

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	The biomechanics of the rotator cuff in health and disease – A narrative		
	review		
Туре	Article		
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/37865/		
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2021.04.019		
Date	2021		
Citation	Akhtar, Ahsan, Richards, James and Monga, Puneet (2021) The		
	biomechanics of the rotator cuff in health and disease – A narrative review.		
	Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma, 18. pp. 150-156. ISSN 0976-		
	5662		
Creators	Akhtar, Ahsan, Richards, James and Monga, Puneet		

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcot.2021.04.019

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

### 1 The biomechanics of the rotator cuff in health and disease - A narrative review

- 2
- 3 Abstract
- 4

5 The rotator cuff has an important role in the stability and function of the glenohumeral joint. It 6 is a complex anatomic structure commonly affected by injury such as tendinopathy and cuff 7 tears. The rotator cuff helps to provide a stabilising effect to the shoulder joint by compressing 8 the humeral head against the glenoid cavity via the concavity compression mechanism. To 9 appreciate the function of the cuff it is imperative to understand the normal biomechanics of 10 the cuff as well as the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of cuff disease.

11

12 The shoulder joint offers a wide range of motion due to the variety of rotational moments the 13 cuff muscles are able to provide. In order for the joint to remain stable, the cuff creates a force 14 couple around the glenohumeral joint with coordinated activation of adjacent muscles, which 15 work together to contain the otherwise intrinsically unstable glenohumeral joint and prevent 16 proximal migration of the humerus. Once this muscular balance is lost, increased translations or subluxation of the humeral head may result, leading to changes in the magnitude and 17 18 direction of the joint reaction forces at the glenohumeral joint. These mechanical changes may 19 then result in a number of clinical presentations of shoulder dysfunction, disease and pain.

20

This narrative review aims to highlight the importance of functional rotator cuff biomechanics whilst assessing the kinetics and kinematics of the shoulder joint, as well as exploring the various factors involved in cuff disease.

#### 24 Introduction

#### 25

26 The rotator cuff comprises of four muscles and their respective tendons, namely, supraspinatus, 27 infraspinatus, subscapularis and teres minor. These muscles function to stabilise the shoulder joint dynamically, helping the shoulder to be the most mobile large joint in the body. They also 28 29 allow rotational motion of the humerus relative to the glenoid surface, aided by the contiguous insertion of the cuff tendons on the proximal humerus. Therefore, it is vital to understand the 30 biomechanical properties of the rotator cuff and their role in the pathogenesis and effects of 31 32 cuff tears. This narrative review will consider the anatomical structures and biomechanics of 33 the rotator cuff and their role in the development of cuff dysfunction.

34

## 35 Anatomical structures

36

The supraspinatus muscle originates from the supraspinous fossa of the scapula with its tendon 37 inserting onto the superior and middle facets of the greater tuberosity. Infraspinatus and teres 38 39 minor originate from the infraspinous fossa with their tendons inserting onto the middle and 40 inferior facets of the greater tuberosity. The subscapularis muscle originates from the 41 subscapular fossa, with its tendon inserting onto the lesser tuberosity. The rotator cuff tendons interdigitate to form a continuous structure near their insertions onto the proximal humerus.<sup>1</sup> 42 The subscapularis muscle has the largest tendon footprint of the four cuff muscles, inserting 43 44 anteriorly along the medial aspect of the bicipital groove to provide internal rotation. The 45 infraspinatus muscle has the second largest tendon, which inserts with its anterior border overlapping the posterior border of the supraspinatus insertion,<sup>2</sup> to provide external rotation. 46 47 The supraspinatus muscle has the third largest tendon footprint, which inserts onto the superior facet of the greater tuberosity of the proximal humerus to abduct the shoulder. Finally, the teres 48

49 minor muscle has the smallest tendon footprint, inserting directly inferior to infraspinatus, 50 assisting the latter to rotate the humerus externally. The subscapularis and supraspinatus 51 tendons combine to provide a sheath that surrounds the long head of biceps tendon, with a 52 tendon slip from supraspinatus forming the roof of the sheath, and fibres from both tendons converging to form the floor. Furthermore, fibrous structures extending from the coracoid 53 process to the interval between the subscapularis and supraspinatus muscles strengthen this 54 region, known as the coracohumeral ligament.<sup>3</sup> These anatomical structures can be seen in 55 figure 1. 56

57

58 Microscopically, a five-layer structure of the cuff and capsule complex near the tendon 59 insertions of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus have been described in a cadaveric study.<sup>4</sup> The 60 first, innermost layer contained superficial fibres of the coracohumeral ligament. The second 61 layer, the main portion of the cuff tendons, has been shown to be composed of closely-packed parallel tendon fibres grouped in large bundles extending directly from the muscle bellies to 62 63 the insertion on the humerus. The third layer was noted to be a thick tendinous structure but 64 with smaller fascicles than in the second layer, with the fourth layer comprising of loose connective tissue with thick bands of collagen fibres which run perpendicular to the primary 65 66 fibres of the cuff. This layer also contained the deep extension of the coracohumeral ligament. The fifth and outermost layer was the true capsular layer, in which the fibres were shown to be 67 mostly randomly oriented.<sup>4</sup> 68

