

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Talent Identification in Youth Basketball: Talent Scouts' Perceptions of the Key Attributes for Athlete Development
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/45127/
DOI	##doi##
Date	2022
Citation	Larkin, Paul, Sanford, Madison, Talpey, Scott, Gorman, Adam D. and Reeves, Matthew orcid iconORCID: 0000-0002-3903-2910 (2022) Talent Identification in Youth Basketball: Talent Scouts' Perceptions of the Key Attributes for Athlete Development. International Sport Coaching Journal . pp. 1-9. ISSN 2328-918X
Creators	Larkin, Paul, Sanford, Madison, Talpey, Scott, Gorman, Adam D. and Reeves, Matthew

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. ##doi##

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u> 1

Abstract

2 *Objectives:* To understand the attributes youth coaches and talent scouts perceive as important
3 when identifying skilled youth basketball players.

Method: Youth coaches and talent scouts (n = 40) from Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom, and United States with an average of 14.09 (± 9.77) years of experience completed an online questionnaire. The questionnaire asked participants to rank and justify attributes for identifying potentially talented youth basketball players according to their perceived importance. In addition, five youth coaches and talent scouts completed a semi-structured interview that elaborated on how they identify these attributes in national level youth players.

Results: Results from the questionnaire indicate a hierarchy of attributes coaches/scouts perceive as important for youth basketball performance, including tactical (i.e., decisionmaking ability), technical (i.e., lay-up, shooting in the paint, jump shot, rebounding), and psychological attributes (i.e., composure, concentration, adaptability). In addition, the results from the interviews provided more detailed justification for the importance of these attributes within the talent identification process.

16 *Conclusions:* It is believed talent scouts apply a holistic multidisciplinary approach to talent 17 identification, with the current findings potentially providing evidence to suggest 18 coaches/scouts consider a wide range of tactical, technical, psychological, and physical 19 attributes when identifying youth players.

- 20
- 21 Keywords: Talent selection, sport development, coaching, adolescent, performance

22

Talent identification in youth basketball: Talent scouts' perceptions of the key

23

attributes for athlete development

24 Introduction

25 Talent identification processes are commonly employed in the sporting domain with the aim of developing future elite level performers. However, the process is complex with coaches 26 27 and talent scouts using a variety of physiological, technical, tactical, psychological, and performance assessments to identify future elite players (Arede et al., 2022; Carvalho, 28 Goncalves, Collins, & Paes, 2018; Larkin, Marchant, Syder, & Farrow, 2020; Wiseman, 29 30 Bracken, Horton, & Weir, 2014). These assessments provide the foundation for high performance youth coaches and talent scouts to make informed decisions regarding the next 31 32 generation of elite performers (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017).

33 The general function of talent identification is to make suggestions on athletes who 34 demonstrate the potential to excel at an elite level and recommend they are entered into, or 35 retained within talent development programs (Baker, Schorer, & Wattie, 2017; Larkin & 36 O'Connor, 2017; Larkin & Reeves, 2018). From a practical perspective, talent identification decisions are traditionally based on observing and subjectively assessing athletes during a game 37 or training session (Gál-Pottyondy, Petró, Czétényi, Négyesi, Nagatomi, & Kiss, 2021). A 38 39 limitation of this approach is its subjectivity and the influence of biases related to the coaches'/scouts' preconceived notion of what constitutes a talented player, which may result 40 41 in repetitive misjudgements and reduced reliability (Larkin et al., 2020; Meylan, Cronin, 42 Oliver, & Hughes, 2010; Williams, Ford, & Drust, 2020; Williams & Reilly, 2000). Therefore, to better understand the decision-making process during talent identification, it is important to 43 44 understand the attributes that coaches and talent scouts consider most important for their sport 45 (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017).

46 There has been an increased interest in the processes and practices of scouts and coaches 47 undertaking talent identification. For example, in soccer there have been a number of studies 48 that have sought to understand the process and function of talent identification (Reeves et al., 49 2018), the attributes that are used to identify potential talent (i.e., decision-making; technical skills; psychological skills) (Larkin and O'Connor, 2017; Roberts et al., 2019), and the 50 51 cognitive processes, such as focusing on the individual or the team, that underpin talent scouts' 52 decisions (Reeves et al., 2019). Whilst these studies have been useful in advancing soccer-53 specific understanding of talent identification, further research is required to examine talent 54 identification in other sports. One sport that has received less attention within the talent identification literature is basketball. 55

56 As a dynamic and complex technical game, basketball combines explosive movements 57 such as short accelerations, abrupt stops, fast change of directions, and vertical jumps (Erčuli, Blas, & Bračič, 2010; Rösch, Ströbele, Leyhr, Ibáñez, & Höner, 2022; Scanlan, Humphries, 58 Tucker & Dalbo, 2014). To understand the impact of these physical game performance 59 60 attributes, researchers have investigated how physical capabilities may differentiate talented and less-talented basketballers (Hoare, 2000; Rogers, Crozier, Schranz, Eston, & Tomkinson, 61 2021). Additionally, recent research has focused on maturation highlighting that youth 62 63 basketball players who are more biologically mature have a greater chance to be selected for a 64 national team and display greater technical, tactical and physical performance (Arede, Ferreria, 65 Gonzalo-Skok, & Leite, 2019; Arede, Fernades, Moran, Norris, & Leite, 2021). Moreover, individual and team success in basketball at youth and senior levels of competition has been 66 shown to be related to anthropometric and fitness attributes (Angyan et al., 2003; Arede, 67 68 Oliveira, Gomez, Leite, 2021; Groves & Gayle, 1993; Hoare, 2000). For example, the best 69 teams at national and international tournaments generally have taller players (Carter, Ackland, Kerr, & Stapff, 2005; Garcia-Gil, Torres-Unda, Esain, Duñabeitia, Gil, Gil, & Irazusta, 2017; 70

71 Torres-Unda, et al., 2013; Zarić, Kukić, Jovićević, Zarić, Marković, Toskić, & Dopsaj, 2020), 72 with this attribute being significantly related to scoring and rebounding performance (Garcia-73 Gil, Torres-Unda, Esain, Duñabeitia, Gil, Gil, & Irazusta, 2017; Torres-Unda, et al., 2013; 74 Zhang et al., 2018). These findings are also supported by tacit and craft knowledge from within 75 the basketball coaching community (Drinkwater et al., 2008), whereby there is potential for 76 coaches/scouts to overlook smaller individuals for taller and heavier players (Carvalho et al., 77 2011; 2012). While this may suggest there is a bias toward identifying and selecting these 78 individuals (see Torres-Unda et al., 2013), there is still limited understanding related to 79 coaches' and scouts' knowledge, understanding, and perceptions of the importance of 80 anthropometric and fitness attributes when identifying youth athletes.

