

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players using plantar pressure measurements and the implications to injury mechanisms
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/31518/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2020.1712469
Date	2020
Citation	Valldecabres, Raul, Richards, James and de Benito, Ana-Maria (2020) The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players using plantar pressure measurements and the implications to injury mechanisms. Sports Biomechanics. ISSN 1476-3141
Creators	Valldecabres, Raul, Richards, James and de Benito, Ana-Maria

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1080/14763141.2020.1712469

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1	Main title: The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players using
2	plantar pressure measurements and the implications to injury
3	mechanisms
4	
5	Running title: The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players
6	
7	Raúl Valldecabres <sup>1,2</sup> (orcid.org/0000-0002-7478-7526)
8	Jim Richards <sup>3</sup> (orcid.org/0000-0002-4004-3115
9	Ana-María de Benito <sup>2</sup> (orcid.org/0000-0002-8836-4073)
10 11	
12	<sup>1</sup> Doctorate School, Valencia Catholic University San Vicente Mártir. Valencia, Spain.
13	<sup>2</sup> Physical Activity and Sports Science Faculty, Valencia Catholic University San Vicente
14	Mártir. Valencia, Spain.
15	<sup>3</sup> Allied Health Research Unit, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, United
16	Kingdom.
17	
18	Corresponding author:
19	Raúl Valldecabres
20	raul.valldecabres@ucv.es
21	

# Main title: The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players using plantar pressure measurements and the implications to injury mechanisms

25

### 26 Running title: The effect of match fatigue in elite badminton players

- 27
- **28** Word count: 7557

#### 29 Abstract

30 The purpose of this study was to investigate the differences in plantar pressure under the 31 lead and trail foot between two lunge tasks to the net in the dominant (LD) and non-32 dominant (LND) directions, and to explore how fatigue affects the plantar pressure 33 patterns whilst performing movements before and after a competitive match. Peak and 34 mean pressure were measured with the Biofoot-IBV in-shoe system from five repetitions of each task, with sensors positioned under the calcaneus, midfoot and phalanges on the 35 36 lead and trail foot. Data were collected pre and immediately post playing an official 1st 37 national league competition match. The study was conducted with a sample of thirteen 1st 38 league badminton players. A 2x2 repeated ANOVA found significant differences 39 between the two tasks and between pre and post match (fatigued state). Players also had 40 different foot pressure distributions for the LD and LND tasks, which indicated a 41 difference in loading strategy. In a fatigued state the plantar pressure shifted to the medial 42 aspect of the midfoot in the trail limb, indicating a reduction in control and a higher 43 injury risk during non-dominant lunge tasks. 44 45 Abstract word count: 172 46 47 Keywords: biomechanics; kinetics; insole-system; competition 48 49

#### 50 Introduction

51

52 Badminton is reported to be the fastest racket sport when considering ball velocity (Jaitner 53 & Gawin, 2007). Its popularity has grown since its inclusion at the '92 Olympic Games 54 in Spain and the use of a new scoring system in 2006 (Chen, Wu, & Chen, 2011), with 55 up to 200 million players worldwide (Kwan, Cheng, Tang, & Rasmussen, 2010). The sport is characterised by short duration high intensity actions placing a high demand on 56 57 the anaerobic system (Cabello & González-Badillo, 2003). The sport requires good footwork including; rapid turning, pivoting, jumps, lunges and running; in order for 58 59 players to reach and hit the shuttlecock and to return to a defensive position in the centre of the court (Gibbs, 1988) which has not been analysed previously. There is a lack of 60 61 quantification the court movements on the literature in a real competition context.

62

63 It has previously been reported that up to 70% of injuries are to the lower limbs, with the remaining 30% being due to loss of balance, slips and falls, landing or collisions with 64 other players (Hensley & Paup, 1979; Krøner et al., 1990; Reeves, Hume, Gianotti, 65 66 Wilson, & Ikeda, 2015). However, as in other racquet and field sports, badminton cannot 67 be appropriately simulated in the laboratory setting (Faude et al., 2007). The majority of match based studies on badminton performance have focussed on notational performance 68 analysis (Abián, Castanedo, Feng, Sampedro, & Abián-Vicén, 2014; Barreira, 69 70 Chiminazzo, & Fernandes, 2016), game characteristics (Phomsoupha & Laffaye, 2014), 71 or physiological and ground reaction force data (Chen et al., 2011; Ramos, Del Castillo, 72 Polo, Ramón, & Bosch, 2016).

73

Players footwork during lunge movements has been previously reported (Kuntze, Mansfield, & Sellers, 2010), however the nature of on court movements had not been considered until recently (Valldecabres, de Benito, Casal, & Pablos, 2017). Valldecabres et al. (2017) divided the court into 12 parts, and described 3 different on court footwork movements which were commonly used to hit the shuttle, these were; diagonal, transverse and longitudinal, of which more than 50% were diagonal court movements.

80

Several studies have investigated injuries during badminton (Hensley & Paup, 1979;
Jørgensen & Winge, 1990), with a higher risk of injury during competitions when
compared to training sessions (Jørgensen & Winge, 1990). Of these injuries, 43% have

been reported as patellar tendinopathy (Shariff, George, & Ramlan, 2009), which is 84 85 considered a common injury in sports with jumps, cutting manoeuvres and explosive 86 running tasks (Tibesku & Pässler, 2005). The clinical injury risk incidence has also been 87 analysed and found that 26% of players had previous symptoms before getting injured 88 (Fahlström, Björnstig, & Lorentzon, 1998) being overuse sprains and strains the most 89 musculoskeletal common injury (Goh, Mokhtar, & Mohaman, 2013; Hensley & Paup, 90 1979; Shariff, George, & Ramlan, 2009). Lower limb dominance is an important 91 consideration during sports tasks, and has been identified as a factor associated with 92 potential Anterior Cruciate Ligament injury risk (Negrete, Schick, & Cooper, 2007). 93 However, lower limb dominance seems to be more related to specific movement tasks 94 which require different side to side movements (Peters, 1988), such as one side being 95 used for more postural stabilization (Velotta, Weyer, Ramirez, & Winstead, 2011). This 96 was highlighted by Sinsurin, Vachalathiti, Srisangboriboon & Richards (2018) who found 97 better coordination during multi-direction jump landings on the non-dominant limb. The 98 literature also suggests differences in the injury incidence between the dominant and non-99 dominant limbs for many sports, which has been attributed to side to side differences in 100 loading as a result of differences in movement strategies between the sides (Vauhnik et 101 al., 2008). For example, Kimura et al. (2010) reported that the knee on the lead limb side 102 was the most commonly injured in badminton players, in particular during cutting and 103 side-step movements to the racket-hand side. In addition, Krajnc et al. (2010) showed that 104 the non-dominant leg, defined as the one that is not used to kick a ball, suffered more 105 knee injuries than the dominant leg in soccer players, with players requiring more 106 operations with greater pain or discomfort after surgery on the non-dominant limb. Side 107 to side differences have also been identified in badminton (Kimura et al., 2010), with a 108 higher number of injuries seen on the non-dominant leg.