#### 69 **Biomechanics**

70

- 71 *Kinetics and kinematics*
- 72

Shoulder movements represent carefully coordinated motion of all the rotator cuff components. 73 74 For this to be achieved, the humerus rotates around the scapula at the glenohumeral joint (GHJ), the scapula rotates around the clavicle at the acromioclavicular (AC) joint, and the clavicle 75 rotates around the sternum at the sternoclavicular joint.<sup>5</sup> In order to achieve 180 degrees of 76 77 humeral elevation, movement of all of these components must occur. In normal motion, up to 78 120 degrees of glenohumeral elevation is permitted within the glenoid fossa. After this point, 79 motion is blocked by impingement of the neck of the humerus on the acromion. For further 80 humeral elevation to occur, the scapula must rotate in a superior direction. This rotation 81 positions the glenoid fossa superiorly, allowing the humerus to elevate through an additional 60 degrees.<sup>6</sup> This combined movement of the scapula and humerus is termed scapulohumeral 82 rhythm.<sup>7</sup> Inman *et al*<sup>8</sup> estimated the ratio between glenohumeral and scapulothoracic joint 83 motion to be approximately 2:1. As the scapula upwardly rotates, it produces elevation of the 84 acromial end of the clavicle, which can be up to 30 degrees.<sup>8</sup> 85

86

The scapula is positioned on the thorax approximately 30 degrees internally rotated in the horizontal plane, 3 degrees abducted in the frontal plane, and 20 degrees anteriorly tilted in the sagittal plane.<sup>9</sup> The scapula is known to upwardly rotate by 50 degrees, tilt posteriorly by 30 degrees, and externally rotate by 24 degrees during active scapular plane elevation.<sup>10</sup> Two further movements occur at this articulation in the coronal and sagittal planes. Protraction, defined as the forward movement of the scapula around the thoracic wall, combines linear translation away from the vertebral column, rotation of the scapula around the AC joint 94 (anterior tilt), and internal rotation,<sup>11</sup> whereas retraction is the combination of the opposite of
 95 these movements.<sup>12</sup>

96

97 The humeral head and the glenoid articular surface show a high degree of conformity and may be considered as a ball-and-socket joint. During active and passive elevation of the arm, the 98 99 humeral head can translate up to 0.35 mm in the superior-inferior direction in the healthy 100 shoulder. Whereas, anterior-posterior translation has been shown to be significantly larger, with the head translating anteriorly by a mean of 3.8 mm during elevation, posteriorly by 4.9 101 mm during extension, and 4 mm during horizontal extension.<sup>13,14</sup> A smaller radius of curvature 102 (32.2 vs 40.6 mm) is the primary reason for larger translations seen in the anterior-posterior 103 direction.<sup>15</sup> These translations are thought to be induced by the tightening of the 104 105 capsuloligamentous structures during motion.

106

107 Scapula kinematics may alter in patients with cuff dysfunction and several studies have been conducted to investigate scapular rotation during arm elevation. Lin *et al*<sup>l6</sup> utilised 3D motion 108 109 analysis and surface electromyography to analyse 3D movements of the shoulder complex 110 during functional tasks and compared motion patterns between subjects with and without shoulder dysfunction. They discovered decreased scapular upward rotation in the shoulder 111 dysfunction group. Similar results have been found in other studies.<sup>17,18</sup> Such findings suggest 112 113 that increased scapular upward rotation may be a positive compensation in the presence of rotator cuff dysfunction. Some studies, however, have found no such differences in scapular 114 kinematics in symptomatic subjects when compared to asymptomatic individuals.<sup>19,20</sup> 115 Discrepancies in scapular upward rotation findings during arm elevation in various studies 116 117 assessing shoulder impingement may relate to the limited clinical knowledge of the status or 118 severity of cuff involvement, particularly with regard to full or partial thickness tears, or indeed 119 the difficulties and variations in measuring scapular motion. The lack of significant differences, 120 as well as observable clinically important differences, between groups to be detected for all variables consistently is perhaps not surprising as investigations are often undertaken with 121 122 small sample sizes, which result in limited statistical power for some comparisons, particularly given the large variations seen in the movement patterns of healthy subjects.<sup>10</sup> A further 123 124 explanation for the lack of significant differences to be identified is the presumed multifactorial aetiology of cuff disease, the limitations of clinical diagnosis, in addition to the variations in 125 126 the measurements taken and models utilised.