81 While previous investigations in basketball have assessed factors that differentiate skilled 82 performance (Carter et al., 2005; Carvalho et al., 2011; Garcia-Gil et al., 2017; Guimarães, et al., 2019; Scanlan et al. 2015; Spiteri et al. 2019; Torres-Unda et al., 2013) and age and 83 maturational-related differences (Arede et al., 2021; Guimarães, Baxter-Jones, Williams, 84 85 Tavares, Janeira, & Maia, 2021); there is limited understanding of the perceptions of youth basketball coaches relating to identifying and selecting talented athletes (Rogers et al., 2021). 86 This gap was highlighted in soccer by Larkin and Reeves (2018) who called for a shift in 87 88 perspective when conducting talent identification research towards understanding the 89 processes, observations, and perceptions of coaches/scouts when making talent identification 90 decisions. A recent study by Rogers and colleagues (2021) addressed this by highlighting that 91 youth level basketball coaches considered several psychological constructs (i.e., 92 competitiveness, work ethic, attitude, resilience, coachability) as extremely important for 93 identifying talented basketball players. In addition, physical attributes (i.e., agility; reaction 94 time) and game intelligence (i.e., basketball intelligence, decision-making) were rated as very important by the coaches. These findings, while specific to basketball, corroborate with other 95

96 invasion sport studies which emphasise the importance of psychological and tactical (i.e., game
97 intelligence) attributes for identifying talented youth athletes (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017;
98 Roberts et al., 2019).

99 In basketball, youth coaches are continually evaluating the attributes and qualities that may predispose individuals to a successful career (Arede et al., 2022; Figueiredo et al., 2009; 100 101 Huijgen, Elferink-Gemser, Post, & Visscher, 2009). Holistic and multidisciplinary approaches to talent identification have been advocated (Hoare & Warr, 2000; Unnithan et al., 2012), 102 though there remains limited understanding of how youth coaches/scouts identify future talent 103 104 (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017; Larkin & Reeves, 2018). One means of improving this understanding is by conducting mixed methods research to generate data that offer greater 105 106 depth and richness in helping to explain the underlying reasons used by coaches when 107 identifying talent (for an overview of mixed methods, see Kelle, 2006). Therefore, this study 108 used a mixed methodology to understand youth basketball coaches' perceptions of talent with 109 a focus on the attributes they perceive as important when identifying potentially talented young 110 basketballers.

111

Methodology

112 Design

This was an observational, cross-sectional study, with data collected using two data collection methods including surveys and semi-structured interviews. The study protocol was approved by a university human research ethics committee (Ref: HRE20-077). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

118 Setting

This study was conducted across four basketball playing countries: Australia (International
Basketball Federation [FIBA] ranking; men = 3; women = 3); Canada (FIBA ranking men =

121 18; women = 4); Great Britain (FIBA ranking men = 45; women = 21); and the United States
122 of America (FIBA ranking men = 1; women = 1). For each nation, data were collected at the
123 start of the 2021 competitive regular season.

124 **Participants**

Participants were recruited using the following inclusion criteria: (1) adults aged 18 or over;
(2) at least two years' experience working in high performance youth basketball, and currently
working in a role that identifies talented basketball players; (3) current coaching director, head
coach, or assistant coach; and (4) capacity to consent and communicate in English.

129 Sampling

130 Participants were sampled using two approaches: snowball (Parker, Scott & Geddes, 2019) and 131 probability-based via social media (Berzofsky et al., 2018). Snowball sampling was achieved 132 through initial contact being made with individuals known to the research team. Those contacts were also asked to recommend others from within their own networks who might be interested 133 134 in participating in the study, forwarding them the invite to participate and requesting that they 135 contact the study authors if they were interested (Parker, Scott, & Geddes, 2018). Probability-136 based sampling via social media was achieved through distribution of the invitation to 137 participate via the social media platform Twitter, targeting specific users or organisations. Use 138 of the retweet function between research team members was also adopted to boost visibility of 139 the tweets amongst and across multiple users.

All individuals who expressed an interest in the study and met the participant inclusion criteria were included in the sample. In total, 40 youth basketball coaches (age 42.8 ± 12.1 years; min = 22 years, max = 63 years) and talent scouts were sampled from Australia (n = 23), Canada (n = 8), Great Britain (n= 4), and the United States (n = 5). In terms of coaching qualifications, as the participants came from a range of countries, with differing qualification requirements, we have aggregated the qualification into three levels, with Level III being the

highest youth basketball qualification. Overall, 11 participants held a Level I qualification, 15 146 147 held a Level II qualification and seven participants were Level III qualified. It should be noted, seven participants did not report their formal level of accreditation; however, five of these 148 149 participants were from the United States, where coach accreditation is available through USA Basketball, but is often not a requirement for coaching at a high school or collegiate level, 150 151 where these participants were sampled. On average, the participants had been in a position 152 involved in the identification and development of youth basketballers for 14.1 (\pm 9.7; minimum 153 = 2; maximum = 43) years.

154 **Procedure**

The research team approached potential participants about the study via email or social media as outlined above. The invitation outlined the two-stage data collection process and potential participants were informed that their invitation might potentially include involvement in either one or two phases of data collection.

159 All participants who consented to be involved in the study completed stage one of the 160 data collection procedures that included completing a survey about the attributes of talented 161 youth basketballers. The survey was adapted from previous studies of player attributes in invasion sports (Larkin & O'Connor, 2016; Reeves et al., 2019), further refined through a 162 163 scoping of the extant basketball talent literature. The survey was also informally pilot tested 164 and extensively discussed with three high-performance youth basketball coaches in Australia, 165 who currently coach at the club representative level and have been in their role for greater than 166 1 year. Those three coaches were not involved in the final data collection procedure.

The survey included a list of 48 attributes across technical (e.g., lay-up, jump shot,
rebounding; n = 19), tactical (e.g., decision-making, game awareness, anticipation; n = 6),
physical (e.g., agility, acceleration, core strength; n = 12), psychological (e.g., determination,
leadership, aggression; n = 8), and miscellaneous (e.g., consistency, versatility, adaptability; n

171 = 3) domains. Participants were asked to consider each attribute and their perceived importance 172 of the attribute when identifying talented youth basketballers (i.e., 16 - 18 years of age). Participants provided a rating of the attribute according to Miller's Scale Battery of 173 International Patterns and Norms (Miller, 1972), which provides an indication of degree of 174 importance on a scale from 0 to 9. The scale uses three anchor points of reference with a 175 176 bandwidth of three points between each anchor including least important (i.e., 1-3 points), moderately important (i.e., 4-6 points), and most important (i.e., 7-9 points). Any attribute the 177 participant believed did not have any importance in identifying talented youth basketball 178 179 players was given a score of zero.

180 At the conclusion of the survey, participants had an option to select whether they would 181 be interested in participating in stage two of the study, a semi-structured interview. Seven 182 participants indicated they were interested in the interview stage of the project, with five agreeing/consenting to participate following subsequent contact. The purpose of this stage was 183 to further explore the importance of each attribute in terms of its role in skilled youth 184 185 performance and talent identification. Inductive semi-structured interviews were conducted as 186 this approach allows participants more scope to develop a rationale for their opinions and to provide greater detail in an open conversation to explain why they valued a given attribute and 187 188 how they assessed that attribute (Cupples & O'Connor, 2011; Larkin & O'Connor, 2016).

Open-ended questions within a semi-structured framework were adopted to promote discussion in order to identify the key attributes participants valued when identifying talented youth basketballers, including technical, physiological, physical, psychological, and tactical attributes (e.g., what are some of the qualities you look for when you are identifying youth talent?). Probing questions were used to understand why the participant thought the attribute was important (e.g., why is this attribute important when identifying players? How do you identify this in a player?). Interviews ranged from 30 to 45 minutes (M= 41.15; \pm 2.53) and 196 were conducted, by the second and third author's, via video-based conferencing (Zoom Video 197 Communication, San Jose, USA). All interviews were recorded by the interviewer and 198 transcribed verbatim, by a professional transcription service.

