

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Protection Motivation Theory and consumers' food safety behaviour in response to COVID-19
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/41608/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2022.109029
Date	2022
Citation	Soon, Jan Mei (2022) Protection Motivation Theory and consumers' food safety behaviour in response to COVID-19. Food Control. ISSN 0956-7135
Creators	Soon, Jan Mei

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2022.109029

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

# **1** Protection Motivation Theory and consumers' food safety behaviour in response to

2

## COVID-19

## 3 Abstract

4 The threat of COVID-19 has altered consumers shopping behaviour and increased consumers' 5 willingness to purchase food using online food delivery services. Consumers were more likely to practice strict hand hygiene measures and were concerned with food safety. Such behaviours were 6 likely driven by the fear and threat of contracting COVID-19. This study aims to use Protective 7 8 Motivation Theory (PMT) to investigate how COVID-19 affects food shopping and food safety 9 behaviour. An online, cross-sectional study was conducted in Indonesia and Malaysia to determine 10 the protective motivation to engage in three food shopping and hygiene practices such as i) Safe food shopping behaviour; ii) Hand hygiene and avoiding cross contamination; and iii) Use of online food 11 12 delivery services. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Spearman rho's correlation and 13 binary logistic regression. A total of 1,180 responses were received of which 1,129 were valid. Gender was identified as a significant predictor across all food safety behaviours during COVID-19. 14 15 Response efficacy and self-efficacy were significant predictors for food shopping behaviour while 16 perceived severity significantly predicted hand hygiene practices after shopping. Age, frequency of 17 food preparation and shopping, perceived severity, perceived vulnerability, response efficacy and self-18 efficacy were significant predictors for use of online food delivery services. Our findings suggest that 19 women were more likely to engage in protective measures during food shopping, carry out hand 20 hygiene practices after shopping and use online food delivery services during COVID-19. Participants 21 with higher response and self-efficacy scores were more likely to shop from markets or shops with 22 high hygiene standards while participants who perceived COVID-19 as a serious threat were more 23 likely to clean and sanitise their hands after shopping. Participants also believed that the use of online 24 food delivery services helps to reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection. However, foods should be 25 purchased from trusted restaurants or takeaways. This is the first study to use Protection Motivation 26 Theory to explore consumers' food shopping, hand hygiene and online food delivery practices during 27 COVID-19.

28 Keywords: food safety practices; hand hygiene; online delivery; perceived severity; perceived

- 29 vulnerability; self-efficacy; shopping; response efficacy
- 30

# 31 Highlights:

- Gender was a significant predictor across all food safety behaviours during COVID-19.
- Women were more likely to engage in protective measures.
- Response efficacy and self-efficacy were significant predictors for food shopping behaviour.
- Perceived severity significantly predicted hand hygiene practices after shopping.
- Threat and coping appraisals significantly predicted intention to use online food delivery services.
- 37

## 38 Introduction

- 39 The supply and demand for food were significantly affected by COVID-19. Outbreaks of COVID-19
- 40 have closed multiple food production sites and disrupted food supply chains (Middleton, 2020;
- 41 Saitone et al., 2021). To prevent the spread of COVID-19, individuals have changed their work,
- 42 dietary and shopping behaviours. The change in consumer behaviour during shopping and food
- 43 handling practices during the COVID-19 pandemic were largely driven by fear for health (Eger et al.,
- 44 2021), stress and anxiety (Haas et al., 2020; Soon et al., 2021). This has increased precautions in
- 45 grocery shopping, handwashing and sanitation behaviours. For example, the fear of COVID-19

46 increased consumers' willingness to use online food delivery services (Gavilan et al., 2021).

- 47 Consumers were less willing to shop indoors (Grashuis et al., 2020) and exhibited unusual retail consumer behaviour such as hoarding toilet paper, disinfectant and cleaning products, water and food 48 49 (Kirk & Rifkin, 2020; Laato et al., 2020). A study by Rodrigues et al. (2021) revealed that Brazilians 50 were buying a greater amount of food and more than half of the respondents reduced their shopping 51 trips to markets. Consumers were also more concerned with food safety and hygienic practices, as 52 40% of the respondents do not trust the food safety of packaged food sold in markets (Rodrigues et 53 al., 2021). More than 70% of respondents in Malaysia would sanitise the surfaces such as shopping trolleys or basket handles prior to using them and shop as quickly as possible to minimise contact 54
- 55 56

with others (Soon et al., 2021).

57 The pandemic has altered consumers' food safety practices, some to the extent of using disinfectants 58 to clean fresh fruits and vegetables. A large number of consumers in Lebanon and Jordan used 59 vinegar and soap whilst a high proportion of Tunisians used chlorine bleach solution to clean fresh 60 fruits and vegetables. There was also a significant increase in reported handwashing practices, 61 especially after returning home and after touching food packages and shopping bag (Faour-Klingbeil et al. 2021a). There is no evidence suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted through food or food 62 packaging (EFSA, 2020; WHO, 2020a). Although FAO and WHO (2020a) proposed that touching food 63 64 packages or containers contaminated with SARS-CoV-2 could transmit the virus to the mouth, eyes, 65 or nostril, but this is not the main route for transmission. Studies had evaluated the survival of SARS-66 CoV-2 on different surfaces and found that the virus could remain for hours or days depending on the 67 physical characteristics of the surfaces. The virus was found to remain viable up to 72 hours on plastic or stainless-steel surfaces, up to 24 hours on cardboard and four hours on copper (Kampf et 68 69 al., 2020; van Doremalen et al., 2020). 70

