

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Utilisation of performance markers to establish the effectiveness of cold- water immersion as a recovery modality in elite football.
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/36746/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2021.103570
Date	2021
Citation	Alexander, Jill, Carling, Christopher and Rhodes, David (2021) Utilisation of performance markers to establish the effectiveness of cold-water immersion as a recovery modality in elite football. Biology of Sport, 39 (1). pp. 19-29. ISSN 0860-021X
Creators	Alexander, Jill, Carling, Christopher and Rhodes, David

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.5114/biolsport.2021.103570

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1	Title:
2	UTILISATION OF PERFORMANCE MARKERS TO ESTABLISH THE EFFECTIVENESS
3	OF COLD-WATER IMMERSION AS A RECOVERY MODALITY IN ELITE FOOTBALL.
4	
5	Head Title:
6	PERFORMANCE MARKERS CRYOTHERAPY ELITE SPORT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 Abstract

27 Optimal strategies for recovery following training and competition in elite athletes presents ongoing debate. The effects of cold-water immersion (CWI) compared to passive recovery (PR) 28 though a triad of performance measures after fatiguing exercise within a normal micro-cycle, 29 during mid-competitive training cycle, in elite male footballers were investigated. Twenty-four 30 elite footballers (age 20.58±2.55years; height 179.9±5.6cm; weight 75.7±7.5Kg; body fat 31 6.2±1.7%) were randomly assigned to CWI or PR following a fatiguing training session. 32 Objective measures included eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength, 33 hamstring flexibility and skin surface temperature (T_{sk}) . Subjective measures included overall 34 35 wellbeing. Data were collected at match day+3, immediately post-training, immediately postintervention and 24hrs post-intervention. Physiological, biomechanical and psychological 36 measures displayed significant main effects for timepoint for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} , 37 overall wellbeing, sleep, fatigue, stress and group for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} and sleep 38 (groups combined). Group responses identified significant effects for timepoint for CWI and 39 PR, for eccentric hamstring strength peak force, sleep, fatigue, and muscle soreness for CWI. 40 Significant differences were displayed for eccentric hamstring strength (immediately post-41 42 intervention and immediately post-training) for peak force and between CWI and PR eccentric 43 hamstring strength immediately post-intervention. Linear regression for individual analysis demonstrated greater recovery in peak torque and force for CWI. CWI may be useful to 44 ameliorate potential deficits in eccentric hamstring strength that optimise readiness to train/play 45 46 in elite football settings. Multiple measures and individual analysis of recovery responses provides sports medicine and performance practitioners with direction on the application of 47 modified approaches to recovery strategies, within mid-competitive season training cycles. 48

49 Keywords

50 Cryotherapy, Recovery, Performance, Elite Football, Soccer.

51 INTRODUCTION

52 Football requires multi-directional activity where players are exposed to high eccentric muscle loads, commonly associated with injury [1,2]. Deleterious effects of fatigue post-match have 53 been shown to continue for up to 47hrs, with, albeit individual minimal recovery exhibited 54 between 24-48hrs in elite populations [3]. Accordingly, the importance of optimum recovery 55 strategies that allow positive adaptation to competition, maximise performance and reduce the 56 probability of injury [4] is emphasised. The fitness fatigue model [5] and general adaptation 57 syndrome [6] both highlight the importance of recovery before the next competition 58 exposure. Insufficient recovery within this period can heighten injury risk and/or reduce 59 positive training effects [4]. Multifaceted in nature, recovery is a restorative process comprising 60 61 of physiological and psychological elements, relative to time [7]. Regenerative (physical) and psychological recovery strategies with subcategories of modalities [7] and multifactorial 62 approaches are frequently applied in contemporary elite football settings [8]. 63

Cold-water immersion (CWI) is a common recovery modality used within elite sport to reduce 64 65 symptoms of post-exercise fatigue [9-12]. Temperatures of CWI often represent between 10-15°C and exposure durations of between 10-15 minutes [13]. Importantly, consideration must 66 be given to the rationale for its application [13]. Debate exists within literature with regards 67 to the benefits of immediate post training CWI [14,15]. Studies suggests deleterious or negative 68 effects of cooling such as CWI may mitigate adaptive responses gained through resistance 69 training particularly [11]. Therefore, types of training may be a factor to consider in achieving 70 71 the desired response to cooling.

Commonly in elite sports environments varying measures are utilised to inform decisionmaking on a player's readiness to train/play. The combination of subjective and objective measures is more likely to determine fatigue status in team-sport athletes, with single measures insufficient in explaining fatigue status [16]. The literature examining the acute effects of CWI

does not consider these measures and focusses heavily on physiological measures that can be 76 77 affected by several factors. Decision-making around optimal recovery choice and application in a practical environment should consider numerous factors including physiological, 78 biomechanical and psychological effects. Varying measures are utilised within football 79 environments, that help effectively monitor and quantify player readiness to train [17]. These 80 are often determined by the club budget and staff resources within the performance 81 department. Some performance metrics alongside psychometric data are previously quantified 82 [18], however the literature fails to synthesise multiple metrics that represent contemporary 83 performance markers relevant to elite sport. 84

