

## Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment: Exploring impact within a secure forensic hospital
Type	Article
URL	<a href="https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/37597/">https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/37597/</a>
DOI	##doi##
Date	2021
Citation	Nally, Tom, Ireland, Jane Louise orcid iconORCID: 0000-0002-5117-5930, Greenwood, Leah Charlotte orcid iconORCID: 0000-0001-6193-0867, Ireland, Carol Ann orcid iconORCID: 0000-0001-7310-2903 and Birch, Philip (2021) Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment: Exploring impact within a secure forensic hospital. Journal of Forensic Practice . ISSN 2050-8794
Creators	Nally, Tom, Ireland, Jane Louise, Greenwood, Leah Charlotte, Ireland, Carol Ann and Birch, Philip

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. ##doi##

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

**Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment:  
Exploring impact within a secure forensic hospital**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Forensic Practice</i>
Manuscript ID	JFP-01-2021-0001.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Offender Treatment, Victim Empathy, Life Minus Violence, LMV-E, Therapy, Trauma

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

TITLE: Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment: Exploring impact within a secure forensic hospital

## ABSTRACT:

This study explores the impact of inclusion of victim empathy-based content in offender treatment.

It presents first a systematic review of 20 papers, before proceeding to consider a qualitative interviews with therapists (n= 7), and forensic patients (n= 5), who had completed a long-term violence therapy (Life Minus Violence "Enhanced, LMV-EA©). The research explored perceptions of forensic patients and treatment facilitators when completing victim empathy work, and explored any negative effects this may have.

Findings from the systematic review indicated five themes: (1) Interventions incorporating victim empathy can be effective; (2) There are positive risk-understanding consequences from completing victim empathy work; (3) Offenders perceive victim empathy positively; (4) The emotional impact of victim empathy work on offenders™ is poorly explored and, (5) Completing victim empathy in treatment groups receives mixed evaluations from offenders. The systematic review was used to inform the interview themes for the resulting qualitative study with facilitators and forensic patients. This study indicated six themes: (1) Victim empathy content facilitates change; (2) Victim empathy content can be difficult for patients; (3) Victim empathy content can lead to an emotional response; (4) Victim empathy content can be beneficial, with the process important; (5) Victim empathy content can help understand risk, and (6) Patients™ experience of treatment begins before attending sessions.

CUST\_RESEARCH\_LIMITATIONS/IMPLICATIONS\_\_(LIMIT\_100\_WORDS) :No data available.

The potential impact of victim empathy content needs to be evaluated before sessions are completed, accounting for client expectations and treatment readiness. This should include ensuring that appropriate support is in place. Any support provided to patients should be regularly reviewed.

CUST\_SOCIAL\_IMPLICATIONS\_\_(LIMIT\_100\_WORDS) :No data available.

The study represents the first to apply detailed analysis to this topic area and with a complex group.

RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment: Exploring impact within  
a secure forensic hospital.

Journal of Forensic Practice

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

**Abstract**

This study explores the impact of inclusion of victim empathy-based content in offender treatment. It presents first a systematic review of 20 papers, before proceeding to consider a qualitative interviews with therapists (n= 7), and forensic patients (n= 5), who had completed a long-term violence therapy (Life Minus Violence – Enhanced, LMV-E©). The research explored perceptions of forensic patients and treatment facilitators when completing victim empathy work, and explored any negative effects this may have. Findings from the systematic review indicated five themes: (1) Interventions incorporating victim empathy can be effective; (2) There are positive risk-understanding consequences from completing victim empathy work; (3) Offenders perceive victim empathy positively; (4) The emotional impact of victim empathy work on offenders' is poorly explored and, (5) Completing victim empathy in treatment groups receives mixed evaluations from offenders. The systematic review was used to inform the interview themes for the resulting qualitative study with facilitators and forensic patients. This study indicated six themes: (1) Victim empathy content facilitates change; (2) Victim empathy content can be difficult for patients; (3) Victim empathy content can lead to an emotional response; (4) Victim empathy content can be beneficial, with the process important; (5) Victim empathy content can help understand risk, and (6) Patients' experience of treatment begins before attending sessions. The results are discussed with attention to similarity in perceptions and experiences between staff and patients, with suggestions made for clinical implications and future research.

**Key words:** Offender Treatment; Victim Empathy; Life Minus Violence; LMV; Violence; Therapy; Trauma.

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

Victim empathy-based content in aggression treatment: Exploring impact within  
a secure forensic hospital.

Empathy, while a contested term, is understood to involve an emotional response, dependent on trait and state influences, as well as a cognitive process, relating to an accurate perception and understanding of others' experiences (Cuff et al., 2016). There is agreement that empathy includes recognising other's emotional experiences, perspective taking, emotional experiences and behavioural responses (Hanson, 2003; Marshall et al., 1995; Pithers, 1994). This paper explores the concept of empathy used within violent offender treatment. Consequently, the term *victim empathy* is employed and refers to the extension of general empathy abilities to general or specific victim groups.

Empathy deficits may contribute to individuals' risk of engaging in offending behaviour. The *Model of the Empathic Process* (MEP; Barnett & Mann, 2016) indicates that individuals follow pathways to generating empathic responses, via emotional contagion or cognitive appreciation. The model argues that individuals access emotional contagion immediately by either directly imagining the experience of observed individuals or imagining how they would feel in a similar situation, based on their internal beliefs and experiences. They could, alternatively, cognitively appraise a situation by these processes, where emotional contagion occurs simultaneously or after cognitive appraisal. Thus, individuals respond empathically through direct emotional experience or through cognitively driven emotional experiences. Offenders can have deficits in interpreting social cues and recognising others' distress (Archer & Haigh, 1999; Gillespie et al., 2015; Hoaken et al., 2007; Polaschek et al., 2009; Robinson et al., 2012). As empathy may be related to violent and prosocial behaviours (Bock & Hosser, 2014; Ding & Lu, 2016; Jolliffe & Farrington, 2004; Van Langen et al., 2014), specific treatment addressing empathy may be important (van Berkhout

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 & Malouff, 2016). As offender treatment aims to increase offenders' understanding and  
4 skills, victim empathy has become a common inclusion in offence-focused interventions  
5  
6 (Carich et al., 2004; Day et al., 2010; Webster et al., 2005).  
7  
8  
9