Studies also revealed that consumers in the U.S. used online food deliveries (OFD) more frequently 71 72 during the COVID-19 pandemic. The number of consumers who used OFD more than once a week 73 has increased while those who used OFD services once a month or less has decreased (Hong et al., 2021). Features of online food delivery services such as non-cash transactions and less physical visits 74 75 to brick and mortar stores are highly important. Researchers reported that during the COVID-19 pandemic, OFD has been widely utilised in Brazil (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Zanetta et al., 2021), 76 77 Indonesia (Prasetyo et al., 2021), Pakistan (Ali et al., 2021) and Malaysia (Kamel, 2021). For 78 example, GrabFood which is one of the main OFD in Malaysia recorded a 25% increase in revenue 79 and more than 8,000 restaurants signed up to the platform (Kamel, 2021). This contradicts the 80 findings from Faour-Klingbeil et al. (2021a) who revealed that reliance on home delivery for food and 81 groceries were uncommon despite reduced shopping frequency in physical stores.

82

83 Protection motivation theory (PMT) originally describes the effects of fear appeals on health threats 84 and how it motivates individuals to react in a self-protective way (Rogers, 1975). PMT was further expanded to provide general persuasive messages and cognitive mediating processes (Norman et al., 85 86 2015; Rogers, 1983). Broadly, PMT is divided into threat and coping appraisal. Threat appraisal 87 focuses on the severity and vulnerability to risk while coping appraisal refers to the individual's 88 consideration of the recommended behaviour in response to threat (response efficacy) and their 89 ability to implement the recommendations (self-efficacy) (Norman et al., 2015). PMT has been 90 applied in multiple areas especially to study the effects of health and safety risks (Bui et al., 2013; Lin 91 & Chang, 2021; Ong et al., 2021) and more recently motivation for COVID-19 vaccination and 92 protective behaviour against COVID-19 (Eberhardt & Ling, 2021; Kim et al., 2021). PMT has been 93 used to investigate food safety-related topics in several studies, such as how employees in food 94 services react to food safety threats (Harris et al., 2021), reaction of diners towards a food safety 95 violation in a restaurant (Harris et al., 2020), safe food handling behaviour (Choi et al., 2019; Mullan et al., 2016) and the public's behavioural intentions for safe food choices (Chen, 2016). To date there 96 97 is no study that explores PMT on how COVID-19 affects food shopping and food safety behaviour. 98 This study aims to use the PMT model to determine consumers' food shopping, food safety and online

99 100

#### 101 Methodology

102 Study design

A cross-sectional study was employed in Indonesia and Malaysia to determine the protection
 motivation to engage in three food shopping and hygiene practices such as i) Safe food shopping
 behaviour; ii) Hand hygiene and avoiding cross contamination; and iii) Use of online food delivery

- 106 services.
- 107

108 Questionnaire Development

food delivery practices during COVID-19.

109 The questionnaire was divided into six sections i.e. demographics and food preparation & shopping

110 practices (6 questions); perceived severity (5 questions); perceived vulnerability (5 questions);

response efficacy (5 questions); self-efficacy (5 questions) and protection motivation (3 questions).

112 Demographics information included age, gender, frequency of food shopping & preparation and use

113 of online food delivery services. The measurement scales were developed based on the constructs of

the PMT model (Rogers, 1983) and related food safety topics such as hand hygiene (Dwipayanti et

al., 2021; Olaimat et al., 2020), safe food handling behaviour (Mullan et al., 2016), food shopping

116 (Faour-Klingbeil et al., 2021a; Soon et al., 2021) and use of online food delivery services during

117 COVID-19 (Hong et al., 2021; Olaimat et al., 2020). Our study adapted the constructs and

118 measurement scales developed by Mullan et al. (2016). We define perceived severity as how seriously

- an individual believes that COVID-19 will be a threat during food shopping and food handling.
- 120 Perceived vulnerability is how susceptible an individual feels to the threat of COVID-19 during food

- shopping and food handling. Self-efficacy refers to the perceptions of respondents' own abilities to
- 122 carry out recommended protective actions. Response efficacy refers to the perceptions or beliefs in
- 123 the efficacy of the recommended practices. Respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which
- 124 they agree with each statement for each construct (i.e., perceived severity, perceived vulnerability,
- self-efficacy and response efficacy) on a seven-point Likert scale, where 1=strongly disagree;
- 126 7=strongly agree. The questionnaire was translated into Bahasa Indonesia (Indonesian language)
- and Bahasa Malaysia (Malay language) by the second and third authors and back translated into
- 128 English. We sent the questionnaire to four food safety experts for content validity. The questionnaire
- 129 was pilot tested among 50 undergraduate students from Indonesia and Malaysia to ensure clarity and
- 130 if revision was required. The Cronbach's alpha for each construct was as follow: perceived severity
- 131 (0.793), perceived vulnerability (0.832), response efficacy (0.818) and self-efficacy (0.809), all of
- which are above the 0.60 threshold and indicates high reliability (Hair et al., 2009).
- 133

# 134 Perceived severity

To measure the perceived severity of three food shopping and hygiene practices, participants were asked to what extent they agreed with the statements. Perceived severity for food shopping practices were measured using two items: i) 'Risks of COVID-19 infection seriously influence my choice of shopping in market or shops' and ii) 'The risk from shopping in person makes me anxious'. Hand hygiene and handling practices were measured using two items: i) 'Not washing my hands after returning home from shopping makes me anxious' and ii) 'Not wiping or disposing food packaging after shopping makes me feel at risk'. While measurement of using OFD was based on one item i.e.