199 Data Analysis

Quantitative data were downloaded from the online portal (onlinesurveys.ac.uk) in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet for descriptive analysis of the rating for each attribute. The mean $(\pm SD)$ was determined for each of the 48 attributes. Attributes with a mean rating of ≥ 6.0 (very important or above) were retained for discussion (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017).

204 All interviews were digitally recorded and transcribed verbatim. Participants were assigned pseudonyms during transcription. Open coding was conducted to identify meaning 205 206 units (i.e., sentences or ideas that described a specific attribute) from the data (Creswell, 2007). 207 The four pillars of trustworthiness proposed by Guba (1981) including credibility, 208 transferability, confirmability, and dependability were applied. To establish credibility, we 209 used prolonged engagement in the field, internal peer debriefing, and member checking. 210 Engagement in the field translates to researchers spending time in the field of inquiry (Bitsch, 211 2005). The research team has engaged intensively within the basketball industry. In particular, 212 three of the team (MS, ST, ADG) have been professionally immersed into the basketball talent 213 devleopment pathway for a combined total of 45 years. We contend that this sustained 214 involvement with basketball coaches and players has been central to establishing a deep 215 understanding of the participants' culture, context, and core issues in basketball talent 216 identification. Furthermore, we utilised peer debriefing and reflexive conversations as an internal loop to discuss and modify all aspects of the study. Member checking involved all 217 218 participants receiving copies of their transcripts and providing feedback on the accuracy of the 219 data; though offered this opportunity, no participants offered any changes, expansions, or clarifications to the data provided. 220

To establish transferability, we used purposive sampling to recruit national basketball 221 222 talent scouts as a discrete group of informants because of their likely capacity to provide in-223 depth information on all aspects of the basketball talent pathway. We then adopted stepwise 224 replication and peer examination to determine dependibility. Here, each author independently analysed the data and compared their interpretations to determine (in)consistencies in thematic 225 226 structure, coding, and representative quotations selected. Finally, we have attempted to establish confirmability by cross-referencing our reuslts and findings with similar studies. For 227 228 data reporting purposes, all participants have been provided a pseudonym.

229 Results and Discussion

The purpose of this study was to understand the attributes that basketball coaches perceive as 230 231 important when identifying skilled players. Survey and interview data collected from coaches 232 who had experience in identifying and/or developing young basketball players provided 233 valuable information to guide the ways in which key stakeholders might prepare young players 234 for higher levels of competition. Overall, the survey data showed that coaches rated 15 of the 235 attributes as very important or higher. In particular, decision-making received the highest rating 236 with a mean score of 6.58 (\pm 0.68). Of the 15 attributes rated very important and above, five were categorised as technical (ay up; shooting (in the paint, 2 points); rebounds; jump shot; 237 238 dribbling); five as psychological (adaptability; composure; consistency; concentration; 239 determination); three as tactical (decision-making game awareness; teamwork); and two as 240 physical (balance; work rate). Table 1 presents the top 15 attributes and their associated 241 categories as indicated by the responding coaches to the survey.

242

(Insert Table 1. About Here)

It can be seen that coaches rated both psychological and technical qualities highly, with this category of attributes accounting for over 65% of the top 15 attributes; suggesting that coaches prioritise inter-personal and technical skill capabilities when identifying talented 246 basketball players, and those attributes are perceived to be more important than physical and anthropometric traits. While basketball researchers have explored the influence of 247 248 anthropometric attributes on performance (Abdelkrim, Chaouachi, Chamari, Chtara, & 249 Castagna, 2010; Hoare, 2000; Joseph, McIntyre, Joyce, Scanlan, & Cripps, 2021; Ramos, Volossovitch, Ferreira, Fragoso, & Massuça, 2019), the current study found the participants 250 251 did not consider, or highly rate, anthropometric attributes when considering potential basketball talent. Previous studies corroborate these findings, as coaches believe they can 252 improve abilities such as strength and speed once a player is within a talent development system 253 254 and is therefore not a pre-requisite for entry (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017). Thus, as the results 255 of the survey indicate, whilst physical ability may still be perceived as valuable, these abilities, 256 in isolation, do not appear to be a priority when identifying talented basketball players which 257 aligns with research in other sports (see Gucciardi, Gordon, & Dimmock, 2008; Larkin & 258 O'Connor, 2017).

259 Technical Attributes

260 Technical attributes was one of the most highly rated categories, with five attributes 261 found to be highly important for youth basketball talent identification purposes. The five technical abilities considered important by participants included lay-ups, rebounds, jump shots, 262 263 dribbling, and shooting (two points in the paint). These are, except for rebounding, purely offensive skills, potentially highlighting a bias in the selection process, with participants more 264 265 interested in identifying players who are better offensively than defensively. Given the 266 objective of the game is to score more points than the opposition, it seems logical that coaches might be more focused on offensive abilities (see also Arede, Fernandes, Moran, Norris, & 267 268 Leite, 2021). This is highlighted through the quantitative results, with shooting ability being 269 rated the number one technical attribute, and supported by the qualitative results, with one of the coaches indicating, "So not only for the fact to be able to make shots but also being able to 270

engage the defender to open up the floor for dribble penetration makes shooting, one of the
most invaluable things players can offer. Straight away we're looking at that and that really
is the master skill, we could very quickly, potentially overlook a lot of other issues if a player
can shoot the ball, especially well, consistently and under pressure" Stephen, National Junior
Head Coach, Australia. Therefore, it is possible that players who are offensively minded, may
be able to compensate for other limitations in their performance, if they are effective at the
offensive end of the court.

278 In relation to the type of shot a player can make, participants indicated that they look 279 more for players who can shoot effective two-point shots, over players who can make three point shots; "I still believe the mid-range jump shot has value, I'd rather have a guy who's 280 281 going to shoot 50% from mid-range than 28% from three" Simon, Collegiate Head Coach, 282 *Canada.* Additionally, dribbling ability was a highly valued technical attribute: "You've got to 283 be able to dribble in traffic, you've got to be able to dribble under pressure, you've got to be 284 able to change pace, change direction very well to be able to do all those things and to create 285 space, to create advantage, to create good open looks," Simon, Collegiate Head Coach, Canada. In addition to offensive actions, coaches also highlighted the ability of players to 286 287 effectively rebound the ball, especially in an offensive manner, "It's an aggressive, crazy game, but getting yourself into positions to be able to rebound and finish at the basket off of a 288 289 good shot, I mean, you're gonna get a lot of points that way too." Mark – High School Coach, 290 USA. Indeed, the literature has shown that offensive skills such as dribbling and shooting 291 ability are skills that differentiate between selected and non-selected regional level junior basketball players (Guimaraes et al., 2019) and, therefore, with an understanding of the game, 292 293 coaches may emphasise the selection of players who demonstrate excellence in these skills. As 294 a result, is seems from the data that offensive technical abilities are considered important by 295 coaches and scouts.