127

128 Force couples & stability

129

130 The rotator cuff muscles have an essential role in the stability and function of the GHJ. Force 131 couples occur when two opposing muscle groups create a moment around a fulcrum.<sup>2</sup> The rotator cuff creates a force couple around the GHJ with coordinated activation and inactivation 132 of agonist and antagonist muscles, working synergistically to contain the otherwise intrinsically 133 unstable GHJ and prevent proximal migration of the humerus. The deltoid and supraspinatus 134 act as a force couple in the coronal plane, compressing the humeral head to the glenoid in 135 136 abduction, whereas subscapularis and infraspinatus provide a compressive joint reaction force in the axial plane.<sup>21</sup> This can be seen diagrammatically in figure 2. This mechanism, where 137 shoulder stability is provided by the glenoid concavity and the compressive force generated by 138 the rotator cuff muscles, is known as concavity compression.<sup>22</sup> 139

140

141 The bony stability of the shoulder is insufficient, as the glenoid fossa is only a quarter the size 142 of the articular surface of the humeral head. Therefore, the glenoid labrum, together with the 143 joint capsule and glenohumeral ligaments, aids shoulder stability. Labral tissue increases the 144 depth of the glenoid by 50% and, together with the compressive forces of the rotator cuff, imparts a concave compression on the humeral head into the glenoid. By increasing the 145 effective depth of the glenoid, the labrum also helps maintain a negative intra-articular pressure 146 within the joint, conferring stability.<sup>23</sup> Saha determined that dynamic stability is dependent on 147 several factors.<sup>24</sup> These included the power of the horizontal steerers (rotator cuff), 148 149 development and tilt of the glenoid, as well as retrotorsion (retroversion) of the head and neck of the humerus. Intramuscular electromyography has been used to investigate the activity of 150 the cuff muscles which provide horizontal stability during movement in various planes. 151 152 Through this technique, it was shown that in abduction, the subscapularis and infraspinatus 153 muscles stabilised the joint from zero to 150 degrees whereas infraspinatus did so almost 154 independently from 150 to 180 degrees, thus confirming the role of subscapularis and infraspinatus as stabilisers of the joint through this range.<sup>24</sup> 155

156

In their anatomical study, Turkel and colleagues<sup>25</sup> concluded from cadaveric and 157 158 roentgenographic experiments that different soft tissue structures stabilise the shoulder joint at 159 varying degrees of abduction. They determined that at zero degrees of abduction, subscapularis 160 was the dominant stabilising structure, whereas at 45 degrees subscapularis and the middle and 161 inferior glenohumeral ligaments provided a greater contribution to stability. As 90 degrees of 162 abduction was approached, the inferior glenohumeral ligament provided the main stabilising effect to prevent dislocation from occurring during external rotation.<sup>25</sup> Mihata *et al*<sup>26</sup> also 163 164 previously demonstrated that superior translation of the humerus is significantly increased after 165 a tear of the supraspinatus tendon in their study on eight cadaveric models. Moreover, they 166 showed that whilst patch grafting provided a reduction in the superior translation of the humerus, full restoration of GHJ stability could not be achieved. More recently, Ishihara *et al*<sup>27</sup> 167 168 described that the superior shoulder capsule plays a vital role in passive stability of the GHJ in their study on seven cadaveric shoulders. The authors reported that a tear in the superior capsule at the cuff insertion on the greater tuberosity, as seen in some partial rotator cuff tears, significantly increased translations in the GHJ in both the anterior and inferior directions compared with those with an intact capsule. It was also discovered that a superior capsular defect, which can be observed in massive cuff tears, significantly increased glenohumeral translation in all directions.

175

176 Joint reaction forces

177

The glenohumeral joint reaction force (JRF) counteracts the combined muscle forces 178 transmitted across the joint. The scale of the JRF depends on the torque generated from the 179 180 activation of the muscles involved in moving the arm and resisting loads applied along its length.<sup>21</sup> Through dynamic shoulder tests at 90 degrees of abduction, the JRF has been 181 182 estimated to be  $337 \pm 88$  Newtons (N) when equal forces were applied to the cuff and deltoid muscles.<sup>28</sup> As the cuff and deltoid muscles are the primary abductors and rotators at the GHJ, 183 184 the magnitude of the JRF during active motion provides an indication of the competence of the 185 concavity compression mechanism (figure 3). It has been demonstrated in previous studies that 186 disruption of the transverse force couple, which occurs in large and massive rotator cuff tears, not only leads to increased translations of the humeral head of up to 8 mm during the initiation 187 of abduction.<sup>29</sup> but also to changes in the magnitude and direction of the JRF at the GHJ.<sup>14,30</sup> 188 189 Consequently, the degree to which different rotator cuff tear configurations effect the 190 mechanical integrity of the transverse force couple can be determined with respect to their effect on the magnitude and direction of the glenohumeral JRF during simulated active motion. 191 192 In a study of nine cadaveric specimens where motion of the full upper extremity was simulated using a dynamic shoulder testing apparatus, Parsons *et al*<sup>21</sup> showed that extension of cuff tears 193

194 beyond the supraspinatus tendon into the anterior and posterior aspect of the cuff led to a 195 significant decrease in the magnitude of the JRF, from 337 N to 126 N. Such tears also resulted 196 in a significant change in the direction of the JRF. These results emphasised the importance of 197 the transverse force couple on GHJ motion, compression and stability.

