

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Implementing constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) into practice in sub-acute stroke: experiences and perceptions of stroke survivors and therapists
Type	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/51623/
DOI	##doi##
Date	2024
Citation	Jarvis, Kathryn orcid iconORCID: 0000-0001-5963-7346, Edelstyn, Nicky and Hunter, Sue (2024) Implementing constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) into practice in sub-acute stroke: experiences and perceptions of stroke survivors and therapists. British Journal of Occupational Therapy . ISSN 0308-0226
Creators	Jarvis, Kathryn, Edelstyn, Nicky and Hunter, Sue

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. ##doi##

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

2	practice in sub-acute stroke: experiences and perceptions of stroke
3	survivors and therapists
4	
5	Introduction
6	Constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) has been shown to be effective in
7	a sub-group of sub-acute stroke survivors but has not been widely implemented
8	in the United Kingdom. This study explored therapist and stroke survivor
9	perceptions and experiences of CIMT and explored non-agreement
10	(incongruence) and agreement (congruence) of these perspectives.
11	Method
12	Consenting occupational therapist (n=3) and physiotherapist (n=5) participated in
13	a focus group discussion. Four stroke survivors undertook pre- and post-CIMT
14	interviews. Stroke survivor participants selected and undertook an evidence-
15	based CIMT protocol. Focus group, and interview audio-recordings were
16	independently analysed thematically. Therapist and stroke survivor views were
17	subsequently synthesised using meta-ethnographic principles.
18	Findings
19	Four over-arching themes were identified: motivation and determination to
20	participate in CIMT; who benefits; which protocol; making CIMT feasible. The
21	final over-arching theme comprised five sub-themes: fatigue and sleep; pain;
22	transport; need for support; training, support and mentorship for therapists.
23	Stroke survivors and therapists held contrasting views in three themes.
24	Conclusion
25	Participating stroke survivors successfully undertook a self-selected, evidence-
26	based CIMT protocol. The identified enablers and barriers should inform future
27	CIMT protocol development. The contrasting views held by therapists and stroke
28	survivors reinforces the need for collaborative communication and opportunity
29	for choice during CIMT.

Implementing constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) into

Key words: constraint-induced movement therapy, stroke rehabilitation, upper limb, implementation, therapy, qualitative

3

4

1

2

Introduction

5 Approximately 70% of stroke survivors experience arm weakness with an estimated 6 40% continuing to have a long-term reduction in arm function (Intercollegiate Stroke 7 Working Party, 2023). Constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) is a therapeutic 8 intervention to address arm impairment, comprising three components: 1) constraint of 9 the ipsilesional arm; 2) intensive practice of tasks with the contralesional arm; and 3) a 10 behavioural transfer package component (Kwakkel et al., 2015). CIMT literature also 11 describes 'shaping' in the practice component to gradually move the person towards 12 achieving new motor goals through meaningful challenge and feedback (Taub et al., 13 1994). Despite evidence indicating a beneficial effect of CIMT for stroke survivors with 14 some recovery in the arm and hand post-stroke (Kwakkel et al., 2015) and it's potential 15 to provide the intensity recommended by clinical guidelines (Intercollegiate Stroke 16 Working Party, 2023; Stroke Foundation, 2023), previous studies (Christie et al., 2019; 17 Stockley et al., 2019; Sweeney et al., 2020) have found that the use of CIMT remains at 18 a low level in practice. A recent national stroke conference identified that evidence-19 based CIMT protocols have still not been implemented into routine practice by 20 therapists working in stroke services in the UK (Jarvis et al., 2022).

Literature review

21

22

23

24

25

Previous systematic reviews indicate that CIMT improves arm motor function and activity outcomes in a sub-group of stroke survivors who have a minimum of 10° extension of at least two metacarpophalangeal and interphalangeal joints and of the wrist (Corbetta et al., 2015; Pollock et al., 2014; Sirtori et al., 2009). Whilst there is

1 evidence from those reviews to support CIMT, a range of different CIMT protocols are 2 described, representing modification of the original, intense CIMT protocol of six hours 3 daily practice for two weeks with constraint of the ipsilesional arm for 90% of waking 4 hours. These modified CIMT protocols differ from the original protocol and from each 5 other in terms of the number of training and constraint wearing hours (intensity), the 6 frequency of the CIMT sessions, and overall length of the protocol (duration). A 7 systematic review of CIMT protocols for the sub-acute phase of stroke (Jarvis, 2015) 8 identified 11 different CIMT protocols, all demonstrating evidence of effectiveness in a 9 sub-group of a sub-acute stroke population with some active movement in the 10 contralesional wrist and metacarpophalangeal joints. It remains unclear which protocols 11 should be selected by therapists, and on what basis different protocols might be 12 preferred by therapists and stroke survivors. 13 There has been limited exploration of therapist perceptions about when, where, 14 or with whom a CIMT protocol might be used. Stockley et al. (2019) found only 17% of 15 UK therapist respondents would use CIMT to address mild arm impairment (National 16 Institutes of Health [NIH] Stroke Scale - able to lift and hold arm up against gravity for 17 10 seconds) (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2003), and 15% 18 to address moderate impairment (NIH Stroke Scale - some ability to move against 19 gravity). Christie et al. (2019) explored implementation and sustainability of CIMT 20 programmes through interviews with 11 therapists from six countries who had used 21 CIMT programmes in their practice. Findings indicated the importance of therapist 22 knowledge and confidence to implement CIMT, support of organisational leaders and 23 the need to be able to tailor programmes to promote feasibility and sustainability. 24 Further studies have identified insufficient staffing (Sweeney et al., 2020), and beliefs

