to control periodontal inflammation and disease recurrence.

Periodontal medicine is now a recognised discipline, which aims to treat the systemic diseases that are suspected to be associated with periodontal disease, by applying periodontal therapies. One Cochrane Review found low-quality evidence that periodontal treatment (scaling and root planing) may help control diabetes mellitus in the short term (Simpson 2015); another found low-quality evidence that periodontal therapy may reduce low birth weight (less than 2500 g (Iheozor-Ejiofor 2017)).

How the intervention might work

Periodontal therapy may clean up the infectious sources and control the acceleration of periodontitis. Some studies have already confirmed that high blood pressure can be lowered and serum inflammatory markers, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6)
and C-reactive protein (CRP) can be significantly reduced after such treatment (D’Aiuto 2005; Blum 2007; Martin-Cabezas 2016). Tüter and colleagues indicated that periodontal therapy with a subantimicrobial dose of doxycycline could increase serum levels of apolipoprotein-A and high-density lipoprotein, reduce total cholesterol levels, and further decrease the risk of cardiovascular events (D’Aiuto 2005; Tüter 2007). However, as mentioned previously, periodontitis and CVD may have similar risk factors (smoking, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus), some of which are modifiable and some of which are non-modifiable. The mechanism of action of periodontal therapy for the management of CVD is still unknown; it may control periodontitis directly, change modifiable risk factors, or both. In addition, there is insufficient evidence to determine the superiority of different protocols or adjunctive strategies to improve tooth maintenance during supportive periodontal therapy for the general population (Manresa 2018). It remains unclear whether adjunctive therapy could facilitate the effects of periodontal therapy in the management of CVD.

Why it is important to do this review

Cochrane Oral Health undertook an extensive prioritisation exercise in 2014 to identify a core portfolio of titles that were the most clinically important ones to maintain on the Cochrane Library (Worthington 2015). This review was identified as a priority title by the periodontal expert panel (Cochrane Oral Health priority review portfolio). This is the second update of a review originally published in 2014 and first updated in 2017 (Li 2014; Li 2017).

OBJECTIVES

To investigate the effects of periodontal therapy for primary or secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease in people with chronic periodontitis.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We included randomised controlled trials (RCT) that aimed to test the effects of different periodontal therapies for people diagnosed with chronic periodontitis, with or without cardiovascular disease (CVD), with follow-up times of at least one year. The studies could be primary prevention studies or secondary prevention studies. In the former, the focus would be on using periodontal treatment to prevent the occurrence of CVD. Participants in the secondary prevention studies would have CVD, or have been previously diagnosed with CVD, so the focus would be on preventing recurrence.

Types of intervention

We considered trials with participants who met the following criteria, regardless of age, sex, race, social or economic status.

1. Diagnosis of at least moderate chronic periodontitis with pocketing greater than or equal to 4 mm.
2. Absence of any known genetic or congenital heart defects and aggressive periodontitis.
3. For primary prevention, no existing or previous diagnosis of CVD (including angina, myocardial infarction, stroke); for secondary prevention, an existing or previous diagnosis of CVD.
4. Absence of other sources of inflammation, such as pulpal infections and active caries.
5. No periodontal treatment within preceding six months (participants should have active disease and not be in a periodontal maintenance programme).

We did not include participants for whom periodontal therapy was contraindicated (including pregnant or lactating women, people with severe systemic diseases other than CVD), or participants who were unable to complete assessments during the follow-up period.

Types of interventions

- Experimental: periodontal therapy of subgingival scaling and root planing (SRP), or SRP in combination with systemic antibiotic or host modulation, with or without other active remedies.
- Control: maintenance therapy (supragingival scaling, antimicrobial rinses), or no periodontal treatment, with or without the same basic remedies as those in the intervention group.

Types of outcome measures

We classified outcomes as primary (the main outcomes we considered when drawing conclusions) and secondary. We considered only long-term outcomes, i.e. those measured at one year or more.

Primary outcomes

1. All-cause and CVD-related death
2. All cardiovascular events (angina, myocardial infarction, stroke)

Secondary outcomes

1. Modifiable cardiovascular risk factors: blood pressure; lipids including cholesterol, triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
2. Blood test results, including serum levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), apolipoprotein-A, apolipoprotein-B
3. Heart function parameters (such as ejection fraction)
4. Revascularisation procedures
5. Adverse events due to periodontal therapy (e.g. tooth sensitivity, mouth discomfort)

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

Cochrane Oral Health’s Information Specialist conducted systematic searches in the following databases for RCTs and controlled clinical trials, with no language, publication year, or publication status restrictions:

- Cochrane Oral Health's Trials Register (searched 17 September 2019; Appendix 1);
- Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL; 2019, Issue 8) in the Cochrane Library (searched 17 September 2019; Appendix 2);
We modelled subject strategies on the search strategy designed for MEDLINE Ovid. Where appropriate, we combined them with subject strategy adaptations of the highly sensitive search strategy, designed by Cochrane for identifying RCTs and controlled clinical trials, as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Chapter 6 (Lefebvre 2011).

We also searched the following databases:

- Chinese BioMedical Literature Database (CBM; 1978 to 29 August 2019);
- China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI; 1994 to 29 August 2019);
- VIP (1989 to 29 August 2019).

The search attempted to identify all relevant studies, irrespective of language. We translated non-English papers.

Searching other resources

Cochrane Oral Health’s Information Specialist searched the following databases for ongoing trials:

- US National Institutes of Health Trials Register (http://clinicaltrials.gov; to 17 September 2019; Appendix 7);
- World Health Organization (WHO) Clinical Trials Registry Platform (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/default.aspx; to 17 September 2019; Appendix 8).

We also searched Sciencepaper Online (2003 to 29 August 2019).

We checked the reference lists of all eligible trials for additional studies. We contacted the first authors of all included studies by email for any ongoing or unpublished studies examining the efficacy and safety of periodontal therapy for the prevention of CVD.

Handsearching

We handsearched all the Chinese professional journals and some important English journals in the dental and cardiovascular fields from the first issue to May 2011. We handsearched some of the important English journals to May 2019 (see Table 1 and Table 2 for details).

We checked that none of the included studies in this review were retracted due to error or fraud.

We did not perform a separate search for adverse effects of interventions used; we considered adverse effects described in included studies only.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (Wei Liu and Yubin Cao) carried out the study selection in duplicate, according to the selection criteria. We had designed the search to be sensitive, and include controlled clinical trials, which we filtered out early in the selection process if they were not randomised. We initially screened the titles and abstracts from the search results to look for possible eligible studies. We retrieved full texts of these studies, which were independently assessed by both review authors to further assess eligibility. We discussed any disagreements on study inclusion to reach consensus, and when necessary, a third review author arbitrated. We developed a PRISMA flow diagram of the whole process, as recommended (Liberati 2009).

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (Wei Liu and Yubin Cao) carried out the data extraction in duplicate. Disagreements were resolved by discussion, and an arbitrator was involved when the disagreement remained unresolved. We designed a customised data extraction form, using Microsoft Access 2007, in accordance with guidance from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version (Higgins 2011). We pilot-tested this, using a sample of the studies focusing on this topic, and then applied it to all the included studies. We collected the following data.

- Source: study identification (ID), reviewer ID, citation, and contact details.
- Eligibility: reasons for inclusion and exclusion.
- Methods of the study: centres and their location, duration, design, sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, and statistical methods.
- Participants: total number, setting, age and sex, diagnostic criteria for both cardiovascular disease and periodontitis, inclusion and exclusion criteria.
- Interventions: number of groups; content details, including periodontal therapy, control treatment, and other active treatment; time, frequency, dose, and usage of drugs administered.
- Outcomes: definition of measures and units of the measurements, time points of measurement, sample size calculation, number of participants allocated to each group, numbers lost to follow-up and the reasons, detailed summary data for each group.
- Miscellaneous: funding, key conclusions, whether we required correspondence, and miscellaneous comments from review authors.

For studies that had multiple groups, we had planned to extract data for all groups relevant to this review and record these in the ‘Characteristics of included studies’ section.

Where there was any missing information, we contacted the original investigators of the included studies for clarification.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

We carried out ‘Risk of bias’ assessments, following the approach described in Chapter 8 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version (Higgins 2011). We contacted authors of the included studies by email to obtain or clarify any unreported or unclear information on the risk of bias of the studies. Two review authors (Wei Liu and Yubin Cao) independently assessed the risk of bias according to the published article and the trial authors’ responses. They discussed any discrepancies, and a third review author arbitrated when necessary.
Risk of bias assessment of the included studies

We judged the risk of bias in each of the included studies for seven domains (as identified in the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool). For each of the following domains, we assigned a judgment of low, high, or unclear risk of bias.

1. Random sequence generation: selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate generation of a randomised sequence.
2. Allocation concealment: selection bias (biased allocation to interventions) due to inadequate concealment of the allocation.
3. Blinding of participants and personnel: performance bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by participants and personnel during the study.
4. Blinding of outcome assessment: detection bias due to knowledge of the allocated interventions by outcome assessors.
5. Incomplete outcome data: attrition bias due to amount, nature, or handling of incomplete outcome data.
6.Selective reporting: reporting bias due to selective outcome reporting.
7. Other bias: bias due to problems not covered elsewhere in the table, such as baseline imbalance, confounding, contamination and co-interventions, etc.

We assessed the overall risk of bias for each study as:

• low risk of bias, where there was a low risk of bias for all domains, or any plausible bias was unlikely to seriously alter the study results;
• unclear risk of bias, where there was an unclear risk of bias for one or more domains, or any plausible bias raised some doubt about the study results; or
• high risk of bias, where there was a high risk of bias for one or more domains, or any plausible bias might seriously weaken confidence in the results.