296 Psychological Attributes

The psychological attributes including composure, adaptability, determination, 297 consistency, and concentration accounted for five of the top 15 attributes overall. These 298 299 findings align with previous studies that have identified psychological characteristics such as 300 concentration, resilience, handling pressure, positive attitude, determination, and commitment 301 as important attributes in other sports (e.g., Gucciardi, Gordon & Dimmock, 2008). Indeed, talent scouts and recruiters in soccer and Australian Football have previously highlighted the 302 importance of understanding athletes' psychological attributes when making talent 303 304 identification decisions and, in some cases, this is one of the critical determinants for an athlete 305 being selected into a talent development program (Larkin & O'Connor, 2016; Larkin, 306 Marchant, Syder, & Farrow, 2021).

307 The importance of an athlete's psychological attributes was further expressed in the 308 qualitative data, with participants highlighting the importance of athletes' composure, and 309 being able to cope under game pressures; "Players are guarded (in the game), players are 310 under pressure, and that now comes back to our TID in how are these players (performing) 311 under pressure, how are they in game situations?" Stephen, National Junior Head Coach, Australia. With basketball being a dynamic game where players are required to perform a 312 313 range of skills in pressured open and closed skill contexts, there is the possibility for athletes 314 to choke or not perform to their maximum ability during the game (Gomez et al., 2015).

Adaptability was also a key attribute that was further extolled in the qualitative data. It was presented as the players' ability to adjust to changing game dynamics, but also being able to adapt to different roles within the game. For example, *Stephen, National Junior Head Coach, Australia,* stated, *"Importantly, how are they, in terms of their decision making, once the defence is on the floor and their ability to adapt?"* This highlighted the ability of the players to adjust to changing game situations. In relation to positional adaptability, John, a collegiate head coach from Canada, stated, "*I like players that can play multiple positions*". Findings emphasise that players must have developed sufficiently robust skills to ensure they can adapt to the changing game context, but also demonstrate a range of skills, which may make them an asset to their team by being adaptable to different game situations.

Concentration, determination, and consistency were the other psychological traits that 325 326 were valued highly by participants during the talent identification process. This was supported 327 by Stephen, a National Junior Head Coach from Australia who reinforced the value placed 328 on an athlete's determination; "Is this kid going to get up at 5:30 in the morning, if that's what 329 it takes? Instead of playing video games are they going to shoot a thousand shots because we know without that intrinsic motivation, without that deep-seated passion they will never be 330 331 good enough to get to the level that they are talking about." This highlights the importance of 332 the athlete's determination to consistently improve (see also Gonçalves, Coelho e Silva, 333 Carvalho, & Gonçalves, 2011); promoting notions, to coaches and others, that athletes often 334 make sacrifices within their daily routines, which is supported by previous literature that has 335 emphasised that elite sporting performance typically involves significant sacrifice and dedication (Carless, & Douglas, 2013; Warriner & Lavallee, 2008). 336

337 Qualitative data highlighted the interaction between the psychological attributes and how 338 they might contribute to athlete identification. Indeed, this interaction has been identified 339 within the literature as "coachability", whereby a positive attitude and matching personality 340 traits, coupled with a desire to learn new skills, is seen as desirable for talent scouts (Larkin & 341 O'Connor, 2017). In the current study, participants indicated that athletes who are adaptable to change, composed during criticism, determined to be the best, consistent in their training, and 342 343 focused on the game and the team, are seen as possessing desirable traits that coaches look to 344 identify when making talent identification decisions.

345 *Tactical Attributes*

346 The tactical attributes identified by participants as important for talent identification 347 included teamwork, game awareness, and decision-making; with decision-making being the 348 number one rated quality. This finding supports other talent identification research in other 349 sports, with decision-making being a skill which can differentiate skilled performance (Sherwood, Smith & Masters, 2019) and acknowledged by scouts/recruiters as being an 350 351 important attribute for athletes (Larkin & O'Connor, 2017). The perceived importance of decision-making for basketball talent was further described in the interview data, with all 352 353 coaches highlighting its importance within the talent identification process. Whilst it is 354 acknowledged in the current study that on-court decision-making is of importance, several coaches also highlighted the significance of off-court decision-making, "If you're talking about 355 356 decision-making, like having a really high IQ that will not only help them on the court in terms 357 of the right decision at the right time, but will genuinely translate to great decisions off it, 'I'm going to eat right, I'm going to sleep right, I'm going to take care of my body'" Stephen, 358 359 National Junior Head Coach, Australia. This finding goes beyond current discussions around 360 decision-making and talent identification, with the coaches acknowledging that the lifestyle 361 choices an athlete makes may assist in the decision-making process. However, it should be 362 noted that promotion of personal engagement should be a priority in youth basketball and 363 players should be provided with opportunities to develop on and off the court through their 364 participation (DiFiori, Güllich, Brenner, Côté, Hainline, Ryan, & Malina, 2018).

In addition to decision-making ability, game awareness was also a highly-rated attribute amongst participants. This supports previous empirical research exploring expert athlete's ability to read and understand game play situations (Lex et al., 2015). This was supported by the interview data where basketball "IQ" was described by the coaches when referring to the interaction between decision-making and game awareness and their combined influence on ingame performance. "*If you have the decision making and the basketball IQ we can work to fill* *in around it because I think that can overcome a lot of the other deficiencies that might exist in your game.* "*Simon, Collegiate Head Coach, Canada.* Game awareness was acknowledged
by the coaches who indicated it is important for players to be aware of the surroundings and
the game situation.

375 Overall, the third highest ranked attribute was teamwork. Teamwork is recognised as a 376 dynamic process where team members make a shared effort to effectively undertake the 377 independent and interdependent behaviours required to maximize team success (McEwan & 378 Beauchamp, 2014). As basketball is a team sport, it is essential all team members are working 379 together to ensure the maximum success of the team, within the game or across the competitive season. The importance of teamwork is reinforced with a quote from Laura, National Junior 380 381 **Development Coach, Canada** "Why'd you choose this kid? She runs weird. But she just has 382 this amazing team bonding thing where she just brought everybody together. She got ran on 383 the court probably like three times, but she was all smiles. And she was that glue off the court 384 for the girls. If they were in tears or something happened, she was always that teammate. So, 385 I chose her for that reason and it was different, but she was definitely needed to help us as a team." Participants also highlighted that overly selfish athletes would be unlikely to help create 386 387 a positive team environment and culture, and are less likely to be recruited, especially at the elite level. "You can be as talented as you are, but if you can't help your teammates and put 388 389 them in positions to help the team then you're useless."

In addition to the on-court interactions between teammates, participants also referenced the importance of the off-court social interactions amongst teammates. The team's social dynamic was perceived to benefit team performance and comradery between the players, with participants indicating; "Being a team player fits in to the social, emotional aspect. Back in the day when I was coaching I'd put very, very little importance on the social aspect of sport, but I have since found out, through a few grey hairs that it is so incredibly important for kids, a lot 396 of them are there for the social aspect, we have to see that and recognise and support that."
397 Cameron, National Junior Development Coach, Canada. This emphasised that teamwork not
398 only influences the in-game team dynamic, but also the added social elements of sport, and
399 being able to integrate with teammates outside of the court (Burns, Weissensteiner, & Cohen,
400 2019).