198

## 199 Development of Cuff Dysfunction

200

201 The aetiology of rotator cuff tears is considered to be multifactorial, including extrinsic as well 202 as intrinsic factors, which are summarised in table 1. The coracoacromial (CA) arch has a significant role in rotator cuff disease and comprises of the bony acromion, the CA ligament, 203 204 and the coracoid process. The supraspinatus traverses through the supraspinatus outlet with the 205 arch immediately above, and therefore, is at risk of compression between two bony surfaces; 206 the CA arch above and the humeral head below. This abutment of the cuff against the CA arch, 207 leading to impingement, tendonitis and cuff tear, was classically thought of as the primary driver of cuff disease.<sup>31</sup> This theory gained further momentum after Bigliani *et al*<sup>32</sup> proposed 208 209 that a down-sloping acromion in the sagittal plane could impinge upon the anterior cuff, 210 thereby causing cuff tears. Acromial morphology was divided into three types: type I (flat 211 under surface), type II (curved), and type III (hooked). Several authors have published findings showing a correlation between a hooked acromion and the development of a cuff tear,<sup>33,34</sup> 212 including a recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Morelli *et al*<sup>35</sup>. However, several 213 other studies have found that shoulders with a Bigliani Type III acromion are no more likely 214 to have a rotator cuff tear than shoulders with Type I or II acromions.<sup>36,37</sup> 215

216

Extrinsic compression can also be caused by factors including the presence of an os acromialeand the CA ligament itself, in addition to spurs arising from the acromion as well as the AC

joint.<sup>38</sup> Nyffeler et al<sup>39</sup> proposed that the acromion index, a measurement of the lateral 219 220 extension of the acromion, is associated with a higher incidence of rotator cuff disease. This was supported by Balke *et al*,<sup>40</sup> who concluded that the acromial index and low lateral acromial 221 angle may be associated with a higher incidence of rotator cuff tears. However, intrinsic 222 mechanisms of rotator cuff tendinopathy also exist, which impact on tendon morphology and 223 performance. Neer<sup>30</sup> described cuff disease as progressing through three stages of pathology 224 based on the age of the patient: less than 25 years (stage I), 25 - 40 years (stage II), and greater 225 than 40 years of age (stage III). Advancing age has also been shown to have a negative impact 226 on tendon properties.<sup>41,42</sup> 227

228

An inadequate vascular supply of rotator cuff tendons has been associated with cuff tendinopathy pathogenesis. This 'critical zone' of decreased vascularity, described by Codman,<sup>7</sup> resides approximately 1 cm from the cuff insertion on the greater tuberosity, and is the most common site for cuff tendon injury. The hypovascularity in this region decreases the healing capacity of tissues, predisposing patients to cuff tendinopathy<sup>43</sup> that tends to worsen with age.<sup>44</sup> However, there have been published studies refuting this notion, where a functional hypoperfusion area or 'critical zone' in the cuff was not demonstrated.<sup>45</sup>

236

Type I collagen fibres predominate in parallel bundles, with the thinner and weaker type III collagen occupying a much smaller proportion (approximately 5%). Non-uniform tissue with a low degree of fibre alignment has been shown to exist near the tendon insertion,<sup>46</sup> correlating with diminished mechanical properties. Histological studies have also shown greater disorganisation in the articular side than the more regularly arranged collagen in the bursal layers of the cuff tendons, which has been proposed to weaken the tendon and precede complete tendon tear.<sup>47</sup> 

# *Cuff tears*

247	Rotator cuff tears typically start at the deep surface of the anterior insertion of supraspinatus,
248	adjacent to the long head of biceps (LHB) tendon, as this area is subject to greater loads even
249	at rest. A popular mechanical narrative related to cuff progression describes rim-rent lesions
250	resulting from degenerative cuff tissue that are found 7 mm <sup>48</sup> or between 13-17 mm <sup>49</sup> behind
251	the biceps pulley. These lesions then induce reactive changes such as sclerosis and small cyst
252	formation on the footprint of the cuff, which can be identified on plain radiographs. These
253	lesions may heal, remain unchanged, or enlarge over time. If the latter occurs, over several
254	months or years, a full-thickness defect will result, ultimately progressing into a small crescent-
255	shaped tear. <sup>48</sup> As the cuff tear propagates and progresses from a small to moderate tear, the
256	strong anterior leading edge of the supraspinatus tendon holds firm and withstands uprooting,
257	whilst the flatter and thinner posterior tendon peels off and displaces easily, making the tear
258	asymmetric or 'L'-shaped. The supraspinatus is thus weakened, allowing the humeral head to
259	sublux superiorly, button-holing between the supraspinatus anteriorly and infraspinatus
260	posteriorly. <sup>48</sup>
261	