- 142 'Using OFD makes me less anxious'.
- 143
- 144 Perceived vulnerability

Perceived vulnerability of food shopping was measured using two items i) 'If I shop in person at markets or shops, I feel my health is at risk'; and ii) 'If I see other people who don't follow hygiene measures while shopping, I feel vulnerable to COVID-19'. Two items such as i) 'I wipe food packaging as I feel my health is at risk if the packaging has been contaminated with coronavirus' and ii) 'I wash my hands before preparing food as I feel my health is at risk if cross contamination happens'. OFD was measured using the statement: 'I choose trusted restaurants if I order online delivery, as it's less risky'.

152

## 153 Response efficacy

154 Response efficacy on food shopping was based on two items i.e. i) 'Shopping from clean markets or

- shops helps to reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection' and ii) 'Avoiding shops at busy times helps to
- reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection'. Hygienic practices were based on i) 'Cross contamination of
- raw and cooked food should be avoided to reduce health risk' and ii) 'Cleaning and sanitising hands

- helps to reduce risk of COVID-19 infection'. OFD was measured using the statement: 'Buying takeouts online helps to reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection'.
- 160

#### 161 Self-efficacy

- i) 'I know which markets or shops that maintain high hygiene standard' and ii) 'I know the best time
- to shop to avoid crowds' were used to measure self-efficacy of food shopping. To measure self-
- 164 efficacy of hygiene practices, the following items were used: i) 'I feel confident cooking fresh food
- bought from clean markets or shops' and ii) 'I am confident my cleaning and sanitising practices at
- 166 home helps to reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection'. Self-efficacy of OFD was measured using 'I feel
- 167 confident eating take-outs ordered online.'
- 168
- 169 Protection Motivation
- 170 Protection motivation were measured using three questions i.e. 'Due to the pandemic.... i) I intend to
- 171 shop from markets or shops with high hygiene standards'; ii) 'I intend to clean and sanitise my hands
- after shopping'; and iii) 'I intend to order food using online food delivery services more frequently'.
- 173

174 Online Survey

- 175 An online survey (https://admin.onlinesurveys.ac.uk/) was conducted among consumers who
- 176 currently reside in Indonesia or Malaysia and were involved in food shopping and preparation of food.
- 177 Convenience and snowball sampling were used. Online consent was obtained prior to completing the
- 178 survey. All responses were anonymised.
- 179
- 180 Statistical Analysis
- 181 Descriptive statistics, Spearman rho's correlation and three binary logistic regression analyses were
- 182 conducted using IBM SPSS 28.0 to determine the protection motivation on food shopping practices,
- 183 hand hygiene & cross contamination and online food delivery services during COVID-19. P value <
- 184 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
- 185

## 186 **Results**

- 187 A total of 1,180 responses were received of which 1,129 were valid. Table 1 shows the demographics
- 188 characteristics of the participants from both countries. More than 55% of the participants prepared
- 189 food at home daily and 37.6% carried out food shopping 2–3 times/week during the pandemic.
- 190 GrabFood (available in Indonesia and Malaysia), GoFood or Golek (available in Indonesia) and
- 191 FoodPanda (available in Malaysia) were the most common food delivery apps used by the
- 192 respondents (Table 1). Such delivery apps are often used to purchase takeaway cooked food or
- 193 meals.
- 194
- 195 Insert Table 1

196

Tables 2–4 show the correlation between perceived severity, perceived vulnerability, response efficacy and self-efficacy when shopping for food or groceries, carrying out hygienic practices and using online food delivery services. Significant and positive correlations were found across all constructs for each activity.

201

202 Insert Tables 2 – 4

203

204 Food shopping practices

- 205 The logistic regression model was statistically significant  $\chi^2$  (9, N=1129) = 51.072, p<0.001)
- 206 indicating that the model was able to distinguish between participants who due to the pandemic
- 207 intend or did not intend to shop from markets or shops with high hygiene standards. The model
- 208 explains between 4.4% (Cox and Snell R square) and 10.2% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in
- 209 food shopping practices, with 99.4% cases correctly classified in the model. Gender (OR = 0.545,
- 210 p<0.05), response efficacy (OR=0.766, p<0.01) and self-efficacy (OR=0.765, p<0.05) were
- significant predictors in the model (Table 5). Women were 0.5 times more likely to shop from markets
- or shops with high hygiene standards. Participants with higher response and self-efficacy scores
- 213 were more likely to shop from markets or shops with high hygiene standards.
- 214

215 Insert Table 5

- 216
- 217 Hand hygiene practices during COVID-19

The model was able to distinguish between participants who due to the pandemic intend to clean and sanitise their hands after shopping,  $\chi^2$  (9, N=1129) = 46.923, p<0.001). Hosmer and Lemeshow Test shows the model was a good fit for the data  $\chi^2$  (8, N=1129) = 6.718, p=0.567) and explains between 4.1% (Cox and Snell R square) and 13.7% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in handwashing and sanitising practices after shopping with 99.9% cases correctly classified in the model. Gender

- 223 (OR=0.377, p<0.01) and perceived severity (OR=0.665, p<0.05) were significant predictors in the
- model (Table 6). Women and participants who perceived that COVID-19 is a serious threat were more
- likely to clean and sanitise their hands after shopping.
- 226
- 227 Insert Table 6

228

- 229 Using online food delivery services during COVID-19
- 230 The logistic regression model was statistically significant  $\chi^2$  (9, N=1129) = 225.851, p<0.001)
- 231 indicating that the model was able to distinguish between participants who due to the pandemic were
- more likely to use food delivery services. Hosmer and Lemeshow Test shows the model was a good fit
- 233 for the data  $\chi^2$  (8, N=1129) = 8.590, p=0.378) and explains between 18.1% (Cox and Snell R