10 Literature that explores victim empathy treatment indicates that it is positively  
11 appraised by offenders (Levenson et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2010; Levenson et al., 2014).  
12  
13 Levenson et al. (2009) explored the experiences of male sex offenders (n=338), completing  
14 CBT-based group sex offender treatment. Ninety-four percent of participants rated accepting  
15 responsibility as very important to their treatment recovery, with 92% rating understanding  
16 the impact of sexual abuse on victims and others, as equally important. Approximately one  
17 fifth of the sample indicated that they would want to spend more time in treatment, covering  
18 accepting responsibility and victim empathy respectively. Indeed, the literature indicates that  
19 most offenders do not experience adverse or distressing consequences, beyond those expected  
20 in victim empathy intervention (Levenson et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2010; Zosky, 2018),  
21 but the emotional impact is yet to be explored.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 A useful theory to apply within this context is *Social Identity and Categorization*  
36 *Theory* (SIT; Tajfel, 1978; Turner et al., 1979; Tajfel & Forgas, 2000), aiding an  
37 understanding why victim empathy-based content could be distressing. Individuals align  
38 themselves to groups, who provide them with a sense of belonging and pride. They may  
39 identify themselves with a positively appraised group, or reappraise qualities they have to fit  
40 socially desirable groups, to minimise their own negative behaviours and maintain a moral  
41 identity, which accepts their offending behaviour challenges. Indeed, individuals who engage  
42 in offending behaviours can create positive self-identities through resisting stigmatising  
43 labels and attributions placed upon them, consistent with their need to maintain a positive  
44 self-esteem and self-identity (Geiger & Fischer, 2005; Ward, 2002; Ward & Mann. 2004).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 themselves from potential adverse effects on their emotional well-being and guard against the  
4 negative perceptions of others (Quinn & Earnshaw, 2013). Revealing hidden self-identities  
5 can be distressing and are thus worthy of attention, as engaging in victim empathy work can  
6 be confronting for offenders, who may experience distress as a result (Quinn & Chaudoir,  
7 2009).

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Offenders' response to victim empathy content may also be explained using the  
16 *Perception-Action Model of Empathy* (Preston, 2007). The model proposes that individuals'  
17 experience of empathy is shared between the observer and the individual that is distressed,  
18 with the observation of distress leading to an automatic empathic response (Preston, 2007).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Victim empathy content can include methods that encourage offenders to observe and reflect  
on the emotional impact of their or others' offending (Ireland et al., 2009; Mann & Barnett,  
2013). Consequently, offenders may experience distress due to the observation, or  
presentation, of distress in others during treatment. Shame is also a common response  
observed in offenders during treatment, which can be a threat to the maintenance of a moral  
identity (Woodyatt & Wenzel, 2014). Offenders can self-stigmatise, accepting criminal  
stigmatising labels assigned by others, such as being labelled an 'offender' (Moore et al.,  
2016; Moore et al., 2018). A consequence of such may result in a poor sense of belonging  
and further reluctance by offenders to disclose/discuss their identity, especially in psychiatric  
settings (Newheiser & Barreto, 2014; West et al., 2015).

The current study aimed to explore perceptions of patients and facilitators, in a secure  
forensic hospital, of victim empathy-based content in therapy delivery. Additionally, it aimed  
to explore the impact of victim empathy-based content on the wellbeing of both treatment  
facilitators and offenders. A systematic review was undertaken first, followed by a qualitative  
interview study with staff and patients, which drew on the themes identified in the systematic  
review. The research was underpinned by the following questions:

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

- 1
- 2
- 3 1. What are the perceptions of offenders completing victim empathy-based content?
- 4
- 5 2. What are the perceptions of facilitators delivering victim empathy-based content?
- 6
- 7
- 8 3. What are the effects of completing victim empathy-based content?
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

**Systematic Review: Exploring Victim Empathy Content in Offender Treatment****Programs.****Method*****Procedure***

A systematic review of the literature was undertaken to identify the impact of empathy content on psychological functioning and aggression. This followed PRISMA guidelines. Search terms were ‘Victim OR Offence AND Empathy OR Consequences AND Effects OR Impact OR Results AND Psychiatric OR Offender OR Patient OR Prisoner’<sup>1</sup>. Two hundred and twenty-nine papers were initially identified, with a review of abstracts reducing this to 20 (see \* in reference list). Thematic Analysis was employed to determine, analyse and report themes (patterns) within the data using the process identified by Braun & Clarke (2012). A coding scheme was developed to capture patterns in the data, with the qualitative analysis program, NVivo, used to generate codes. The data was explored and reviewed on multiple occasions, allowing for common themes to be identified. Inter-rater reliability was conducted on 10% of the dataset, demonstrating good evidence of reliability.

**Results**

Five themes were identified: (1) Interventions incorporating victim empathy can be effective; (2) Positive risk-understanding consequences from completing victim empathy work; (3) Offenders perceive victim empathy positively; (4) The emotional impact of victim empathy

---

<sup>1</sup> Databases that were searched included PsychInfo, PsychArticles, Criminal Justice Abstracts and SocINDEX

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

work on offenders' is poorly explored; (5) Completing victim empathy in treatment groups receives mixed evaluations from offenders. Next is an illustration of each theme.

***Theme one - Interventions incorporating victim empathy can be effective***

Most studies included explored treatment incorporating various modules and content, in addition to victim empathy. Only four papers focused exclusively on victim empathy content or treatment. The remaining papers reviewed interventions that included victim empathy work but did not connect this with specific outcomes. Thus, the direct relationship between completing victim empathy work and outcomes was not made. This theme comprised two subthemes, as follows:

Subtheme one - Interventions that have victim empathy aspects report reductions in offending attitudes: Five studies explored the impact of victim empathy content on cognition (Bairn et al., 1999; Foubert, 2000; O'Donohue et al., 2003; Stephens & George, 2009; Wakeling et al., 2013). Stephens and George (2009) examined the impact of a rape prevention intervention with male college students (n=137), finding that the use of victim empathy, using video material, resulted in decreased rape myth acceptance during a five-week follow up period. However, this was not effective for individuals classed as 'high risk' of rape. Similar findings were reported by O'Donohue et al. (2003) and Foubert (2000). However, Bairn et al. (1999) explored the use of psychodrama, which aimed to increase victim empathy in a group of male sexual offenders (n=9).