109

110 The incidence of lower limb injuries has also been shown to be linked to fatigue, which 111 has been associated with a decrease in neuromuscular control, impaired kinesthesis and 112 proprioception of joints, and a diminishing maximum voluntary strength (Dickin & Doan, 113 2008; Rozzi, Yuktanandana, & Pincivero, 2000; Saragiotto, Di Pierro, & Lopes, 2014; Whyte, Burke, White, & Moran, 2015). Links between fatigue and exercise have been 114 investigated previously, however the exact definition of fatigue varies between studies 115 116 (López-Calbet & Dorado-García, 2006). Fatigue may be considered as multidimensional 117 or multifactorial (Hunter & Smith, 2007; Millet et al., 2011), which may be characterised

by the decrease of the capacity or ability to generate force or muscle power. This 118 119 originates from physiological, mechanical and psychological modifications (Stirling, Von 120 Tscharner, Fletcher, & Nigg, 2012) and a reduction of performance, which has been 121 described as a conservative response to maintain tissue integrity (Millet et al., 2011). 122 Previous work considering fatigue in badminton players has shown that dynamic postural 123 control and the quality of athletes' performance is lower in a post fatigue state (Sarshin, Mohammadi, Shahrabad, & Sedighi, 2011). Players' perceived fatigue may be assessed 124 125 using the rating of perceived exertion scale (Borg, 1982a), which has been shown to be 126 valid for the assessment of exercise intensity during badminton matches (Fernández, de 127 la Aleja, Moya, Cabello, & Méndez, 2013).

128

129 Previous investigations into sports related tasks have shown plantar pressure is an 130 important method of quantifying the magnitude and location of the force applied beneath 131 the foot, which may be measured using in shoe pressure systems (Falda-Buscaiot, Hintzy, 132 Rougier, Lacouture, & Coulmy, 2017; Navarro, Zahonero, Huertas, Vera, & Barrios, 133 2012). Fu, Liu & Wei (2009) studied badminton lunge tasks, and found the maximum 134 peak pressure was distributed under the forefoot with lower pressures under the midfoot. 135 Conversely, Hu, Li, Hong & Wang (2015) found lower pressures under the forefoot with 136 the maximum pressure under the heel, indicating either differences in movement strategy or test protocol. Changes in distribution of foot pressure, for example midfoot loading, 137 138 has been associated with foot pronation and patellofemoral pain (Thijs, Van Tiggelen, Roosen, De Clercq, & Witvrouw, 2007; Powers, 2003). In addition, the static assessment 139 140 of foot posture using the Foot Posture Index (Redmond, Crosbie, & Ouvrier, 2006) has 141 been shown to be important in the prediction of clinical subgroups in people with patellofemoral pain (Selfe et al., 2016). Therefore, the use of foot pressure may allow an 142 143 assessment of changes in loading strategy and dynamic postural control on the trail and 144 lead limbs which could be performed in the competition arena. This could be useful in 145 the assessment of training and injury prevention, or when considering when to return to 146 sport after an injury.

147

The aims of the present study were to investigate the differences in plantar pressure under the trail and lead foot between two lunge tasks to the net, and to explore how fatigue affects the plantar pressure patterns whilst performing movements before and after a competitive match. It was hypothesised that lunge tasks to the dominant side (LD) and

- 152 lunge tasks to the non-dominant side (LND) would show different foot loading strategies
- and that players would show changes in plantar pressure patterns in a fatigue state.

#### 154 Methods

#### 155 **Participants**

The inclusion criteria for both genders were; players in the 1<sup>st</sup> Spanish badminton league who played at least 3 times a week, with no injuries to the upper and lower limbs in the previous 6 months. In addition, all participants had no history of surgery or traumatic injury to the lower extremities or lower back, and no history of medical conditions that limit physical activity. Exclusion criteria included; presence of neuromuscular or vestibular conditions, visual impairment or back pain.

162

163 Thirteen right-handed badminton players (5 males and 8 females) participated in the 164 study. Anthropometric measurements of height and weight were recorded; age  $25.93 \pm$ 165 10.05 years and bodyweight  $64.30 \pm 8.66$  kg. Hand laterality was assess using a 166 previously validated questionnaire (Chapman & Chapman, 1987), which includes 167 questions such as which hand do you use to throw a ball or which foot do you use to kick 168 a ball, which has been used in previous studies (Brophy, Silvers, Gonzales, & 169 Mandelbaum, 2010; English, Brannock, Chik, Eastwood, & Uhl, 2006). In addition, Foot 170 Posture Index (FPI), a clinical tool that measures foot type between +12 (pronated) and -171 12 (supinated) was recorded (Redmond, Crosbie, & Ouvrier, 2006). This study was 172 approved by the Valencia Catholic University San Vicente Mártir Ethics Committee and 173 all data collection conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki. Volunteers gave written 174 informed consent and parental consent for the four participants who were minors was 175 obtained prior to participation.

176

#### 177 Equipment

In shoe plantar pressure data were recorded using the Biofoot-IBV in-shoe system
(Valencia, Spain), which consists of 64 sensors of 0.5mm thickness and 5mm diameter
and has been previously validated and used to assess sports tasks (Martínez, Hoyos,
Brizuela, Ferrús, & González, 1988; Marhuenda, Fuentes, Costa, Ferrús, & González,
2011). Not normalised peak and mean pressure data were used according to previous

183 studies (Patrick, & Donovan, 2018; Taylor, Nguyen, Griffin, & Ford, 2018). In addition,

the regions of interest where normalised to foot size following Oliveira, Sousa, Santos,

185 & Tavares (2012) with values for foot shape proposed by Hu et al. (2015).

186

#### 187 *Procedure*

188 In order to familiarise the players, submaximal lunge tasks were performed to the right 189 and left sides, LD and LND respectively for a right-handed player (figure 1). During the 190 lunge, the role of the non-stepping limb is to support the bodyweight during the forward 191 movement of the lead limb (Hofmann, Holyoak, & Juris, 2017) and reaches a maximum knee flexion during landing, this is followed by a movement backwards in order to 192 193 recover to the initial starting position (Kuntze et al., 2010). The lunge tasks were 194 performed at 45° to the net in the defensive position (Gibbs, 1988), and were defined as 195 controlled movements of the knee such that the knee did not move in front of the ankle 196 joint. The most natural start position for the lunge task was identified for each player in 197 order to hit a shuttlecock that was hung using a fine thread at a height of 165cm, 10 cm 198 from the net (figure 2). Prior to data collection, a standardised 10 minute warm-up was 199 performed, which included active stretching of the quadriceps and hamstring muscles 200 (Lam et al., 2017), specifically this involved five repetitions of 30 seconds per muscle; 201 and a familiarization period which involved the participants performing as many 202 repetitions of the lunge tasks as they needed to feel comfortable (Gribble, Hertel, & 203 Plisky, 2012).