- square) and 24.2% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in using food delivery services. Gender
- 235 (OR=1.452, p<0.01), age (OR=1.291, p<0.001), frequency of food shopping (OR=0.873, p<0.05),
- frequency of food preparation (OR=1.261, p<0.001), perceived severity (OR=0.899, p<0.05),

perceived vulnerability (OR=1.149, p<0.01), response efficacy (OR=0.636, p<0.001) and self-efficacy

- 238 (OR=0.771, p<0.001) were significant predictors (Table 7). Women were 1.452 times more likely to
- use online food delivery services than men. Increasing perceived severity, perceived vulnerability,
- 240 response efficacy and self-efficacy scores were associated with increased likelihood of using OFD.
- 241
- 242 Insert Table 7
- 243

## 244 Discussion

245 Gender was identified as a significant predictor across all food safety behaviours during COVID-19.

- 246 Previous studies had shown that a higher percentage of women reported avoiding public spaces and
- 247 being more supportive of social distancing (Czeisler et al., 2020), avoid 3Cs such as closed spaces,
- crowded spaces and close-contact (Muto et al., 2020), engage in frequent hand hygiene practices and
- 249 were more likely to rate the seriousness of COVID-19 threat as high (Wolf et al., 2020). Women in
- 250 Indonesia also reported more handwashing frequencies when arriving home and before eating or
- 251 preparing food (Dwipayanti et al., 2021). Our findings are aligned with previous studies including a
- multi-country study by Galasso et al. (2020) who found women were more likely to perceive the
- 253 pandemic as a very serious health threat and tend to adhere to safe preventive measures. This could
- 254 be due to women being more risk averse than men and women tend to believe they are more likely
- to be infected (Galasso et al., 2020; Lewis & Duch, 2021).
- 256

## 257 Food shopping practices

258 Response efficacy and self-efficacy were identified as significant predictors for food shopping 259 practices. Consumers were confident in the efficacy of shopping from markets or shops that 260 maintained high hygiene level and were less congested. They were also confident in their abilities to 261 identify shops that carried out cleaning and hygiene procedures and best time to shop for groceries to avoid queues and minimise contact with other customers. This is in line with the recommendations by 262 263 WHO (2020b) and WHO (2021) advice for the public in South East Asia while shopping for food during the COVID-19 pandemic. There is a clear need to shop from supermarkets with high hygiene 264 265 standard. Shops with higher number of staff and the probability of staff being infected is much higher for supermarkets. Li and Tang (2022) found that the average infection probability for a customer 266 visiting a supermarket was  $6.22 \times 10^{-6}$  compared to  $1.40 \times 10^{-6}$  for visiting one small shop. Wet 267 268 markets are also common in Indonesia and Malaysia and one could often find a variety of fresh produce, meat, seafood, and poultry sold in semi open-air environments (Nadimpalli & Pickering, 269 270 2020). Wet markets are often humid, have poor ventilation in enclosed areas, insufficient hygiene 271 facilities and this may contribute to viral transmission. Toilets and handwashing facilities were found

to be inadequate in wet markets in Malaysia (Soon & Abdul Wahab, 2021); and consumers would

- 273 need to select markets with adequate hygiene facilities and cleaning procedures and avoid crowds.
- 274

#### 275 Hand hygiene practices during COVID-19

276 Perceived severity significantly predicted intention to carry out hand hygiene practices. Our study 277 revealed that consumers in Indonesia and Malaysia who perceived COVID-19 as a serious threat were 278 more likely to wash their hands after arriving home from shopping and cleaning food packaging to 279 avoid cross contamination. Similar findings were reported in Indonesia where respondents who 280 perceived COVID-19 as a serious threat were more likely to wash their hands frequently (Dwipayanti 281 et al. 2021). Consumers from Arab countries also reported a significantly higher frequencies of 282 handwashing when returning home, after touching food packages and before food handling (Faour-283 Klingbeil et al., 2021a) and were extremely concerned about touching contaminated food packaging 284 (Faour-Klingbeil et al., 2021b). Since the pandemic, Ministry of Health (MOH) Malaysia had provided multiple programmes on handwashing techniques and use of hand sanitisers on the Official Portal of 285 286 MOH and social media and had been instrumental in urging all individuals to practice personal 287 hygiene (Md Shah et al., 2020; Tang, 2020), while the Government of Indonesia recommended the '3 Ms' including 'memakai masker' (wearing mask), 'menjaga jarak' (social distancing) and 'mencuci 288 tangan pakai sabun' (handwashing with soap) (Dwipayanti et al., 2021). Hand hygiene is identified as 289 290 one of the most effective interventions to stop the spread of pathogens including SARS-CoV-2 virus 291 (CDC, 2020a; WHO, 2020c). Kwok et al. (2015) found that participants involuntarily touched their 292 faces over 20 times per hour, with higher frequencies on the mouth, nose, and eyes. Contact 293 transmission of COVID-19, i.e. touching contaminated surfaces followed by hand to facial mucosa has been identified as a potential infection route (Przekwas & Chen, 2020). Hence, the threat of 294 295 contracting COVID-19 most likely drove the participants in our study to wash their hands after 296 shopping. Participants may also be concerned about the possibility of being infected after touching 297 contaminated surfaces such as food packaging. WHO recommended that it is not necessary to 298 disinfect food packaging materials, but hands should be properly washed after handling food 299 packages and before eating (WHO, 2020c). Although there is no evidence that SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted via food and food packaging (EFSA, 2020; WHO, 2020d), however, consumers are likely 300 301 to be highly concerned and preferred to wipe down the food packaging as an additional measure. For 302 example, an outbreak of COVID-19 in Singapore was linked to physical contact and sharing of food 303 among participants at a conference (Pung et al., 2020). Thus, the increased perception of risk 304 associated with touching contaminated surfaces and being infected with COVID-19 motivated participants to clean their hands and food packaging after shopping. 305