401 Physical Attributes

402 An interesting aspect from the results was the limited acknowledgement, in both the 403 survey and interview data, of physical attributes for identifying talented youth basketball 404 players. This finding is in contrast to the majority of the youth basketball literature, which highlights physical attributes, such as height, limb-length, flexibility, agility, and sprint 405 406 performance, as important determinants of success in basketball (Garcia-Gil et al., 2018; 407 Hoare, 2000; Pino-Ortega, Rojas-Valverde, Gómez-Carmona, & Rico-González, 2021; Rogers 408 et al., 2021). However, the finding does support research in other sports, investigating talent 409 scouts' perspectives of factors important for talent identification (e.g., Larkin & O'Connor, 410 2017). For example, Larkin and O'Connor (2017) found youth soccer coaches put greater value 411 on other attributes, as there was the perception that physical attributes can be developed once the player was in the talent development program. Furthermore, the finding highlights the 412 413 disconnect between research and practice, where anthropometrics and physical attributes may demonstrate discriminative capabilities in quantitative research (Abdelkrim, Chaouachi, 414 415 Chamari, Chtara, & Castagna, 2010; Hoare, 2000; Joseph, McIntyre, Joyce, Scanlan, & Cripps, 416 2021; Ramos, Volossovitch, Ferreira, Fragoso, & Massuça, 2019), but are not what coaches 417 actually consider to be important.

The physical attributes that were deemed important for talent identification were workrate and balance. In terms of work-rate, participants explained this as the player's ability to repeatedly complete the physical requirements of the game at a high intensity. From the 421 interviews, coaches indicated that they look for players who have well-developed endurance 422 capabilities, as John, a Collegiate Head Coach from Canada explained "The last thing I really 423 want to see is a kid hunched over with hands on the knees, or in the superman pose on the hips. 424 Being exhausted after running up and down a couple of times, that would be concerning to me." Simon, Collegiate Head Coach, Canada reinforced the importance of endurance for the 425 426 players he coaches with this statement "We look at conditioning as a factor, in regards to if we have to I don't want to say weed out, but individuals who are not able to compete or stay at 427 that level of conditioning." This finding aligns with current literature at a senior elite 428 429 performance level, as elite male players have been shown to produce higher work rates than 430 sub-elite players when jogging or running during game play (Scanlan et al., 2011).

431 Balance, as related to a player's ability to remain upright and steady, has been discussed 432 in the literature in terms of its importance for injury prevention (McGuine et al., 2000) and 433 performance (Spiteri et al., 2019). Specifically, balance has been shown to mitigate the risk of 434 ankle injuries and allow for more effective changes of direction (McGuine et al., 2000; Spiteri 435 et al 2019). Despite participants highlighting the importance of balance in the survey, this was 436 never specifically mentioned during the interviews. A potential reason for this may be the participant's ability to clearly articulate what they look for during the talent identification 437 438 process in terms of balance. Further, the low number of physical attributes reported in both the qualitative and quantitative data could reflect the coaches using more holistic approaches to 439 440 talent identification and selection, rather than primarily relying upon isolated physical 441 assessments such as a physical testing combine. Therefore, coaches may place more emphasis on assessing and measuring these aspects within the dynamic game environment, rather than 442 443 within isolated assessment protocols. This provides a more holistic assessment of performance 444 and may focus on more game like skills and attributes using an integrated approach. This holistic approach may help to reduce the bias described by Torres-Unda et al. (2013) who found 445

that the players selected as the best for their region were also the players who were moreadvanced in their maturational development.

448 Limitations

449 A limitation of this investigation is the high representation of basketball scouts who responded to the survey from Australia compared to other countries. It is possible that if there 450 451 was greater representation from other countries the results of the survey may have been different. Further, the results asked the participants to reflect on what they believed to be their 452 453 talent identification process. Furthermore, this study considered the coaches retrospectively 454 identifying the attributes they consider important to skilled youth performance. It may be possible that when undertaking this process within an applied setting, several other 455 456 considerations or justifications that were not identified in the current study may also be shown 457 to influence the talent identification process. As such, future studies should consider the talent identification process within an applied environment, when the coaches are making their 458 decisions, to better understand the applied importance of certain attributes when they are 459 460 making the talent identification decisions.

461 **Practical Implications**

The findings also provide some practical applications in relation to coaching and 462 463 recruitment. By understanding the attributes which high performance youth coaches consider important, it enables coaches within the development pathway to potentially shape and guide 464 465 training programs to develop these attributes. For example, as decision-making is rated the most important attribute in the talent identification process, coaches could consider developing 466 training programs and activities, which provide a focus on decision-making skill development. 467 468 Further, it may provide more of a focus on the development of objective instruments or testing 469 procedures, which may clarify the talent identification and selection process for all key 470 stakeholders. Another practical implication relates to the high rankings coaches provided for 471 psychological attributes. It may be important for coaches in the developmental pathway to 472 consider using practice tasks that provide opportunities for players to develop their 473 psychological skills (see Headrick, Renshaw, Davids, Pinder, & Araujo, 2015), as well as 474 providing players with opportunities to work with individuals who can support their 475 psychological development (see Fletcher & Sarkar, 2016).

476 Conclusion

Based on the current findings, participants appear to consider a range of tactical, 477 technical, psychological, and physical attributes during talent identification. The findings show 478 479 that decision-making was rated as the most important attribute. Given the range of attributes 480 highlighted as important, this also confirms the current perspective that coaches need to 481 consider player's abilities holistically when identifying potential sporting talent. This might 482 suggest that coaches should consider a more ecologically based approach to talent 483 identification, whereby these attributes are assessed within the game environment rather than 484 in isolated assessments (see also Vilar, Araújo, Davids, & Renshaw, 2012). However, further 485 research is needed to fully understand this process within basketball and to corroborate the 486 current findings in an applied assessment environment.

487

488 **References**

- Abbott, A., Button, C., Pepping, G. J., & Collins, D. (2005). Unnatural selection: Talent
 identification and development in sport. *Nonlinear Dynamics, Psychology, and Life Sciences*, 9(1), 61-88.
- 492 Abdelkrim, N. B., Chaouachi, A., Chamari, K., Chtara, M., & Castagna, C. (2010). Positional
- role and competitive-level differences in elite-level men's basketball players. *The Journal*

494 of Strength & Conditioning Research, 24(5), 1346-1355.

- 495 Angyan, L., Teczely, T., Zalay, Z., & Karsai, I. (2003). Relationship of anthropometrical,
- 496 physiological and motor attributes to sport-specific skills. *Acta Physiologica Hungarica*,
 497 90(3), 225-231.
- Anney, V. N. (2014). Ensuring the quality of the findings of qualitative research: Looking at
 trustworthiness criteria. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 5(2), 272–281.
- 501 Arede J., Fernandes J., Moran J., Norris J., & Leite N. (2021). Maturity timing and performance
- in a youth national basketball team: Do early-maturing players dominate? *International Journal of Sport Science and Coaching.* 16(3), 772-730.
- Arede J., Ferreira AP., Gonzalo-Skok O., & Leite N. (2019). Maturation development as a key
 aspect in physiological performance and national-team selection in elite make basketball
- 506 players. International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance. 14(7), 902-910.
- 507 Arede, J., Freitas, T. T., Johnson, D., Fernandes, J. F., Williams, S., Moran, J., & Leite, N.
- 508 (2022). Training load, maturity timing and future national team selection in national youth
 509 basketball players. *Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology*, 7(1), 21.
- Arede J., Oliveira I., Gomez MA., Leite N. (2021). A multi-block multivariate analysis to
 explore the influence of the somatic maturation in youth basketball. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 12: 602576.