- *Cuff repair & healing*

Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair continues to provide a high success rate of subjective and functional results. With modern techniques being utilised, healing of small to large tears (1-4 cm) appears to be improving, with healing rates ranging from 83% to 93%.<sup>50,51</sup> However, successfully repairing massive tears (>4cm) remains a challenge despite surgical advances, with reported failure rates ranging from 21% to 91%. Factors known to be associated with enlargement of tears include increasing symptoms, the involvement of 2 or more tendons, and a lesion of the rotator cable.<sup>52-54</sup>

273

274 The double-row repair technique has been shown to provide a more robust repair, resembling 275 the native footprint compared to the classic single-row suture anchor repair. Although the 276 former technique may be expected to decrease the re-tear rate, short to mid-term clinical results have not demonstrated a consistently clear clinical benefit over single-row repairs.<sup>55</sup> More 277 recently, Pogorzelski et al<sup>56</sup> have published very encouraging results of transosseous-278 279 equivalent rotator cuff repairs using either knotted suture bridge or knotless tape bridge repair 280 techniques. Significant improvements in patient-reported outcomes and excellent survivorship were observed with both techniques at a minimum of 5 years.<sup>56</sup> 281

282

283 Tendon healing following surgical repair generally progresses through three phases. These 284 include an initial inflammatory phase, lasting around a week, followed by a proliferative phase, 285 lasting a few weeks, before entering the final remodelling phase, which lasts many months.<sup>57</sup> During the inflammatory phase, vascular permeability increases and inflammatory 286 287 cells enter the healing site, which produces several cytokines and growth factors that lead to 288 recruitment and proliferation of macrophages and tendon fibroblasts. During the proliferative 289 and remodelling phases of healing, fibroblasts proliferate and begin to produce, deposit, align 290 and cross-link collagen fibres. In cuff repairs, abundant fibroblasts from the tendon and 291 surrounding tissues produce a disorganised collagen scar tissue at the attachment site between 292 the cuff and bone, composed primarily of type I and III collagen.

294 The optimal post-repair rehabilitation strategies for cuff tendons are mainly based on studies 295 in the rat rotator cuff model, which have suggested a beneficial effect of immobilisation to prevent post-repair gapping and aid in healing. Protective immobilisation has demonstrated 296 297 improved healing compared to other post-repair loading protocols such as exercise or complete tendon unloading.<sup>58</sup> The mechanisms behind the benefits of immobilisation are unclear, 298 299 however, they are likely to include mechanical (prevention of gap formation) and biologic effects (reduced phagocytic macrophage accumulation).<sup>59</sup> However, further studies are needed 300 301 to assess the most appropriate rehabilitation strategies following the different presentations and 302 techniques used in rotator cuff repair.

303

#### 304 Conclusions

305

The rotator cuff tendons have an essential role in the stability and function of the shoulder. In this article, we have provided the reader with current concepts concerning rotator cuff biomechanics, cuff disease mechanisms, the importance of maintaining balanced force couples, and the effect this may have if this mechanism is lost. It has also highlighted the critical function the superior cuff and capsule have in maintaining glenohumeral joint stability, all of which have implications to both the surgical techniques being considered and the subsequent rehabilitation protocols applied.

#### 313 **References**

- 314
- Vosloo M, Keough N, De Beer MA. The clinical anatomy of the insertion of the rotator
   cuff tendons. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2017;27(3):359-66.
- 317 2. Huegel J, Williams AA, Soslowsky LJ. Rotator cuff biology and biomechanics: a
- review of normal and pathological conditions. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2015;17(1):476.
- 319 3. Soslowsky LJ, Carpenter JE, Bucchieri JS, Flatow EL. Biomechanics of the rotator
  320 cuff. Orthop Clin North Am. 1997;28(1):17-30.
- 4. Clark JM, Harryman DT, 2nd. Tendons, ligaments, and capsule of the rotator cuff.
  Gross and microscopic anatomy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1992;74(5):713-25.
- 323 5. Luck JV. Kinesiology of the Human Body under Normal and Pathological Conditions.
- 324 Arthur Steindler, M.D., (Hon.) F.R.C.S. Eng., F.A.C.S., F.I.C.S. Springfield, Illinois, Charles
- 325 C. Thomas. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, American Volume. 1955;37:1325
- 326 6. Schenkman M, Rugo de Cartaya V. Kinesiology of the shoulder complex. J Orthop
  327 Sports Phys Ther. 1987;8(9):438-50.
- 328 7. Codman E. Chapter II: Normal motions of the shoulder. Boston, MA. 1934:32-63.
- 329 8. Inman VT, Saunders JB, Abbott LC. Observations of the function of the shoulder joint.
- 330 1944. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1996 Sep;(330):3-12. doi: 10.1097/00003086-199609000-00002.
- 331 9. Laumann U. Kinesiology of the shoulder joint. Shoulder replacement: Springer; 1987.
  332 p. 23-31.
- 333 10. McClure PW, Michener LA, Sennett BJ, Karduna AR. Direct 3-dimensional
  334 measurement of scapular kinematics during dynamic movements in vivo. J Shoulder Elbow
  335 Surg. 2001;10(3):269-77.
- 336 11. Gray H, Williams PL, Gray H. Gray's anatomy. 37th ed. Edinburgh ; New York: C.
  337 Livingstone; 1989. 1598 p. p.