85

Generally, reductions in perceived symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) in 86 sport are positively reported following the application of various cryotherapy modalities 87 [18,19], highlighting the support of cryotherapeutic applications to enhance physiological 88 recovery. Literature suggests CWI is superior to passive recovery (PR), in relation to reducing 89 muscle soreness [20]. Consensus fails to agree on optimal implementations of recovery 90 strategies with several variables influencing the best approach. Investigation into the effects of 91 92 CWI on functional performance are still warranted [21] particularly in elite populations. 93 Evidently, research into optimum periodisation of cooling applications such as CWI to understand dose-response are important [9], simultaneous to investigations that compare CWI 94 to PR in applied sport settings to inform contemporary practice. The aim of the current study 95 was to explore the effects of CWI post fatiguing exercise on multiple performance parameters 96 in elite footballers, compared to PR during mid-competitive season. 97

98

99 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was approved by the host university ethical committee. The professional football 100 101 club permitted the dissemination of anonymous data for publication. Twenty-four healthy, elite male footballers took part (age:20.58±2.55years; height:179.9±5.6cm; weight:75.7±7.5kg) 102 providing written consent. Participants were defined as elite in the current study through 103 professional full-time footballer status, competing at national or international level and met 104 recommendations for defining elite athletes [22]. All quantification measures that players were 105 106 exposed to in the present study were regular measures taken within the club to monitor readiness to train and play. Participants were excluded if they had a history of lower limb injury/surgery 107 or known neurological compromise to cold. Players were accustomed to all biomechanical 108 109 measures which are representative of regular parameters of performance measures taken at the 110 club throughout the season.

111

112 Testing Protocol

Testing protocol took place at the club's training facility corresponding with pre-determined weekly training schedules collected mid-competitive season. Players were familiar with all tests performed, wore normal training attire, refrained from caffeine intake, food, or exercise outside of normal schedules prior to testing. Ambient temperature was monitored to identify fluctuations in room temperature (21.0±0.8°C).

118

119 Objective measures included; eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength, skin 120 surface temperature (T_{sk}) , hamstring flexibility and perception of wellbeing [23,24]. Baseline 121 data was collected on match day+3 pre-training, players then completed the training session. 122 Subsequent measures were taken immediately post-training, immediately post-intervention and 123 24hrs post-intervention (24hrsPI). Training was quantified utilising time-motion analysis 124 (Global Positioning System (GPS), Catapult ClearSky, Vector S7, Australia) measuring relative

mechanical load (PlayerLoadTM; Catapult Innovations, Australia) and distance to ensure 125 standardisation of fatigue levels. Following training, players were randomised to Group 1 126 (CWI) or Group 2 (PR). Group 1 received an 11-minute exposure to CWI (RecoveryTub Solo), 127 and target temperature of 10°C [25] and CWI temperature ranges reported in the literature [13], 128 immersed up to sternum level. A digital multimeter (Voltacraft MT52, Wollerau, Switzerland) 129 monitored water temperature to ensure maintenance of the targeted temperature, with ice added 130 to maintain consistency [26]. Following CWI, immersed body parts were towel dried and dry 131 shorts provided [27]. Group 2 (PR) lay still in a semi-recumbent position on a plinth for the 132 same 11-minute period. Measures taken at 24hrsPI were completed at the same time as baseline 133 134 to account for circadian variation (Table 1).

- 135
- 136

Insert Table 1 Here

137

138 *Physiological Measure* (T_{sk})

T_{sk} using Infrared Thermal Imaging (ThermoVision A40M, FLIR, Danderyd, Sweden) and 139 analysis (Thermacam Researcher V2.8, FLIR) followed Thermographic Imaging in Sports and 140 Exercise Medicine (TISEM) guidelines [28]. The camera was situated 134cm from the ground 141 perpendicular to the limb [29] with 0.97-0.98 emissivity settings. Images for adductors and 142 hamstrings bilaterally provided unilateral limb data for each region of interest combined to 143 provide an average (Table 2). Region of interest were determined by placement of thermally 144 inert markers, providing a framework for T_{sk} analysis [30] (hamstrings; adductors). Images of 145 adductors were taken with the player laying supine on a plinth placing their lower limb into an 146 147 externally rotated and flexed hip position, moving into prone to capture the hamstring region. Three images were taken per region of interest per timepoint for analysis. Posterior thigh 148 markers were applied superiorly one-third from the ischial tuberosity to the lateral epicondyle 149

of the femur and inferiorly two-thirds from the lateral epicondyle of the femur to ischial tuberosity. Central posterior thigh was determined by measure of thigh circumference, 50% between ischial tuberosity and lateral epicondyle of the femur thigh marker. Markers to define the adductor region for T_{sk} analysis were placed one third of the way superiorly from the medial epicondyle of the femur and one third inferiorly from the ASIS, with thigh circumference applied in a similar fashion to posterior thigh markers. Inert markers were placed 10% medially and laterally and from the centre of the thigh to complete each region of interest.