Measures of treatment effect

Our selection of statistical methods was dependent on whether the data were dichotomous, continuous, or presented as time-to-event. We treated both primary outcomes as dichotomous data or time-to-event data.

For dichotomous data, we calculated risk ratios (RR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We used the Peto odds ratio (Peto OR) with 95% CI if the incidence of the events observed was very low.

For continuous data, we calculated mean differences (MD) and 95% CIs for change from baseline or the final values, if they were measured by similar indices. If the data had been measured using different indices, we would have used standardised mean differences (SMD) and 95% CIs.

Unit of analysis issues

We had planned to analyse cluster-randomised trials and studies with more than two intervention groups differently from RCTs. For cluster-randomised trials, to avoid any inappropriate analyses in the original studies, we would have adopted approximate analyses-effective sample sizes, following the guidance of the first edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2011). For studies with more than two intervention groups, as each meta-analysis addressed only a single pair-wise comparison, we would have considered two approaches. The first was to combine groups to create a single pair-wise comparison; if this first approach failed, we had planned to select the most relevant pair of interventions.

Dealing with missing data

For trials with missing data, we contacted the trial authors for clarification and supplementation of the data. If there was no reply, we planned to adopt the following statistical methods, following guidance in the first edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2011). If the standard deviation (SD) of the continuous data was not reported, we would calculate it: (i) from the standard error (SE), 95% CIs, P values or t values, ranges or interquartile ranges reported in the article; or (ii) if the SD of change from baseline values was missing, we would calculate it using the correlation coefficient. We planned to conduct Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis if there were sufficient data. If ITT could not be adopted, we planned to analyse the available data and interpret results with caution.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We planned to use the Chi² test for heterogeneity to examine if heterogeneity existed among the included studies. We used the I² statistic to estimate the impact of the heterogeneity:

• 0% to 40% may indicate slight heterogeneity;
• 30% to 60% may indicate moderate heterogeneity;
• 50% to 90% may indicate substantial heterogeneity;
• 75% to 100% may indicate very substantial (considerable) heterogeneity.

Assessment of reporting biases

To avoid reporting biases, we carried out a comprehensive search that included grey literature and ongoing studies (see Search methods for identification of studies). We planned to assess publication bias and other reporting biases with the help of funnel plots, if there were more than 10 trials providing results for one outcome. Asymmetry of the funnel plot could suggest potential publication bias, and would have been further tested by the methods introduced by Egger 1997 (continuous outcomes) and Rücker 2008 (dichotomous outcomes).

Data synthesis

We had planned to pool data if there was more than one study with similar comparisons that reported the same outcome, using a fixed-effect meta-analysis model for two or three studies, and random-effects for four or more.

The method of meta-analysis for computing different kinds of data varied. We used the Review Manager 5 default fixed-effect model, Mantel-Haenszel method, for dichotomous data and calculated MD or SMD for continuous data, unless the data were only in the appropriate form for generic inverse variance for continuous data (Review Manager 2014). In addition, we planned to use the Peto or inverse variance method for time-to-event data.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Had there been sufficient studies, we would have investigated any heterogeneity by carrying out subgroup analyses according to
the different courses and instruments of periodontal therapy and different adjuvant treatments.

**Sensitivity analysis**

To test the stability of the conclusions, we had planned to carry out sensitivity analyses based on different assumptions, such as excluding studies with evident biases, using different methods to deal with missing data, different models of meta-analysis, exclusion of studies causing significant statistical heterogeneity, or ITT analysis. We would have reported the results in detail, and evaluated their impact on the stability of the conclusions, in the discussion section.

**Summary of main results**

To provide key information on the effects and safety of periodontal therapy for CVD management in a quick and accessible format, we developed a 'Summary of findings' table for each comparison. This shows the certainty of the body of evidence for the primary outcomes (all-cause death, all CVD-related death, all cardiovascular events). Our assessment of the body of evidence involved consideration of risk of bias at the outcome level, directness of the evidence, heterogeneity, precision of effect estimates, and risk of publication bias (see Assessment of reporting biases). We used the GRADE system to evaluate the certainty of the evidence for each comparison and outcome, and GRADEpro GDT software (Atkins 2004; Guyatt 2008; Higgins 2011; GRADEpro GDT). We assessed the certainty of the evidence as high, moderate, low, or very low.

**RESULTS**

**Description of studies**

**Results of the search**

We identified a total of 1801 records from electronic searches and handsearching. After removing duplicates, we screened 1139 records by scanning the titles and abstracts. We considered 56 records to be potentially eligible, and obtained the full texts for further consideration. We excluded 39 published studies, reported in 44 articles. There are five ongoing studies (see Characteristics of ongoing studies). One study is awaiting classification, because the authors have not responded to requests for more information about the eligibility criteria (Zhao 2016; see Characteristics of studies awaiting classification). We included two studies (reported in six articles) in this review (PAVE 2008; Lopez 2012). A flow diagram illustrating the study selection process is shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Study flow diagram

1792 records identified through database searching
Databases searched by information specialist: 1481 Chinese database: 311
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1139 records after duplicates removed
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1083 records excluded

39 studies (44 records) excluded, with reasons
1 study awaiting classification
5 ongoing studies

56 full-text articles assessed for eligibility
Figure 1. (Continued)

Included studies
We included two RCTs in this review (PAVE 2008; Lopez 2012). See the Characteristics of included studies tables for full details.

1. Primary prevention
We included one primary prevention study, which was a parallel-arm, double-blind RCT of one-year duration, in 165 participants with metabolic syndrome and periodontitis (Lopez 2012).

Participants
Participants were eligible if they were aged 35 to 65 years, had periodontitis and metabolic syndrome, and retained ≥14 teeth. The diagnostic criteria for periodontitis were the presence of four or more teeth with one or more sites with probing depth (PD) ≥4 mm and concomitant clinical attachment loss of ≥3 mm. The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was made when ≥3 of the following risk determinants were present: 1) central obesity (>102 cm in males; >88 cm in females) or body mass index (BMI) >30 kg/m²; 2) dyslipidaemia defined by plasmatic triglycerides level >150 mg/dL; 3) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) <40 mg/dL in males or <50 mg/dL in females; 4) blood pressure ≥130/85 mmHg; or 5) fasting glucose ≥110 mg/dL.

Intervention
Participants in the experimental treatment group received supragingival and subgingival scaling, crown polishing, and root planing under local anaesthesia using ultrasound and hand instruments, and oral hygiene instruction. In addition, one week before beginning root planing, participants were given metronidazole (250 mg) and amoxicillin (500 mg) tablets, three times daily, for seven days.

Control
Participants in the control treatment group received a treatment consisting of supragingival scaling with ultrasound instruments, crown polishing, and two placebo tablets three times daily, for seven days. The metronidazole, amoxicillin, and placebo tablets were identical in appearance.

Outcomes
Risk factors for cardiovascular disease were recorded; serum lipoprotein cholesterol, glucose, body mass index (BMI), C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen concentrations, and clinical periodontal parameters were assessed at baseline and every 3 months until 12 months after therapy. Participants with intercurrent systemic infections during the study period were excluded from the analysis of parameters above after the intercurrent infections were detected. All cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction and stroke, were recorded.

2. Secondary prevention
We included one secondary prevention study, which was a multicentre, parallel-group, single-blind RCT, with 303 participants randomised into a periodontal therapy group or community care group (PAVE 2008).

Participants
Participants had to have ≥50% blockage of one coronary artery or have had a coronary event within the preceding three years.
(but at least three months before selection for study participation).

The periodontal inclusion criteria were: the presence of at least six natural teeth, including third molars, with at least three teeth with probing pocket depth (PPD) ≥ 4 mm, at least two teeth with interproximal clinical attachment loss (CAL) ≥ 2 mm, and ≥ 10% of sites having bleeding on probing (BOP).

**Intervention**

The intervention group (N = 151) received oral hygiene instruction and one regimen of full-mouth scaling and root planing (SRP) with local anaesthesia (30% of the treatment was completed more than two months after randomisation). Only 92.7% of the participants received the treatment; one participant received SRP outside the study.

**Control**

Participants in the control group (community care group; N = 152) received oral hygiene instruction and were given a copy of their oral radiographs, with a letter stating the tentative oral findings; investigators recommended they seek the opinion of a dentist (9% of the participants in the control group got SRP outside the study within six months and 11% of them got SRP within the entire follow-up period).

**Outcomes**

The participants were observed for between 6 and 25 months. The following outcomes were reported: serious cardiovascular adverse events (all cardiovascular events), serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), number of participants who had high hs-CRP, and adverse events measured as the development of an undesirable medical or dental condition, or the deterioration of a pre-existing medical or dental condition, following or during exposure to a pharmaceutical product or medical or dental procedure, whether or not investigators considered it was causally related to the intervention. Data on adverse events due to periodontal therapy were analysed in this review.

**Excluded studies**

We excluded 39 studies (44 articles) for the following reasons: follow-up was less than one year (14 studies); study was not an RCT (10 studies); there were no CVD patients or CVD outcomes (4 studies); participants in the intervention group did not get any active periodontal therapy (2 studies); participants were pregnant (2 studies); study did not evaluate periodontal therapy (1 study); the same therapy was provided to both groups (1 study); participants did not have periodontitis (1 study); half of participants had aggressive periodontitis (1 study); participants had rheumatoid arthritis (1 study); participants were receiving maintenance therapy (1 study) (see Characteristics of excluded studies tables).

**Risk of bias in included studies**

We assessed both studies as being at high risk of bias overall. See the Characteristics of included studies tables and Figure 2.
Figure 2. Risk of bias summary: review authors’ judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study

**Allocation**

Lopez 2012 used computer-generated randomisation for the first eight participants enrolled, and the minimisation method for the following participants, then allocated participants using opaque envelopes with cardboard inside so they were impermeable to light. However, the authors did not perform randomisation according to the protocol, but changed the method during the trial. Hence, we assessed it at unclear risk of bias for sequence generation and at low risk for allocation concealment.