204

#### 205 [Figures 1 and 2 near here]

206

207 Pressure sensors were then placed in both shoes by fixing them to the insole to avoid them moving within the shoe. Changes in foot pressure data were recorded at a sampling 208 209 frequency of 265Hz. en directions. Each lunge task trial consisted of a lunge to the net, hitting the shuttlecock with a top-spin shot and moving back to the start position as fast 210 211 as possible to simulate a match shot. Approximately 5 minutes after participants had played a competition match the lunge tests were repeated. In addition, the level of match 212 213 intensity was assessed using the Rated Perceived Exertion (RPE) Scale (Borg, 1982a). 214 This determined the perceived intensity of the exercise, from 0 (nothing at all) to 10 (very, 215 very heavy) (Borg, 1982b).

The plantar surface of the foot was divided into 9 areas previously reported by Navarro et al. (2012) (figure 3), these included; hallux, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> phalanges and 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> phalanges, 1<sup>st</sup> metatarsal, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> metatarsals and 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> metatarsals, medial midfoot and lateral midfoot, and calcaneus. The peak pressure (PP) and average pressure (PMEAN) of the whole foot were recorded and an average value from 5 repetitions was found for each parameter for each movement direction for both the lead and trail feet.

223

224 [Figure 3 near here]

225

#### 226 Statistical Analysis

227

Based on previous papers by Lam et al. (2017) and Park et al. (2017) and an alpha level of 0.05 and an 80% power, a sample size of at least 13 was found to be required to explore the differences between sides and fatigue state. The data were checked for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and found to be suitable for parametric testing. In addition, partial eta squared ( $\eta p^2$ ) was computed to determine the effect size which was interpreted as small 0.1, medium 0.3, and large 0.5. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NT, USA).

235

A 2x2 repeated ANOVA found significant differences between the two tasks andbetween pre and post match (fatigued state).

#### 238 Results

The players had a mean RPE after playing the competition match of 7.54 (SD 2.10), with a range of perception of fatigue between strong and extremely strong. The average match length was 26 minutes 29 seconds with a standard deviation of 8 minutes and 9 seconds. The FPI showed mean values of 1.17 (SD 1.79) for the lead foot and 1.50 (SD 1.72) for the trail foot, which corresponds to a neutral foot posture (Redmond, Crosbie, & Ouvrier, 2006). All players were at a similar level, and were all Spanish 1<sup>st</sup> league competitors and were playing for the Championship.

246

247 Significant main effects were seen between pre and post fatigue on the lead foot. These revealed significant differences under the 4th and 5th Phalangeal areas between pre and 248 post fatigue for the peak and mean pressure (p=0.035,  $\eta p^2=0.32$ ; p=0.031,  $\eta p^2=0.33$ ) 249 respectively, with lower values in a fatigue state. In addition, a reduction of lead foot peak 250 251 pressure under the lateral side was seen with a corresponding increase in peak pressure 252 under the medial side of the midfoot, although the latter was not statistically significant (table 1). A significant interaction was seen between the factors of lunge task and fatigue 253 state under the 2nd and 3rd Metatarsals (p=0.011,  $np^2=0.43$ ). Further post hoc analysis 254 explored differences between pre and post fatigue for each lunge task separately, which 255 256 showed an increase in peak pressure post fatigue for the LD task and a decrease with the 257 LND task (table 1). In addition, the calcaneus mean pressure for the lead foot in the LD task was lower than the LND task (p=0.032,  $\eta p^2=0.33$ ) (Table 2), indicating a different 258 259 strategy during landing between lunge tasks.

260

261 [Tables 1 and 2 near here]

262

Significant differences were also seen under the 1st Metatarsal on the trail foot pre and post fatigue for the peak and mean pressure (p=0.048,  $\eta p^2$ =0.29; p=0.046,  $\eta p^2$ =0.29) respectively, with a decrease in pressure post fatigue in both LD and LND tasks, and a corresponding significant increase in medial midfoot pressure. Finally, the medial midfoot showed an increase in peak and mean pressure post fatigue for both the LD and LND tasks (p=0.022,  $\eta p^2$ =0.37; p=0.046,  $\eta p^2$ =0.29) respectively (Tables 3 and 4), which would imply a shift in pressure to the medial midfoot as the players fatigue.

270

271 Significant main effects were seen between LD and LND tasks. These revealed differences in the peak and mean pressure under the Hallux (p=0.008,  $\eta p^2=0.45$ ; p=0.020, 272  $\eta p^2 = 0.38$ ) respectively. This showed the Hallux pressure was lower in the LND task than 273 the LD task in the trail foot (tables 3 and 4), with a corresponding lower pressure in the 274 275 LND task versus the LD task for the peak and mean pressure under the 4th and 5th Phalangeal areas (p=0.026,  $\eta p^2$ =0.35; p=0.017,  $\eta p^2$ =0.39) respectively (tables 3 and 4). 276 In addition, significant differences were found between the LD and LND tasks in the 277 mean pressure under the Hallux (p=0.038,  $\eta p^2=0.31$ ) (table 4). Furthermore, a significant 278 interaction was also seen for the peak pressure under the 2nd and 3rd Phalangeal areas in 279

the trail foot between lunge task and fatigue state (p=0.017,  $\eta p^2$ =0.39), with a greater pressure being seen during the LD task, although this was not significant (Table 3). A further post hoc analysis revealed a significant difference in peak pressure between pre

and post fatigue in the LD task on the trail foot only (p=0.045,  $\eta p^2=0.30$ ).

284

285 [Tables 3 and 4 near here]

286

#### 287 Discussion and Implications

288 A fatigue state has been shown to reduce athletes' performance and can lead to poor joint 289 control (Chang, 2015) and a decrease in dynamic postural control in badminton players 290 (Sarshin et al., 2011). Furthermore, the biomechanics and movement patterns have been 291 shown to be modified as a result of fatigue (Chang, 2015), which could lead to a 292 subsequent increase in injury rate. Footwork manoeuvres are therefore extremely important for badminton players and the consideration of how landing strategies change 293 294 on the different limbs after fatigue may help us to understand injury mechanisms 295 (Phomsoupha & Laffave, 2014). Diagonal lunge tasks have been previously shown to 296 account for approximately 50% of on court manoeuvres in both male and female players 297 (Valldecabres et al., 2017). This movement is required to allow the players to move to hit 298 the shuttlecock, and the speed and reaction time are important to allow the player to 299 recover a defensive court position to be ready for the next shot (Gibbs, 1988). Therefore, 300 the aim of this study was to explore differences in foot contact pressures under the lead 301 and trail foot during two badminton specific lunge tasks to the net, and to determine how 302 plantar pressure patterns change as the players' fatigue.

303

304 The Foot Posture Index has been used to determine foot type in athletes in different sports including; basketball, handball and running (Martínez-Nova et al., 2014). Our results 305 306 show a neutral foot type across the participants. However, when athletes fatigue, their 307 foot posture tends to move towards a more pronated position (Cowley & Marsden, 2013), 308 which could be an indicator of arch collapse leading to an increase of plantar pressure 309 under the medial midfoot. Jørgensen & Winge (1990) reported that more than 30% of 310 lower limb injuries are to the foot or ankle joint. This could be related to a flattening of 311 the arch with a more pronated foot position (Lange, Chipchase, & Evans, 2004), leading 312 to associated injuries (Barton, Bonanno, Levinger, & Menz, 2010; Beeson, Phillips, Corr,

& Ribbans, 2009; Graham, Jawrani, & Goel, 2011; Raissi, Cherati, Mansoori, & Razi,
2009).