Subtheme two - Interventions that have victim empathy aspects report positive impacts on criminogenic factors: Seven studies explored the impact of victim empathy content on other criminogenic factors, including empathy ability and awareness of their behaviour (Bairn et al., 1999; Marshall et al., 1996; O'Donohue et al., 2003; Schewe & O'Donohue, 1993; Stephens & George, 2009; Wakeling et al., 2013; Zosky, 2018). Stephens and George (2009) examined a rape prevention intervention with male college students

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 (n=137). They found that inclusion of victim empathy increased participant's empathy  
4 towards victims, sustained over the five-week follow up period. Schewe and O'Donohue  
5  
6 (1993) evaluated the use of two rape prevention interventions in 'high risk' men (n=68); one  
7  
8 designed to increase victim empathy, the other to decrease rape myth acceptance. The  
9  
10 findings indicated that the empathy treatment increased participants' victim empathy ratings  
11  
12 and decreased rape myth acceptance more than the no treatment or rape myth groups.  
13  
14  
15

16  
17 ***Theme two – There are positive risk-understanding consequences from completing victim***  
18  
19 ***empathy work***  
20

21  
22 Three studies explored offenders' perceptions of change following completion of  
23  
24 victim empathy work (Levenson et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2010; Schewe & O'Donohue,  
25  
26 1993). Levenson et al. (2009) found that, in sexual offenders in treatment (n=338), over 80%  
27  
28 stated that they learned more about their past offending and about preventing future  
29  
30 offending. Schewe and O'Donohue (1993) evaluated the credibility of two interventions  
31  
32 designed to reduce risk of rape perpetration, one designed to increase empathy and one  
33  
34 designed to reduce rape myth acceptance (n=68). Participants that completed the  
35  
36 interventions felt both interventions were helpful in reducing their future risk of rape  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

42  
43 ***Theme three - Offenders perceive victim empathy favourably***  
44

45  
46 Five studies explored the perceptions of clients who have completed victim empathy  
47  
48 content (Colton et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2010; Schewe &  
49  
50 O'Donohue, 1993; Zosky, 2018). Studies that explored offenders' perception of victim  
51  
52 empathy work received positive evaluations. For instance, Levenson et al. (2009), in a sample  
53  
54 of male sexual offenders (n=338), found that 94% and 92% of the sample, respectively, found  
55  
56 accepting responsibility and understanding the impact of their offending to be important to  
57  
58 them. In addition, 80% of the sample stated that they were satisfied with their treatment and  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 felt that this had been helpful for them. Levenson et al. (2010) also found a significant  
4  
5 positive correlation between offenders' perceived importance and satisfaction. Furthermore,  
6  
7 Colton et al. (2009) found, in a smaller sample of sexual offenders (n=33), that 57% felt  
8  
9 victim empathy work was helpful in increasing their knowledge and awareness of victim  
10  
11 impacts.  
12

13  
14  
15 ***Theme four – The emotional impact of victim empathy work on offenders' is poorly***  
16  
17 ***explored***

18  
19 One study explored offenders' perceptions of attending victim impact panels (Zosky  
20  
21 2018, n=340), with this focusing on intimate partner violence. Here, only five percent of  
22  
23 offenders reported that hearing victim accounts led to the triggering of prior traumatic  
24  
25 experiences. Participant comments related to recounting experiences of exposure to violence  
26  
27 in childhood. The researchers suggested that the trauma trigger did not relate to the victims'  
28  
29 experience but to memories of their own abuse. Offenders also described emotions, such as  
30  
31 empathy, remorse and sadness, which they felt were appropriate. However, there was no  
32  
33 further attention to emotional impacts in the literature, which highlights the paucity of  
34  
35 attention to such reactions.  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 ***Theme five - Completing victim empathy in treatment groups receives mixed evaluations***  
41  
42 ***from offenders***

43  
44 Five studies explored the mode of treatment in relation to victim empathy content  
45  
46 (Colton et al., 2009; Day, 1999; Levenson et al., 2009; Levenson et al., 2010; Pithers, 1994).  
47  
48 However, findings were mixed regarding whether this should be completed in a group or  
49  
50 individually. Levenson et al. (2009) found that 90% of their sample felt sharing their  
51  
52 offending experience with other offenders, including being confronted in a group, was  
53  
54 important to them. However, 31% also felt that they would rather have attended individual  
55  
56 rather than group treatment. Colton et al. (2009) explored offenders' (n=35) perceptions of a  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

Sexual Offender Treatment Program (SOTP) and found that offenders preferred not to be in groups with 'high risk' sexual offenders, did not want to hear about the problems these individuals had, with group sessions creating emotions such as anger, confusion and a loss of hope.

**Qualitative Study of Experiences: Exploring Patient and Treatment Facilitator  
Experience of Completing Victim Empathy Content in a Secure Forensic Hospital**

**Method**

***Participants***

Treatment facilitators (n=7) and patients (n=5) who had completed either group (n=3) or individual (n=2) aggression treatment took part. The facilitator sample (male, n= 3 and female, n=4) held various roles; namely, trainee forensic psychologists (n=4), forensic treatment facilitator (n=1) and nurse therapists (n=2). All facilitators had facilitated the offence focused treatment in its entirety, and most had completed both group and individual treatment (n=6). The patient sample was all male and had completed a long-term aggression focused treatment - Life Minus Violence – Enhanced (Ireland et al., 2009). This is a Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT) based, multi-module long-term treatment program. It has a dedicated module that focuses on victim empathy and consequences.

***Interview schedule***

An interview aide-memoire was developed, informed by the systematic review. The interviews employed here aimed to explore with participants their understanding, perceptions and experiences of victim empathy-based treatment.

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

***Procedure***

The study formed part of an approved service evaluation for the participating NHS organisation. Informed consent was gathered from all participants. All were provided with an information sheet outlining the service evaluation and debrief information. Participants completed interviews. Each interview ranged from 30 to 60 minutes. Participant responses were transcribed.

***Analysis***

Thematic Analysis was employed (Braun & Clarke, 2012). Each transcribed interview was read thoroughly before the coding process was started and then coded using a qualitative data coding program<sup>2</sup>. A deductive coding strategy was developed, focusing on participants understanding of victim empathy-based treatment, affective responses and perceived benefits or limitations (Braun & Clarke, 2012). Inductive coding was employed, in response to meaningful information in the interview data, such as the delivery of the intervention and participants expectations of the intervention. Each interview was given a label to allow for anonymity (Facilitator Participant = F1; Patient Participant – P1).