- 513 Araya, J., & Larkin, P. (2013). Key performance variables between the top 10 and bottom 10
- 514 teams in the English Premier League 2012/13 season. University of Sydney Papers in
- 515 *Human Movement, Health & Coach Education, 2*(1), 17-29.
- 516 Berzofsky, M. E., McKay, T., Hsieh, Y. P., & Smith, A. (2018). Probability-based samples on
- 517 Twitter: Methodology and application. *Survey Practice*, *11*(2), 4936.
- 518 Bitsch, V. (2005). Qualitative research: A grounded theory example and evaluation criteria.
 519 *Journal of Agribusiness, 23,* 75–91
- 520 Brooks, M. A., Boleach, L. W., & Mayhew, J. L. (1987). Relationship of specific and
- 521 nonspecific variables to successful basketball performance among high school players.
- 522 *Perceptual & Motor Skills, 64*(3), 823-827.
- Burns, L., Weissensteiner, J.R., Cohen, M. (2019). Supportive interpersonal relationships: a
 key component to high performance sport. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 53(22),
 1387-1390.
- 526 Carless, D., & Douglas, K. (2013). Living, resisting, and playing the part of athlete: Narrative
 527 tensions in elite sport. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*, 14(5), 701-708.
- 528 Carter, J. E. L., Ackland, T. R., Kerr, D. A., & Stapff, A. B. (2005). Somatotype and size of
 529 elite female basketball players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *23*(10), 1057–1063.
- 530 Carvalho, H. M., Gonçalves, C. E., Collins, D., & Paes, R. R. (2018). Growth, functional
- capacities and motivation for achievement and competitiveness in youth basketball: An
 interdisciplinary approach. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *36*(7), 742–748.
- 533 Carvalho, H. M., Silva, M., Figueiredo, A. J., Gonçalves, C. E., Philippaerts, R. M., Castagna,
- C., & Malina, R. M. (2011). Predictors of maximal short term power outputs in basketball
 players 14-16 years. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *111*(5), 789–796.
- 536 Carvalho, H. M., Silva, M., Santos, J., Gonçalves, R. S., Philippaerts, R., & Malina, R. (2012).
- 537 Scaling lower-limb isokinetic strength for biological maturation and body size in

- adolescent basketball players. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *112*(8), 2881–
 2889.
- 540 Cobley, S. P., Hanratty, M., O'Connor, D., & Cotton, W. (2014). First club location and relative
- age as influences on being a professional Australian rugby league player. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 9(2), 335-346.
- 543 Cobley, S. P., Schorer, J., & Baker, J. (2008). Relative age effects in professional German
 544 soccer: A historical analysis. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *26*(14), 1531-1538.
- 545 Coelho e Silva, M., Figueiredo, A. J., Simões, F., Seabra, A., Natal, A., Vaeyens, R.,
- 546 Philippaert, R., Cumming, S. P., & Malina, R. M. (2010). Discrimination of U-14 soccer
- 547 players by level and position. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *31*(11), 790-796.
- 548 Creswell, J. W. (2007). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five
- 549 *approaches* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Cupples, B., & O'Connor, D. (2011). The development of position-specific performance
 indicators in elite youth rugby league: A coach's perspective. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 6(1), 125-142.
- 553 Cushion, C. J., Ford, P. R., & Williams, A. M. (2012). Coach behaviours and practice structures
- in youth soccer: Implications for talent development. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(15),
 1631-1641.
- 556 DiFiori, J. P., Güllich, A., Brenner, J. S., Côté, J., Hainline, B., Ryan, E., & Malina, R. M.
- 557 (2018). The NBA and youth basketball: recommendations for promoting a healthy and
 558 positive experience. *Sports Medicine*, 48(9), 2053-2065.
- 559 Drinkwater, E. J., Pyne, D. B., & McKenna, M. J. (2008). Design and interpretation of
- anthropometric and fitness testing of basketball players. *Sports Medicine*, *38*(7), 565-578.
- 561 Dweck, C. (2006). *Mindset*. New York, NY: Ballantine Books.

- 562 Erčulj, F., Blas, M., & Bračič, M. (2010). Physical demands on young elite European female
 563 basketball players with special reference to speed, agility, explosive strength, and take-off
 564 power. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24, 2970–2978.
- Favor, J. K. (2011). The relationship between personality traits and coachability in NCAA
 divisions I and II female softball athletes. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 6(2), 301-314.
- Figueiredo, A. J., Gonçalves, C. E., Coelho e Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009).
 Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(9), 883-891.
- 571 Fletcher, D., & Sarkar, M. (2016). Mental fortitude training: An evidence-based approach to
 572 developing psychological resilience for sustained success. *Journal of Sport Psychology in*573 *Action*, 7(3), 135-157.
- Ford, P., Carling, C., Garces, M., Marques, M., Miguel, C., Farrant, A., Stenlin, A., Moreno,
 J., Le Gall, F., Holmström, S., Salmela, J. H., & Williams, A. M. (2012). The
 developmental activities of elite soccer players aged under-16 years from Brazil,
 England, France, Ghana, Mexico, Portugal and Sweden. *Journal of Sport Sciences, 30*(15), 1653-1663.
- 579 Gál-Pottyondy, A., Petró, B., Czétényi, A., Négyesi, J., Nagatomi, R., & Kiss, R. M. (2021).
- 580 Field Testing Protocols for Talent Identification and Development in Basketball A
 581 Systematic Review. *Applied Sciences*, 11(10), 4340.
- 582 Garcia-Gil, M., Torres-Unda, J., Esain, I., Duñabeitia, I., Gil, S. M., Gil, J., & Irazusta, J.
- female basketball players. Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 32(6), 1723-

(2017). Anthropometric parameters, age, and agility as performance predictors in elite

585 1730.

583

- 586 Gil, S. M., Zabala-Lili, J., Bidaurrazaga-Letona, I., Aduna, B., Lekue, J. A., Santos-Concejero,
- J., & Granados, C. (2014). Talent identification and selection process of outfield players
 and goalkeepers in a professional soccer club. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *32*(20), 19311939.
- Gómez, M. Á., Lorenzo, A., Jiménez, S., Navarro, R. M., & Sampaio, J. (2015). Examining
 choking in basketball: effects of game outcome and situational variables during last 5
 minutes and overtimes. *Perceptual & Motor Skills, 120*(1), 111-124.
- 593 Gonçalves, C. E., Coelho e Silva, M. J., Carvalho, H. M., & Gonçalves, Â. (2011). Why do
- they engage in such hard programs? The search for excellence in youth basketball. *Journal*of Sports Science & Medicine, 10(3), 458.
- 596 Groves, B., & Gayle, R. (1993). Physiological changes in male basketball players in year-round
 597 strength training. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 7, 30 33.
- Guba E. G. (1981). Criteria for assessing the trustworthiness of naturalistic inquiries.
 Educational Communication and Technology Journal, 29(2), 75–91
- 600 Gucciardi, D. F., Gordon, S., & Dimmock, J. A. (2008). Towards an understanding of mental
- 601 toughness in Australian football. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 20(3), 261-281.
- 602 Guimarães, E., Baxter-Jones, A., Maia, J., Fonseca, P., Santos, A., Santos, E., Tavares, F., &
- Janeira, M. A. (2019). The roles of growth, maturation, physical fitness, and technical
- skills on selection for a Portuguese Under-14 years basketball team. *Sports*, 7(3), 61.
- 605 Guimarães, E., Baxter-Jones, A. D., Williams, A. M., Tavares, F., Janeira, M. A., & Maia, J.
- 606 (2021). The role of growth, maturation and sporting environment on the development of
- 607 performance and technical and tactical skills in youth basketball players: The INEX study.
- 608 Journal of Sports Sciences, 39(9), 979-991.
- 609 Güllich, A. (2014). Selection, de-selection and progression in German football talent
 610 promotion. *European Journal of Sport Science*, *14*(6), 530-537.

