

Respecting, Facilitating and Recognising Children's Contributions in HCI

Course Submission

Janet C Read University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK Matthew Horton University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

ABSTRACT

Child Computer Interaction is concerned with the research, design, and evaluation of interactive technologies for children. Working with children in HCI is rewarding and fun but managing that work so that children are kept comfortable and can participate in meaningful ways is not always easy. This course is based on over 20 years' experience of working with children in research, design, and evaluation. It will provide attendees with practical tips to organise sessions with children, with signposts to methods for research, design, and evaluation, and will specifically consider the ethics of children's participation with checklists to support us in doing our most ethical work possible.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Human-centered computing \rightarrow Interaction design; Interaction design process and methods.

KEYWORDS

Child Computer Interaction, Evaluation, Design, Research, Ethics, Children, UX research

ACM Reference Format:

Janet C Read and Matthew Horton. 2023. Respecting, Facilitating and Recognising Children's Contributions in HCI: Course Submission. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '23), April 23–28, 2023, Hamburg, Germany*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3574167

1 INTRODUCTION

Children are significant users of interactive technology but, while there have been many technologies designed with them in mind, there is still work to be done to make technologies fit for their use [21]. Children are beginning to interact with technology at younger ages [11], and the value of the industry for interactive software for children is huge; but still there are many poorly designed products. In our own work we discovered first hand a plethora of difficulties that children had with mainstream technology [19].

Designers and academics need effective ways to better understand children and their contexts. When conducting research with, or designing technology for, children and families, researchers must take into consideration unique factors, that are less relevant for the

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CHI EA '23, April 23–28, 2023, Hamburg, Germany
© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9422-2/23/04.
https://doi.org/10.1145/3544549.3574167

general population. For example, research is needed to understand how children interact with technologies like robots [12], designers need to make products intended for learning more accessible to children who may have special needs [5], and children need to be empowered to give their opinions of, and their ideas towards, new innovations [1].

Engaging directly with children in research, design and evaluation can ensure that products are suited to their needs, but this engagement has consequences and the value of the engagement to children cannot be dismissed. The HCI community listens to the voices of children through participatory practices [2] and through research [7], but in recent times the expanded rights of the children, in terms of their empowerment and agency, has been a major theme ([6], [9], [17], [8]). UNICEF delivers a set of rights to children [26] in terms of their rights to be heard, protected and respected, but it's not always easy to apply these rights in day to day situations which vary according to context - as an example, in one case it may be reasonable to limit a child's freedom to express themselves or have an opinion, but in another instance that could be construed as being oppressive [10]. As an example of a tension, in our own work, children aged 14 were prevented from being allowed to be photographed for a newspaper because their parents had decided they should not be photographed in school - the child's right to choose was taken from them.

Such tensions, and many others, arise in our work with children in HCI. Spiel et al., [25] highlight a set of 'micro ethical' dilemmas that they encountered in their work with children, one example was the difficulty of walking away from children without a solution, this is tough when our rationale for working with children is often to improve their lives some way down the line. The extent to which children can consent, the difficulties around things like deception and the ad hoc selection of children for participation were all flagged up as problematic for a set of students doing HCI research and design with children [4]. In our recent work we have developed a framework for feeding back to children which we will bring to this course [24].

Versions of this course have been delivered at previous CHI conferences and other ACM venues and these have separately covered research, evaluation, and design [22], [16], [18], [15], [23]. The course was presented face to face in New Orleans in 2022 - prior to that it was last presented face to face at CHI in 2016. This current version focusses on techniques that will ensure value to children as they participate in our research and design work. The course has been updated with new content on reporting back and on working with young children.

2 BENEFITS

This course will introduce attendees to core values, methods, and techniques for working with children in CCI and children's UX with the focus being on the participation of children and the deliberate actions that can give them agency. Attendees will be given practical handouts and documentation including – personas, planning sheets, toolkits, and checklists. The course focuses on working with children in research, design and evaluation in ways that empower, and give value to, children.

At the end of the course, it is expected that attendees will:

Better understand the unique characteristics of working with children in research, design, and evaluation, and be enabled and challenged to improve the experiences of the children they work with

Have a clearer understanding of the impact of method choices on children's agency and engagement and on research data, evaluation results and design ideas.

Gain knowledge of techniques for the ethical recruitment of children (including the D4CR guide), the managing of studies and the writing and reporting of work.

Be able to locate and use techniques that will be useful in general Child-Centered Design.

Discover new methods and tools and feel empowered to apply them in their own work.