**Results**

Overall, six themes were identified. There were four shared themes between facilitator and patient participants. These included: (1) Victim empathy content facilitates change; (2) Victim empathy content can be difficult for patients; (3) Victim empathy content can lead to an emotional response; (4) Victim empathy content can be beneficial, with the process important. One theme was identified as unique to facilitator participants, namely ‘victim empathy content helps understand risk’. One theme was identified as unique to patient

---

<sup>2</sup> NVivo (QSR International)

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

participants, 'patients' experience of treatment begins before attending sessions'. Each theme is illustrated next, commencing with the shared themes.

### Shared Themes

#### ***Theme one - Victim empathy content facilitates change***

Both patients and facilitators described behavioural or cognitive changes after completing victim empathy content. There was mutual understanding that this content could provide skills and learning that is conducive to reducing levels of violence after treatment. Four subthemes emerged from the analysis, as illustrated next.

Subtheme one – Increased awareness of self: Four facilitators and four patients described victim empathy content as an effective facilitator of learning. This included patients learning about their own risks and cognitions and the need to change their behaviours, e.g.: “[it] helped identify triggers for their harmful...behaviour, whereas they couldn't before” (F2) and “[victim empathy-based content] helped me to understand my emotions and the situations before [offence] happened” (P4). This also involved three patients considering their offences in new ways or with a different perspective, e.g.: “I found that I had not thought about how many people I affect” (P6).

Subtheme two – Increased awareness of others: Four facilitators and three patients described patient development following their engagement in victim empathy-based treatment. They perceived their ability to consider other's feelings to increase, e.g.: “Before the client focused on self-impact...after they talked more about other's-impact” (F2) and “I sympathised with [the victim] after learning about the consequences of what I did” (P1).

Subtheme three - Reducing aggression: Four facilitators and three patients described victim empathy-based treatment as helpful to the goal of reducing future aggression, through increased recognition of empathy and victim consequences, e.g.: “[victim empathy-based treatment] is helpful to reduce proactive...and reactive aggression....They start to recognise

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 *empathy as an important skill in reducing aggression*” (F5) and *“I leaned to be assertive but*  
4 *not aggressive...its ok to be angry but I don’t let it turn to aggression”* (P2).

5  
6  
7  
8 Subtheme four - Skill development: Five facilitators described victim empathy  
9  
10 content as an effective facilitator of skill development, particularly for cognitive skills such as  
11  
12 perspective taking and consequential thinking, and cognitive empathy, e.g.: *“they can*  
13 *understand when they do something, what it will cause, such as consequences for self and*  
14 *others”* (F5). However, while one facilitator noted the importance of developing skills  
15  
16 through skills practices, another felt patients were not always able to use them outside of the  
17  
18 treatment setting; *“I don’t think they [patients] apply the skills that well”* (F1).

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 ***Theme two - Victim empathy content can be difficult for patients***

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Victim empathy content was recognised as difficult for patients to complete. Three  
facilitators and two patients described this to involve skills patients may already have a  
deficit in, which can lead to them experiencing difficulties in completing treatment. This  
included, for instance, difficulties with perspective taking and consequential thinking, e.g.:  
*“some [patients] can’t see past the immediate consequences”* (F2) and *“[Victim empathy-*  
*based treatment] is good but depends on insight so it has limits. Also depends on their*  
*acceptance of behaviours”* (F6). Indeed, one patient found the reflective nature of victim  
empathy content to be difficult to process, causing negative feelings: *“it upset me really, I felt*  
*as though I was dragging up painful memories of the past. We also did a scenario, which was*  
*painful....it reminded me of everything I didn’t want to be reminded of”* (P4).

51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
***Theme three - Victim empathy content can lead to an emotional response***

Five facilitators and four patients referred to victim empathy-based treatment leading  
to an emotional response in patients. Five subthemes were identified and illustrated next.

Subtheme one - Patients experienced a range of emotions: Four facilitators described  
observing emotional responses in patients, while completing victim empathy content. This

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 was also highlighted by three patients. Negative emotions appeared to result from the focus  
4 on the victim of the offence and recollection of memories associated with their offences,  
5 which could negatively impact their treatment engagement, e.g.: *“one patient got annoyed for*  
6 *focusing too much on the victim”* (F5), and *“where the clients showed more anxiety, it could*  
7 *have inhibited responses”* (F4). A patient commented on how; *“I felt feelings I haven’t felt in*  
8 *a long time, like sadness”* (P4).

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 Subtheme two - Reluctance to complete victim empathy work: Three facilitators  
18 described some apprehension and reluctance when discussing victim empathy content, both  
19 from facilitators delivering this and patient reactions to content. For instance, one considered  
20 a patient’s perspective, reporting how, *“they [patients] think it is worse than it is”* (F5).  
21 However, facilitators also described feeling apprehensive about delivering victim empathy-  
22 based content: *“I considered ‘just doing enough’ and stopping when module goals are met”*  
23 (F2).

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Subtheme three - Coping with emotional responses: Three facilitators described how,  
34 whilst some participants described being emotionally affected, this was managed effectively  
35 and did not have a lasting effect, with a need for additional emotional support recognised for  
36 some e.g.: *“[they] are not overly distressed...they manage it well”* (F7) and *“some [patients]*  
37 *require more emotional support...they don’t have as good coping strategies”* (F5).

38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 Subtheme four – Not being distressed by emotions: Four patients described either not  
46 being emotionally affected, or feeling that their responses were appropriate or manageable.  
47 Whilst one patient described not being upset by the victim empathy work, three described  
48 experiencing an emotional effect, but felt that this was not upsetting or negative. For  
49 example: *“I was sad, obviously, but not in a bad way”* (P3) and *“I felt bad but I expected to*  
50 *and it wasn’t a negative thing”* (P1).