315

316 This study found an increase in pressure under the medial aspect of the lead foot, 317 indicating a more pronated foot or a collapse of the arch post fatigue with the adoption of a flatter foot posture, which is in line with previous findings (Wei, Liu, Tian, & Fu, 2009). 318 319 This would arguably increase the pronation and pronation velocity which have been previously identified as key factors in patellofemoral pain and patellar tendinopathy in 320 runners (Powers, 2003; Thijs et al., 2007), the latter of which has been identified as an 321 issue in 43% of badminton players (Shariff et al., 2009). In addition, the side-to-side 322 323 differences found may help to explain the higher injury rates which have been reported 324 on the non-dominant leg (Krajnc et al., 2010). One consideration to help mitigate this 325 effect is the use of foot orthoses. These could be used to directly manage foot posture by 326 supporting the arch and therefore decreasing the pronation and pronation velocity when 327 athletes are in a fatigued state. This in turn may reduce the incidence of patellar tendinopathy (Mündermann, Nigg, Humble, & Stefanyshyn, 2003). However, more 328 329 research is needed to explore the use of foot orthotics in badminton players, and their 330 effect on potential injury mechanisms when athletes are in a fatigued state.

331

332 When comparing LD and LND tasks on lead foot, there are differences in pressure under 333 the Hallux, a lower pressure under the 4th and 5th Phalangeal areas and a lower calcaneus 334 mean pressure, which indicates a possible change in strategy during landing as Vauhnik 335 et al. (2008) reported in other court sports. Lower pressure on the forefoot and lateral 336 areas could be due to the involvement of pelvic rotation which has been reported by players who find dominant side movements easier than non-dominant side ones 337 (Bazipoor, Shojaeddin, Shahhoseini, & Abdollahi, 2017). Further exploration of such 338 339 compensations was beyond the scope of this current study

340

After fatigue, a significant decrease in mean pressure under the Hallux and 1st Metatarsal areas and a significant increase under medial midfoot were seen in both LD and LND tasks. This would support the shift in pressure to the medial midfoot and collapse of the arch when players reach a fatigued state which is in agreement with previous literature (Weist, Eils, & Rosenbaum, 2004), and supports that changes in plantar pressure distribution occur due to fatigue (Bisiaux & Moretto, 2008). This has implications to the foot movement used to recover the initial position in the centre of the court with cutting
and pivoting creating a higher tibia rotation torque (Oh, Kreinbrink, Wojtys, & AshtonMiller, 2012) which has been linked with patella mal-alignment and an increased risk of
patellofemoral pain (Sinclair & Dillon, 2016).

351

352 During both LND and LD lunge tasks the Hallux, 4th and 5th Metatarsals all showed 353 lower mean and peak pressure on the trail limb (tables 3 and 4). The explanation for this 354 could be different hip movement strategies when lunging towards the net, with movement 355 into hip external rotation during the LD task, and a move into hip internal rotation when 356 performing the LND task which has been previously reported by Valldecabres, de Benito, 357 Littler & Richards (2018). In addition, the trail limb is in contact with the ground for 358 longer and is responsible for the eccentric control as the person lunges forwards, which 359 is similar to findings reported during fencing, where the trail/rear limb demonstrated lower pressures (Trautmann, Martinelli, & Rosenbaum, 2011). 360

361

362

This current study is the first to explore the plantar pressure patterns in the lead and trail foot. Previously published work by Hu et al. (2015) on plantar pressures during badminton movements has only taken into account the dominant/lead limb during lunge tasks to the net. This work offers a contribution to knowledge on the changes in movement strategies due to fatigue within a competition environment in elite players. However, more work is required to assess how fatigue affects pronation and pronation velocity, knee joint stability and compensations in pelvic rotation in badminton players.

370

371 This study was not without its limitations which included a large standard deviation in 372 the age of the players recruited. A large standard deviation was seen in many of the 373 parameters reported, this was due to variations in the magnitude of many of the 374 parameters between the participants, however the direction of the changes seen were 375 common among the participants which accounts for the significant differences seen, and 376 supports the conclusion that a change in strategy occurs due to fatigue. In addition, no 377 direct measures of the amount of pronation or pronation velocity were taken. Furthermore, greater fatigue has been previously reported as a competition progresses, 378 379 however this current study did not report how many matches were played at the time of assessment, although the match duration was similar to that reported by Abián-Vicén,
Castanedo, Abián, & Sampedro (2013).

382

#### 383 Conclusion

384 In conclusion, this study found that players have different strategies when moving to the dominant and non-dominant sides during lunge to the net tasks. Under a fatigue state the 385 plantar pressure shifts to the medial aspect of the midfoot in the trail limb indicating a 386 387 reduction in control of the midfoot and collapse of the arch. This could account for the 388 higher injury risk in the non-dominant trail limb which has been previously reported in 389 badminton players, therefore further work should consider both the lead and trail foot. 390 These results provide new insights into the changes in foot function in a fatigued state, 391 which could possibly be managed using foot orthoses.

392

#### **393 Disclosure statement**

394 There is no conflict of interest reported by authors.

395

#### **396** Funding details

This study has been made possible by Generalitat Valenciana ACIF 121/2015 grants for
PhD students and Valencia Catholic University San Vicente Mártir grant to new research
groups.

400

#### 401 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Badminton Spanish Federation for support and access to the real
competition context and Club Bádminton Alicante for allowing us a court in sports centre.
Data collection was possible thanks to (in alphabetical order): Omar Aguar, Carlos
Baeschlin, Joaquín Barrachina, Yvan Barthelemy, Claudio Alberto Casal, Diego Ceca,
Raúl Fernández, Encarnación Liébana and Cristina Menescardi.

#### 408 References

- Abián, P., Castanedo, A., Feng, X. Q., Sampedro, J., & Abián-Vicén, J. (2014).
  Notational comparison of men's singles badminton matches between Olympic
  Games in Beijing and London. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 14(1), 42-53. doi:10.1080/24748668.2014.11868701
- Abián-Vicén, J., Castanedo, A., Abián, P., & Sampedro, J. (2013). Temporal and
  notational comparison of badminton matches between men's singles and
  women's singles. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 13(2),
- 416 310–320. doi:10.1080/24748668.2013.11868650
- 417 Barreira, J., Chiminazzo, J. G. C., & Fernandes, P. T. (2016). Analysis of point difference
  418 established by winners and losers in games of badminton. *International Journal*419 *of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 16(2), 687–694.
  420 doi:10.1080/24748668.2016.11868916
- 421 Barton, C. J., Bonanno, D., Levinger, P., & Menz, H. B. (2010). Foot and ankle
  422 characteristics in patellofemoral pain syndrome: A case control and reliability
  423 study. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 40(5), 286-296.
  424 doi:10.2519/jospt.2010.3227
- Bazipoor, P., Shojaeddin, S. S., Shahhoseini, A., & Abdollahi, I. (2017). A comparison
  of foot plantar pressure in badminton players with normal and high-arched feet
  during the two-way lunge. *Journal of Rehabilitation Sciences and Research*, *1*,
  20-25. doi:10.30476/JRSR.2017.41114
- Beeson, P., Phillips, C., Corr, S., & Ribbans, W. J. (2009). Hallux rigidus: A crosssectional study to evaluate clinical parameters. *The Foot*, *19*(2), 80-92.
  doi:10.1016/j.foot.2008.12.001
- Bisiaux, M., & Moretto, P. (2008). The effects of fatigue on plantar pressure distribution
  in walking. *Gait & Posture*, 28(4), 693-698. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2008.05.009