157

Biomechanical Measures (eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength, hamstring flexibility)

Bilateral eccentric hamstring strength was quantified using the Nordbord[®] and performed following a previous protocol [31]. Knee position was recorded for each player to standardise position at each timepoint. During the movement players were encouraged to execute maximal effort through verbal instruction by gradually leaning forward, resisting the movement at the slowest speed performing one set of three maximal repetitions [31,32]. Hands were crossed over the chest with hips remaining in a neutral position [31]. Analyses of peak force and torque (PkF/PkT) measures from all repetitions were recorded per timepoint.

Isometric adductor strength was measured via a Biofeedback Cuff (Donjoy Chattanooga 167 Stabilizer). Before each maximal effort, the biofeedback cuff was pre-inflated to 10 mm Hg 168 and placed between the femoral condyles. Players were instructed to squeeze as hard as 169 possible on each effort with a 15-second rest between each trial, and one-minute rest between 170 each 45° hip flexion test position [33] with three trials performed per timepoint. If any of the 171 following occurred during testing; head lifted off the plinth, hands moved away from the chest, 172 slippage of the pressure cuff, pushing through heels or feet, trials were considered invalid and 173 repeated [33]. 174

Hamstring flexibility was quantified via the sit and reach test (Apollo Sit & Reach Box).
Players positioned themselves in a seated position with feet against the testing box, knees in
full extension. Players placed one hand over the other flexing forward as far as possible sliding
their fingers along the measuring board on the box [34]. One measure was taken per timepoint.

180 *Psychological Measures*

A self-reported psychometric questionnaire sensitive to the fluctuations of daily training load [16,24] quantified fatigue, sleep quality, general muscle soreness, stress levels and mood on a five-point scale [23,24], 5 being the most positive score and 1 the least, in increments of 1, with one score reported per category per timepoint [23]. Perceived fatigue monitored with this scale has been related to total distance covered at high intensity in elite football populations [24].

186

187 Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean±SD and 95% confidence limits. Statistical significance was set at 188 p=≤0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (V26, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). A 189 univariate repeated-measures general linear model quantified main effects for all measures 190 across all timepoints for both groups. Significant main effects were explored using post-hoc 191 analysis with a Bonferonni and Wilcoxon signed-rank test correction. To assess residual 192 normality for each dependant variable, q-q plots were generated using stacked standardised 193 residuals. Scatterplots of the stacked unstandardized and standardised residuals were utilised 194 to assess error of variance associated with the residuals. Assumptions associated with the 195 statistical model were assessed to ensure model adequacy. Mauchly's test of sphericity were 196 completed for all dependent variables, with a Greenhouse Geisser correction applied if the test 197 was significant. Partial eta squared (η^2) values were calculated to estimate effect sizes for all 198 significant main effects and interactions. Partial eta squared was classified as small (0.01-199

200 0.059), moderate (0.06-0.137), or large (>0.138). Individual response for each metric were 201 assessed utilising a linear regression model to determine recovery responses between timepoint 202 immediately-post training to immediately-post intervention; and immediately-post intervention 203 to 24hrsPI. Proportion of variance (R^2), the linear relationship between the measures at listed 204 timepoints (r) and significance of these relationships were identified for each metric.

205

206 **RESULTS**

207 Mean±SD training load quantified through GPS was comparable between groups 208 (CWI=67.4±6.1 m; PR=70.5±7.1 m), with total distance of 5862.4±1297.6 m and HSRD of 209 111.83±53.2 m. No significant differences were identified between training load for either 210 group across all metrics or anthropometric data ($p \ge 0.05$). All measures and percentage changes 211 compared to baseline are presented in Table 2.

- 212
- 213

214 Overall Analysis

Overall analysis for physiological, biomechanical and psychological measures reported significant main effects for time and group, for Adductor T_{sk} (Timepoint:F=102.0, p<0.001, $\eta^2=0.810$; Group: $F=101.5, p=0.001, \eta^2=0.585$), Hamstring T_{sk} (Timepoint:F=916.0, p<0.001, $\eta^2=0.947$; Group: $F=1171.5, p<0.001, \eta^2=0.942$), PkT (Timepoint: $F=2.41, p<0.05, \eta^2=0.48$; Group: $F=25.43, p<0.001, \eta^2=0.150$; Side: $F=9.84, p<0.05, \eta^2=0.64$), and PkF (Timepoint: $F=2.41, p<0.05, \eta^2=0.05$; Group: $F=25.43, p<0.001, \eta^2=0.15$; Side: $F=9.84, p<0.001, \eta^2=0.64$).

223 Biomechanical Measures (eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength,
224 hamstring flexibility)

Isometric adductor strength and hamstring flexibility measures reported no significant effects of group (Isometric adductor strength:F=1.471, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.020$; hamstring flexibility:F=0.785, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.11$) or timepoint (Isometric adductor strength:F=0.708, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.029$; hamstring flexibility:F=0.31, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.49$).