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3        Subtheme five – Longevity of emotional impact on patients: Two patients described  
4 the impact of their emotional experiences to have lasted longer than the treatment session.  
5  
6 However, a sustained, long-term impact was not clearly indicated, e.g.: *“it affected me for the*  
7  
8 *rest of the day and the day after”* (P1).  
9  
10

***Theme four: Victim empathy content can be beneficial, with the process important***

11  
12  
13  
14        Five facilitators and four patients described victim empathy content as largely  
15 positive. There were two subthemes:  
16  
17

18  
19        Subtheme one – Victim empathy-content is positive: Three facilitators and four  
20 patients noted positive experiences. Facilitators, as an illustration, described observing  
21 change in patients and patients responding well to the content. For example, *“When I asked*  
22 *the client, they felt the victim empathy aspect was important”* (F2). Patients described victim  
23 empathy content positively. They outlined benefits, such as feeling pleased to have done it, to  
24 have increased their insights, to have found talking about victims helpful, and feeling listened  
25 to throughout the process; *“I enjoyed the work, especially completing [victim empathy]*  
26 *letters”* (P2), and *“I benefitted from doing role plays to practice what I learned”* (P3).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37  
38        Subtheme two – Treatment process: Five facilitators described the process of  
39 delivering victim empathy-based content. The delivery of the content using group or  
40 individual methods was considered important. For example, group treatment was considered  
41 helpful by one facilitator: *“group work can be helpful as it be challenging and encourage*  
42 *debate from other group members”* (F1). Three facilitators described individual treatment to  
43 be more able to engage patients and manage any potential impact the content could have on  
44 group processes, e.g.: *“Clients respond more in individual treatment...it allows them to get*  
45 *involved more”* (F1).  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

**Treatment Facilitator only theme*****Theme five - Victim empathy content can help understand risk***

Three facilitators described victim empathy content as an important aspect of violence risk reduction, noting “*you couldn’t meet the [risk reduction] aims without it*” (F7). They felt that it was helpful to address future violence risk. However, one facilitator felt that victim empathy content was also a useful way of assessing a patient’s future violence risk, “*even if you aren’t getting feedback from the client, the information is good for [an] assessment of risk*” (F6).

**Patient only theme*****Theme Six – Patients experience of treatment begins before attending sessions***

This comprises two subthemes, as follows.

Subtheme one – Patients’ experience emotions prior to sessions: Three patients described emotional experiences that were activated before completing victim empathy content, which increased their apprehension and anxiety. For example, one patient stated: “*you need to be careful about what you say... I thought what if I say something that could be taken the wrong way*” (P1).

Subtheme two – Patients have prior expectations for victim empathy-based treatment: Three patients described unfounded expectations for victim empathy content before engaging, connected to their victims and the process, e.g.: “*I thought my personal victims would come up and be analysed*” (P2), and “*I expected to do some work but also that we would go through it as quickly as we can*” (P4).

**Discussion**

Findings indicate that facilitators and patients have some similar experiences of victim empathy-based content, sharing four themes; 1) Victim empathy content facilitates change, 2) Victim empathy content can be difficult for patients, 3) Victim empathy content can lead to

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 an emotional response and 4) Victim empathy content can be beneficial, with the process  
4 important. Overall, victim empathy-based content was perceived positively, as it was  
5 considered a useful addition to violence treatment, helping build skills and knowledge, along  
6 with contributing to an expected reduction in future violence risk. Patients experienced  
7 emotional responses during treatment, though this was not always perceived as distressing. In  
8 addition, patients did report negative emotions, such as anger, sadness and apprehension.  
9 However, this was perceived by most participants as appropriate and not distressing, with  
10 some patients reporting this as helpful for their re-evaluation of their offending and/or  
11 specific victims.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Patients felt able to learn skills conducive to empathy, such as perspective taking,  
25 through victim empathy content. This corresponds with existing literature that suggests  
26 cognitive elements of empathy are an effortful skill, requiring active participation by an  
27 individual (Day et al., 2010). This may provide some support for the Model of the Empathic  
28 Process (MEP; Barnett & Mann, 2016), whereby patients in the current research  
29 demonstrated both affective and cognitive elements of empathy, which were developed  
30 through the completion of victim empathy treatment. As perspective taking is related to  
31 empathy and offending (Barnett & Mann, 2013; Martinez et al., 2014), it is positive that this  
32 was reportedly impacted on by the treatment. It may also support the use of specific methods  
33 to increase offenders' perspective taking (Seinfeld et al., 2018).  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Emotional responses were evidenced as resulting from both delivering and receiving  
48 victim empathy-based treatment. This was consistent with previous research (Elias & Haj-  
49 Yahia, 2019; Zosky, 2018). It is certainly not unexpected for those with an offence history to  
50 experience negative emotions relating to their offending (Jackson et al., 2011; Tangney et al.,  
51 2011). Shame, for example, may impact ones' self-identity, which may make empathy based-  
52 content particularly salient (Pinto-Gouveia & Matos, 2011). Nevertheless, the concept of  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 empathy involves a range of responses, including affective, cognitive and physiological  
4 components (Cuff et al., 2016; Jolliffe & Farrington, 2006). As such, some affective response  
5  
6 by patients in this study may be a natural response to their offending, rather than distress that  
7  
8 is caused by the specific intervention methods employed.  
9  
10

11  
12 Patients also described negative experiences when completing victim empathy  
13 content, including experiencing distress and having existing difficulties in the skills required  
14 to meaningfully engage in treatment. These appeared to begin before attending sessions,  
15 impacted by their (unfounded) understanding and expectations of the treatment. Given the  
16 association between perspective taking and violence, initial deficits in skills conducive to  
17 empathy are certainly expected (Barnett & Mann, 2013; Elsegood & Duff, 2010; Seidel et al.,  
18 2013). However, it was also clear that distressing emotions, in response to considering  
19 patients' own offending or victims is important (Crisford et al., 2008; Gray et al., 2003;  
20 Zosky, 2018), as this may represent a barrier for their meaningful engagement and  
21 internalised motivation for change (Burrowes & Needs, 2009, Ward & Gannon, 2006).  
22 Offending can be highly stigmatised. It can therefore be challenging to an offenders' self-  
23 identity (Cubellis et al., 2019; Evans & Cubellis, 2015; Tewksbury, 2012), which is likely to  
24 have changed since their offending behaviour occurred, given their engagement in offending-  
25 behaviour treatment. Reflecting on their past offence(s) may challenge their current self-  
26 identity and cause distress, such as anger or anxiety.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 *Limitations*

47  
48 There are limitations to the current research that need to be accounted for. While the  
49 interviews were informed by a systematic literature review, no standardised or validated  
50 measures were used to capture emotional responding. Therefore, it is possible only to  
51 understand the subjective experiences and thoughts of participants. In addition, causal  
52 relationships between victim empathy-based content and participant's experiences cannot be  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

determined, which limits the extent to which victim empathy content can be understood as a causal factor for any emerging emotional experiences. Furthermore, the participants that were included in the research, including facilitators and patients, all completed/facilitated a specific offender program. Although this programme employed victim empathy material, which was consistent with other offence-focused programmes, the findings of the interview study cannot necessarily be generalised to other offender programs.