PAVE 2008 used a computer-generated randomised table and used central allocation. We assessed it at low risk bias for both random sequence generation and allocation concealment.

**Blinding**

Due to the nature of the interventions, it was impossible to achieve blinding of participants and personnel in either study. Therefore, we assessed both studies at high risk of performance bias.

Blinding of outcome assessment was described in both studies, so we considered them at low risk of detection bias.

**Incomplete outcome data**

Only 3% of participants were lost to follow-up and excluded from the analysis in Lopez 2012, so we judged it at low risk of bias. Only 37 of the 303 participants received follow-up at one year, thus we assessed PAVE 2008 at high risk of attrition bias.

**Selective reporting**

We assessed both studies at low risk of bias because variables were reported as stated.

**Other potential sources of bias**

We did not identify any other potential sources of bias in Lopez 2012. For PAVE 2008, 92.7% of participants in the treatment group received the treatment. One participant in the intervention group received SRP outside the study. Eleven per cent of participants in the control group had received SRP by the end of the follow-up period. We assessed this as high risk of bias due to contamination and co-interventions.
Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Primary prevention: scaling and root planing (SRP) plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling for people with periodontitis and metabolic syndrome; Summary of findings 2 Secondary prevention: periodontal treatment (scaling and root planing plus advice) versus community care (advice only) for the management of cardiovascular disease in people with chronic periodontitis

1. Primary prevention

1.1 Scaling and root planing (SRP) plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling

One study evaluated this comparison. The experimental group used amoxicillin and metronidazole while the control group used two placebos (Lopez 2012). Both groups received oral hygiene instruction and were provided with toothbrushes and toothpaste.

1.1.1 All-cause death

It is uncertain whether SRP and antibiotics can prevent death by any cause at 12-month follow-up when compared to supragingival scaling. One participant died due to fatal myocardial infarction in the SRP plus amoxicillin and metronidazole group and there were no deaths in the control group (Peto odds ratio (OR) 7.48, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.15 to 376.98; 165 participants; very low-certainty evidence; Analysis 1.1).

1.1.2 All CVD-related death

As mentioned in the results of all-cause death, only one participant died. It is uncertain whether SRP plus antibiotics can prevent CVD-related death at 12-month follow-up compared to supragingival scaling (Peto OR 7.48, 95% CI 0.15 to 376.98; 165 participants; very low-certainty evidence; Analysis 1.2).

1.1.3 All cardiovascular events

SRP plus antibiotics might lead to an increase in cardiovascular events at 12-month follow-up compared to supragingival scaling (Peto OR 7.77, 95% CI 1.07 to 56.1; 165 participants; very low-certainty evidence; Analysis 1.3). In the SRP plus antibiotics group, four participants had cardiovascular events (one participant died due to fatal myocardial infarction; one had an ischaemic stroke before the last root planing appointment; one had a stroke eight weeks after periodontal therapy; and one had several small cerebral hemorrhagic events seven months after periodontal therapy). No participants had cardiovascular events in the supragingival scaling plus two placebo group.

1.1.4 Blood test results

Based on the mixed-effects linear regression analysis, it is uncertain whether SRP plus antibiotics lead to a difference in C-reactive protein (β coefficient -0.002, 95% CI -0.19 to 0.20), or fibrinogen (β coefficient 10.9, 95% CI -12.0 to 33.8) levels between two treatment groups (very-low certainty evidence).

1.1.5 Adverse events related to periodontal therapy

It is uncertain whether SRP plus antibiotics lead to a difference in adverse events at 12-month follow-up when compared with supragingival scaling (Peto OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.00 to 6.90; 165 participants; very low certainty evidence; Analysis 1.4). One participant in the supragingival scaling plus two placebo group showed progression of periodontitis. One participant had a severe allergic reaction to periodontitis. One participant received OHI, radiographs of their mouths, and a letter about the findings, along with advice to contact a dentist (PAVE 2008).

2. Secondary prevention

2.1 Periodontal treatment versus community care

Participants in the periodontal treatment group, who received scaling and root planing (SRP) and oral hygiene instruction (OHI), were compared with those in the community care group, who received OHI, radiographs of their mouths, and a letter about the findings, along with advice to contact a dentist (PAVE 2008).

2.1.1 All-cause death

Not reported.

2.1.2 All CVD-related death

Not reported.

2.1.3 All CVD-related death

Because of variable follow-up periods (6 to 25 months) and the loss to follow-up by one year being almost 90%, we did not consider the results from this study to be useful for analysis. It is therefore impossible to ascertain whether periodontal treatment leads to a difference in cardiovascular events when compared with community care.

2.1.4 Blood test results

Serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was tested at one year. As the loss to follow-up was almost 90%, we did not consider the data to be useful for analysis.

2.1.5 Adverse events related to periodontal therapy

Because of variable follow-up periods (6 to 25 months) and the loss to follow-up by one year being almost 90%, we did not consider the results from this study to be useful for analysis. It is therefore uncertain whether adverse events are more likely with either of the interventions.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

The aim of the review was to evaluate the effect of periodontal treatment in the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease for people with chronic periodontitis. We included two randomised controlled trials (RCT) in the review.

• For primary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in people with periodontitis and metabolic syndrome, it was not possible to establish the effects of scaling and root planing (SRP) plus antibiotics compared to supragingival scaling on all-cause mortality, all CVD-related death, all cardiovascular events, levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and fibrinogen, or adverse events due to periodontal therapy (very low-certainty evidence).

• For secondary prevention, death was not an outcome in the one relevant study; the variable follow-up periods and extremely high loss to follow-up meant we could not draw any conclusions about the effect of periodontal treatment compared with community care on all cardiovascular events, levels of CRP, or adverse events due to periodontal therapy (very low-certainty evidence).
Because there were only two included studies, providing very low-certainty evidence, we should treat the results cautiously.

**Overall completeness and applicability of evidence**

The review aimed to include both primary and secondary prevention studies assessing periodontal therapy in people with chronic periodontitis. The evidence from this review can be applied to adults in most age groups, except those over the age of 75 years. The following outcomes are not covered in this review: modifiable cardiovascular risk factors, heart function parameters, and revascularisation procedures. For primary prevention, we must emphasise that all participants were diagnosed with metabolic syndrome, and it was unclear whether the results could be applied to other populations. For secondary prevention, although the investigators reported on adverse events and serious adverse events, there is no indication that death (all-cause or CVD-related) was an outcome of the trial. Therefore, this review does not provide any understanding about the effect of periodontal therapy on risk of death for people with cardiovascular disease.

Clinicians should also understand that we only assessed the effect of periodontal therapy for the management of CVD. Timing of periodontal therapy was not assessed. For people with CVD, it is not safe to give active periodontal therapy to those who have had a stroke within six months, or who have systolic blood pressure > 180 mmHg/diastolic blood pressure > 110 mmHg, fasting blood glucose > 7.0 mmol/L/HbA1c > 7.5%, platelet count < 60×10^9/L, or international normalised ratio ≥ 1.5 to 2.0 (Renvert 2016; SP, CSA 2017). The results of this systematic review cannot be applied to these individuals.

It is unclear to what level of periodontitis severity the results apply. Lopez 2012 included participants who had least four sites with PD ≥ 4 mm. This could mean, for instance, that most participants had only four sites that were 4 mm deep, in which case, the potential for treatment to make a difference to local and systemic inflammation was limited, which might reduce the potential to see differences in CVD outcomes. Clinicians may be more interested in the effects of controlling severe periodontal inflammation on the systemic CVD outcomes.

Effectiveness and intensity of the intervention were not clearly described, which made it more difficult to assess the applicability of the evidence. The authors did not give detailed periodontal therapy protocols for the participants. Lopez 2012 mentioned that participants received periodontal treatment during the one-year maintenance period, but the intensity was not specified.

The long-term control of periodontal inflammation also remained unclear. Lopez 2012 reported a significant improvement (i.e. lower score) of all the periodontal parameters compared to baseline in the intervention and control groups three months after therapy. However, they described equivocally that "their values remained lower than at baseline, up to 12 months in both groups", from which we could speculate that the periodontal inflammation may not be well controlled at 12 months. PAVE 2008 did not report clinical periodontal parameters during one-year follow-up. Long-term control of periodontal inflammation may require surgical or further active non-surgical therapy to reach clinical endpoints of periodontal therapy and periodontal health. Without identified inflammatory control, the ability to discern the contribution of oral inflammation to the risk of cardiovascular and peripheral artery disease will remain unknown. One year following non-surgical treatment, there could be participants with disease recurrence or re-established periodontal plaque-induced inflammation, confounding treatment outcomes in these RCTs. If the periodontal therapy does not alleviate the periodontitis effectively, it may be impossible to prove that the effects on CVD outcomes are caused by the periodontal therapy. Further studies addressing these flaws may improve methodological rigor and our ability to reach credible conclusions.

**Quality of the evidence**

Both Lopez 2012 and PAVE 2008 were assessed at high risk of bias (Figure 2). Data from PAVE 2008 were unusable due to particularly high attrition bias. Therefore, all the evidence was downgraded due to serious risk of bias.

Each analysis included only one study, thus none of the evidence was downgraded for inconsistency.

The number of events was mostly insufficient, reflected in the wide confidence intervals, thus all the evidence was downgraded for imprecision.

None of the evidence was downgraded for indirectness.

Due to the limited number of included studies, we did not generate a funnel plot to examine publication bias across studies, thus none of the evidence was downgraded for this.