- Borg, G. (1982a). A category scale with ratio properties for intermodal and
  interindividual comparisons. In: Geissler HG, Petzold P, eds. *Psychophysical Judgment and the Process of Perception*, 25-34.
- Borg, G. (1982b). Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Medicine and Science in Sports Exercice*, 15(5), 377-381. doi:10.1249/00005768-198205000-00012
- 439 Brophy, R., Silvers, H. J., Gonzales, T., & Mandelbaum, B. R. (2010). Gender influences:
- the role of leg dominance in ACL injury among soccer players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(10), 694-697. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.051243
- Cabello, D., & González-Badillo, J. J. (2003). Analysis of the characteristics of
  competitive badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *37*(1), 62–66.
  doi:10.1136/bjsm.37.1.62
- Chang, C. (2015). Research on the biomechanics analysis of technical movement in
  fatigue period for badminton athletes. *International Journal of Simulation Systems, Science & Technology, 16*(4B), 13.1-13.6.
  doi:10.5013/IJSSST.a.16.4B.13
- Chapman, L. J., & Chapman, J. P. (1987). The measurement of handedness. *Brain and Cognition*, 6(2), 175-183. doi:10.1016/0278-2626(87)90118-7
- 451 Chen, H. L., Wu, C. J., & Chen, T. C. (2011). Physiological and notational comparison
  452 of new and old scoring systems of singles matches in men's badminton. *Asian*453 *Journal of Physical Education & Recreation*, 17(1).
- 454 Cowley, E., & Marsden, J. (2013). The effects of prolonged running on foot posture: a
- repeated measures study of half marathon runners using the foot posture index
  and navicular height. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 6(1), 1-6.
  doi:10.1186/1757-1146-6-20
- 458 Dickin, D. C., & Doan, J. B. (2008). Postural stability in altered and unaltered sensory

- environments following fatiguing exercise of lower extremity joints: Postural
  control following fatiguing exercise of lower extremity joints. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 18(6), 765-772. doi:10.1111/j.16000838.2007.00760.x
- English, R., Brannock, M., Chik, W. T., Eastwood, L. S., & Uhl, T. (2006). The
  relationship between lower extremity isokinetic work and single-leg functional
  hop-work test. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 15(2), 95-104.
  doi:10.1123/jsr.15.2.95
- 467 Fahlström, M., Yeap, J. S., Alfredson, H., & Söderman, K. (2006). Shoulder pain–a
  468 common problem in world-class badminton players. *Scandinavian Journal of*469 *Medicine & Science in Sports*, 16(3), 168-173. doi:10.1111/j.1600470 0838.2004.00427.x
- Falda-Buscaiot, T., Hintzy, F., Rougier, P., Lacouture, P., & Coulmy, N. (2017).
  Influence of slope steepness, foot position and turn phase on plantar pressure
  distribution during giant slalom alpine ski racing. *PLoS One*, *12*(5), e0176975.
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176975
- Faude, O., Meyer, T., Rosenberger, F., Fries, M., Huber, G., & Kindermann, W. (2007).
  Physiological characteristics of badminton match play. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *100*(4), 479-485. doi:10.1007/s00421-007-0441-8
- Fernández, J., de la Aleja, J. G., Moya, M., Cabello, D., & Méndez, A. (2013). Gender
  differences in game responses during badminton match play: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *27*(9), 2396-2404.
  doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31827fcc6a
- 482 Fu, W. J., Liu, Y., & Wei, Y. (2009). The characteristics of plantar pressure in typical
  483 footwork of badminton. *Footwear Science*, *I*(sup1), 113-115.

#### doi:10.1080/19424280903063630

- 485 Gibbs, M. J. (1988). Badminton-Teaching concepts. *Journal of Physical Education*,
   486 *Recreation & Dance*, *59*(8), 92-94. doi:10.1080/07303084.1988.10606299
- Graham, M. E., Jawrani, N. T., & Goel, V. K. (2011). Evaluating plantar fascia strain in
  hyperpronating cadaveric feet following an extra-osseous talotarsal stabilization
  procedure. *The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery*, *50*(6), 682-686.
- 490 doi:10.1053/j.jfas.2011.07.005
- Gribble, P. A., Hertel, J., & Plisky, P. (2012). Using the star excursion balance test to
  assess dynamic postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury:
- A literature and systematic review. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 47(3), 339-357.
  doi:10.4085/1062-6050-47.3.08
- Hensley, L. D., & Paup, D. C. (1979). A survey of badminton injuries. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 13(4), 156–160. doi:10.1136/bjsm.13.4.156
- Hofmann, C. L., Holyoak, D. T., & Juris, P. M. (2017). Trunk and shank position
  influences patellofemoral joint stress of the lead and trail limb during the forward
  lunge exercise. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 47(1), 31-40.
- 500 doi:10.2519/jospt.2017.6336
- Hu, X., Li, J. X., Hong, Y., & Wang, L. (2015). Characteristics of plantar loads in
  maximum forward lunge tasks in badminton. *PLoS One*, 10(9), e0137558.
  doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137558
- Hunter, I., & Smith, G. A. (2007). Preferred and optimal stride frequency, stiffness and
  economy: changes with fatigue during a 1-h high-intensity run. *European Journal*of Applied Physiology, 100(6), 653-661. doi:10.1007/s00421-007-0456-1
- Jaitner, T., & Gawin, W. (2007). Analysis of badminton smash with a mobile measure
  device based on accelerometry. In *ISBS-Conference Proceedings Archive* (Vol.

1).