Importantly, there could be a suggestion from the systematic review that empathy intervention could impact on intermediate variables relevant to offending, such as attitudes and empathy, assessed over the short term. However, we are unable to offer evidence in relation to the longer-term impact, including re-offending. The issue of method can also not be avoided, namely the fact that the empathy content was largely embedded within broader treatment programmes. Although we focused on a single programme, with attention to the victim empathy content, it is certainly the case that the impact of the empathy component could be influenced by the content that preceded it. Nevertheless, the current qualitative approach is not seeking to offer definitive conclusions, but rather to outline emerging themes and aid directions for further enquiry. Such future enquiry may explore the adoption of more experimental/quasi-experimental approaches to consider the impact of empathy. It could also explore the appropriateness of empathy-based approaches for all offenders, accounting for offence type (i.e. sexual and/or violent offenders), including those who may present with characteristics that suggest a 'classic' empathy approach may have some challenges in application (e.g. those with clinical psychopathy, those with developmental disorders).

### *Implications*

Despite the noted limitations, the findings have some potential clinical implications for delivering victim empathy content with offending populations. Since it was indicated that some patients had existing deficits in the skills required for victim empathy work, facilitators

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

could evaluate individual ability to complete the treatment prior to engagement and provide appropriate support. Indeed, the potential impact of victim empathy content needs to be evaluated *before* sessions are engaged in, accounting for client expectations and treatment readiness. This may include ensuring clients are provided with accurate information about victim empathy work and offered support throughout. Facilitators should be aware of the potential distress that clients may experience when completing victim empathy content and ensure appropriate support is provided, including post sessions, with this reviewed regularly.

**Implications for practice**

- Definitive conclusions cannot yet be drawn in relation to the emotional impact of victim empathy work on those with an offence history. Equally, assumptions of harm cannot yet be made. There needs to be recognition that some clients view empathy work positively.
- Consideration should be given to whether group or individual empathy delivery is fitting to a particular client, accounting for their wider characteristics and individual needs, prior to the intervention commencing.
- Interventions that incorporate victim empathy work can have benefit and promote change; focus could be directed to exploring *who* they can be applied to and *how*.

**References**

(\*Papers included in the systematic review)

Archer, J., & Haigh, A. (1999). Sex differences in beliefs about aggression: Opponent's sex and the form of aggression. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 38(1), 71-84.

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

<https://doi.org/10.1348/014466699164040>

\*Bairn, C., Allam, J., Eames, T., Dunford, S., & Hunt, S. (1999). The use of psychodrama to enhance victim empathy in sex offenders: an evaluation. *Journal of sexual*

*aggression*, 4(1), 4-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552609908413278>

Barnett, G. D., & Mann, R. E. (2016). Theories of empathy deficits in sexual offenders. *The*

*Wiley Handbook on the Theories, Assessment and Treatment of Sexual Offending*, 223-

244. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118574003.wattso011>

Barnett, G., & Mann, R. E. (2013). Empathy deficits and sexual offending: A model of

obstacles to empathy. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 18(2), 228-239.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2012.11.010>

Bock, E. M., & Hosser, D. (2014). Empathy as a predictor of recidivism among young adult

offenders. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 20(2), 101-115.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2012.749472>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). *Thematic analysis*. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long,

A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds.), *APA handbooks in psychology®. APA*

*handbook of research methods in psychology, Vol. 2. Research designs: Quantitative,*

*qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological* (p. 57–71). American Psychological

Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/13620-004>

Burrowes, N., & Needs, A. (2009). Time to contemplate change? A framework for assessing

readiness to change with offenders. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 14(1), 39-49.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2008.08.003>

Carich, M. S., Metzger, C. K., Baig, M. S., & Harper, J. J. (2004). Enhancing victim empathy

for sex offenders. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 12(3-4), 255-276.

[https://doi.org/10.1300/J070v12n03\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1300/J070v12n03_10)

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

- 1  
2  
3 \*Colton, M., Roberts, S., & Vanstone, M. (2009). Child sexual abusers' views on treatment:  
4  
5 A study of convicted and imprisoned adult male offenders. *Journal of Child Sexual*  
6  
7 Abuse, 18(3), 320-338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10538710902918170>  
8  
9  
10 Crisford, H., Dare, H., & Evangeli, M. (2008). Offence-related posttraumatic stress disorder  
11  
12 (PTSD) symptomatology and guilt in mentally disordered violent and sexual offenders.  
13  
14 *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 19(1), 86-107.  
15  
16 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940701596673>  
17  
18  
19 Cubellis, M. A., Evans, D. N., & Fera, A. G. (2019). Sex offender stigma: An exploration of  
20  
21 vigilantism against sex offenders. *Deviant Behavior*, 40(2), 225-239.  
22  
23 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2017.1420459>  
24  
25  
26 Cuff, B. M., Brown, S. J., Taylor, L., & Howat, D. J. (2016). Empathy: A review of the  
27  
28 concept. *Emotion Review*, 8(2), 144-153. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073914558466>  
29  
30  
31 \*Day, A. (1999). Sexual offender views about treatment: A client survey. *Journal of Child*  
32  
33 Sexual Abuse, 8(2), 93-103. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J070v08n02\\_06](https://doi.org/10.1300/J070v08n02_06)  
34  
35  
36 \*Day, A., Casey, S., & Gerace, A. (2010). Interventions to improve empathy awareness in  
37  
38 sexual and violent offenders: Conceptual, empirical, and clinical issues. *Aggression and*  
39  
40 Violent Behavior, 15(3), 201-208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2009.12.003>  
41  
42  
43 \*Diehl, C., Glaser, T., & Bohner, G. (2014). Face the consequences: Learning about victim's  
44  
45 suffering reduces sexual harassment myth acceptance and men's likelihood to sexually  
46  
47 harass. *Aggressive Behavior*, 40(6), 489-503.  
48  
49 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.21553>  
50  
51  
52 Elias, H., & Haj-Yahia, M. M. (2019). On the lived experience of sex offenders' therapists:  
53  
54 Their perceptions of intrapersonal and interpersonal consequences and patterns of coping.  
55  
56 *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 34(4), 848-872.  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260516646090>