Overall, all the evidence was graded as very low-certainty, due to serious limitations of the two studies and the very imprecise results (Summary of findings for the main comparison; Summary of findings 2).

**Potential biases in the review process**

The protocol for this review underwent some minor changes (Differences between protocol and review). Some of the changes include a change in the definition of chronic periodontitis, to include people with a pocket depth of 4 mm or more. The follow-up period was also changed to at least one year, and heart function parameters were included in the protocol as a secondary outcome. These changes may be justified, but could still be a source of bias in the review process.

Treatment for CVD might influence periodontal health. Current research has indicated the antimicrobial effect of statins to *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (Emani 2014). Magán-Fernández 2014 concluded that intake of simvastatin is associated with increasing serum osteoprotegerin concentrations, and this could have a protective effect against bone breakdown and periodontal attachment loss. During the trial, investigators could not control the use of CVD drugs, which could influence final periodontal health in every group, and cause contamination.

**Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews**

Other reviews focusing on the preventive or treatment effects of periodontal therapy and oral health promotion for the management of cardiovascular disease have been published, which show inconsistent results for the effect of periodontal therapy on systemic markers (Ioannidou 2006; Lam 2010; Roca-
Millan 2018). The reviews are largely not comparable with this present review because of the inadequate follow-up period.

AUTHORS’ CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

There is very limited evidence assessing the impact of periodontal therapy on the prevention of cardiovascular disease, and it is insufficient to generate any implications for practice. Further trials are needed before reliable conclusions can be drawn.

Implications for research

There is a need for more randomised controlled trials (RCT) examining effects of periodontal therapy on both the primary and secondary prevention of CVD. We hope future studies will consider examining effects of periodontal therapy on both the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease, and it is suggested that randomised controlled trials (RCT) are needed before reliable conclusions can be drawn.

Method of analysis: intention-to-treat analyses are encouraged when loss to follow-up cannot be avoided.

PAVE 2008 is described as a ‘pilot’ study, and it would be helpful to extend this, since a pilot would not be expected to show a definitive desired outcome. We hope the research team will further explore effective methods to reduce the risk of bias, improve participant compliance, and decrease the loss to follow-up.
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**Lopez 2012**

**Methods**
- Type of study: parallel-arm, double-blind RCT
- Stratification: randomisation was computer generated for the first 8 participants enrolled, whereas the group assignment for the following participants was done using the minimisation method
- Sample size calculation: took into account the change in the CRP level as a result of therapy. Assuming a mean difference between groups in CRP change of 0.60, with a standard deviation of 1, it was calculated that with 120 participants, 60 in each group, there would be 90% power to detect this difference at a significance level (α) of 0.05. Because they expected a dropout rate of 35%, the sample size was increased to 165

**Participants**
- Centres: Dr. Eloisa Diaz Dental Center, San Jose Hospital, Santiago, Chile
- Inclusion criteria: participants were eligible if they were aged 35 to 65 years, had periodontitis and MetS, and ≥14 teeth. Diagnostic criteria for periodontitis were the presence of 4 or more teeth with 1 or more sites with probing depth (PD) ≥ 4 mm and concomitant clinical attachment loss of ≥ 3 mm. The diagnosis of MetS was made when ≥ 3 of the following risk determinants were present: (1) central obesity (> 102 cm in males; > 88 cm in females) or body mass index (BMI) > 30 kg/m²; (2) dyslipidaemia defined by plasma triglycerides level > 150 mg/dL; (3) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) < 40 mg/dL in males or < 50 mg/dL in females; (4) blood pressure ≥ 130/85 mmHg; or (5) fasting glucose ≥ 110 mg/dL
- Exclusion criteria: history of PT; kidney, liver, or lung disease; any other chronic or acute infections during the previous 6 months as assessed on clinical examination and routine laboratory testing; systemic antibiotic treatment in the past 6 months, regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, hormone replacement therapy, pregnancy, and breastfeeding
- Participants type: periodontitis and MetS
- Number of participants: 165 (intervention group 82; control group 83)
- Sex of participants: male 46, female 119 (intervention group 24/58; control group 22/61)
- Age of participants: mean 55.24 (intervention group 54.13 ± 8.8; control group 56.33 ± 8.9)
- Lost to follow-up: 3 of 82 intervention participants and 2 of 83 control participants did not finish the study

**Interventions**
- Both groups had hopeless teeth extracted and caries lesions restored before periodontal treatment started
All participants received oral hygiene instruction; toothbrushes and toothpaste were provided to the participants during the study.

- Intervention group: supragingival and subgingival scaling, crown polishing, and root planing under local anaesthesia. Metronidazole (250 mg) and amoxicillin (500 mg) tablets, 3 times daily, for 7 days, 1 week before beginning root planning.
- Control group: supragingival scaling, crown polishing, and 2 placebo tablets 3 times daily, for 7 days.

At the maintenance visits, patients in the intervention group received supragingival and subgingival plaque elimination and oral hygiene instructions, and patients in the control group received supragingival plaque elimination and oral hygiene instructions. The participants were interviewed at all follow-up visits to determine changes in diet, medication, smoking habits, physical activity, and signs of intercurrent infections.

- Participants were advised not to suspend any medication for MetS management during the study period.
- Duration of follow-up: 12 months

### Outcomes
- Serum lipoprotein cholesterol, glucose, body mass index (BMI), CRP, fibrinogen concentrations and periodontal parameters (PD, CAL, and BOP at 6 sites per tooth) were assessed at baseline and every 3 months until 12 months after therapy.
- Total cholesterol, HDL, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and glucose levels were quantified using standard laboratory procedures. Fibrinogen was assayed by the Clauss method, and highsensitivity CRP concentrations were determined using a high-sensitivity enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with a lower detection limit of 10 ng/L.
- Cardiovascular events (12 months follow-up)

### Notes
- A significant improvement of all the periodontal parameters compared to baseline was observed in the intervention group \((P = 0.0001)\) and in the control group \((P = 0.0001)\) at 3 months after therapy, and their values remained lower than at baseline up to 12 months in both groups.
- Funding: National Fund for Scientific and Technological Development Research Grant
- Country: Chile
- Timeframe of the study: March 2007 to March 2009

### Risk of bias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>Authors' judgement</th>
<th>Support for judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Random sequence generation (selection bias) | Unclear risk       | Quote: “Randomization was computer generated for the first eight participants enrolled, whereas the group assignment for the following participants was done using the minimization method to prevent an imbalance between the groups related to sex, smoking status (current/former/never), hypertension (yes/no), and the extent of periodontitis, measured as the percentage of sites with PD ≥ 4 mm (≤ 20% or > 20%)”
Comment: computer-generated randomisation and minimisation method used. However, the authors did not perform randomisation according to the protocol, but changed the randomisation method during the trial. |
| Allocation concealment (selection bias) | Low risk           | Quote: “Concealment of treatment assignments was obtained by using opaque envelopes with a cardboard inside to render them impermeable to light, and the group allocation was revealed to the therapist on the day the PT began. The examiner, the patients, and the technician who performed the laboratory analyses were all blinded to group assignment”
Comment: allocation concealment adequately achieved |
| Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes | High risk           | Quote: “The examiner, the patients, and the technician who performed the laboratory analyses were all blinded to group assignment” |
**Lopez 2012 (Continued)**

Comment: blinding of participants adequately achieved, but it was not possible to achieve blinding of personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Low risk</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quote: “The examiner, the patients, and the technician who performed the laboratory analyses were all blinded to group assignment”</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comment: blinding of outcome assessment adequately achieved</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Low risk</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quote: “79 of 82 ETG patients and 81 of 83 CTG patients finished the study, attending all the study visits”</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Comment: no significant difference between study groups in losses to follow-up</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Low risk</strong></th>
<th><strong>Comment: all outcomes reported</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Other bias</strong></th>
<th><strong>Low risk</strong></th>
<th><strong>Comment: no other bias identified</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PAVE 2008**

**Methods**

- Type of study: parallel RCT
- Stratification: randomisation was stratified by clinic and smoking status (whether participants were smokers in the past 5 years)
- Sample size calculation: not reported

**Participants**

- Centres: 5, the University at Buffalo, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Boston University, Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research/Oregon Health and Sciences University, and the University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland
- Inclusion criteria: cardiac and periodontal inclusion criteria. Cardiovascular criteria: participants had to be ≤ 75 years of age with ≥ 50% blockage of one coronary artery or have had a coronary event within 3 years but ≥ 3 months previously, including myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, or coronary transluminal angioplasty with or without a stent. Periodontal inclusion criteria: presence of at least 6 natural teeth, including third molars, with at least 3 teeth with PPD ≥ 4 mm, at least 2 teeth with interproximal CAL ≥ 2 mm, and ≥ 10% of sites having BOP. The criteria were applied after accounting for tooth extractions that were deemed clinically necessary.
- Exclusion criteria: not reported
- Participants type: moderate to severe periodontitis and CVD
- Number of participants: 303 (intervention group 151; control group 152)
- Sex of participants: male 216, female 87 (intervention group 104/47; control group 112/40)
- Age of participants (mean ± SD): 59.6 years ± 8.8 years (intervention group 59.5 ± 9.1; control group 59.8 ± 8.7)
- Lost to follow-up: by the 6-month visit, 14 participants had withdrawn consent, and 7 had been lost to follow-up. They were all considered drop-outs. Among follow-up participants, only 228 had clinical follow-up. By 1 year, only 37 had clinical follow-up. Follow-up among the 37 participants was up to 25 months.