229

230 Psychological Measures

Perceptual recovery displayed significant effects of time for sleep, fatigue and stress 231 (Sleep:F=10.00, p<0.001, $\eta^2=0.43$; Fatigue:F=6.42, p<0.001, n2=0.33; Stress:F=3.03, 232 p < 0.05, $\eta^2 = 1.86$), with sleep displaying a significant effect of group (F=10.00, p=0.003, 233 $\eta^2 = 0.20$). No significant effects for time or group were identified for muscle soreness or mood 234 (Muscle soreness: Time:F=2.34, p=0.08, $\eta^2=0.150$: Group:F=0.98, p=0.33, $\eta^2=0.24$; Mood: 235 Time: F=0.417, p=0.74, $\eta^2=0.03$: Group: F=4.00, p=0.52, $\eta^2=0.91$). No significant effects for 236 group were identified for fatigue or stress (Fatigue:F=0.000, p=1.00, $\eta^2=0.00$; Stress:F=1.47, 237 $p=0.23, \eta^2=0.04$). 238

Significant interactions were displayed between group x timepoint for T_{sk} , sleep, fatigue and 239 stress (Sleep:F=10.0, p<0.001, $\eta^2=0.43$; Fatigue:F=5.19, p=0.004, $\eta^2=0.28$; Stress:F=5.24, 240 No other significant interactions were identified between 241 p=0.04, $\eta^2=0.282$). group/timepoint/side for metrics taken (p > 0.05). Collapsing of biomechanical and 242 psychological data displayed significant effects for timepoint for CWI for fatigue, muscle 243 soreness, sleep and PkF (Fatigue:F=7.25, p=0.002, $\eta^2=0.521$; Muscle soreness:F=2.69, 244 p=0.02, $\eta^2=0.512$; Sleep:F=7.45, p=0.002, $\eta^2=0.565$; PkF:F=3.74, p<0.05, $\eta^2=0.049$). No 245 other significant differences were detected between timepoints for all other metrics. For PR, 246

significant effects for timepoint were reported for fatigue, sleep, stress, PkF and PkT 247 (Fatigue: F=5.135, p=0.009, $\eta^2=0.435$; Sleep: F=10.00, p<0.001, $\eta^2=0.600$; Stress: F=5.287, 248 p=0.008, $\eta^2=0.442$; PkF:F=10.66, p<0.05, $\eta^2=0.087$; PkT:F=1.636, p<0.05, $\eta^2=0.064$), but 249 not for muscle soreness, mood, isometric adductor strength or hamstring flexibility (Muscle 250 soreness:F=2.098, p=0.113, $\eta^2=0.239$; Mood:F=0.143, p=0.933, $\eta^2=0.021$; Isometric 251 adductor strength: F=0.291, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.024$; hamstring flexibility=0.50, p>0.05, $\eta^2=0.004$). 252 Significant effects for PkT and PkF for side (PkT:F=8.880, p=0.004, $\eta^2=0.110$; PkF:F=17.84, 253 p < 0.001, $\eta^2 = 0.199$) were reported. No significant interactions were identified for either group 254 between timepoint or side (p > 0.05). 255

256 Collapse of the data into CWI and PR displayed significant T_{sk} reductions for hamstring and adductor regions following CWI between immediately-post intervention, immediately-post 257 training and baseline ($p \le 0.001$). No significant differences were displayed across hamstring or 258 adductor regions of interest when comparing all timepoints for PR ($p \ge 0.05$). No significant 259 differences between any timepoints for PkT, Isometric adductor strength or hamstring 260 flexibility $(p \ge 0.05)$ for either group were reported. For PR, significant differences were 261 displayed between baseline and immediately-post training (p=0.023) and intervention (p=0.03)262 timepoints for PkF. A significant difference was reported when comparing CWI to PR at 263 immediately-post intervention ($p \le 0.001$). No significant changes in T_{sk} were reported for any 264 other timepoint between groups. 265

Linear regression modelling for individual responses to training are displayed for eccentric hamstring strength (PkT, PkF) (Figure 1), and isometric adductor strength, hamstring flexibility, overall wellbeing scores and T_{sk} (Figure 2). Significance, R and R² values are represented in Table 2.

271	***Insert Figure 1 Here***
272	***Insert Figure 2 Here***

273

274 **DISCUSSION**

The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of CWI compared to PR on readiness to train 275 276 measures, within an elite population of male footballers following a football specific fatiguing training session during mid-competitive season. Previously only a handful of components that 277 quantify readiness to train are examined, limiting interpretation and the ability to draw 278 agreement on optimal recovery methods, effect of immediate application or implementation of 279 them in an elite performance environment. Through a triad of markers commonly employed 280 281 within an elite sport setting the present study quantified biomechanical, physiological and psychological factors with analysis of the overall data displaying significant main effects for 282 timepoints for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} , overall wellbeing, sleep, fatigue and stress. 283 284 Further significant main effects of group were identified for eccentric hamstring strength, T_{sk} and sleep. Individual group response identified significant effects for timepoint in both groups 285 for PkF, sleep and fatigue, with CWI displaying significant effects of muscle soreness. No 286 287 effects were identified for isometric adductor strength or hamstring flexibility. Interestingly, significant differences were displayed for eccentric hamstring strength (PkF) at immediately-288 post training and immediately-post intervention, with significant differences displayed between 289 CWI and PR eccentric hamstring strength at immediately-post intervention. It is important to 290 note these findings were based on group averages. Therefore, additional linear regression 291 292 modelling of % change to baseline scores were completed. Important considerations in relation to individual analysis and magnitude of linear regression for each measure demonstrated greater 293 recovery in PkF, PkT, for CWI and changes in isometric adductor strength and hamstring 294

flexibility for PR between immediately-post training to 24hrsPI. For effective transfer of knowledge into practice this style of analysis was important to illustrate individual response. Findings have implications on decision-making utilising CWI as a recovery strategy, individualisation of approach and ideal periodisation of this modality compared to PR in an elite football setting.