- 510 Jørgensen, U., & Winge, S. (1990). Injuries in badminton. *Sports Medicine*, 10(1), 59511 64. doi:10.2165/00007256-199010010-00006
- Kimura, Y., Ishibashi, Y., Tsuda, E., Yamamoto, Y., Tsukada, H., & Toh, S. (2010).
  Mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 44(15), 1124-1127. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2010.074153
- 515 Krajne, Z., Vogrin, M., Rečnik, G., Crnjac, A., Drobnič, M., & Antolič, V. (2010).
  516 Increased risk of knee injuries and osteoarthritis in the non-dominant leg of
  517 former professional football players. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, 122(S2),
  518 40-43. doi:10.1007/s00508-010-1341-1
- 519 Krøner, K., Schmidt, S. A., Nielsen, A. B., Yde, J., Jakobsen, B. W., Møller-Madsen, B.,
  520 & Jensen, J. (1990). Badminton injuries. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,
  521 24(3), 169–172. doi:10.1136/bjsm.24.3.169
- Kuntze, G., Mansfield, N., & Sellers, W. (2010). A biomechanical analysis of common
  lunge tasks in badminton. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(2), 183-191.
  doi:10.1080/02640410903428533
- 525 Kwan, M., Cheng, C. L., Tang, W. T., & Rasmussen, J. (2010). Measurement of
  526 badminton racket deflection during a stroke. *Sports Engineering*, *12*(3), 143-153.
  527 doi:10.1007/s12283-010-0040-5
- Lam, W. K., Ryue, J., Lee, K. K., Park, S. K., Cheung, J. T. M., & Ryu, J. (2017). Does
  shoe heel design influence ground reaction forces and knee moments during
  maximum lunges in elite and intermediate badminton players? *PloS one*, *12*(3),
- 531 e0174604. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0174604

- Lange, B., Chipchase, L., & Evans, A. (2004). The effect of low-dye taping on plantar
  pressures, during gait, in subjects with navicular drop exceeding 10 mm. *Research Report*, 34(4), 9. doi:10.2519/jospt.2004.34.4.201
- 535 López Calbet, J., & Dorado Garcia, C. (2006). Fatiga, dolor muscular tardío y
  536 sobreentrenamiento. López Chicharro, J. y Fernández Vaquero, A. Fisiologia del
  537 eiercicio. 3<sup>a</sup> edición. Madrid: Editorial Médica Panamericana.
- 538 Marhuenda, A. M., Fuentes, J. V. H., Costa, G. B., Ferrús, E., & González, J. C. (2011).
- Biofoot-IBV. Una técnica de registro y análisis de la distribución de presiones
  plantares aplicable a la mejora del rendimiento deportivo. *Colección ICD: Investigación en Ciencias del Deporte*, 19.
- Martínez, A., Hoyos, J. V., Brizuela, G., Ferrús, E., & González, J. C. (1988). BiofootIBV. Una técnica de registro y análisis de la distribución de presiones plantares
  aplicable a la mejora del rendimiento deportivo. *Colección ICD: Investigación en Ciencias del Deporte, 19*, 73-88.
- 546 Martínez-Nova, A., Gómez-Blázquez, E., Escamilla-Martínez, E., Pérez-Soriano, P.,
- 547 Gijon-Nogueron, G., & Fernández-Seguín, L. M. (2014). The foot posture index
- in men practicing three sports different in their biomechanical gestures. *Journal*of the American Podiatric Medical Association, 104(2), 154-158.
  doi:10.7547/0003-0538-104.2.154
- 551 Millet, G. Y., Banfi, J. C., Kerherve, H., Morin, J. B., Vincent, L., Estrade, C., Geyssant,
- A. & Feasson, L. (2011). Physiological and biological factors associated with a
- 553 24 h treadmill ultra-marathon performance: Factors associated with ultra554 marathon performance. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*,
  555 21(1), 54-61. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01001.x
- 556 Mündermann, A., Nigg, B. M., Humble, R. N., & Stefanyshyn, D. J. (2003). Foot

- orthotics affect lower extremity kinematics and kinetics during running. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 18(3), 254-262. doi:10.1016/S0268-0033(02)00186-9
- Navarro, D., Zahonero, J., Huertas, F., Vera, P., & Barrios, C. (2012). Efecto de las *plantillas podológicas de acomodación selectiva en la presión plantar de ciclistas profesionales. Estudio Preliminar*. Presented at I Simposio sobre
  Biomecánica y pie durante la Actividad Física, Santander.
- 563 Oh, Y. K., Kreinbrink, J. L., Wojtys, E. M., & Ashton-Miller, J. A. (2012). Effect of
  564 axial tibial torque direction on ACL relative strain and strain rate in an in vitro
  565 simulated pivot landing. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 30(4), 528-534.
  566 doi:10.1002/jor.21572
- 567 Oliveira, F. P. M., Sousa, A., Santos, R., & Tavares, J. M. R. S. (2012). Towards an
  568 efficient and robust foot classification from pedobarographic images. *Computer*569 *Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*, 15(11), 1181-1188.
  570 doi:10.1080/10255842.2011.581239
- Park, S. K., Lam, W. K., Yoon, S., Lee, K. K., & Ryu, J. (2017). Effects of forefoot
  bending stiffness of badminton shoes on agility, comfort perception and lower
  leg kinematics during typical badminton movements. *Sports Biomechanics*, *16*(3), 374-386. doi:10.1080/14763141.2017.1321037
- Patrick, K., & Donovan, L. (2018). Test–retest reliability of the Tekscan® F-Scan® 7 inshoe plantar pressure system during treadmill walking in healthy recreationally
  active individuals. *Sports Biomechanics*, *17*(1), 83-97.
  doi:10.1080/14763141.2017.1355010
- 579 Peters, M. (1988). Footedness: Asymmetries in foot preference and skill and
  580 neuropsychological assessment of foot movement. *Psychological Bulletin*,
  581 103(2), 179-192. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.103.2.179

- Phomsoupha, M., & Laffaye, G. (2014). The Science of badminton: game characteristics,
  anthropometry, visual fitness and biomechanics. *Sports Medicine*, *11*, 1-45.
  doi:10.1007/s40279-014-0287-2
- Powers, C. M. (2003). The influence of altered lower-extremity kinematics on
  patellofemoral joint dysfunction: a theoretical perspective. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 33(11), 639–646.
  doi:10.2519/jospt.2003.33.11.639
- Raissi, G. R. D., Cherati, A. D. S., Mansoori, K. D., & Razi, M. D. (2009). The
  relationship between lower extremity alignment and Medial Tibial Stress
  Syndrome among non-professional athletes. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 1(1). doi:10.1186/1758-2555-1-11
- Ramos, J. J., Del Castillo, M. J., Polo, C., Ramón, M., & Bosch, A. (2016). Analysis of
  the physiological parameters of young Spanish badminton players. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte*, *16*(61), 45-54. doi:10.15366/rimcafd2016.61.004
- Redmond, A. C., Crosbie, J., & Ouvrier, R. A. (2006). Development and validation of a
  novel rating system for scoring standing foot posture: The foot posture index. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 21(1), 89-98. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2005.08.002
- Reeves, J., Hume, P., Gianotti, S., Wilson, B., & Ikeda, E. (2015). A retrospective review
  from 2006 to 2011 of lower extremity injuries in badminton in New Zealand. *Sports*, 3(2), 77-86. doi:10.3390/sports3020077
- Rozzi, S., Yuktanandana, P., & Pincivero, D. (2000). Role of fatigue on proprioception
  and neuromuscular control. In S. Lephart & F. Fu (Eds.), *Proprioception and Neuromuscular Control Joint Stability* (pp. 375-383). Champaign, IL: Human
  Kinetics.