Elsegood, K. J., & Duff, S. C. (2010). Theory of mind in men who have sexually offended against children: A UK comparison study between child sex offenders and nonoffender controls. *Sexual Abuse, 22*(1), 112-131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1079063209359926>

Evans, D. N., & Cubellis, M. A. (2015). Coping with stigma: How registered sex offenders manage their public identities. *American Journal of Criminal Justice, 40*(3), 593-619.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12103-014-9277-z>

\*Foubert, J. D. (2000). The longitudinal effects of a rape-prevention program on fraternity men's attitudes, behavioral intent, and behavior. *Journal of American College Health, 48*(4), 158-163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448480009595691>

\*Garrett, T., Oliver, C., Wilcox, D. T., & Middleton, D. (2003). Who cares? The views of sexual offenders about the group treatment they receive. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 15*(4), 323-338.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/107906320301500408>

Geiger, B., & Fischer, M. (2005). Naming oneself criminal: Gender difference in offenders' identity negotiation. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 49*(2), 194-209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X04270552>

Gillespie, S. M., Rotshtein, P., Satherley, R. M., Beech, A. R., & Mitchell, I. J. (2015). Emotional expression recognition and attribution bias among sexual and violent offenders: a signal detection analysis. *Frontiers in psychology, 6*, 595.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00595>

Gray, N. S., Carman, N. G., Rogers, P., MacCulloch, M. J., Hayward, P., & Snowden, R. J. (2003). Post-traumatic stress disorder caused in mentally disordered offenders by the committing of a serious violent or sexual offence. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry &*

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

- Psychology, 14(1), 27-43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1478994031000074289>
- Hanson, R. K. (2003). Empathy deficits of sexual offenders: A conceptual model. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*, 9(1), 13-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1355260031000137931>
- Hoaken, P. N., Allaby, D. B., & Earle, J. (2007). Executive cognitive functioning and the recognition of facial expressions of emotion in incarcerated violent offenders, non-violent offenders, and controls. *Aggressive Behavior: Official Journal of the International Society for Research on Aggression*, 33(5), 412-421. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20194>
- Ireland, J. L., Ireland, C. A., Morris-King, S., Turner, P., Graham-Kevan, N., Xuereb, S., & Ireland, J. L. (2009). Life Minus Violence-Enhanced Psychological Services.
- Jackson, A. L., Blackburn, A. G., Tobolowsky, P., & Baer, D. (2011). An Examination of Guilt, Shame, Empathy and Blaming Among a Sample of Incarcerated Male and Female Offenders. *Southwest Journal of Criminal Justice*, 8(1).
- Jolliffe, D., & Farrington, D. P. (2004). Empathy and offending: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 9(5), 441-476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2003.03.001>
- Jolliffe, D., & Farrington, D. P. (2006). Development and validation of the Basic Empathy Scale. *Journal of adolescence*, 29(4), 589-611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2005.08.010>
- Kadambi, M. A., & Truscott, D. (2003). Vicarious traumatization and burnout among therapists working with sex offenders. *Traumatology*, 9(4), 216-230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/153476560300900404>
- \*Klepfsiz, G., O'Brien, K., & Daffern, M. (2014). Violent offenders' within-treatment change in anger, criminal attitudes, and violence risk: Associations with violent recidivism.

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

International Journal of Forensic Mental Health, 13(4), 348-362.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14999013.2014.951107>

\*Levenson, J. S., Macgowan, M. J., Morin, J. W., & Cotter, L. P. (2009). Perceptions of sex offenders about treatment: Satisfaction and engagement in group therapy. *Sexual Abuse*, 21(1), 35-56. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1079063208326072>

\*Levenson, J. S., Prescott, D. S., & D'Amora, D. A. (2010). Sex offender treatment: Consumer satisfaction and engagement in therapy. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 54(3), 307-326.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X08328752>

Levenson, J. S., Prescott, D. S., & Jumper, S. (2014). A consumer satisfaction survey of civilly committed sex offenders in Illinois. *International journal of offender therapy and comparative criminology*, 58(4), 474-495. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X12472956>

Mann, R. E., & Barnett, G. D. (2013). Victim empathy intervention with sexual offenders: Rehabilitation, punishment, or correctional quackery?. *Sexual Abuse*, 25(3), 282-301.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1079063212455669>

Marshall, W. L., Hudson, S. M., Jones, R., & Fernandez, Y. M. (1995). Empathy in sex offenders. *Clinical psychology review*, 15(2), 99-113. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358(95)00002-7)

[7358\(95\)00002-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358(95)00002-7)

\*Marshall, W. L., O'Sullivan, C., & Fernandez, Y. M. (1996). The enhancement of victim empathy among incarcerated child molesters. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, 1(1), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8333.1996.tb00309.x>

Martinez, A. G., Stuewig, J., & Tangney, J. P. (2014). Can perspective-taking reduce crime? Examining a pathway through empathic-concern and guilt-proneness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(12), 1659-1667.

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167214554915>

Moore, K. E., Milam, K. C., Folk, J. B., & Tangney, J. P. (2018). Self-stigma among criminal offenders: Risk and protective factors. *Stigma and health*, 3(3), 241.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/sah0000092>

Moulden, H. M., & Firestone, P. (2007). Vicarious traumatization: The impact on therapists who work with sexual offenders. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 8(1), 67-83.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838006297729>

\*Murphy, G., Powell, S., Guzman, A. M., & Hays, S. J. (2007). Cognitive-behavioural treatment for men with intellectual disabilities and sexually abusive behaviour: a pilot study. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 51(11), 902-912.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2007.00990.x>

Newheiser, A. K., & Barreto, M. (2014). Hidden costs of hiding stigma: Ironic interpersonal consequences of concealing a stigmatized identity in social interactions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 52, 58-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2014.01.002>

\*O'Donohue, W., Yeater, E. A., & Fanetti, M. (2003). Rape prevention with college males: The roles of rape myth acceptance, victim empathy, and outcome expectancies. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 18(5), 513-531.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260503251070>

Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Matos, M. (2011). Can shame memories become a key to identity? The centrality of shame memories predicts psychopathology. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25(2), 281-290. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1689>