Significant reductions in T_{sk} occurred after CWI exposure, although not meeting therapeutic 300 range (10-15°C) considered in literature to induce several physiological effects [35]. CWI was 301 302 standardised in respect to current dose recommendations and target water temperatures [13,25,36]. Average T_{sk} for hamstrings (16.9±1.8°C) and adductors (17.61±.4°C) respectively 303 are in line with previous CWI exposures of similar duration and modality temperatures [37]. 304 Overall analysis indicated reductions in T_{sk} appeared to influence biomechanical recovery 305 outputs with trends in eccentric hamstring strength demonstrating larger continued declines 306 caused by fatigue following PR compared to CWI. When considering individual response, 307 linear regression analysis displayed greater recovery for timepoints immediately-post 308 309 intervention-24hrsPI for eccentric hamstring strength metrics for CWI exposure (CWI:r=0.81-310 0.95; PR:r=0.50-0.82). Percentage change between timepoints compared to baseline data represented in Figure 2. More positive influences on eccentric hamstring strength with a 311 consistently stronger individual response noted for CWI compared to individual analysis for 312 PR where metrics for eccentric hamstring strength responded in a haphazard fashion. 313

It is reported that cooling negatively affects strength output [29]. The current study presented contrasting findings in relation to strength measures, highlighting contemporary issues for decision-making within performance departments. CWI group reduces further detrimental declines in eccentric hamstring strength following a football specific training session [3], with CWI exposure displaying higher strength output compared to PR, up to 24hrsPI. Contrastingly isometric adductor strength and hamstring flexibility function for both groups displayed no

significant change, indicating no effect of CWI exposure on these parameters. Although, 320 321 analysis of the data trends associated with these measures is interesting. CWI exposure resulted in a rapid return to baseline post intervention, however this was not displayed for PR. Further 322 analysis of individual response between timepoints immediately-post intervention-24hrsPI 323 supported this with further improvements detected following CWI (CWI:r=0.50; PR:r=0.30). 324 Reduced decrements to isometric adductor strength following fatigue reveals a positive 325 response to CWI seen in previous literature [38], albeit in different muscle groups. Findings in 326 relation to strength parameters highlighted in this body of work can be associated with the 327 physiological mechanisms caused by cooling [38,39], although these mechanisms are 328 329 speculative within the limitations of the current study as simultaneous indices of muscular 330 inflammation were not attained.

Although it may be assumed that attainment of lower T_{sk} may instigate better outcomes in 331 recovery responses, Vieira et al [26] reported that warmer CWI temperatures (15°C) produced 332 superior benefits in performance recovery compared to cooler CWI (5°C) temperatures despite 333 334 lower T_{sk} reported in the group exposed to 5°C CWI. Therefore, the recommendations to meet T_{sk} ranges of between 10-15°C may appear more fitting for acute injury management rather than 335 recovery, as the detrimental effects of fatigue on specific biomechanical measures (eccentric 336 337 hamstring strength) were ameliorated through CWI in the current study, despite this. Though it is acknowledged that CWI is best avoided immediately following resistance training [13], 338 current findings agree with the suggestion by Ihsan et al [13] that there is a place for CWI in 339 recovery following other types of training. This may be during mid-competitive season where 340 341 fixture congestion applies enhanced pressure on players during training both physically and 342 mentally. Importantly the contrasting findings with regards quantifying strength output highlight the importance of relating measures to the functional demands placed on the athlete 343 when performing. 344

Variance within the physical outputs of athletes could be associated with the players perception 345 of their current physical status post fatigue exposure or physical stress of the test. Psychological 346 overall wellbeing scores suggested accumulative scores of the five categories were maintained 347 for CWI, whereas following PR, scores worsened significantly at the same timepoint. 348 Interestingly at 24hrsPI overall wellbeing scores significantly improved following PR above 349 baseline, comparatively following CWI a decline to below baseline was displayed. 350 The 351 effectiveness of CWI to improve perceptual recovery is well documented [38], and current results agree in terms of an immediate increase in overall wellbeing scores post CWI response. 352 The inability however to maintain or return overall wellbeing scores at 24hrsPI following CWI 353 354 is interesting and may reflect that although a 'halt' on the effects of further biomechanical fatigue (eccentric hamstring strength) was achieved, perhaps one exposure of CWI fails to 355 impact wellbeing continuously to the point of measurement at 24hrsPI. It would be wise to 356 357 consider that detrimental functional deficits of eccentric hamstring strength are reported to last up to 40-47hrs post-fatigue [3], and at this timepoint eccentric hamstring strength had not 358 returned to baseline measures in the current study, therefore impacting overall wellbeing scores. 359 This may explain CWI overall wellbeing results, but not PR responses. Improvements in 360 361 overall wellbeing scores at 24hrsPI for PR may be associated with the increase noted in 362 biomechanical measures of hamstring flexibility. Psychological response mechanisms to CWI may be dependent on dose i.e. number of exposures or representative of a placebo effect. 363 Through linear regression analysis greater change for PR between timepoints immediately-post 364 intervention-24hrsPI for overall wellbeing was reported (CWI:r=0.13; PR:r=0.78) (Table 2). 365 Collectively, observation of eccentric hamstring strength, isometric adductor strength, 366 hamstring flexibility and overall wellbeing results suggest that group analysis may not 367 optimally identify nor account for individual responses, which consequently indicate some 368 measures are more advantageous to the practitioner than others in terms expediency. It may be 369