**Interventions**

- Any hopeless teeth were extracted for participants before randomisation.
- Intervention group: oral hygiene instruction + full-mouth SRP under local anaesthesia (30% of the treatment being completed > 2 months after randomisation; 92.7% of the participants received the treatment; 85% of them received supragingival scaling)
- Control group (community care group): oral hygiene instruction and a copy of their oral radiographs with a letter stating the tentative oral findings and recommendation to seek the opinion of a dentist (9% of the participants in the control group got SRP outside the study within 6 months, and 11% of them got SRP within the whole follow-up period)
Besides the study treatment, some participants sought dental care in other ways (such as their own dental providers); 1% of participants in the intervention group got SRP outside the study and 9% (6 months) and 11% (whole study follow-up) of the participants in the control group got SRP.

Duration of follow-up: 6 to 25 months

Outcomes

- Cardiovascular SAE (all cardiovascular events) measured during the whole study (trial authors stated in an e-mail that this was 25 months)
- Serum hs-CRP (measured by latex-enhanced nephelometry) at baseline, 6 months, and 1 year
- Number of participants with high hs-CRP (serum hs-CRP > 3 mg/L) measured at baseline, 6 months, and 1 year
- AE (development of an undesirable medical or dental condition, or deterioration of a pre-existing medical or dental condition, following or during exposure to a pharmaceutical product or medical or dental procedure, whether or not it was considered causally related to the intervention) measured during the whole study
- SAE (an experience that is known with certainty, or suspected with good reason, to constitute a threat to life, or to cause severe or permanent damage) measured during the whole study

Notes

- The trial author provided extra information about the study.
- Funding: NIDCR grant
- Country: USA
- Timeframe of the study: January 2003 to June 2005

Risk of bias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bias</th>
<th>Authors' judgement</th>
<th>Support for judgement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Quote: &quot;Randomization was stratified by clinic and smoking status (whether subjects were smokers in the past 5 years). A permuted block randomization scheme was used with a random mixture of block sizes within each stratum.&quot; In authors’ letter &quot;the randomisation was by computer using a random number generator in SAS&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Quote: &quot;Clinical centre staff obtained treatment assignments through a Web-based system designed and maintained by the coordinating centre. When a participant was deemed eligible, a staff member used the Web interface to enter the eligibility information, and the system returned the treatment assignment&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Comment: it was not possible to achieve participant and personnel blinding in this study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Quote: authors’ letter stated that &quot;the outcome assessment was blinded&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</td>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Comment: in one year, only 37/303 (12.2%) got clinical follow-up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>Comment: all the outcomes were reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other bias  | High risk
---|---

Comment: about 92.7% of the participants in the treatment group received the treatment. One participant in the intervention group received SRP outside the study. 11% of the participants in the control group had received SRP by the end of the follow-up period. Therefore, this study had contamination and co-interventions.

AE = adverse events; BOP = bleeding on probing; CAL = clinical attachment level; CVD = cardiovascular disease; hs-CRP = high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; MetS= metabolic syndrome; PPD = probing pocket depth; PT = periodontal therapy; RCT = randomised controlled trial; SAE = serious adverse event; SD = standard deviation; SRP = scaling and root planing

### Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Reason for exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTRN12605000593639</td>
<td>Participants in the intervention group did not get any active periodontal treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bokhari 2012</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown 2004</td>
<td>No participants had periodontitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullinan 2015</td>
<td>Participants in the intervention group did not get any active periodontal treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Aiuto 2005</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietrich 2005</td>
<td>A review article, not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domínguez 2010</td>
<td>No cardiovascular patients involved, no CVD closely-related outcomes reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebersole 1997</td>
<td>Not comparing periodontal treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Sharkawy 2010</td>
<td>No cardiovascular patients involved, no CVD closely-related outcomes reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elter 2006</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emingil 2011</td>
<td>No cardiovascular patients involved, no CVD closely-related outcomes reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fajardo 2010</td>
<td>Participants got the same periodontal treatment in both groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golub 2002</td>
<td>No cardiovascular patients involved, no CVD closely-related outcomes reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gottehrer 2006</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gottehrer 2007</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gottehrer 2007a</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunupati 2011</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ide 2003</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ide 2004</td>
<td>Not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamil 2011</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lösche 2007</td>
<td>A review article, not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Reason for exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michalowicz 2009</td>
<td>All the participants were pregnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00993236</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00681564</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offenbacher 2006</td>
<td>All the participants were pregnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortiz 2009</td>
<td>All the participants had rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oz 2007</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paju 2006</td>
<td>Participants in the intervention group did not get any active periodontal treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne 2011</td>
<td>Participants were undergoing periodontal maintenance therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun 2010</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor 2010</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonetti 2007</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tüter 2007</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tüter 2010</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ushida 2008</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidal 2009</td>
<td>Follow-up shorter than 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wozakowska-Kaplon 2009</td>
<td>A review article, not an RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuan 2010</td>
<td>Not an RCT, it is a CCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao 2010</td>
<td>Half of the included participants had aggressive periodontitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCT = controlled clinical trial; CVD = cardiovascular disease; RCT = randomised controlled trial

**Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment** *(ordered by study ID)*

**Zhao 2016**

**Methods**
- Type of study: three-armed parallel RCT
- Stratification: not reported
- Sample size calculation: not reported

**Participants**
- Centres: 1, Taiyuan Central Hospital of Shanxi Medical University
- Inclusion criteria: (1) patients with moderate to severe periodontitis aged ≥ 40 years. The diagnosis of periodontitis was made according to Armitage's classification system: gingivitis with bleeding on probing, clinical attachment loss ≥ 3 mm for all the residual teeth, the alveolar bone resorption ≥ 1/3 of root length, the number of lost teeth < 14; (2) patients with ≥ 50% blockage of at least one coronary artery, a medical history of myocardial infarction or angina ≥ 6 months, no acute coronary event within 6 months, male ≥ 40 years old or female ≥ 45 years old, positive results of exercise stress test, and at least two syndromes among diabetes, high blood pressure, and hypercholestaeremia.
Exclusion criteria: (1) systemic diseases except CVD (including infection, tumour, or progressive liver disease); (2) periodontal treatment within 6 months; (3) evident malocclusion or invasive periodontitis; (4) age < 40 years old

Participants: moderate to severe periodontitis and CVD

Number of participants: total 101; Group 1 – 34; Group 2 – 34; Group 3 – 33

Sex of participants: not reported

Age of participants: not reported

Duration of follow-up: 24 months

Interventions

Group 1: periodontal treatment once per half year + oral hygiene instruction

Group 2: periodontal treatment once per year + oral hygiene instruction

Group 3: no periodontal treatment

The periodontal treatment included supra gingival scaling, subgingival scaling, and SRP. The periodontal treatment should be finished within two weeks and SRP in one month. 3% hydrogen peroxide and iodine glycerin were locally administered

Outcomes

All cardiovascular events (incidence proportion of myocardial infarction)

Notes

This study was published in a local Chinese journal without a peer review process. We could not tell whether the allocation method was ‘random’ or ‘casual’ from the text in Chinese. We attempted to contact the corresponding author but failed.

- Funding: not reported
- Country: China
- Timeframe of the study: August 2012 to December 2012

hs-CRP = high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; RCT = randomised controlled trial; VLDL = very-low-density lipoprotein

Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

NCT01201746

Trial name or title  Scientific title. Influence of periodontal treatment on systemic inflammatory mediators: hsC-reactive protein, fibrinogen and white blood cells in CHD patients

Public title. Influence of periodontal treatment on systemic inflammatory mediators perio-CHD

Methods

- Study type: parallel RCT
- Randomisation: not clearly stated
- Allocation concealment: not clearly stated
- Blinding: single-blinded (investigator)

Participants

- Inclusion criteria:
  * A) General/medical: 1) any race/ethnic group; 2) aged > 30 years; 3) male or female; 4) coronary heart disease (CHD) case confirmed by CHD angiography; 5) CHD diagnosed > 3 months prior to entry into study; 6) no acute or chronic systemic conditions (see exclusion criteria below); 7) no medications/medication history that can interfere with the study (see exclusion criteria below); 8) non-smoker (= never smoked) or former smoker (= does not smoke now and has not smoked at all for a minimum of the last 12 consecutive months); 9) able and willing to comply with study procedures; 10) able and willing to be available for the duration of the study; 11) able and willing to provide signed informed consent.
  * B) Oral/periodontal: 1) dentate with at least 14 natural teeth, excluding third molars, which can be evaluated periodontally; 2) baseline whole mouth BOP ≥ 20% of sites; 3) periodontitis case: periodontitis case defined as subject having ≥ 4 teeth with ≥ 1 site with PPD ≥ 4 mm and CAL ≥ 3 mm at same site; 4) no mechanical periodontal therapy in the last 6 months; 5) no acute
oral diseases (mucosal lesions), oral infections, need for immediate dental/periodontal care (e.g. NUG)

- Exclusion criteria:
  * A) General/medical: 1) former smoker who does not smoke, but who has smoked 1 cigarette (or equivalent, in form of water pipe, pipe, cigar) in the last 12 months; 2) females pregnant or lactating; 3) systemic chronic conditions known to be associated with periodontitis or with changes in systemic inflammation: diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, rheumatic fever, SLE, malignancy, respiratory diseases, renal diseases, other (e.g. autoimmune diseases, fungal infections, immunological deficiencies, etc.); 4) systemic acute conditions known to affect systemic markers of inflammation: acute bacterial infection, acute viral infection (common cold, influenza, sinusitis), orthopaedic trauma, surgery; 5) medications known to affect systemic inflammatory biomarker: statins, systemic steroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, immunosuppressants; 6) medications potentially affecting systemic inflammatory markers, if therapy started less than 3 months prior to study, such as hormone replacement therapy, contraceptives; 7) systemic antibiotic therapy in the last 3 months.
  * B) Oral/periodontal: 1) BOP in 20% of sites; 2) topical/local antibiotic or anti-inflammatory therapy in last 6 months; 3) acute oral infections; 4) oral wounds, including recent (< 2 months) extractions.