- 611 Headrick, J., Renshaw, I., Davids, K., Pinder, R. A., & Araújo, D. (2015). The dynamics of
- expertise acquisition in sport: The role of affective learning design. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16, 83-90.
- Helsen, W. F., Van Winckel, J., & Williams, A. M. (2005). The relative age effect in youth
 soccer across Europe. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *23*(6), 629-636.
- 616 Hoare, D. G. (2000). Predicting success in junior elite basketball players the contribution of
- anthropometric and physiological attributes. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport, 3*(4),
 391-405.
- Hoare, D. G., & Warr, C. R. (2000). Talent identification and women's soccer: An Australian
 experience. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *18*(9), 751-758.
- Huijgen, B. C., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Post, W. J., & Visscher, C. (2009). Soccer skill
 development in professionals. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *30*(8), 585-591.
- Joseph, J., McIntyre, F., Joyce, C., Scanlan, A., & Cripps, A. (2021). A comparison of
 multidimensional qualities discriminant of selection in elite adolescent Australian
 basketball athletes. *Plos One*, *16*(8), e0256032.
- Kelle, U. (2006). Combining qualitative and quantitative methods in research practice:
 Purposes and advantages. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*, 293-311.
- Krefting, L. (1991). Rigor in qualitative research: The assessment of trustworthiness. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 45(3):214–222.
- 630 Lago-Peñas, C. & Dellal, A. (2010). Ball possession strategies in elite soccer according to the
- evolution of the match-score: the influence of situational variables. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 25, 93-100.
- 633 Larkin, P., Marchant, D., Syder, A., & Farrow, D. (2020). An eye for talent: The recruiters'
- role in the Australian Football talent pathway. *PloS One, 15*(11), e0241307.

- Larkin, P., & O'Connor, D. (2017). Talent identification and recruitment in youth soccer:
 Recruiter's perceptions of the key attributes for player recruitment. *PLOS One, 12*(4),
 e0175716.
- Larkin, P., & Reeves, M. J. (2018). Junior-elite football: time to re-position talent
 identification? *Soccer & Society*, 19(8), 1183-1192.
- Lex, H., Essig K., Knoblach A., & SchackT. (2015). Cognitive representations and cognitive
 processing of team-specific tactics in soccer. *PLOS One*, 10(2), e0118219
- 642 Li, D. (2004). Trustworthiness of think-aloud protocols in the study of translation processes.
- 643 International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 14(3), 301–313.
- Malina, R. M., Ribeiro, B., Aroso, J., & Cumming, S. P. (2007). Characteristics of youth soccer
- players aged 13–15 years classified by skill level. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,
 41(5), 290-295.
- McEwan, D., & Beauchamp, M. R. (2014). Teamwork in sport: A theoretical and integrative
 review. *International Review of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 7(1), 229-250.
- 649 McGuine, T.A., Greene, J.J., Best, T., & Leverson, G. (2000). Balance as a predictor of ankle
- 650 injuries in high school basketball players. *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine*. 10, 239-651 244.
- Meylan, C., Cronin, J., Oliver, J., & Hughes, M. (2010). Talent identification in soccer: The
 role of maturity status on physical, physiological and technical characteristics. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 5(4), 571-592.
- 655 Miller, D. C. (1972). Measuring cross-national norms: Methodological problems in identifying
- 656 patterns in Latin American and Anglo-Saxon countries. International Journal of
- 657 *Comparative Sociology*, *13*(3), 201-216.

- Mills, A., Butt, J., Maynard, I., & Harwood, C. (2012). Identifying factors perceived to
 influence the development of elite youth football academy players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 1593-1604.
- Morris, G., & O'Connor, D. (2016). Key attributes of expert NRL referees. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1-6.
- O'Connor, D., Larkin, P., & Mark Williams, A. (2016). Talent identification and selection in
 elite youth football: An Australian context. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 1-8.
- 665 Parker C, Scott S, & Geddes A. (2019). Snowball sampling. In: Atkinson Paul, Delamont Sara,
- 666 Cemat Alexandru, Sakshaug Joseph W, Williams RA, editors. SAGE research methods
 667 foundations. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526421036831710.
- Partington, M., & Cushion, C. (2013). An investigation of the practice activities and coaching
 behaviors of professional top-level youth soccer coaches. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 23(3), 374-382.
- 671 Pinder, R. A., Davids, K., Renshaw, I., & Araújo, D. (2011). Representative learning design
 672 and functionality of research and practice in sport. *Journal of Sport & Exercise*673 *Psychology*, 33(1), 146-155.
- 674 Pino-Ortega, J., Rojas-Valverde, D., Gómez-Carmona, C. D., & Rico-González, M. (2021).
- Training design, performance analysis, and talent identification—A systematic review
 about the most relevant variables through the principal component analysis in Soccer,
 Basketball, and Rugby. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(5), 2642.
- Ramos, S., Volossovitch, A., Ferreira, A. P., Fragoso, I., & Massuça, L. (2019). Differences in
 maturity, morphological and physical attributes between players selected to the primary
- and secondary teams of a Portuguese Basketball elite academy. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
- *6*82 *37*(15), 1681-1689.

- 683 Rebelo, A., Brito, J., Maia, J., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., Figueiredo, A. J., Bangsbo, J., & Seabra,
- 684 A. (2013). Anthropometric characteristics, physical fitness and technical performance of
- 685 under-19 soccer players by competitive level and field position. *International Journal of*
- 686 *Sports Medicine*, *34*(4), 312-317.
- 687 Reeves, M. J., Littlewood, M. A., McRobert, A. P., & Roberts, S. J. (2018). The nature and
- 688 function of talent identification in junior-elite football in English category one academies.
- 689 Soccer & Society, 19(8), 1122-1134.
- 690 Reeves, M. J., McRobert, A. P., Lewis, C. J., & Roberts, S. J. (2019). A case study of the use
- 691 of verbal reports for talent identification purposes in soccer: A Messi affair!. *PloS One*,
 692 *14*(11), e0225033.
- Reilly, T., Williams, A. M., Nevill, A., & Franks, A. (2000). A multidisciplinary approach to
 talent identification in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *18*(9), 695-702.
- Roberts, S. J., McRobert, A. P., Lewis, C. J., & Reeves, M. J. (2019). Establishing consensus
 of position-specific predictors for elite youth soccer in England. *Science & Medicine in Football*, 3(3), 205-213.
- 698 Rogers, M., Crozier, A. J., Schranz, N. K., Eston, R. G., & Tomkinson, G. R. (2021). Player
- 699 Profiling and Monitoring in Basketball: A Delphi Study of the Most Important Non700 Game Performance Indicators from the Perspective of Elite Athlete Coaches. *Sports*701 *Medicine*, 1-13.
- 702 Rösch, D., Hodgson, R., Peterson, L., Graf-Baumann, T., Junge, A., Chomiak, J., & Dvorak,
- J. (2000). Assessment and evaluation of football performance. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *28*(Suppl. 5), S29-39.
- Rösch, D., Ströbele, M. G., Leyhr, D., Ibáñez, S. J., & Höner, O. (2022). Performance
 differences in male youth basketball players according to selection status and playing