- 306
- 307 Using online food delivery services during COVID-19
- 308 In OFD usage intention, all predictors except country significantly affected intention to use online
- food delivery services. Younger adults were more likely to use OFD. Globally, young people (18-34)

310 are the main users of OFD platforms (Statista, 2022). OFD services are commonly used by young, 311 working adults with higher disposable incomes in Australia (Bates et al., 2020), Malaysia (Yusra & Agus, 2019) and Indonesia (Ilham, 2018). Our findings also showed an inverse relationship between 312 313 frequency of food preparation and shopping. Those who infrequently prepared food at home (e.g., 314 once or less than once a week) and those who relied solely on delivery services or shopped for food 4 315 - 6 times / week were more likely to use OFD. Perceived severity and vulnerability were found to 316 significantly affect use of OFD during COVID-19 and corroborate with Gavilan et al. (2021) where fear 317 of COVID-19 increased consumers preference for OFD. People with higher perceived severity and vulnerability to an adverse health condition (i.e. COVID-19) were more likely to take protective 318 319 measures purchasing food online (Carpenter, 2010). But our study contradicts findings from Hong et 320 al. (2021) and Mehrolia et al. (2020) where perceived severity and vulnerability were not associated 321 with use of OFD during COVID-19. In fact, Mehrolia et al. (2020) found that high perception of risk 322 leads to negative purchase intentions via OFDs; linked to uncertainty involved in the purchase and 323 perception of being infected through delivery partners. Consumers in Malaysia and Indonesia who showed high response efficacy and self-efficacy were more confident in their abilities to use OFD. 324 325 Food delivery and curb-side pickup were recommended as measures to maintain social distancing practices and minimise spread of COVID-19 (CDC, 2020b; FDA, 2020). The risk of using OFD is 326 327 lessened compared to visiting physical restaurants, as the probability of contracting COVID-19 is 328 reduced due to social distancing, hence improving consumers' beliefs in their response and self-329 efficacy. Although there is risk of transmission from delivery employees who are often highly mobile 330 with access to a wide range of clients (Ortiz-Prado et al., 2021), consumers' practices of wiping and 331 disposing off food packaging and adhering to hand hygiene practices potentially helped to mitigate the risk. The participants in our study tend to use online food delivery from trusted restaurants and 332 333 reflects the study by Soon and Xin (2020) who found that Chinese consumers prefer to purchase food 334 from 'time-honoured' (reputable) or familiar restaurants. They tend to check online reviews and prefer recommendations through word of mouth. Strict lockdown measures imposed during the 335 336 pandemic and travel or mobility restrictions had further affected consumers' willingness to dine out in 337 Malaysia (Rodzi, 2021) and Indonesia (The Jakarta Post, 2020). A large number of restaurants that transitioned to online catering in both countries supported the use of OFD and consumers understood 338 339 the use of OFDs as a protective measure. The use of OFD services is potentially one of the long-term 340 behavioural shifts impacted by the pandemic.

341

#### 342 Conclusion

343 Protection Motivation Theory was used to explore how COVID-19 affects consumers' food shopping,

- hand hygiene and use of online food delivery services. The logistic regression models explained
- between 4.1% (Cox and Snell R square) and 24.2% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance in all three
- behaviours. Our findings revealed that gender was a significant predictor across all food safety
- 347 behaviours during COVID-19. Women were more likely to shop from markets or shops with high

348 hygiene standards, clean and sanitise their hands after shopping, and use online food delivery 349 services. Response efficacy and self-efficacy were significant predictors for food shopping behaviour. Participants believed in the efficacy of recommended practices such as 'Avoid 3Cs' in Malaysia and '3 350 Ms' in Indonesia while shopping. Similarly, participants were confident in their ability in identifying 351 352 shops that practiced high hygiene standards and were aware of 'quiet periods' to minimise contact 353 with other customers. Perceived severity significantly predicted hand hygiene practices after 354 shopping. Participants from both countries were concerned about the risk of being infected with 355 COVID-19 after touching contaminated surfaces and were more likely to wash their hands after 356 arriving home from shopping and cleaning food packaging to avoid cross contamination. Threat and 357 coping appraisals were associated with increased likelihood of using OFD services. Consumers in Indonesia and Malaysia with higher perceived severity and vulnerability to COVID-19 were more likely 358 359 to use OFD. Similarly, participants with high response and efficacy scores believed in the efficacy and 360 their abilities to use OFD in reducing the risk of COVID-19 infection.

361

This study has several limitations including the use of convenience and snowball sampling to recruit participants from both countries. It is likely that participants who were interested and motivated by COVID-19 and food safety topics were more likely to participate in the study, hence introducing selection bias among our respondents. The survey was conducted online, and the findings would

366 have excluded potential participants with limited internet access.

367

368 This study has successfully used PMT to determine how threat and coping strategies motivate 369 consumers to react in a self-protective manner. Our findings suggest focusing on interventions that seek to affect consumer food safety behaviour i.e., by improving self and response efficacies. These 370 371 two constructs were significant predictors in food shopping and use of online delivery services. One 372 way in which self and response efficacies could be improved is through visual and verbal recommendations of hand hygiene and food safety practices by local governments and regional / 373 international health organisations. Improving awareness and understanding of the threat of COVID-19 374 375 can be used to encourage hand hygiene practices. It is recommended that qualitative studies such as in-depth interviews or focus group discussion be conducted to enable greater understanding of 376 377 consumers' threat and coping appraisals. Similarly, future studies to investigate if consumers retained

- the protective measures post-pandemic is recommended.
- 379

# 380 **References**

Ali, S., Khalid, N., Javed, H.M.U. & Islam, D.M. (2021). Consumer adoption of online food delivery
 ordering (OFDO) services in Pakistan: the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic situation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market and Complexity, 7*(1), 10.