- Total number of participants: 317

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention group: SRP and oral hygiene instructions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group: no treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: unclear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Changes in hs-CRP (the time of recording is unclear)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting date</th>
<th>July 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact information</th>
<th>Mohammad Azhar, Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Pakistan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Recruitment has finished</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**NCT01609725**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial name or title</th>
<th>Scientific title. Periodontal therapy in coronary artery patients (PerioCardio)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public title.</td>
<td>Periodontal therapy in coronary artery patients (PerioCardio)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study type: parallel RCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomisation: mentioned but the detail was not clear</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation concealment: not clearly stated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blinding: single-blinded (outcomes assessor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria: 35 to 70 years old; coronary artery disease; more than 10 teeth; chronic periodontitis, defined as 2 non-adjacent teeth with probing depth &gt; 5 mm and periodontal attachment loss &gt; 4 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion criteria: use of antibiotics in the last 6 months; periodontal therapy in the last 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of participants: 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention group: SRP under local anaesthesia + oral hygiene instruction and motivation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group: 1 session of supra-gingival calculus removal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: 1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NCT01609725

Outcomes
Changes of hs-CRP, HDL-C, LDL-C, and total cholesterol levels (3, 6 months, and 1 year after the treatment)

Starting date
January 2012

Contact information
Haas, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Notes

NCT02541032

Trial name or title
PeRiodontal Treatment to Eliminate Minority InEquality and Rural Disparities in Stroke

Methods

• Study type: parallel RCT
• Randomisation: participants will be randomised using a 1:1 adaptive randomisation protocol to aggressive periodontal therapy (scaling and root planing (SRP) + standard of care stroke prevention strategies) versus standard dental care with community dental referral + standardised stroke prevention.
• Allocation concealment: not clearly stated
• Blinding: not clearly stated

Participants

• Inclusion criteria: at least 18 years of age (no upper limit); able to consent, follow an outpatient protocol, and available by telephone; initial stroke not causing severe disability (modified Rankin score ≤ 3) or TIA in the past 90 days; suitable for periodontal examination and treatment (≥ 5 teeth) and a dental examination, with ≥ 2 interproximal sites with ≥ 4 mm of CAL
• Exclusion criteria: stroke due to intracranial haemorrhage, dissection, veno-occlusive disease, drugs, trauma, or vasculitis; previous neurological impairment that would make detection of a subsequent event difficult; comorbid conditions that may limit survival to less than a year; brain CT or MRI that shows a lesion other than stroke as the cause of the syndrome; history of medical conditions requiring antibiotic prophylaxis prior to dental exam (artificial cardiac valves, previous inflammation of the heart or valves, complex heart conditions or other heart malformations since birth, surgically constructed systemic pulmonary shunts, valvular dysfunctions, prolapse, hypertrophic cardiomypathy, first two years of joint replacement, previous infections from artificial joint, any chronic or radiation-induced condition leading to immunosuppression or haemophilia); patients on oral anticoagulant therapy with a prothrombin time international normalized ratio (PT-INR) greater than 3.5 (may be corrected and enrolled); pregnancy confirmed by urine pregnancy test in women of child-bearing potential (≤ 55 years age); known allergy or hypersensitivity to local anaesthesia, or minocycline that cannot be medically managed; participation in another RCT
• Total number of participants: 400

Interventions

• Intensive dental treatment group: up to 5 sessions of full-mouth removal of subgingival dental plaque by the use of scaling and root planing under local anaesthesia. Hopeless teeth extraction. Administer Arestin locally into the periodontal pockets ≥ 6 mm
• Standard dental treatment: supragingival mechanical scaling and polishing
• All participants will be given instructions in basic oral hygiene and treated for stroke risk factors in accordance to the current guidelines for secondary stroke prevention.

Outcomes

• Primary outcomes: ischaemic stroke, TIA, myocardial infarction, cardiovascular death
• Primary outcomes were recorded at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months from randomisation
• Secondary outcomes: IMT, vascular cognitive impairment, BP, hs-CRP, haemoglobin A1c, fasting lipids
• All of the secondary outcomes, except BP, were recorded at baseline and at 1-year follow-up visit. The BP was recorded at baseline and at follow-up: 6 and 12 months from randomisation.
### NCT02541032 (Continued)

**Starting date**  
December 2015

**Contact information**  
Kolby T Redd, Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, United States, 29203

**Notes**

### NCT04012541

**Trial name or title**  
Brief title. Comprehensive oral intervention in patients with AMI  

**Methods**

- Study type: parallel RCT
- Randomisation: people who agreed to the study were randomly assigned to the intervention group and control group at a 1:1 ratio
- Allocation concealment: not clearly stated
- Blinding: single; participating patients and dentists are not able to be blinded from information about random allocation. However, in order to reduce the bias, the cardiologist who treats the participant and prescribes the medicines performs an investigator-masked design that masks information about the treatment group.

**Participants**

- Inclusion criteria: adults over 18 years of age; diagnosed with type 1 or 2 MI according to the Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018) criteria; with baseline hs-CRP (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein) elevated above 1.0 mg/dL
- Exclusion criteria: inability to provide informed consent; patients who are predicted to have low compliance; those whose life expectancy is less than 3 months due to cardiovascular disease or other reasons; those whose condition is considered to be too poor to perform dental treatment, or who have a high risk of bleeding; those who need active dental treatment, such as extraction; patients suspected of having active infection; those who are taking long-term systemic antibiotics or receiving immunosuppressive treatment; fully edentulous (except for fixed implant restorations); less than 15 teeth and implants; if the last dental visit experience is less than 6 months ago; if periodontal treatment is not possible by the researcher
- Estimated enrolment: 68 participants

**Interventions**

- Intervention group: post-myocardial infarction management (dual antiplatelet drug); basic periodontal examinations (panorama radiograph: full-mouth periapical radiograph); active dental procedure (scaling and root planing)
- Control group: post-myocardial infarction management (dual antiplatelet drug); basic periodontal examinations (panorama radiograph: full-mouth periapical radiograph)
- Follow-up: 1 year

**Outcomes**

Changes in BP (3 months and 12 months after treatment), number of cardiovascular-related deaths, unscheduled coronary revascularisation, stroke, unstable angina, recurrent MI (12 months after treatment)

**Starting date**  
5 July 2019

**Contact information**  
Si-Hyuck Kang, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea, 13620

**Notes**
Methods

- Study type: parallel RCT
- Randomisation: after screening for periodontal disease, those with moderate or severe periodontal disease will be randomised on a 1:1 basis to either the treatment or control group. A computer-generated permuted block randomisation sequence will be used, stratified by recruitment site (Darwin/Palmerston, Katherine)
- Allocation concealment: not clearly stated
- Blinding: measurement of cardiovascular endpoints and statistical analysis will be blinded

Participants

- Inclusion criteria: Indigenous persons aged > 25 years, who have lived in their current location for more than 2 years, and who plan to live at their current location for the next 2 years, with moderate/severe periodontal disease
- Exclusion criteria: those with history of rheumatic heart disease or other cardiac conditions requiring antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of subacute bacterial endocarditis, or with obvious endodontic lesions, or other sources of oral infection
- Total number of participants: 266

Interventions

- Intervention group: SRP
- Control group: no treatment
- Follow-up: 1 year

Outcomes

hs-CRP, HDL-C, LDL-C, APO-A1, APO-B and total cholesterol (3 months and 1 year after the treatment)

Starting date

June 2010

Contact information

Lisa M Jamieson, Australian Research Centre for Population Oral Health, School of Dentistry, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

Notes

Registered (retrovectively)

BOP = bleeding on probing; BP = blood pressure; CAL = clinical attachment level; hs-CRP = high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; PPD = probing pocket depth; RCT = randomised controlled trial; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; SRP = scaling and root planing; TIA = transient ischaemic attack

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Primary prevention: SRP plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome or subgroup title</th>
<th>No. of studies</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
<th>Statistical method</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 All-cause death (12 months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)</td>
<td>Totals not selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome or subgroup title</td>
<td>No. of studies</td>
<td>No. of participants</td>
<td>Statistical method</td>
<td>Effect size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 All CVD-related death (12 months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)</td>
<td>Totals not selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 All cardiovascular events (12 months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)</td>
<td>Totals not selected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Adverse events (12 months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)</td>
<td>Totals not selected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Primary prevention: SRP plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling, Outcome 1 All-cause death (12 months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>SRP + A + M n/N</th>
<th>SS n/N</th>
<th>Peto Odds Ratio Peto, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopez 2012</td>
<td>1/82</td>
<td>0/83</td>
<td>7.48[0.15,376.98]</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Primary prevention: SRP plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling, Outcome 2 All CVD-related death (12 months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>SRP + A + M n/N</th>
<th>SS n/N</th>
<th>Peto Odds Ratio Peto, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopez 2012</td>
<td>1/82</td>
<td>0/83</td>
<td>7.48[0.15,376.98]</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Primary prevention: SRP plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling, Outcome 3 All cardiovascular events (12 months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>SRP + A + M n/N</th>
<th>SS n/N</th>
<th>Peto Odds Ratio Peto, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopez 2012</td>
<td>4/82</td>
<td>0/83</td>
<td>7.77[1.07,56.14]</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Primary prevention: SRP plus antibiotics versus supragingival scaling, Outcome 4 Adverse events (12 months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>SRP + A + M n/N</th>
<th>SS n/N</th>
<th>Peto Odds Ratio Peto, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopez 2012</td>
<td>0/82</td>
<td>1/83</td>
<td>0.14[0.6,6.9]</td>
<td>Favorable</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ADDITIONAL TABLES