inappropriate to employ a standardised approach of recovery strategies across a whole squadbased on these directives.

To facilitate optimal recovery strategies, a single battery of tests is not yet recognised in practice 372 373 that would best inform optimal individualised approaches for readiness to train/play. We agree that the method of applying multiple performance measures to quantify fatigue and intervention 374 response is a resourceful approach providing an inclusive picture of the effects of recovery 375 376 modalities across one cohort. Current findings advocate the application of multiple components 377 of testing aligning to the recommendations in other literature [17]. This approach better expedites the understanding around optimal strategies to improve readiness for training/play. 378 379 That said, not all tests best represent 'readiness to train' and consideration needs to be given to the choice of performance measure most beneficial to provide applied data that supports the 380 ability to modify tailored recovery strategies in elite performance settings. Variables that 381 impact dose-response in terms of multiple exposures, duration of cooling and temperature of 382 CWI should be evaluated within practical settings, utilising appropriate fatigue monitoring 383 384 measures with the intention to develop decision-making of sports medicine and performance practitioners for injury risk reduction and recovery strategies. 385

386 Some evidence is supportive in the application of cooling such as CWI, to enhance performance post-competitive fixture fatigue [12,14], conversely agreement over the appropriate window to 387 expose players to this modality is debateable. In many elite performance settings decision-388 making tools based around fitness-fatigue models whereby an ideal relationship between 389 390 training and performance is developed [40] instigates a recovery phase which may include exposure to such modalities as CWI. It is important to note that participants were exposed to 391 392 football specific training and quantified in the current study, not resistance training, highlighting the potential for different outcomes in performance response following CWI. 393 Collectively findings may dictate when CWI is applied but insufficient evidence is available 394

that considers periodisation around such schedules or variables that affect decision-making of 395 396 this kind. In contemplation of the current results, whereby positive effects on some biomechanical parameters were seen after exposure to CWI (eccentric hamstring strength) and 397 others after PR (hamstring flexibility), and type of training, future research may consider 398 investigating the combination of both CWI followed by a window of PR, or multiple exposures 399 of both interventions sequentially to develop optimal periodisation of CWI. This supports our 400 earlier recommendations based on the current findings, of tailoring recovery strategies to the 401 individual requirements of the player to optimise subsequent performances. 402

403

Whilst current findings provide insight for sports medicine and performance practitioners as to 404 the effects of within-season exposure to CWI following fatiguing exercise on multi-measures 405 406 of performance, there are limitations to this study which the authors recognise. It is impossible to blind players to the conditions (CWI/PR), a common acknowledgement within applied 407 408 cryotherapy research, although investigators were blinded. Players had used CWI previously 409 although were not accustomed to regular exposure within a scheduled recovery session. A follow up of measures would have been beneficial at up to 48hrs representative of post-match 410 411 fatigue effects [3] and to that effect we recommend further applied investigations on the application of CWI in elite sport environments. 412

413

414 CONCLUSION

Despite conflicting evidence regarding the effectiveness of CWI and PR, current findings suggest CWI may be useful to ameliorate potential deficits in eccentric hamstring strength that may optimise readiness to train/play in consideration of congested levels of exposure to fatiguing exercise during mid-competitive football seasons. A focus on individual response

should be observed in future studies with judgement of cryotherapy effectiveness made through 419 420 a battery of measures to determine factors that affect choice and periodisation of recovery strategies, applicable to a practical setting with individual athlete approaches in mind. 421 Practitioners should be mindful of which measures best define functional performance and 422 typical stresses which the athlete is exposed with an emphasis of psychological impacts on 423 biomechanical measures. Variable responses to functional performance parameters indicate the 424 need for further investigation of multiple CWI exposures over longer periods to account for the 425 known temporal patterns of fatigue reported for hamstring function in elite football populations. 426 Optimal periodisation of recovery strategies in response to fatigue on an individualised basis 427 428 requires the implementation of appropriate methods of monitoring and analysis which may positively influence performance and readiness to train/play in elite performance settings. 429

430

431 Key Points Summary:

432	•	Cold water immersion and passive recovery are common recovery modalities used
433		within elite sport to reduce symptoms of post-exercise fatigue.

Several performance indicators are used in sport to determine readiness to train/play yet
 the effects of recovery strategies on multi-measures are limited aiding confusion around
 optimal protocols for cold water immersion or passive recovery.

Our results suggest cold water immersion may be useful to ameliorate potential deficits
in eccentric hamstring strength that optimise readiness to train/play in elite football
settings.