Bates, S., Reeve, B., & Trevena, H. (2020). A narrative review of online food delivery in Australia:
challenges and opportunities for public health nutrition policy. *Public Health Nutrition, 2020*, 1-11.

- 388 Bui, L., Mullan, B., & McCaffery, K. (2013). Protection motivation theory and physical activity in the general population: A systematic literature review. Psychology, Health & Medicine, 18(5), 522-542. 389 390 391 Carpenter, C.J. (2010). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of health belief model variables in predicting behavior. Health Communication, 25(8), 661-669. 392 393 394 CDC (2020a). Handwashing: Clean hands save lives. Available at: 395 https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/show-me-the-science.html [Accessed 5 November 2021] 396 397 CDC (2020b). Food & grocery pick-up and delivery drivers. Available at: 398 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/organizations/food-grocery-drivers.html 399 [Accessed 11 November 2021]. 400 401 Chen, M.-F. (2016). Extending the protection motivation theory model to predict public safe food 402 choice behavioural intentions in Taiwan. Food Control, 68, 145-152. 403 404 Choi, J., Nelson, D., & Almanza, B. (2019). Food safety risk for restaurant management: use of 405 restaurant health inspection report to predict consumers' behavioral intention. Journal of Risk 406 Research, 22(11), 1443-1457. 407 Czeisler, M.E., Tynan, M.A., Howard, M.E., Honeycutt, S., Fulmer, E.B., Kidder, D.P. et al. (2020). 408 409 Public attitudes, behaviors, and beliefs related to COVID-19, stay-at-home orders, nonessential business closures, and public health guidance - United States, New York City, and Los Angeles, May 410 411 5-12, 2020. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69(24), 751-758. 412 413 Dwipayanti, N.M.U., Lubis, D.S., & Harjana, N.P.A. (2021). Public perception and hand hygiene 414 behavior during COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 621800. 415 416 Eberhardt, J., & Ling, J. (2021). Predicting COVID-19 vaccination intention using protection 417 motivation theory and conspiracy beliefs. Vaccine, 39(42), 6269-6275. 418 419 EFSA (2020). EFSA and COVID-19. European Food Safety Authority. Available at: 420 https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/topics/efsa-and-covid-19 [Accessed 5 November 2021]. 421 422 Eger, L., Komarkova. L., Egerova, D., & Micik, M. (2021). The effect of COVID-19 on consumer 423 shopping behaviour: Generational cohort perspective. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 61, 424 102542. 425 426 FAO and WHO (2020). COVID-19 and food safety: guidance for food businesses. Interim guidance, 7 427 April. WHO/2019-nCoV/Food\_Safety/2020.1 428 429 Faour-Klingbeil, D., Osaili, T.M., Al-Nabulsi, A.A., Jemni, M., & Todd, E.C.D. (2021a). An online survey 430 of the behavioral changes in Lebanon, Jordan and Tunisia during the COVID-19 pandemic related to food shopping, food handling and hygienic practices, *Food Control, 125*, 107934. 431 432 433 Faour-Klingbeil, D., Osaili, T.M., Al-Nabulsi, A.A., Jemni, M., & Todd, E.C.D. (2021b). The public 434 perception of food and non-food related risks of infection and trust in the risk communication during 435 COVID-19 crisis: A study on selected countries from the Arab region. Food Control, 121, 107617 436 437 FDA (2020). Best practices for retail food stores, restaurants and food pick-up/delivery services 438 during the COVID-19 pandemic. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/food/food-safety-during-439 emergencies/best-practices-retail-food-stores-restaurants-and-food-pick-updelivery-services-during-440 covid-19 [Accessed 11 November 2021]. 441 442 Galasso, V., Pons, V., Profeta, P., Becher, M., Brouard, S., & Foucault, m. (2020). Gender differences 443 in COVID-19 attitudes and behavior: Panel evidence from eight countries. Proceedings of the National
- 444 Academy of Sciences, 117(44), 27285-27291.