2.1 Intended Audience

This course is ideal for researchers, practitioners and designers who are interested in the research and design of digital environments for children (of all age groups). In past courses, participants included researchers, practitioners, and students.

2.2 Prerequisites

It is assumed that those attending have a basic understanding of HCI methods and/or UX research methods; outside of that there are no prerequisites.

3 CONTENTS

The course is structured in three parts, designed for delivery over two sessions. We have actively interspersed each session with three or more activities to ensure participant engagement.

Part 1: Children First

We will begin the course with a discussion of how to put children first in our HCI work. We will introduce a set of scenarios that can happen when working with children which will be used to challenge some of our thinking as we go along. The theoretical part of this session will focus on children's rights and the ways that children can participate. We will use case studies and children's narratives to talk about when it might not be appropriate to work with children and on what measures we can take to gain value for children in participation.

Part 2: Methods for Working with Children

This is the main part of the course where we introduce a subset of methods for Research with Children, Design with Children, and Evaluation with Children. We will introduce tools and methods that have been shown to be effective when working with children. Research Methods include the PETT toolkit (in press) which can be

used to describe the expertise of a sample of children. Design Methods that are described include Co-Design, Layered Elaboration [28], and Obstructed Theatre [13] and we will stress the importance of using ideas from children in appropriate ways. Evaluation Methods include the MemoLine [27], This or That [29], and The Fun Toolkit [14] as well as discussion on planning evaluations and on carrying out observational and ethnographic work.

Part 3: Reporting and Ethics

The last session is a discussion of reporting and ethics, which gives ideas for where we can go to learn more. We will specifically consider working with young (<4) children and will discuss the CHECk toolkits for ethical work [20] as well as the Designing for Children's Rights (D4CR) guidelines [3]. Practical advice on the recruitment of children and on reporting [24], both to the scientific community, and also to the children, is included in this section of the course.

3.1 Practical Work

A series of exercises is interspersed with the course content as seen in the attached PowerPoint file. Attendees carry out evaluations, plan a design session, and critique research. They additionally complete an ethical checklist and a reporting back checklist.

3.2 Resources

The course materials will be made available on the website (https://www.chici.org/activities)

Information about the instructors can be found on the ChiCI website (www.chici.org).

4 INSTRUCTOR BACKGROUND

Janet C Read is a Professor of Child Computer Interaction. Currently researching cross cultural CCI, childlike computing, the ethics of children's participation and visual programming, Prof. Read is the founding editor of the International Journal of Child Computer Interaction, a previous chair (on two occasions) of the ACM Interaction Design and Children (IDC) Conference and a former chair of the ACM Child Computer Interaction Community. She is widely published in CCI and HCI.

Matthew Horton is a Senior Lecturer with over twenty years of experience in working with schools and industry in HCI and CCI. He has published on personas in CCI and on the active involvement of children in CCI work.

REFERENCES

- Louanne E Boyd, Kathryn E Ringland, Oliver L Haimson, Helen Fernandez, Maria Bistarkey and Gillian R Hayes. 2015. Evaluating a collaborative iPad game's impact on social relationships for children with autism spectrum disorder. ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), 7, 1 (2015), 1-18.
- [2] Aurora Constantin, Cristina Alexandru, Jessica Korte, Cara Wilson, Jerry Fails, Gavin Sim, Janet C Read and Eva Eriksson. 2021. Distributing participation in design: Addressing challenges of a global pandemic. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction* (2021), 100255.
- [3] D4CR 2020. Desiging for Children's Rights.
- [4] Eva Eriksson, Wolmet Barendregt and Olof Torgersson. 2021. Ethical dilemmas experienced by students in Child-Computer Interaction—A case study. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction* (2021), 100341.
- [5] Christopher Frauenberger, Katta Spiel, Laura Scheepmaker and Irene Posch. 2019. Nurturing constructive disagreement-Agonistic design with neurodiverse children. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2019), 1-11.