338 12. Culham E, Peat M. Functional anatomy of the shoulder complex. J Orthop Sports Phys
339 Ther. 1993;18(1):342-50.

Harryman DT, 2nd, Sidles JA, Clark JM, McQuade KJ, Gibb TD, Matsen FA, 3rd.
Translation of the humeral head on the glenoid with passive glenohumeral motion. J Bone Joint
Surg Am. 1990;72(9):1334-43.

343 14. Howell SM, Galinat BJ, Renzi AJ, Marone PJ. Normal and abnormal mechanics of the
344 glenohumeral joint in the horizontal plane. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1988;70(2):227-32.

345 15. McPherson EJ, Friedman RJ, An YH, Chokesi R, Dooley RL. Anthropometric study of
346 normal glenohumeral relationships. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 1997;6(2):105-12.

Lin JJ, Hanten WP, Olson SL, Roddey TS, Soto-quijano DA, Lim HK, et al. Functional
activity characteristics of individuals with shoulder dysfunctions. J Electromyogr Kinesiol.
2005;15(6):576-86.

17. Endo K, Ikata T, Katoh S, Takeda Y. Radiographic assessment of scapular rotational
tilt in chronic shoulder impingement syndrome. J Orthop Sci. 2001;6(1):3-10.

35218.Su KP, Johnson MP, Gracely EJ, Karduna AR. Scapular rotation in swimmers with and

353 without impingement syndrome: practice effects. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2004;36(7):1117-23.

354 19. Graichen H, Stammberger T, Bonel H, Wiedemann E, Englmeier KH, Reiser M, et al.

Three-dimensional analysis of shoulder girdle and supraspinatus motion patterns in patients with impingement syndrome. J Orthop Res. 2001;19(6):1192-8.

357 20. Mell AG, LaScalza S, Guffey P, Ray J, Maciejewski M, Carpenter JE, et al. Effect of
358 rotator cuff pathology on shoulder rhythm. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(1 Suppl S):58S359 64S.

21. Parsons IM, Apreleva M, Fu FH, Woo SL. The effect of rotator cuff tears on reaction
forces at the glenohumeral joint. J Orthop Res. 2002;20(3):439-46.

362 22. Lippitt SB, Vanderhooft JE, Harris SL, Sidles JA, Harryman DT, Matsen FA.
363 Glenohumeral stability from concavity-compression: a quantitative analysis. J Shoulder Elbow
364 Surg. 1993;2:27-35.

365 23. Habermeyer P, Schuller U, Wiedemann E. The intra-articular pressure of the shoulder:
366 an experimental study on the role of the glenoid labrum in stabilizing the joint. Arthroscopy.
367 1992;8(2):166-72. doi: 10.1016/0749-8063(92)90031-6.

368 24. Saha AK. Dynamic stability of the glenohumeral joint. Acta Orthop Scand.
369 1971;42(6):491-505. doi: 10.3109/17453677108989066.

370 25. Turkel SJ, Panio MW, Marshall JL, Girgis FG. Stabilizing mechanisms preventing 371 anterior dislocation of the glenohumeral joint. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1981 Oct;63(8):1208-17. 372 26. Mihata T, McGarry MH, Pirolo JM, Kinoshita M, Lee TQ. Superior capsule 373 reconstruction to restore superior stability in irreparable rotator cuff tears: a biomechanical 374 cadaveric study. Am J Sports Med. 2012 Oct;40(10):2248-55. doi: 10.1177/0363546512456195. Epub 2012 Aug 10. 375

27. Ishihara Y, Mihata T, Tamboli M, Nguyen L, Park KJ, McGarry MH, Takai S, Lee TQ.

377 Role of the superior shoulder capsule in passive stability of the glenohumeral joint. J Shoulder

378 Elbow Surg. 2014 May;23(5):642-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2013.09.025. Epub 2013 Dec 31.

Apreleva M, Parsons IM 4th, Warner JJ, Fu FH, Woo SL. Experimental investigation
of reaction forces at the glenohumeral joint during active abduction. J Shoulder Elbow Surg.
2000 Sep-Oct;9(5):409-17. doi: 10.1067/mse.2000.106321. PMID: 11075325.