445 Gavilan, D., Balderas-Cejudo, A., Fernandez-Lores, S., & Martinez-Navarro, G. (2021). Innovation in 446 online food delivery: Learnings from COVID-19. International Journal of Gastronomy and Food 447 448 Science, 24, 100330. 449 450 Grashuis, J., Skevas, T., & Segovia, M. S. (2020). Grocery shopping preferences during the COVID-19 451 pandemic. Sustainability, 12(13), 5369. 452 Hair, J.F., Black, .C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. & Tatham, R.L. (2009). Multivariate data analysis. 7th 453 454 Edition. Pearson Education. 455 Haas, R., Skercioglu, F., Meldrum, R., & Young, I. (2020). "I walk around like my hands are covered 456 457 in mud": food safety and hand hygiene behaviours of Canadians during the COVID-19 pandemic. 458 medRxiv, 2020. 459 460 Harris, K., DiPietro, R.B., Klein, J., & Jin, D. (2020). The impact of social norms and risk assessment 461 on diners' reaction to food safety concerns in restaurants. Journal of Foodservice Business Research, 23(5), 377-400. 462 463 464 Harris, K., Taylor, S., & DiPietro, R.B. (2021). Antecedents and outcomes of restaurant employees' 465 food safety intervention behaviors. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 94, 102858. 466 467 Hong, C., Choi, H., Choi, E.-K., & Joung, H.-W. (2021). Factors affecting customer intention to use 468 online food delivery services before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Hospitality and 469 Tourism Management, 48, 509-518. 470 471 Ilham, R. (2018). Improve quality of e-loyalty in online food delivery services: A case of Indonesia. 472 Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology, 96(15), 4760-4769. 473 474 Kamel, H. (2021). Food delivery services: From odd job to the most in demand. The Malaysian 475 Reserve, 1 January. Available at: https://themalaysianreserve.com/2021/01/01/food-delivery-476 services-from-odd-job-to-the-most-in-477 demand/? cf chl jschl tk =pmd H5gKwNcjV6Gi89Nq.Db.W GLbjXuVbHXgOtHmfg3CF4-478 1634827312-0-gqNtZGzNAgWjcnBszQil [Accessed 11 November 2021]. 479 480 Kampf, G., Todt, D., Pfaender, S., & Steinmann, E. (2020). Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate 481 surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. Journal of Hospital Infection, 104(3), 246-251. 482 483 Kim, J., Yang, K., Min, J., & White, B. (2021). Hope, fear, and consumer behavioral change amid 484 COVID-19: Application of protection motivation theory. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 00, 485 1-17. 486 487 Kirk, C.P., & Rifkin, L.S. (2020). I'll trade you diamonds for toilet paper: Consumer reacting, coping 488 and adapting behaviors in the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Business Research, 117, 124-131. 489 490 Kwok, Y.L.A., Gralton, J., & McLaws, M.-L. (2015). Face touching: A frequent habit that has 491 implications for hand hygiene. American Journal of Infection Control, 43(2), 12-114. 492 493 Laato, S., Islam, AK.M.N., Farooq, A., & Dhir, A. (2020). Unusual purchasing behavior during the early 494 stages of the COVID-19 pandemic: The stimulus-organisms-response approach. Journal of Retailing 495 and Consumer Services, 57, 102224. 496 497 Lewis, A., & Duch, R. (2021). Gender differences in perceived risk of COVID-19. Social Science 498 *Quarterly,* doi: 10.1111/ssqu.13079 499 500 Li, C., & Tang, H. (2022). Comparison of COVID-19 infection risks through aerosol transmission in supermarkets and small shops. Sustainable Cities and Society, 76, 103424. 501

- 502
- Lin, H.-X., & Chang, C. (2021). Factors associated with the quitting intention among Chinese adults: Application of protection motivation theory. *Current Psychology*, 1-9.
- Md Shah, A.U.M., Safri, S.N.A., Thevadas, R., Noordin, N.K., Abd Rahman, A., Sekawi, Z., Ideris, A., &
  Sultan, M.T.H. (2020). COVID-19 outbreak in Malaysia: Actions taken by the Malaysian government. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 97*, 108-116.
- Mehrolia, S., Alagarsamy, S., & Solaikutty, V.M. (2020). Customers response to online food delivery
  services during COVID-19 outbreak using binary logistic regression. *International Journal of Consumer*
- 512 *Studies, 45*, 396-408.
- 513
- Middleton, J., Reintjes, R., & Lopes, H. (2020). Meat plants a new front line in the COVID-19
  pandemic. *British Medical Journal, 270.*
- 517 Mullan, B., Allom, V., Sainsbury, K., & Monds, L.A. (2016). Determining motivation to engage in safe 518 food handling behaviour. *Food Control, 61,* 47-53.
- Muto, K., Yamamoto, I., Nagasu, M., Tanaka, M., & Wada, K. (2020). Japanese citizens' behavioral
  changes and preparedness against COVID-19: An online survey during the early phase of the
  pandemic. *PLoS One, 15*(6), e0234292.
- Nadimpalli, M.L., & Pickering, A.J. (2020). A call for global monitoring of WASH in wet markets. *The Lancet Planetary Health, 4*(10), E39-E440.
- Norman, P., Boer, H., Seydel, E.R., & Mullan, B. (2015). Chapter 3 Protection motivation theory. In,
  M. Conner & P. Norman (Eds.). *Predicting and Changing Health Behaviour: Research and Practice with Social Cognition Models*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Buckingham: Open University Press, pp. 70-106.
- 530
  531 Olaimat, A.N., Shahbaz, H.M., Fatima, N., Munir, S. & Holley, R.A. (2020). Food safety during and
  532 after the era of COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *11*, 1854.
  533
- Ong, A.K.S., Prasetyo, Y.T., Lagura, F.C., Ramos, R.N., Sigua, K.M., Villas, J.A. et al. (2021). Factors
  affecting intention to prepare for mitigation of "the big one" earthquake in the Philippines: Integrating
  protection motivation theory and extended theory of planned behavior. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 63,* 102467.
- 538
- Ortiz-Prado, E., Henriquez-Trujillo, A.R., Rivera-Olivero, I.A., Lozada, T., Garcia-Bereguiain, M.A., &
  UDLA-COVID-19 team (2021). High prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among food delivery riders. A
  case study from Quito, Ecuador. *Science of the Total Environment, 770,* 145225.
- Prasetyo, Y.T., Tanto, H., Mariyanto, M., Hanjaya, C., Young, M.N., Persada, S.F. et al. (2021).
  Factors affecting customer satisfaction and loyalty in online food delivery service during the COVID-19
  pandemic: its relation with open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market and Complexity, 7*(1), 76.
- Przekwas, A., & Chen, Z. (2020). Washing hands and the face may reduce COVID-19 infection. *Medical Hypotheses, 144,* 110261.
- 550
- Pung, R., Chiew, C. J., Young, B. E., Chin, S., Chen, M. I.-C., Clapham, H. E., et al. (2020).
- Investigation of three clusters of COVID-19 in Singapore: implications for surveillance and response
  measures. *Lancet, 395*, 1039-1046.
- Rodrigues, J.F., dos Santos Filho, M.T.C., de Oliveira, L.E.A., Siman, I.B., de Fatima Barcelos, A., de Paiva Anciens Ramos, G.L. et al. (2021). Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on food habits and
- 557 perceptions: A study with Brazilians. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 116,* 992-1001.
- 558

