

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLOK)

Title	Evidence-based stroke rehabilitation: do priorities for practice change and feasibility of implementation vary across high income, upper and lower-middle income countries?
Type	Article
URL	https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/37530/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1910737
Date	2021
Citation	Gururaj, Sanjana, Bird, Marie-Louise, Borschmann, Karen, Eng, Janice J., Watkins, Caroline Leigh, Walker, Marion F., Solomon, John M. and SRRR2 KT Working Group (2021) Evidence-based stroke rehabilitation: do priorities for practice change and feasibility of implementation vary across high income, upper and lower-middle income countries? Disability and Rehabilitation. ISSN 0963-8288
Creators	Gururaj, Sanjana, Bird, Marie-Louise, Borschmann, Karen, Eng, Janice J., Watkins, Caroline Leigh, Walker, Marion F., Solomon, John M. and SRRR2 KT Working Group

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1910737>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLOK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

Appendix 1. Description of the nine key priority areas

Key Priority areas	Description
Service delivery	
Interdisciplinary care	Improve interdisciplinary team process (communication between staff, patient-centered service, common goals and approaches)
Screening and assessment	Screening for dysphagia, depression and cognition
Clinical Practice Guidelines (including staff education and training)	Use of evidence-based practice (having accessible protocols and guidelines) and staff education (upskilling, professional development) of evidence- based practice especially with community teams. Support for skill advancement from the entry-level to post graduate level
Intensity	Increase physical rehabilitation in terms of repetitions, task-specific activity (OTs), strengthening, aerobic training, and more therapy time in general (mainly from PTs). Intensity was also highly cited by nurses (motor activity), SLPs (speech therapy) and physicians. More mobility and speech therapy were top priorities by consumers
Family support	Support groups and communication training for partner/caregiver to reduce social isolation, as well as self-management strategies
System	
Access to services	Early access to services. Access to outpatient and home/community services. Access to psychology, SLP, for women and younger stroke persons identified. Treatment based on better diagnostics and pathways/algorithms. Access more equitable across regions.
Transitions in care (coordinated care and transition to community)	Community reintegration/community-based rehabilitation and early supported discharge. Establish clear criteria and pathways from

	acute to rehabilitation, and then to community, minimizing wait times and delayed discharges
Resources	
Equipment and technology	Funding needs for equipment for facility, (including telemedicine), technology to increase intensity of rehabilitation as well as for adaptive equipment for patients in the home
Staffing (numbers/ratio to patients)	More people (all professions) on the ground to do the work

Source:

Eng JJ, Bird ML, Godecke E, Hoffmann TC, Laurin C, Olaoye OA, Solomon J, Teasell R, Watkins CL, Walker MF. Moving stroke rehabilitation research evidence into clinical practice: Consensus-based core recommendations from the Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation Roundtable. *Int J Stroke*. 2019 Oct;14(8):766-773.