382 29. Thompson WO, Debski RE, Boardman ND 3rd, Taskiran E, Warner JJ, Fu FH, Woo
383 SL. A biomechanical analysis of rotator cuff deficiency in a cadaveric model. Am J Sports
384 Med. 1996 May-Jun;24(3):286-92. doi: 10.1177/036354659602400307.

- 30. Howell SM, Kraft TA. The role of the supraspinatus and infraspinatus muscles in
  glenohumeral kinematics of anterior should instability. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1991
  Feb;(263):128-34.
- 388 31. Neer CS 2nd. Anterior acromioplasty for the chronic impingement syndrome in the
  389 shoulder: a preliminary report. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1972 Jan;54(1):41-50.
- 390 32. Bigliani LU, Morrison DS, April EW. The morphology of the acromion and its
  391 relationship to rotator cuff tears. Orthop Trans. 1986;10:216.
- 392 33. Nicholson GP, Goodman DA, Flatow EL, Bigliani LU. The acromion: morphologic
  393 condition and age-related changes. A study of 420 scapulas. J Shoulder Elbow Surg.
  394 1996;5:1e11.
- 395 34. Worland RL, Lee D, Orozco CG, SozaRex F, Keenan J. Correlation of age, acromial
  396 morphology, and rotator cuff tear pathology diagnosed by ultrasound in asymptomatic patients.
  397 J South Orthop Assoc. 2003;12:23e26.
- 398 35. Morelli KM, Martin BR, Charakla FH, Durmisevic A, Warren GL. Acromion
  399 morphology and prevalence of rotator cuff tear: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin
  400 Anat. 2019 Jan;32(1):122-30. doi: 10.1002/ca.23309.
- 401 36. Moor BK, Wieser K, Slankamenac K, Gerber C, Bouaicha S. Relationship of individual
  402 scapular anatomy and degenerative rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2014;23:536–
  403 41.
- 404 37. Pandey V, Vijayan D, Tapashetti S, Agarwal L, Kamath A, Acharya K, Maddukuri S,
  405 Willems WJ. Does scapular morphology affect the integrity of the rotator cuff? J Shoulder
  406 Elbow Surg. 2016;5:413–21.
- 407 38. Hamid N, Omid R, Yamaguchi K, Steger-May K, Stobbs G, Keener JD. Relationship
  408 of radiographic acromial characteristics and rotator cuff disease: a prospective investigation of

409 clinical, radiographic, and sonographic findings. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2012
410 Oct;21(10):1289-98. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2011.09.028. Epub 2012 Jan 3.

39. Nyffeler RW, Werner CM, Sukthankar A, Schmid MR, Gerber C. Association of a
large lateral extension of the acromion with rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006
Apr;88(4):800-5. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.D.03042.

414 40. Balke M, Schmidt C, Dedy N, Banerjee M, Bouillon B, Liem D. Correlation of acromial
415 morphology with impingement syndrome and rotator cuff tears. Acta Orthop. 2013
416 Apr;84(2):178-83. doi: 10.3109/17453674.2013.773413. Epub 2013 Feb 15.

417 41. Woo SL, An KN, Frank CB, Livesay GA, Ma CB, Zeminski J, et al., Anatomy, biology,
418 and biomechanics of tendon and ligament. In: Buckwalter J, Einhorn T, Simon S (Eds.),
419 Orthopaedic Basic Science. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Park Ridge, 2000
420 42. Yamaguchi K, Tetro AM, Blam O, Evanoff BA, Teefey SA, Middleton WD. Natural
421 history of asymptomatic rotator cuff tears: a longitudinal analysis of asymptomatic tears

422 detected sonographically. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2001 May-Jun;10(3):199-203. doi:
423 10.1067/mse.2001.113086.

424 43. Biberthaler P, Wiedemann E, Nerlich A, Kettler M, Mussack T, Deckelmann S, et al.
425 Microcirculation associated with degenerative rotator cuff lesions. In vivo assessment with
426 orthogonal polarization spectral imaging during arthroscopy of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg
427 Am. 2003 Mar;85(3):475-80.

428 44. Rudzki JR, Adler RS, Warren RF, Kadrmas WR, Verma N, Pearle AD, et al. Contrast429 enhanced ultrasound characterization of the vascularity of the rotator cuff tendon: age- and
430 activity-related changes in the intact asymptomatic rotator cuff. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2008
431 Jan-Feb;17(1 Suppl):96S-100S. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2007.07.004.