- Saragiotto, B. T., Di Pierro, C., & Lopes, A. D. (2014). Risk factors and injury prevention
  in elite athletes: a descriptive study of the opinions of physical therapists, doctors
  and trainers. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, 18(2), 137-143.
  doi:10.1590/S1413-35552012005000147
- Sarshin, A., Mohammadi, S., Shahrabad, H. B. P., & Sedighi, M. (2011). The effects of
  functional fatigue on dynamic postural control of badminton players. *Biology of Exercise*, 7(2), 25-34. doi:10.4127/jbe.2011.0047
- 614 Selfe, J., Janssen, J., Callaghan, M., Witvrouw, E., Sutton, C., Richards, J., Stokes,
- 615 M., Martin, D., Dixon, J., Hogarth, R., Baltzopoulos, V., Ritchie, E., Arden, N., &
- 616 Dey, P. (2016). Are there three main subgroups within the patellofemoral pain
- 617 population? A detailed characterisation study of 127 patients to help develop
- 618 targeted intervention (TIPPs). *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(14), 873619 880. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-094792
- 620 Shariff, A. H., George, J., & Ramlan, A. A. (2009). Musculoskeletal injuries among
  621 Malaysian badminton players. *Singapore Medical Journal*, *50*(11), 1095.
- 622 Sinclair, J., & Dillon, S. (2016). Influence of run and cut manoeuvres on patellofemoral
- kinetics and kinematics. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(22), e4.14-e4.
  doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096952.21
- Sinsurin, K., Vachalathiti, R., Srisangboriboon, S., & Richards, J. (2018). Knee joint
  coordination during single-leg landing in different directions. *Sports Biomechanics*, 1-13. doi:10.1080/14763141.2018.1510024
- Stirling, L. M., Von Tscharner, V., Fletcher, J. R., & Nigg, B. M. (2012). Quantification
  of the manifestations of fatigue during treadmill running. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *12*(5), 418-424. doi:10.1080/17461391.2011.568632
- 631 Taylor, J. B., Nguyen, A.-D., Griffin, J. R., & Ford, K. R. (2018). Effects of turf and cleat

- 632 footwear on plantar load distributions in adolescent American football players
  633 during resisted pushing. *Sports Biomechanics*, 17(2), 227-237.
  634 doi:10.1080/14763141.2016.1271448
- Thijs, Y., Van Tiggelen, D., Roosen, P., De Clercq, D., & Witvrouw, E. (2007). A
  prospective study on gait-related intrinsic risk factors for patellofemoral pain. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 17(6), 437–445.
  doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e31815ac44f
- 639 Tibesku, C., & Pässler, H. (2005). Jumper's knee. *Sportverletzung Sportschaden*, *19*(02),
  640 63-71. doi:10.1055/s-2005-858141
- Trautmann, C., Martinelli, N., & Rosenbaum, D. (2011). Foot loading characteristics
  during three fencing-specific movements. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 29(15),
  1585-1592. doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.605458
- Valldecabres, R., de Benito, A. M., Littler, G., & Richards, J. (2018). An exploration of
  the effect of proprioceptive knee bracing on biomechanics during a badminton
  lunge to the net, and the implications to injury mechanisms. *PeerJ*, 6(e6033), 13.
- 647 doi:10.7717/peerj.6033
- 648 Valldecabres, R., de Benito, A. M., Casal, C. A., & Pablos, C. (2017). 2015 Badminton
- world championship: Singles final men's vs. women's behaviours. Journal of *Human Sport and Exercise*, 12(3proc), 775-788.
  doi:10.14198/jhse.2017.12.Proc3.01
- Vauhnik, R., Morrissey, M. C., Rutherford, O. M., Turk, Z., Pilih, I. A., & Pohar, M.
  (2008). Knee anterior laxity: a risk factor for traumatic knee injury among
  sportswomen? *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 16(9), 823833. doi:10.1007/s00167-008-0559-1

- Velotta, J., Weyer, J., Ramirez, A., Winstead, J., & Bahamonde, R. (2011). Relationship
  between leg dominance tests and type of task. *Portuguese Journal of Sports Sciences*, 11(Suppl.2), 1035-1038.
- Wei, Y., Liu, Y., Tian, M., & Fu, W. (2009). Effects of different footwear on the
  metatarsophalangeal joint during push-off in critical badminton footwork. *Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering*, 29(4), 172-176.
  doi:10.1080/19424280902977038
- Weist, R., Eils, E., & Rosenbaum, D. (2004). The influence of muscle fatigue on
  electromyogram and plantar pressure patterns as an explanation for the incidence
- of metatarsal stress fractures. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32(8),

666 1-6. doi:10.1177/0363546504265191

- Whyte, E., Burke, A., White, E., & Moran, K. (2015). A high-intensity, intermittent
  exercise protocol and dynamic postural control in men and women. *Journal of Athletic Training*, *50*(4), 392-399. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-49.6.08
- 670
- 671

## 672 Supporting information

- 673 S1 Fig. Non-dominant and dominant lunge to the net movement
- 674 S2 Fig. Net and shuttlecock position during test
- 675 S3 Fig. Pressure zone distribution and sensor configuration
- 676 S1 Table. Peak pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for lead foot
- 677 S2 Table. 2 Mean pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for lead foot
- 678 S3 Table. Peak pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for trail foot
- 679 S4 Table. Mean pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for foot trail foot

680

Table 1 Peak pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for lead foot

Parameter	LD task Mean (Kpa) ± SD		LND task Mean (Kpa) ± SD			<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_p^2$	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_P^2$
					<i>p</i> -value				
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Interaction	Pre - Post		Lunge Dom	
Hallux	2450.9±901.6	2520.6±1127.4	2702.4±570.4	2315.6±1117.1	0.170	0.460	0.05	0.913	0.00
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Phal	2503.1±818.5	2257.9±1328.3	2584.6±793.7	2269.5±1150.3	0.731	0.284	0.10	0.716	0.01
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Phal *	1698.5±1190.7	851.6±1022.5	1548.3±993.5	1206.±1132.4	0.268	0.035	0.32	0.457	0.05
1 <sup>st</sup> Met	1887.9±911.9	1966.7±1006.0	2209.0±829.7	2135.30±841.1	0.475	0.991	0.000	0.058	0.27
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Mets ‡	1616.1±779.3	1858.1±919.5	1981.0±922.8	1435,6±742.2	0.011	0.595	0.02	0.799	0.01
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Mets	$1053.7 \pm 1076.9$	1198.1±1283.7	1261.9±1028.6	1212.74±1215.7	0.301	0.857	0.00	0.317	0.08
Med-midfoot	1190.5±1034.4	$1318.5 \pm 1170.2$	1058.8±852.4	1386.3±944.6	0.569	0.393	0.06	0.796	0.01
Lat-midfoot	1548.9±1034.9	1423.0±1159.5	1290.0±843.5	1660.2±1295.1	0.146	0.618	0.02	0.893	0.00
Calcaneus	1960.4±931.5	1770.9±916.8	2043.9±797.5	1827.2±968.6	0.893	0.306	0.09	0.309	0.09

\* significant difference between Pre and Post † significant difference between Lunge Dominance