We suggest that multi-measures and individual analysis of recovery responses provide
 sports medicine and performance practitioners with direction on recovery strategies
 within mid-competitive season training cycles.

443

444 **REFERENCES**

- 445 1. Greig M. The influence of soccer-specific fatigue on peak isokinetic torque production
 446 of the knee flexors and extensors. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36(7):1403-1409.
- 2. Small K, McNaughton LR, Greig M, Lovell R. The effects of multidirectional soccerspecific fatigue on markers of hamstring injury risk. J Sci Med Sport. 2009;13(1):120125.
- 3. Rhodes D, McNaughton L, Greig M. The temporal pattern of recovery in eccentric
 hamstring strength post-soccer specific fatigue. Res Sports Med. 2018;27(3):339-350.
- 452 4. Abaïdia A-E, Dupont G. Recovery Strategies for football players. Swiss Sports Ex Med.
 453 2018;66(4):28-36.
- 454 5. Bannister EW. Modelling elite athletic performance. In: JD Mac-Dougall, HA Wenger,
 455 and HJ Green, editors. Physiological Testing of the High-Performance Athlete.
 456 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. pp. 403-424.
- 457 6. Selye H. The Stress of Life. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.
- Kellman M, Bertollo M, Bosquest L, Brink M, Coutts AJ, Duffield R, Erlacher D,
 Halson SL, Hecksteden A, Heidari J, Kallus KW, Meeusen R, Mujika I, Robazza C,
 Skorski S, Venter R, Beckmann J. Recovery and Performance in Sport: Consensus
 Statement. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2018;13(2):240-245.
- 8. Sawczuk T, Jones B, Scantlebury S, Till K. Relationships between training load, sleep
 duration, and daily well-being and recovery measures in young athletes. Pediatric Ex
 Sci. 2018;30(3):345-352.
- 465 9. Allan R, Mawhinney C. Is the ice bath finally melting? Cold water immersion is not
 466 greater than active recovery upon local and systemic inflammatory cellular stress in
 467 humans. J Physiol. 2017;595(6):1-2.

468	10. Cross R, Siegler J, Marshall P, and Lovell R. Scheduling of training and recovery during
469	the in-season weekly micro-cycle: Insights from team sport practitioners. Eur J Sport
470	Sci. 2019;19(10):1287-1296.

- 471 11. Peake JM. Independent, corroborating evidence continues to accumulate that post472 exercise cooling diminishes muscle adaptations to strength training. J Physiol.
 473 2020;598(4):625-626.
- 474 12. Nédélec M, McCall A, Carling, Legall, F, Berthoin S DuPont G. Recovery in soccer.
 475 Part 2 Recovery Strategies. Sports Med. 2013;43(1):9-22.
- 476 13. Ihsan M, Abbiss CR, Gregson W, Allan R. Warming to the ice bath: Don't go cool on
 477 cold water immersion just yet! Temperature. 2020;595(1):7413-7426.
- 478 14. Ascensáo A, Leite M, Rebelo AN, Magalhäes S, Magalhäes. Effects of cold-water
 479 immersion on the recovery of physical performance and muscle damage following a
 480 one-off soccer match. J Sports Sci. 2011;29(3):217-225.
- 481 15. Hohenauer E, Taeymans J, Baeyens JP, Clarys P, Clijsen R. The effect of post-exercise
 482 cryotherapy on recovery characteristics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS
 483 One. 2015;10(9):1-22.
- 16. Thorpe RT, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring fatigue status in elite teamsport athletes: Implications for practice. Int J Sports Physiol Per. 2017;12(12):27-34.
- 486 17. Chrismas BCR, Taylor L, Thornton HR, Murray AS, Stark G. External training loads
 487 and smartphone-derived heart rate variability indicate readiness to train in elite soccer.
 488 Int J Performance Analysis Sport. 2019;19(2):143-152.
- 18. Elias GP, Wyckelsma VL, Varley MC, McKenna MJ, Aughey RJ. Effectiveness of
 water immersion on post-match recovery in elite professional footballers. Int J Sports
 Phys Perform. 2013;8(3):243-253.

- 492 19. Kwiecien SY, McHugh MP and Howatson G. Don't lose your cool with cryotherapy:
 493 the application of phase change material for prolonged cooling in athletic recovery and
 494 beyond. Front. Sports Act. Living. 2020;2(118):1-12.
- 20. Bleakley C, McDonough S, Gardner E, Baxter GD, Hopkins JTY and Davison GW.
 Cold-water immersion (cryotherapy) for preventing and treating muscle soreness after
 exercise. Cochrane Database Systematic Reviews. 2012;130(5):15.
- 498 21. Kalli K, Fousekis K. The effects of cryotherapy on athletes muscle strength, flexibility,
 499 and neuromuscular control: A systematic review of the literature. J Bodywork
 500 Movement Therapies. 2019;24(2):1-14.
- Swann C, Moran A, Piggott D. Defining elite athletes: Issues in the study of expert
 performance in sport psychology. Psych Sport Ex. 2015;16(1):3-14.
- 503 23. McLean BD, Coutts AJ, Kelly V, McGuigan MR, Cormack SJ. Neuromuscular,
 504 endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length between-match
 505 microcycles in professional rugby league players. Int J Sports Physiology Per.
 506 2010;5(3):367-383.
- 507 24. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Tracking
 508 monitoring fatigue status across in-season training weeks in elite soccer players. Int J
 509 Sports Physiol Perf. 2016;11(7):947-952.
- 510 25. Vromans BA, Thorpe RT, Viroux PJ, Tiemessen IJ. Cold water immersion settings for
 511 reducing muscle tissue temperature: a linear dose-response relationship. J Sports Med
 512 Phys Fit. 2019;59(11):1861-1869.
- 513 26. Vieira A, Siqueira AF, Ferreira-Junior JB, do Carmo J, Durigan JLQ, Bottaro M. The
 514 effect of water temperature during cold-water immersion on recovery from exercise515 induced muscle damage. Int J Sports Med. 2016;37(12):937-943.