- position: An evaluation of the Basketball Learning and Performance Assessment
 Instrument. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1815.
- 709 Ross, S., Metcalf, A., Bulger, S. M., & Housner, L. D. (2014). Modified Delphi Investigation
- of Motor Development and Learning in Physical Education Teacher Education. *Research Quarterly for Exercise & Sport*, 85(3), 316-329.
- 712 Scanlan, A., Humphries, B., Tucker, P. S., & Dalbo, V. (2014). The influence of physical and
- cognitive factors on reactive agility performance in men basketball players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *32*(4), 367-374
- Sheppard, J. M., & Young, W. B. (2006). Agility literature review: Classifications, training
 and testing. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *24*(9), 919-932.
- Sheppard, J. M., Young, W. B., Doyle, T. L. A., Sheppard, T. A., & Newton, R. U. (2006). An
 evaluation of a new test of reactive agility and its relationship to sprint speed and change

of direction speed. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport*, 9(4), 342-349.

- Sherwood, S., Smith, T., & Masters, R. W. (2019). Pattern recall, decision making and talent
 identification in rugby union. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 19(6), 834-841.
- 722 Solomon, G. B., & Rhea, D. J. (2008). Sources of expectancy information among college
- coaches: A qualitative test of expectancy theory. *International Journal of Sports Science*& *Coaching*, 3(2), 251-268.
- Spiteri, T., Binetti, M., Scanlan, A.T., dalbo, V.J., Filippo, D., Specos, C. (2019). Physical
 determinants of division 1 collegiate basketball, women's national basketball league, and
- women's national basketball association athletes: with reference to lower-body sidedness. *Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, *33(1)*, *159-166*.
- Suppiah, H. T., Low, C. Y., & Chia, M. (2015). Detecting and developing youth athlete
 potential: different strokes for different folks are warranted. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49, 878-882.

- Thomas, C., Fellingham, G., & Vehrs, P. (2009). Development of a notational analysis system
 for selected soccer skills of a women's college team. *Measurement in Physical Education & Exercise Science*, *13*(2), 108-121.
- Toering, T. T., Elferink-Gemser, M. T., Jordet, G., & Visscher, C. (2009). Self-regulation and
 performance level of elite and non-elite youth soccer players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
 27(14), 1509-1517.
- Torres-Unda, J., Zarrazquin, I., Gil, J., Ruiz, F., Irazusta, A., Kortajarena, M., Seco, J., &
 Irazusta, J. (2013). Anthropometric, physiological and maturational characteristics in
 selected elite and non-elite male adolescent basketball players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *31*(2), 196–203.
- 742 Unnithan, V., White, J., Georgiou, A., Iga, J., & Drust, B. (2012). Talent identification in youth
 743 soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(15), 1719-1726.
- Vaeyens, R., Malina, R. M., Janssens, M., Van Renterghem, B., Bourgois, J., Vrijens, J., &
 Philippaerts, R. M. (2006). A multidisciplinary selection model for youth soccer: the
 Ghent Youth Soccer Project. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 40(11), 928-934.
- Van Yperen, N. W. (2009). Why some make it and others do not: Identifying psychological
 factors that predict career success in professional adult soccer. *The Sport Psychologist*, *23*(3), 317-329.
- Vilar, L., Araújo, D., Davids, K., & Renshaw, I. (2012). The need for 'representative task
 design' in evaluating efficacy of skills tests in sport: A comment on Russell, Benton and
 Kingsley (2010). *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *30*(16), 1727-1730.
- Ward, P., Ericsson, K. A., & Williams, M. A. (2013). Complex perceptual-cognitive expertise
 in a simulated task environment. *Journal of Cognitive Engineering & Decision Making*,
 755 7(3), 231-254.

- Ward, P., & Williams, A. M. (2003). Perceptual and cognitive skill development in soccer: The
 multidimensional nature of expert performance. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 25(1), 93-111.
- Warriner, K. & Lavallee, D. (2008). The retirement experiences of elite female gymnasts: self
 identity and the physical self. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 20,301-317.
- Williams, A. M., & Franks, A. (1998). Talent identification in soccer. Sports, Exercise & *Injury*, 4, 159-165.
- Williams, A. M., Ford, P. R., & Drust, B. (2020). Talent identification and development in
 soccer since the millennium. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38(11-12), 1199-1210.
- Williams, A. M., Hodges, N. J., North, J. S., & Barton, G. (2006). Perceiving patterns of play
 in dynamic sport tasks: Investigating the essential information underlying skilled
 performance. *Perception*, 35(3), 317-332.
- Williams, A. M., & Reilly, T. (2000). Talent identification and development in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18, 657-667.
- Wiseman, A. C., Bracken, N., Horton, S., & Weir, P. L. (2014). The difficulty of talent
 identification: Inconsistency among coaches through skill-based assessment of youth
 hockey players. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 9(3), 447-456.
- 773 Zarić, I., Kukić, F., Jovićević, N., Zarić, M., Marković, M., Toskić, L., & Dopsaj, M. (2020).
- Body height of elite basketball players: do taller basketball teams rank better at the
 FIBA World Cup?. *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health*,
- *17*(9), 3141.
- Zhang, S., Lorenzo, A., Gómez, M., Mateus, N., Gonçalves, B., Sampaio, J. (2018). Clustering
 performances in the NBA according to players ' anthropometric attributes and playing
 experience and playing experience. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *32*(22), 2511-2520.

780 Ziglio, E. (1996). The Delphi method and its contribution to decision-making. In M. Adler &

- 781 E. Ziglio (Eds.), Gazing into the oracle: The Delphi method and its application to social
- 782 *policy and public health,* (pp. 3-33). Bristol, PA: Jessica Kingsley.

783

Rank	Attribute	Average	SD	Category
1	Decision making	6.58	0.68	Tactical
2	Lay up	6.48	0.64	Technical
3	Teamwork	6.35	0.98	Tactical
4	Composure	6.28	0.75	Psychological
5	Shooting (in the paint, 2 point)	6.28	0.78	Technical
6	Adaptability	6.2	0.72	Psychological
7	Concentration	6.15	0.74	Psychological
8	Work-rate	6.15	0.77	Physical
9	Game awareness	6.15	0.83	Tactical
10	Rebounds	6.13	0.76	Technical
11	Determination	6.05	0.81	Psychological
12	Jump shot	6.05	0.81	Technical
13	Balance	6	0.88	Physical
14	Dribbling	6	0.82	Technical
15	Consistency	6	0.75	Psychological

Table 1. Attributes rated very important and above by responding coaches; and all otherattributes.

All Other Attributes

Agility; Vision; Anticipation; Versatility; Stamina; Core Strength; Stance; Steals
3 Point Shooting; Receiving a pass on the move; Short Passing; Injury Proneness
Shooting (outside the paint, 2 point); Acceleration; Deceleration; Positioning;
Front Pivot; Back Pivot; Off the Ball Movement; Jump Stop; Screening; Flair
Pace; Long Passing; Stride Stop; Jumping Reach; Aggression; Leadership; Dirtiness
5 Match Performance; Bravery; Natural Fitness; Upper body Strength

786