**Table 1. Stomatology journals handsearched**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal name</th>
<th>By ZD Shi, et al</th>
<th>By CJ Li, et al</th>
<th>By CJ Li and ZK Lv</th>
<th>By CJ Li, et al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Table 1. Stomatology journals handsearched (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal name</th>
<th>Start to End</th>
<th>Start to End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Table 2. Cardiovascular disease journals handsearched

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal name</th>
<th>Start to End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Diseases</td>
<td>1982 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Journal of Cardiology</td>
<td>1973 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Journal of Cerebrovascular Diseases</td>
<td>1993 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention and Treatment of Cardio-Cerebral-Vascular Disease</td>
<td>2001 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Journal of Cerebrovascular Diseases</td>
<td>2004 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Journal of Geriatric Heart Brain and Vessel Diseases</td>
<td>1999 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine on Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Disease</td>
<td>2003 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Journal of Cardiac Cerebral Pneumal and Vascular Disease</td>
<td>1993 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td>1950 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Heart Journal</td>
<td>1980 to May 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Cochrane Oral Health's Trials Register search strategy

From July 2013, searches of the Cochrane Oral Health Group’s Trials Register were undertaken using the Cochrane Register of Studies and the search strategy below:

#1 ((cardiovascular or myocardial or heart* or coronar* or "artery disease*" or angina or "transient ischaemic attack*" or atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis or "peripheral arterial disease*" or cerebrovascular or stroke* or ischemia or "intercranial haemorrhage" or "intercranial haemorrhage" or thrombosis or thromboses or aneurysm* or embolism* or DVT):ti,ab) AND (INREGISTER)
#2 ((periodont* or gingivitis or gingiva* or parodont*):ti,ab) AND (INREGISTER)
#3 (#1 and #2) AND (INREGISTER)

Previous searches were undertaken using the Procite software and the search strategy below:

((cardiovascular or myocardial or heart* or coronar* or "artery disease*" or angina or "transient ischaemic attack*" or atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis or "peripheral arterial disease*" or cerebrovascular or stroke* or ischemia or "intercranial haemorrhage" or "intercranial haemorrhage" or thrombosis or thromboses or aneurysm* or embolism* or DVT) AND (periodont* or gingivitis or gingiva* or parodont*))

Cochrane Oral Health’s Trials Register is available via the Cochrane Register of Studies. For information on how the register is compiled, see https://oralhealth.cochrane.org/trials

Appendix 2. Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) search strategy

#1. Exp CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES
#2. (myocardial or heart*) NEAR infarc*
#3. heart NEAR (disease* or attack*)
#4. (coronary NEAR (artery disease* or syndrome*))
#5. (angina pectoris OR "transient ischaemic attack*)
#6. exp ATHEROSCLEROSIS
#7. (atherosclerosis OR arteriosclerosis)
#8. "peripheral arterial disease*
#9. exp CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS
#10. (stroke* or (ischemia NEAR brain*) OR (infarc* NEAR brain*) OR "intercranial haemorrhage*" or "intercranial hemorrhage")
#11. exp THROMBOSIS
#12. (thrombosis or occlusion* or thromboses or aneurysm* or embolism*)
#13. (DVT):ti,ab
#14. ("atheromatus plaque" or atheromatous*)
#15. #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14
#16. exp PERIODONTAL DISEASES
#17. periodont*
#18. (gingivitis or gingival*)
#19. paradont*
#20. #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19
#21. exp PREVENTIVE DENTISTRY
#22. Dental Care for Chronically Ill
#23. exp PERIODONTICS
#24. (scal* NEAR polish*)
#25. (root* NEAR plan*)
#26. (tooth NEAR scal*) OR (teeth NEAR scal*) OR (dental NEAR scal*)
#27. (oral AND dental AND prophylaxis)
#28. (gingivectomy OR gingivoplasty OR "subgingival curetage" OR subgingival curettage" OR "guided tissue regeneration")
#29. Surgical flaps
#30. "surgical flap*"
#31. ((#29 OR #30) AND periodont*)
#32. (periodont* NEAR (therap* OR treat* OR surger*))
#33. Oral Health
#34. exp ORAL HYGIENE
#35. (mouthrinse* OR mouth-rinse* OR "mouth rinse*" OR mouthwash* OR mouth-wash* OR "mouth wash*" OR toothbrush* OR "tooth brush*" OR tooth-brush* OR floss*)
#36. exp DENTIFRICES
#37. (dentifrice* OR toothpaste* OR tooth-paste* OR "tooth paste*"
#38. Chlorhexidine
#39. (chlorhexidine OR eludril OR chlorohex* or corsodyl)
#40. exp ANTI-BACTERIAL AGENTS
#41. (antibiotic* or anti-biotic* or antibacterial* or anti-bacterial*)
#42. exp TETRACYCLINES
#43. (tetracycline* OR doxycycline* OR minocycline* OR roxithromycin* OR moxifloxacin* OR ciprofloxacin* OR metronidazole*)
#44. (Periostat OR Atridox OR Elyzol OR PerioChip OR Arestin OR Actisite)
#45. #21 OR #22 OR #23 OR #24 OR #25 OR #26 OR #27 OR #28 OR #31 OR #32 OR #33 OR #34 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37 OR #38 OR #39 OR #40 OR #42 OR #43 OR #44
#46. #15 AND #20 AND #45

Appendix 3. MEDLINE Ovid search strategy
1. exp Cardiovascular diseases/
2. (myocardial or heart$) adj5 infarct$.mp.
3. (heart adj6 (disease$ or attack$)).mp.
4. (coronary adj6 (disease$ or syndrome$)).mp.
5. (angina or "transient ischaemic attack$").mp.
6. exp Atherosclerosis/
7. (atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis).mp.
8. "peripheral arterial disease".mp.
9. exp Cerebrovascular Disorders/
10. (stroke$ or (ischemia adj3 brain$) or (infarc$ adj3 brain$) or "intracranial haemorrhage$" or "intracranial hemorrhage$").mp.
11. exp Thrombosis/
12. (thrombosis or occlusion$ or thromboses or aneurysm$ or embolism$).mp.
13. DVT,ti,ab.
14. ("atheromatous plaque" or athromata$).mp.
15. or/1-14
16. exp Periodontal Diseases/
17. periodont$.mp.
18. (gingivitis or gingiva$).mp.
19. paradont$.mp.
20. or/16-19
21. exp Preventive Dentistry/
22. Dental Care for Chronically Ill/
23. exp Periodontics/
24. (scal$ adj4 polish$).mp.
26. ((tooth adj6 scal$) or (teeth adj6 scal$) or (dental adj6 scal$)).mp.
27. (oral and dental and prophylaxis).mp.
28. (gingivectomy or gingivoplasty or "subgingival curetage" or "guided tissue regeneration").mp.
29. Surgical flaps/
30. "surgical flap*".mp.
31. ((#29 or 30) and periodont$).mp.
32. (periodont$ adj3 (therap$ or treat$ or surger$)).mp.
33. Oral Health/
34. exp Oral Hygiene/
This subject search was linked to the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy (CHSSS) for identifying randomised trials in MEDLINE: sensitivity-maximising version (2008 revision) as referenced in Chapter 6.4.11.1 and detailed in box 6.4.c of The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011] (Lefebvre 2011).

1. randomized controlled trial.pt.
2. controlled clinical trial.pt.
3. randomized.ab.
4. placebo.ab.
5. drug therapy.fs.
6. randomly.ab.
7. trial.ab.
8. groups.ab.
9. or/1-8
10. exp animals/ not humans.sh.
11. 9 not 10

Appendix 4. Embase Ovid search strategy

1. exp Cardiovascular diseases/
2. ((myocardial or heart$) adj5 infarc$).mp.
3. (heart adj6 (disease$ or attack$)).mp.
4. (coronary adj6 (artery disease$ or heart muscle ischemia)).mp.
5. (angina pectoris or "transient ischaemic attack").mp.
6. exp Atherosclerosis/
7. (atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis).mp.
8. "peripheral arterial disease".mp.
9. exp Cerebrovascular Disorders/
10. (stroke$ or (ischemia adj3 brain$) or (infar$ adj3 brain$) or "inter cranial haemorrhage$" or "inter cranial hemorrhage$").mp.
11. exp Thrombosis/
12. (thrombosis or occlusion$ or "occlusive cerebrovascular disease" or aneurysm$ or embolism$).mp.
13. DVT.ti,ab.
14. ("atherosclerotic plaque" or atheroma$).mp.
15. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14
16. exp Periodontal Diseases/
17. periodont$.mp.
18. (gingivitis or gingiva$).mp.
19. paradont$.mp.
20. 16 or 17 or 18 or 19
21. exp Preventive Dentistry/
22. Dental Care for Chronically Ill/
23. exp Periodontics/
24. (scal$ adj4 polish$).mp.
26. (tooth adj6 scal$) or (teeth adj6 scal$) or (dental adj6 scal$)).mp.
27. (oral and dental and prophylaxis).mp.
28. (gingivectomy or gingivoplasty or "subgingival curettage" or "subgingival curettage" or "guided tissue regeneration").mp.
29. Surgical flaps/
30. "surgical flap$".mp.
31. (29 or 30) and periodont$.mp.
32. (periodont$ adj3 (therap$ or treat$ or surger$)).mp.
33. Oral Health/
34. exp Oral Hygiene/
35. (mouthrinse$ or mouth-rinse$ or "mouth rinse"$ or mouthwash$ or mouth-wash$ or "mouth wash"$ or toothbrush$ or "tooth brush"$ or tooth-brush$ or floss$).mp.
36. exp Dentifrices/
37. (dentifrice$ or toothpaste$ or tooth-paste$ or "tooth paste"$).mp.
38. Chlorhexidine/
39. (chlorhexidine or eludril or chlorohex$ or corsodyl).mp.
40. exp Anti-bacterial agents/
41. (antibiotic$ or anti-biotic$ or antibacterial$ or anti-bacterial$).mp.
42. exp Tetracyclines/
43. (tetracycline$ or doxycycline$ or minocycline$ or roxithromycin$ or ciprofloxacin$ or metronidazole$).mp.
44. (Periostat or Atridox or Elyzol or PerioChip or Arestin or Actisite).mp.
45. 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38 or 39. or 40 or 41 or 42 or 43 or 44
46. 15 and 20 and 45