- Rodzi, N.H. (2021). Malaysia orders shorter hours for malls, restaurants to curb COVID-19 spike. The
   Straits Times, 23 May. Available at: <u>https://www.straitstimes.com/asia/se-asia/malaysia-orders-</u>
- 561 <u>shorter-hours-for-malls-restaurants-in-battle-against-covid-19-cases</u> [Accessed 11 November 2021].
- 562 <u>5</u>62

Rogers, R.W. (1975). A protection motivation theory of fear appeals and attitude change 1. *Journal of Psychology*, *91*(1), 93-114.

- Rogers, R.W. (1983). Cognitive and psychological processes in fear appeals and attitude change: A
   revised theory of protection motivation. *Social psychophysiology: A sourcebook*, 153-176.
- Saitone, T.L., Schaefer, K.A., & Scheitrum, D.P. (2021). COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in U.S.
  meatpacking counties. *Food Policy*, *101*, 102072.
- Soon, J.M., Vanany, I., Abdul Wahab, I.R., Hamdan, R.H., & Jamaludin, M.H. (2021). Food safety and
  evaluation of intention to practice safe eating out measures during COVID-19: Cross sectional study
  in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Food Control, 125*, 107920.
- 575
  576 Soon, J.M. & Abdul Wahab, I.R. (2021). On-site hygiene and biosecurity assessment: A new tool to
  577 assess live bird stalls in wet markets. *Food Control, 127*, 108108.
- 578
  579 Soon, J.M. & Xin, L. (2020). Chinese consumers' risk mitigating strategies against food fraud. *Food*580 *Control, 115,* 107298.
- 581582 Statista (2022). Online food delivery. Available at:
- 583 <u>https://www.statista.com/outlook/dmo/eservices/online-food-delivery/worldwide#market-age</u>
   584 [Accessed 28 January 2022]
- Tang, K.H.D. (2020). Movement control as an effective measure against Covid-19 spread in Malaysia:
  an overview. *Journal of Public Health*, doi: 10.1007/s10389-020-01316-w
- 588
   589 The Jakarta Post (2020). Major restaurants temporarily close as COVID-19 outbreak worsens. *The* 590 *Jakarta Post*, March 26. Available at: <u>https://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2020/03/26/major-</u>
   591 restaurants-temporarily-close-as-covid-19-outbreak-worsens.html [Accessed 11 November 2021]
- 593 UNICEF (2020). Indonesia. To beat COVID-19, hand hygiene must become an everyday reality for all
   594 Indonesians. Available at: <a href="https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-hygiene-must-become-everyday-reality-all-indonesians">https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-</a>
   595 <a href="https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-hygiene-must-become-everyday-reality-all-indonesians">https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-</a>
   595 <a href="https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-hygiene-must-become-everyday-reality-all-indonesians">https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-</a>
   595 <a href="https://www.unicef.org/indonesians">https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-</a>
   595 <a href="https://www.unicef.org/indonesians">https://www.unicef.org/indonesia/press-releases/beat-covid-19-hand-</a>
- Van Doremalen, N., Bushmaker, T., Morris, D. H., Holbrook, M. G., Gamble, A., Williamson, B. N. et
  al. (2020). Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *382*, 1564-1567.
- 600
  601 WHO (2020a). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Food safety and nutrition. World Health
  602 Organization. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-</u>
  603 <u>food-safety-and-nutrition [Accessed 4 November 2021].</u>
- 604
  605 WHO (2020b). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Food safety for consumers. Available at:
  606 <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-food-safety-for-consumers</u>
  607 [Accessed 4 November 2021].
- 608
  609 WHO (2020c). WHO Save lives: Clean your hands. In the context of COVID-19. Available at:
  610 <u>https://www.who.int/infection-prevention/campaigns/clean-hands/WHO\_HH-Community-</u>
  611 Campaign\_finalv3.pdf [Accessed 5 November 2021].
- 612613 WHO (2020d). COVID-19 and food safety: guidance for food businesses. World Health Organization.
- 614

592

- 615 WHO (2021). Advice for the public: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Available at:
- 616 <u>https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public</u> [Accessed 4 617 November 2021].
- 617 November 202 618
- Wolf, M.S., & Serper, M. (2020). Awareness, attitudes, and actions related to COVID-19 among adults with chronic conditions at the onset of the U.S. outbreak. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *173*(2), 100-
- 621 109. 622
- 423 Yusra, & Agus, A. (2019). The influence of online food delivery service quality on customer
- 624 satisfaction and customer loyalty: The role of personal innovativeness. *Journal of Environmental* 625 *Treatment Techniques, 8*(1), 6-12.
- 626
- Zanetta, L.D., Hakim, M.P., Gastaldi, G.B., Seabra, L.M.J., Rolim, P.M., Nascimento, L.G.P. et al.
- 628 (2021). The use of food delivery apps during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil: The role of solidarity, 629 perceived risk, and regional aspects. *Food Research International, 149,* 110671.