- 516 27. Mawhinney C, Low DA, Jones H, Green DJ, Costello JT, Gregson W. Cold-water
 517 mediates greater reductions in limb blood flow than whole body cryotherapy. Med Sci
 518 Sports Exerc. 2017;49(6):1252-1260.
- 28. Moreira DG, Costello JT, Brito CJ., et al. Thermographic imaging in sports and exercise
 medicine: a Delphi study and consensus statement on the measurement of human skin
 temperature. J Therm Bio. 2017;69(0):155-162.
- 29. Alexander J, Rhodes D. Temporal Patterns of Knee-Extensor Isokinetic Torque
 Strength in Male and Female Athletes following Comparison of Anterior Thigh and
 Knee Cooling over a Rewarming Period. J Sports Rehab. 2019;29(6):1-7.
- 30. Hardaker N, Moss AD, Richards J, Jarvis S, McEwan I, Selfe J. The relationship
 between skin surface temperature measured via non-contact thermal imaging and
 intramuscular temperature of the rectus femoris muscle. Thermol Int. 2007;17(2):4550.
- 31. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Short
 biceps femoris fascicles and eccentric knee flexor weakness increase the risk of
 hamstring injury in elite football (soccer): a prospective cohort study. Br J Sports Med.
 2015;50(24):1524-1535.
- 32. Buchheit M, Cholley Y, Nagel M, and Poulos N. The effect of body mass on eccentric
 knee-flexor strength assessed with an instrumented Nordic hamstring device (Nordbord)
 in football players. Int J Sports Physiol Perf. 2016;11(6):721-726.
- 33. Mehta KH, Parmar SS, Sorani DM, Rathod SR. Normative values of strength of hip
 adductors in runners assessed by using pressure biofeedback unit. NJIRM.
 2019;10(6):1-10.

- 34. Gkrilias PD, Tsepis EM, Fousekis KA. The effects of hamstring' cooling and
 cryostretching on sit and reach flexibility test performance in healthy young adults. Br
 J Med Res. 2017;19(6):1-11.
- 542 35. Kennet J, Hardaker N, Hobbs S, et al. Cooling efficiency of 4 common cryotherapeutic
 543 agents. J Athl Train. 2007;42(3):343-348.
- 36. Jinnah AH, Lu TD, Mendias C, Freehill M. Cryotherapy duration is critical in shortterm recovery of athletes: a systematic review. J ISAKOS. 2019;4(0):131-136.
- 546 37. Hohenauer E, Costello JT, Stoop R, Küng UM, Clarys P, Deliens T, and Clijsen R.
- 547 Cold-water or partial-body cryotherapy? Comparison of physiological responses and
 548 recovery following muscle damage. Scan J Med Sci Sports. 2018;28(3):1252-1262.
- 38. Ingram J, Dawson B, Goodman C, Wallman KM, and Beilby J. Effects of water
 immersion methods on post-exercise recovery from simulated team sport exercise. J Sci
 Med Sport. 2009;12(3):417-421.
- 39. Rowsell GJ, Coutts AJ, Reaburn P, et al. Effect of post-match cold-water immersion on
 subsequent match running performance in junior soccer players during tournament play.
- 554 J Sports Sci. 2011;29(1):1–6.
- 40. Ludwig M, Asteroth A, Rasche C, and Pfeiffer M. Including the past: Performance
 modelling using a preload concept by means of the fitness-fatigue model. Int J Comp
 Sci Sport. 2019;18(1):115-134.

558

559 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Linear regression demonstrating % change for eccentric hamstring strength (PkT and
PkF), left and right limbs between immediately-post training to immediately-post intervention
and immediately-post intervention to 24hrsPI for CWI group and PR group.

564	Figure 2. Linear regression demonstrating % change for isometric adductor strength, hamstring
565	flexibility, overall wellbeing scores and T_{sk} between immediately-post training to immediately-
566	post intervention, and immediately-post intervention to 24hrsPI, for CWI and PR groups.
567	
568	Table Captions

- 569 **Table 1.** Testing protocol.
- 570 Table 2. Physiological, biomechanical and psychological scores for all groups across all
- 571 timepoints (mean \pm SD) with significance, R, and R² values for CWI and PR following linear
- 572 regression analysis.