432 45. Levy O, Relwani J, Zaman T, Even T, Venkateswaran B, Copeland S. Measurement of
433 blood flow in the rotator cuff using laser Doppler flowmetry. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008
434 Jul;90(7):893-8. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.90B7.19918.

435 46. Lake SP, Miller KS, Elliott DM, Soslowsky LJ. Effect of fiber distribution and
436 realignment on the nonlinear and inhomogeneous mechanical properties of human
437 supraspinatus tendon under longitudinal tensile loading. J Orthop Res. 2009 Dec;27(12):1596438 602. doi: 10.1002/jor.20938.

439 47. Hashimoto T, Nobuhara K, Hamada T. Pathologic evidence of degeneration as a
440 primary cause of rotator cuff tear. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2003 Oct;(415):111-20. doi:
441 10.1097/01.blo.0000092974.12414.22.

442 48. Bunker T, Rotator cuff disease. Current Orthopaedics. 2002;16:223-33.
443 10.1054/cuor.2002.0257.

444 49. Kim HM, Dahiya N, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Stobbs G, Steger-May K, et al.
445 Location and initiation of degenerative rotator cuff tears: an analysis of three hundred and sixty
446 shoulders. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010 May;92(5):1088-96. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.I.00686.

447 50. Iannotti JP, Deutsch A, Green A, Rudicel S, Christensen J, Marraffino S, et al. Time to
448 failure after rotator cuff repair: a prospective imaging study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013 Jun
449 5;95(11):965-71. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.L.00708.

51. Namdari S, Donegan RP, Chamberlain AM, Galatz LM, Yamaguchi K, Keener JD.
Factors affecting outcome after structural failure of repaired rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint
Surg Am. 2014 Jan 15;96(2):99-105. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.M.00551.

453 52. Maman E, Harris C, White L, Tomlinson G, Shashank M, Boynton E. Outcome of
454 nonoperative treatment of symptomatic rotator cuff tears monitored by magnetic resonance
455 imaging. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009 Aug;91(8):1898-906. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.G.01335.

- Mall NA, Kim HM, Keener JD, Steger-May K, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, et al.
  Symptomatic progression of asymptomatic rotator cuff tears: a prospective study of clinical
  and sonographic variables. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010 Nov 17;92(16):2623-33. doi:
  10.2106/JBJS.I.00506.
- 460 54. Safran O, Schroeder J, Bloom R, Weil Y, Milgrom C. Natural history of nonoperatively
  461 treated symptomatic rotator cuff tears in patients 60 years old or younger. Am J Sports Med.
  462 2011 Apr;39(4):710-4. doi: 10.1177/0363546510393944. Epub 2011 Feb 10.
- 463 55. Xu B, Chen L, Zou J, Gu Y, Peng K. The Clinical Effect of Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff
  464 Repair techniques: A Network Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:4143.
  465 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40641-3
- 466 56. Pogorzelski J, Fritz EM, Horan MP, Katthagen JC, Hussain ZB, Godin JA, et al.
  467 Minimum Five-year Outcomes and Clinical Survivorship for Arthroscopic Transosseous468 equivalent Double-row Rotator Cuff Repair. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2019 Dec
  469 15;27(24):e1093-e1101. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-18-00519.
- 470 57. Voleti PB, Buckley MR, Soslowsky LJ. Tendon healing: repair and regeneration. Annu
  471 Rev Biomed Eng. 2012;14:47-71. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071811-150122.
- 472 58. Thomopoulos S, Williams GR, Soslowsky LJ. Tendon to bone healing: differences in
- 473 biomechanical, structural, and compositional properties due to a range of activity levels. J
- 474 Biomech Eng. 2003 Feb;125(1):106-13. doi: 10.1115/1.1536660.
- 475 59. Dagher E, Hays PL, Kawamura S, Godin J, Deng XH, Rodeo SA. Immobilization
- 476 modulates macrophage accumulation in tendon-bone healing. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2009
- 477 Jan;467(1):281-7. doi: 10.1007/s11999-008-0512-0. Epub 2008 Oct 1.



479 Figure 1 - Anatomical structures around the shoulder, in particular showing the insertions of

- 480 the rotator cuff tendons (courtesy of shoulderpedia.co.uk)
- 481



483 Figure 2 - Diagrammatic representation of the transverse plane force couple (courtesy of

484 shoulderpedia.co.uk)

485



487 Figure 3 - Diagrammatic representation of the joint reaction forces acting across the shoulder

488 joint (courtesy of shoulderpedia.co.uk)

489

Extrinsic factors	Intrinsic factors	
Downsloping acromion	Age-related degeneration	
*CA ligament / Os acromiale	Vascular insufficiency	
AC joint spurs	Tendon properties	
Lateral extension of acromion		

490 \**CA* = *coracoacromial*; *AC* = *acromioclavicular* 

- 492 493 Table 1 - Factors associated with the aetiology of cuff tears