‡ significant interaction between Pre and post and Lunge Dominance

Table 2 Mean pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for lead foot

	LD	task	LND	task	n valua	n voluo		n voluo	
Parameter	Mean (Kpa) ± SD		Mean (Kpa) ± SD		<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_p{}^2$	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_p{}^2$
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Interaction	Pre - Post		Lunge Dom	
Hallux	$867.8{\pm}~507.2$	$790.8{\pm}~490.5$	$783.9{\pm}~297.1$	$719.6 \pm 517.1$	0.920	0.432	0.05	0.303	0.09
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Phal	$636.1{\pm}~518.1$	$541.19{\pm}~397.2$	$615.0 \pm 391.25$	$532.5{\pm}374.6$	0.883	0.380	0.07	0.736	0.01
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Phal *	$966.4{\pm}~767.5$	$475.49{\pm}613.9$	$956.9 \pm 706.13$	$643.0{\pm}~609.1$	0.496	0.031	0.33	0.394	0.06
1 <sup>st</sup> Met	$670.8{\pm}~350.2$	$612.53 \pm 355.6$	$750.2{\pm}\ 381.58$	$621.3{\pm}310.8$	0.354	0.331	0.08	0.374	0.07
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Mets	$397.2{\pm}\ 206.4$	$361.56 \pm 193.8$	$405.5 \pm 159.04$	$305.8{\pm}\ 168.1$	0.220	0.176	0.15	0.459	0.05
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Mets	$227.8{\pm}\ 193.1$	$182.28 \pm 168.7$	$256.5 \pm 193.29$	$193.4{\pm}\ 185.3$	0.667	0.225	0.12	0.419	0.06
Med-midfoot	$298.1{\pm}245.9$	$317.93{\pm}281.9$	$258.9 \pm 161.69$	$320.3{\pm}~198.3$	0.645	0.479	0.04	0.516	0.04
Lat-midfoot	$267.8{\pm}\ 180.2$	$233.94 \pm 195.3$	$254.7 \pm 185.42$	$266.6{\pm}\ 202.5$	0.249	0.797	0.01	0.638	0.02
Calcaneus †	$635.2{\pm}\ 389.9$	$538.08{\pm}276.8$	$661.6 \pm 386.04$	$596.2 \pm 319.4$	0.532	0.327	0.08	0.032	0.33

\* significant difference between Pre and Post † significant difference between Lunge Dominance

‡ significant interaction between Pre and post and Lunge Dominance

	LD	task	LND	) task		n valua		n voluo	
Parameter	Mean (Kpa) ± SD		Mean (Kpa) ± SD		<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_{P}{}^{2}$	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_{\rm P}{}^2$
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Interaction	Pre - Post		Lunge Dom	
Hallux1 †	2347.9±806.9	1984.4±1161.8	2116.3±840.5	1619.1±1052.7	0.671	0.083	0.23	0.008	0.45
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Phal ‡	1749.7±965.0	1347.5±837.0	1250.5±837.0	1535.8±1051.3	0.017	0.460	0.05	0.615	0.02
$4^{\text{th}} 5^{\text{th}}$ Phal †	$1040.5 \pm 851.5$	$764.2{\pm}\ 745.7$	$673.2{\pm}~723.9$	$492.6{\pm}~588.0$	0.463	0.198	0.13	0.026	0.35
1 <sup>st</sup> Met *	2382.0±915.2	$1948.0{\pm}1036.1$	$2211.8{\pm}1070.1$	1870.6±874.2	0.757	0.048	0.29	0.473	0.04
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Mets	1365.3±993.5	1123.2±653.8	1413.7±1034.5	1203.5±755.8	0.863	0.204	0.13	0.542	0.03
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Mets	$1627.2{\pm}1038.0$	$1265.5 \pm 1082.4$	1336.8±1179.0	1102.1±986.1	0.575	0.084	0.23	0.106	0.20
Med-midfoot *	$576.3{\pm}371.3$	$967.2{\pm}608.7$	$595.6{\pm}~558.2$	$998.0{\pm}~726.4$	0.966	0.022	0.37	0.837	0.00
Lat-midfoot	$910.6{\pm}\ 916.8$	$875.0{\pm}~855.3$	1146.9±1220.1	$735.1{\pm}692.4$	0.191	0.288	0.09	0.702	0.01
Calcaneus	$638.4{\pm}415.3$	$456.1{\pm}279.2$	$583.5{\pm}332.7$	$563.4{\pm}\ 376.0$	0.095	0.167	0.15	0.659	0.02

Table 3 Peak pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for trail foot

\* significant difference between Pre and Post † significant difference between Lunge Dominance

‡ significant interaction between Pre and post and Lunge Dominance

Table 4 Mean pressure in kilopascals (Kpa) for foot trail foot

	LD task		LND task Mean (Kpa) ± SD			<i>p</i> -value Pre - Post	$\eta_p^2$	<i>p</i> -value	$\eta_p^2$
Parameter	Mean (Kpa) ± SD				<i>p</i> -value				
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Interaction	rre - rost		Lunge Dom	
Hallux * †	$649.8{\pm}318.4$	$488.5{\pm}~302.2$	$560.85 \pm 245.9$	$425.06 \pm 329.0$	0.811	0.020	0.38	0.038	0.31
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Phal	$336.8{\pm}219.9$	$221.7{\pm}\ 159.3$	$291.76{\pm}\ 239.0$	$254.67{\pm}\ 190.2$	0.101	0.067	0.25	0.806	0.01
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Phal †	$564.6{\pm}\ 453.3$	$408.7{\pm}~383.6$	$376.04 \pm 395.08$	$280.43{\pm}312.5$	0.349	0.175	0.15	0.017	0.39
1 <sup>st</sup> Meta *	$804.1{\pm}439.2$	$645.1{\pm}~501.3$	$721.71 \pm 353.45$	$576.00{\pm}286.0$	0.869	0.046	0.29	0.312	0.09
2 <sup>nd</sup> 3 <sup>rd</sup> Meta	$354.8{\pm}233.7$	$284.2{\pm}\ 161.2$	$406.64{\pm}240.6$	$323.44 \pm 172.7$	0.711	0.113	0.20	0.120	0.19
4 <sup>th</sup> 5 <sup>th</sup> Meta	$300.9{\pm}~192.7$	$271.3{\pm}264.2$	$246.46 \pm 163.32$	$223.26{\pm}\ 198.4$	0.791	0.449	0.05	0.130	0.18
Med-midfoot *	$155.3{\pm}~79.8$	225.7±122.5	$138.82 \pm 103.71$	$216.72 \pm 147.7$	0.889	0.046	0.29	0.623	0.02
Lat-midfoot	$174.5{\pm}\ 135.5$	$162.9{\pm}\ 146.5$	$195.1 \pm 170.17$	$128.49 \pm 114.1$	0.138	0.177	0.15	0.619	0.02
Calcaneus	$229.0 \pm 139.1$	208.0±154.1	$236.27{\pm}\ 150.6$	$237.8 \pm 182.8$	0.378	0.813	0.01	0.372	0.07

\* significant difference between Pre and Post † significant difference between Lunge Dominance

‡ Significant interaction between Pre and post and Lunge Dominance



Figure 1. Non-dominant and dominant lunge to the net movement



Figure 2. Net and shuttlecock position during test



Figure 3. Pressure zone distribution and sensor configuration