- [6] Emilia Gómez, Vicky Charisi and Stephane Chaudron. 2021. Evaluating recommender systems with and for children: towards a multi-perspective framework (2021)
- [7] Matthew Horton, Janet C Read, Emanuela Mazzone, Gavin Sim and Daniel Fitton. 2012. School friendly participatory research activities with children. In CHI'12 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2012), ACM, 2099-2104
- [8] Steven Joffe. 2003. Rethink" affirmative agreement," but abandon" assent" (2003).
- [9] Marianne Kinnula, Netta Iivari, Tonja Molin-Juustila, Eino Keskitalo, Topi Leinonen, Eetu Mansikkamäki, Toni Käkelä and Martti Similä. 2017. Cooperation, Combat, or Competence Building-What Do We Mean When We Are'Empowering Children'in and through Digital Technology Design? In ICIS. (2017),
- [10] Eva Lievens, Sonia Livingstone, Sharon McLaughlin, Brian O'Neill and Valerie Verdoodt. 2018. Children's rights and digital technologies. *International human* rights of children, 1 (2018), 27.
- [11] Jackie Marsh, Jamal Lahmar, Lydia Plowman, Dylan Yamada-Rice, Julia Bishop and Fiona Scott. 2020. Under threes' play with tablets. *Journal of Early Childhood Research* (2020).
- [12] Oussama Metatla, Sandra Bardot, Clare Cullen, Marcos Serrano and Christophe Jouffrais. 2020. Robots for Inclusive Play: Co-designing an Educational Game With Visually Impaired and sighted Children. In Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2020), 1-13.
- [13] J. C Read, D Fitton and E Mazzone. 2010. Using Obstructed Theatre with Child Designers to Convey Requirements. In CHI2010. (2010), Atlanta, GA. ACM Press,
- [14] J. C Read and S. J MacFarlane. 2006. Using the Fun Toolkit and Other Survey Methods to Gather Opinions in Child Computer Interaction. In *Interaction Design* and Children, IDC2006. (2006), Tampere, Finland. ACM Press, 81 - 88.
- [15] J. C Read and P Markopoulos. 2008. Lifelong Interactions Understanding children's interactions: evaluating children's interactive products. *Interactions*, 15, 6 (2008), 26 29.
- [16] Janet C Read. 2018. Doing research with children: a child computer interaction perspective. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children. (2018), 745-747.
- [17] Janet C Read, Daniel Fitton and Matthew Horton. 2014. Giving ideas an equal chance: inclusion and representation in participatory design with children. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on Interaction design and children. (2014), ACM, 105-114.
- [18] Janet C Read and Shuli Gilutz. 2016. Research methods for child computer interaction. In Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human

- Factors in Computing Systems. (2016), 927-930.
- [19] Janet C Read, Matthew Horton, Suzanne Clarke, Rhia Jones, Dan Fitton and Gavin Sim. 2018. Designing for the at home experience of parents and children with tablet games. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children. (2018), 441-448.
- [20] Janet C Read, Matthew Horton, Gavin Sim, Peggy Gregory, Daniel Fitton and Brendan Cassidy. 2013. CHECk: a tool to inform and encourage ethical practice in participatory design with children. In CHI'13 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2013), ACM, 187-192.
- [21] Janet C Read, Eunice Sari, I Scott Mackenzie and Josh Adi Tedjasaputra. 2021. Words, Worlds and Freedom-Insights from School Students in Indonesia and UK. In IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction. (2021), Springer, Cham, 361-370
- [22] Janet Read and Shuli Gilutz. 2021. Working with Children in HCI: Research, Design and Evaluation. In Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. (2021), 1-3.
- 23] Janet Read and Matthew Horton. 2022. Respecting and Facilitating Children's Contributions to Research, Design and Evaluation in HCI: Course Submission. In CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts. (2022), 1-3.
- [24] Janet Read, Gavin Sim, Matthew Horton and Dan Fitton. 2022. Reporting Back in HCI Work with Children. In *Interaction Design and Children*. (2022), 517-522.
- [25] Katta Spiel, Emeline Brulé, Christopher Frauenberger, Gilles Bailly and Geraldine Fitzpatrick. 2018. Micro-ethics for participatory design with marginalised children. In Proceedings of the 15th Participatory Design Conference: Full Papers-Volume 1. (2018), 1-12.
- [26] UNICEF 2017. The State of the World's Children 2017: Children in a Digital World. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) New York, NY,
- [27] Jorick Vissers, Lode De Bot and Bieke Zaman. 2013. MemoLine: evaluating long-term UX with children. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children. (2013), ACM, 285-288.
- [28] Greg Walsh, Alison Druin, Mona Leigh Guha, Elizabeth Foss, Evan Golub, Leshell Hatley, Elizabeth Bonsignore and Sonia Franckel. 2010. Layered elaboration: a new technique for co-design with children. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. Atlanta, Georgia, USA. ACM, 1237-1240. DOI:10.1145/1753326.1753512
- [29] B Zaman. 2009. Introducing a Pairwise Comparison Scale for UX Evaluations with Preschoolers. In *Interact 2009*. (2009), IFIP, 634 - 637.