The above subject search was linked to adapted version of the Cochrane Embase Project filter for identifying RCTs in Embase Ovid (see http://www.cochranelibrary.com/help/central-creation-details.html for information):

1. Randomized controlled trial/
2. Controlled clinical study/
3. Random$.ti,ab.
4. randomization/
5. intermethod comparison/
6. placebo.ti,ab.
7. compare or compared or comparison).ti.
8. ((evaluated or evaluate or evaluating or assessed or assess) and compare or compared or comparing or comparison)).ab.
9. (open adj label).ti,ab.
10. ((double or single or doubly or singly) adj (blind or blinded or blindly)).ti,ab.
11. double blind procedure/
12. parallel group$1.ti,ab.
13. (crossover or cross over).ti,ab.
14. (((assign$ or match or matched or allocation) adj5 (alternate or group$1 or intervention$1 or patient$1 or subject$1 or participant $1)).ti,ab.
15. (assigned or allocated).ti,ab.
16. (controlled adj7 (study or design or trial)).ti,ab.
17. (volunteer or volunteers).ti,ab.
18. trial.ti.
19. or/1-18
20. (exp animal/ or animal.hw. or nonhuman/) not (exp human/ or human cell/ or (human or humans).ti.)
21. 19 not 20

Appendix 5. CINAHL EBSCO search strategy

S1 MH Cardiovascular diseases
S2 (myocardial or (heart* N5 infarc*))
S3 (heart N6 disease* or attack*)
S4 (coronary N6 disease* or syndrome*)
S5 (angina or "transient ischaemic attack"*)
S6 MH Atherosclerosis
S7 (atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis)
S8 "peripheral arterial disease"
S9 MH Cerebrovascular Disorders
S10 (stroke* or (ischemia N3 brain*) or (infrac* N3 brain*) or intracranial haemorrhage* or intracranial hemorrhage*)
S11 MH Thrombosis
S12 (thrombosis or occlusion* or thromboses or aneurysm* or embolism*)
S13 TI DVT or AB DVT
S14 ("atheromatous plaque" or (atherosclerotic plaque) or atheroma*)
S15 S1 or S2 or S3 or S4 or S5 or S6 or S7 or S8 or S9 or S10 or S11 or S12 or S13 or S14
S16 MH Periodontal Diseases
S17 periodont*
S18 (gingivitis or gingival*)
S19 paradont*
S20 S16 or S17 S18 or S19
S21 MH Preventive Dentistry
S22 Dental Care for Chronically Ill
S23 MH Periodontics
S24 (scal* N4 polish*)
S25 (root* N4 plan*)
S26 (tooth N6 scal*) or (teeth N6 scal*) or (dental N6 scal*)
S27 oral and dental and prophylaxis
S28 (gingivectomy or gingivoplasty or subgingival curettage* or guided tissue regeneration)
S29 Surgical flaps
S30 "surgical flap"
S31 [(S29 or S30) and periodont*]
S32 (periodont* N3 therap* or treat* or surger*)
S33 Oral Health
S34 MH Oral Hygiene
S35 (mouthrinse* or mouth-rinse* or "mouth rinse" or mouthwash* or mouth-wash* or "mouth wash" or toothbrush* or "tooth brush" or tooth-brush* or floss*)
S36 MH Dentifrices
S37 (dentifrice* or toothpaste* or tooth-paste* or "tooth paste")
S38 Chlorhexidine
S39 (chlorhexidine or eludril or chlorohex* or corsodyl)
S40 Anti bacterial agents
S41 (antibiotic* or anti-biotic* or antibacterial* or anti-bacterial*)
S42 Tetracyclines
S43 (tetracycline* or doxycycline* or minocycline* or roxithromycin* or moxifloxacin* or ciprofloxacin* or metronidazole*)
S44 (Periostat or Atridox or Elyzol or PerioChip or Arestin or Actisite)
S45 S21 or S22 or S23 or S24 or S25 or S26 or S27 or S28 or S31 or S32 or S33 or S34 or S35 or S36 or S37 or S38 or S39 or S40 or S41 or S42 or S43 or S44
S46 S15 and S20 and S45

The above subject search was linked to Cochrane Oral Health's filter for CINAHL EBSCO:

S1 MH Random Assignment or MH Single-blind Studies or MH Double-blind Studies or MH Triple-blind Studies or MH Crossover design or MH Factorial Design
S2 TI ("multicentre study" or "multicenter study" or "multi-centre study" or "multi-center study") or AB ("multicentre study" or "multicenter study" or "multi-centre study" or "multi-center study") or SU ("multicentre study" or "multicenter study" or "multi-centre study" or "multi-center study")
S3 TI random* or AB random*
S4 AB "latin square" or TI "latin square"
S5 TI (crossover or cross-over) or AB (crossover or cross-over) or SU (crossover or cross-over)
S6 MH Placebos
S7 AB (singl* or doubl* or trebl* or tripl*) or TI (singl* or doubl* or trebl* or tripl*)
S8 TI blind* or AB mask* or AB blind* or TI mask*
S9 S7 and S8
S10 TI Placebo* or AB Placebo* or SU Placebo*
S11 MH Clinical Trials
S12 TI (Clinical AND Trial) or AB (Clinical AND Trial) or SU (Clinical AND Trial)
S13 S1 or S2 or S3 or S4 or S5 or S6 or S9 or S10 or S11 or S12

Appendix 6. OpenGrey search strategy
(periodont* or paradont*) AND cardiovascular
(periodont* or paradont*) AND heart*
(periodont* or paradont*) AND stroke*
(periodont* or paradont*) AND coronar*

Appendix 7. US National Institutes of Health Trials Register (ClinicalTrials.gov) search strategy
cardiovascular AND periodontitis
heart AND periodontitis
stroke AND periodontitis
Appendix 8. WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform search strategy

cardiovascular AND periodont*
stroke AND periodont*
"heart disease" AND periodont*
coronar* AND periodont*

Appendix 9. Chinese BioMedical Literature Database search strategy

1. 主题词:心血管疾病/全部树/全部副主题词 - 限定: -
2. 主题词:牙周疾病/全部树/全部副主题词 - 限定: -
3. 中文摘要:随机 - 限定: -
4. #1 and #2 and #3 - 限定: -

Appendix 10. China National Knowledge Infrastructure search strategy

((摘要=心血管 摘要=心脏)并且 主题=牙周)并且 (摘要=随机) (精确匹配), 专辑导航:全部; 数据库:文献 跨库检索

Appendix 11. VIP search strategy

题名=牙周 并且 题名=心脏 并且 摘要=随机

Appendix 12. Sciencepaper Online search strategy

题目=牙周 与 (题名=心脏 或 题目=心血管) 与 摘要=随机

WHAT'S NEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28 December 2019</td>
<td>New citation required and conclusions have changed</td>
<td>One new study included, which provides very low-certainty evidence relating to primary prevention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 September 2019</td>
<td>New search has been performed</td>
<td>Search updated. One new study identified for inclusion and one awaiting classification. Title changed. Author order on byline changed and new authors added.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HISTORY

Review first published: Issue 8, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26 September 2017</td>
<td>New citation required but conclusions have not changed</td>
<td>Conclusions remain the same as we did not identify any new studies for inclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 September 2017</td>
<td>New search has been performed</td>
<td>Search updated. No new studies included. New information added in background and discussion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

• We modified the title from 'management' to 'primary and secondary prevention' and removed 'chronic'.
• We removed quasi-randomised controlled trials from the inclusion criteria because of the risk of bias.
• We modified the inclusion criteria in terms of participant disease – we changed depth of the periodontal pockets from 5 mm to 4 mm. This decision was based on clinical expert advice to shift focus from the severity of periodontitis to any clinical diagnosis of periodontitis.
• We added the following exclusion criteria that were erroneously omitted from the protocol: patients with severe systemic diseases other than cardiovascular disease (CVD), who are pregnant, lactating or unable to return for follow-up and studies not focusing on primary or secondary prevention of CVD.
• We added follow-up time of one year or longer to the Types of studies, as suggested by Cochrane Oral Health.
• We added secondary outcome heart function parameters (such as ejection fraction, etc.), in line with the aims of this review.
• The Cochrane Heart Group's Trials Register was not searched, as all trials in the register were also available in CENTRAL.
• The US National Institutes of Health Trials Register was added to the search, in accordance with the new standards for the conduct of Cochrane Reviews (MECIR version 2.3).

• The description of the seven domains used for ‘Risk of bias’ assessment changed (as the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions evolved from version 5.0 to 5.1), but the essence stayed the same.

• In the section ‘Assessment of risk of bias’, we deleted the section that stated we excluded the third domain from the overall assessment. Although it is difficult to blind the participants for periodontal therapy, a study without blinding of participants is at high risk of bias.

**INDEX TERMS**

**Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)**

Cardiovascular Diseases [etiology] [*prevention & control]; Chronic Periodontitis [complications] [*therapy]; Coronary Disease [etiology] [prevention & control]; Dental Scaling; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Root Planing; Secondary Prevention [methods]

**MeSH check words**

Humans