\*Pithers, W. D. (1994). Process evaluation of a group therapy component designed to enhance sex offenders' empathy for sexual abuse survivors. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 32(5), 565-570. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(94\)90146-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)90146-5)

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

- 1  
2  
3 Polaschek, D. L., Calvert, S. W., & Gannon, T. A. (2009). Linking violent thinking: Implicit  
4 theory-based research with violent offenders. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 24(1), 75-  
5  
6 96. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260508315781>  
7  
8  
9  
10 Preston, S. D. (2007). A perception-action model for empathy. *Empathy in mental illness*, 1,  
11  
12 428-447. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511543753.024>  
13  
14  
15 Quinn, D. M., & Chaudoir, S. R. (2009). Living with a concealable stigmatized identity: the  
16  
17 impact of anticipated stigma, centrality, salience, and cultural stigma on psychological  
18  
19 distress and health. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 97(4), 634.  
20  
21  
22 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015815>  
23  
24  
25 Quinn, D. M., & Earnshaw, V. A. (2013). Concealable stigmatized identities and  
26  
27 psychological well-being. *Social and personality psychology compass*, 7(1), 40-51.  
28  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12005>  
30  
31  
32 Robinson, L., Spencer, M. D., Thomson, L. D., Sprengelmeyer, R., Owens, D. G., Stanfield,  
33  
34 A. C., ... & Johnstone, E. C. (2012). Facial emotion recognition in Scottish prisoners.  
35  
36 *International journal of law and psychiatry*, 35(1), 57-61.  
37  
38 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlp.2011.11.009>  
39  
40  
41 \*Schewe, P. A., & O'Donohue, W. (1993). Sexual abuse prevention with high-risk males:  
42  
43 The roles of victim empathy and rape myths. *Violence and Victims*, 8(4), 339-351.  
44  
45 <https://doi.org/10.1891/0886-6708.8.4.339>  
46  
47  
48 \*Schewe, P. A., & O'Donohue, W. (1996). Rape prevention with high-risk males: Short-term  
49  
50 outcome of two interventions. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 25(5), 455-471.  
51  
52 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02437542>  
53  
54  
55 Seidel, E. M., Pfabigan, D. M., Keckeis, K., Wucherer, A. M., Jahn, T., Lamm, C., & Derntl,  
56  
57 B. (2013). Empathic competencies in violent offenders. *Psychiatry research*, 210(3),  
58  
59  
60

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

1  
2  
3 1168-1175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2013.08.027>

4  
5 Seinfeld, S., Arroyo-Palacios, J., Iruretagoyena, G., Hortensius, R., Zapata, L. E., Borland,  
6  
7 D., & Sanchez-Vives, M. V. (2018). Offenders become the victim in virtual reality:  
8  
9 impact of changing perspective in domestic violence. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), 1-11.  
10  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19987-7>

12  
13  
14  
15 Shelby, R. A., Stoddart, R. M., & Taylor, K. L. (2001). Factors contributing to levels of  
16  
17 burnout among sex offender treatment providers. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*,  
18  
19 16(11), 1205-1217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088626001016011006>

20  
21  
22 \*Stephens, K. A., & George, W. H. (2009). Rape prevention with college men: Evaluating  
23  
24 risk status. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 24(6), 996-1013.  
25  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260508319366>

27  
28  
29 Tajfel, H. E. (1978). *Differentiation between social groups: Studies in the social psychology*  
30  
31 of intergroup relations. Academic Press.

32  
33 Tajfel, H., & Forgas, J. P. (2000). *Social categorization: Cognitions, values and groups*.

34  
35  
36 Tangney, J. P., Stuewig, J., & Hafez, L. (2011). Shame, guilt, and remorse: Implications for  
37  
38 offender populations. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 22(5), 706-723.  
39  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789949.2011.617541>

41  
42  
43 Tewksbury, R. (2012). Stigmatization of sex offenders. *Deviant Behavior*, 33(8), 606-623.  
44  
45 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2011.636690>

46  
47  
48 Turner, J. C., Brown, R. J., & Tajfel, H. (1979). Social comparison and group interest in  
49  
50 ingroup favouritism. *European journal of social psychology*, 9(2), 187-204.  
51  
52 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420090207>

53  
54  
55 Van Langen, M. A., Wissink, I. B., Van Vugt, E. S., Van der Stouwe, T., & Stams, G. J. J. M.  
56  
57 (2014). The relation between empathy and offending: A meta-analysis. *Aggression and*  
58  
59

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

Violent Behavior, 19(2), 179-189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2014.02.003>

\*Wakeling, H., Beech, A. R., & Freemantle, N. (2013). Investigating treatment change and its relationship to recidivism in a sample of 3773 sex offenders in the UK. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 19(3), 233-252.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2011.626413>

Ward, T & Mann. R. (2004) "Good lives and the rehabilitation of offenders: A positive approach to sex offender treatment." *Positive psychology in practice*: 598-616.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470939338.ch36>

Ward, T. (2002). Good lives and the rehabilitation of offenders: Promises and problems. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 7(5), 513-528.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-1789\(01\)00076-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-1789(01)00076-3)

Ward, T., & Gannon, T. A. (2006). Rehabilitation, etiology, and self-regulation: The comprehensive good lives model of treatment for sexual offenders. *Aggression and violent behavior*, 11(1), 77-94.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2005.06.001>

\*Webster, S. D., Bowers, L. E., Mann, R. E., & Marshall, W. L. (2005). Developing empathy in sexual offenders: The value of offence re-enactments. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 17(1), 63-77.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/107906320501700107>

West, M. L., Vayshenker, B., Rotter, M., & Yanos, P. T. (2015). The influence of mental illness and criminality self-stigmas and racial self-concept on outcomes in a forensic psychiatric sample. *Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal*, 38(2), 150.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/prj0000133>

Woodyatt, L., & Wenzel, M. (2014). A needs-based perspective on self-forgiveness:

## RUNNING HEAD: VICTIM EMPATHY IN AGGRESSION TREATMENT

Addressing threat to moral identity as a means of encouraging interpersonal and intrapersonal restoration. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 50, 125-135.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.09.012>

\*Zosky, D. (2018). "Walking in her shoes": The impact of victim impact panels on perpetrators of intimate partner violence. *Victims & Offenders*, 13(6), 739-756.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15564886.2018.1468370>