- about what stroke survivors are able to tolerate (Fleet et al., 2014; Sweeney et al., 2020)
- 2 also limit therapist use of CIMT in practice.
- 3 Stroke survivors' experiences of participating in a protocolised CIMT
- 4 programme have also been reported. Three studies (Christie et al., 2022b; Stark et al.,
- 5 2019; Walker and Moore, 2016) interviewed 45 stroke (n=41) and brain injury (n=4)
- 6 survivors who had completed protocols of between two and four weeks in duration with
- 7 a minimum of two hours of active training at least three times a week, with daily
- 8 additional constraint of two hours (Stark et al., 2019), four hours (Walker and Moore,
- 9 2016) and six hours (Christie et al., 2022b). The enablers included seeing functional
- improvements (Christie et al., 2022b), a commitment to the programme (Christie et al.,
- 2022a; Christie et al., 2022b), social support (Christie et al., 2022b; Stark et al., 2019)
- and the structure of the programme, with participants noting that this structure helped
- them fill their time (Christie et al., 2022b). Therapist support (Walker and Moore,
- 14 2016), and the inclusion of meaningful activities in the training (Walker and Moore,
- 15 2016) was important in promoting adherence to the protocol. Barriers included physical
- and mental fatigue (Christie et al., 2022b; Stark et al., 2019), frustration (Christie et al.,
- 17 2022b; Walker and Moore, 2016) and the repetitive nature of the activities (Christie et
- 18 al., 2022b).
- There is a growing body of evidence to support the use of CIMT following
- stroke, and a range of potential enablers and barriers are evident as indicated above.
- 21 Whilst CIMT protocol selection may reduce barriers, protocol preference has not been
- studied and CIMT remains under-utilised in practice. If CIMT is to be effectively
- 23 implemented we need to better understand therapist and stroke survivor perspectives of
- a range of protocols and explore the impact of the interplay of these views, on
- acceptability and feasibility. The overall aim of this study was to gain an understanding

- 1 of stroke survivor and therapist perceptions and experiences of evidence-based CIMT
- 2 protocols, and to explore the incongruence (non-agreement) and congruence
- 3 (agreement) of these perspectives.

4 Method

- 5 Using an exploratory approach, the study was designed in two phases: 1) a focus group
- 6 with physiotherapists (PTs) and occupational therapists (OTs); and 2) individual semi-
- 7 structured interviews with stroke survivors before and after engaging in a CIMT
- 8 protocol.

9

Research perspectives

- 10 This inductive, qualitative study was underpinned by a social constructionist theoretical
- paradigm which accepts that beliefs and views are made (constructed) in the context of
- external influence and are shaped by interactions with others (Andrews, 2012) and the
- context in which it was developed (Creswell, 2009: p. 8). It was assumed that stroke
- survivor perceptions of CIMT were shaped by interactions with therapists, family and
- friends, and therapist perceptions were shaped through contact with other therapists
- prior to and during the focus group. The lived experiences of the stroke survivor
- participants after they had experienced CIMT were seen through a phenomenological
- 18 lens (Willig, 2001).

Research ethics

- 20 Ethical approval was gained from Keele University Faculty of Humanities and Social
- 21 Sciences Ethics Review Panel for the focus group with therapists, and the National
- Research Ethics Service, NRES (13/NW/0309) for interviews with stroke survivors. All
- 23 participants gave informed consent.

1 Setting

- 2 This study setting was an extension to a stroke early supported discharge (ESD) service
- 3 in the North-West of England. In this service therapists work with stroke survivors in
- 4 the sub-acute phase of stroke (two weeks to nine months post-stroke).

5 Sampling and Recruitment

- 6 Focus group with therapists
- 7 Consenting qualified OTs and PTs from the participating NHS Hospital Trust were
- 8 recruited if they were providing interventions to address arm function to stroke
- 9 survivors who were two weeks to nine months post-stroke. A purposive sampling
- strategy supported inclusion of participants across both professions with a range of
- 11 experience.
- 12 Interviews with stroke survivors
- 13 Potentially eligible stroke survivors discharged from the ESD service were identified by
- ESD therapists and invited to volunteer. Stroke survivors were included if they had:
- been diagnosed with a single first stroke of more than two weeks and less than nine
- months duration on recruitment to study; been discharged from all occupational therapy
- and physiotherapy, to ensure that performance was not influenced by co-interventions;
- reduced arm function due to paresis as a result of stroke, that discharging therapists
- reported to have 'plateaued'; at least 10° active extension in the contralesional wrist and
- 20 metacarpophalangeal joints; ability to balance safely whilst wearing the restraint
- 21 (assessed through clinical observation). They were excluded if they had been previously
- diagnosed with another neurological condition, were unable to follow one-step
- instructions (required to undertake CIMT) due to changes in cognition or

- 1 communication, or unable to provide valid consent to participate, assessed using four
- 2 questions required for assessment of capacity (Department for Constitutional Affairs,
- 3 2007).

4 Procedures

- 5 Therapists and stroke survivors meeting the eligibility criteria were provided with
- 6 verbal and written information about the study. Each participant provided a written
- 7 consent.
- 8 Focus group with therapists
- 9 Consenting therapists participated in one focus group lasting no more than 90 minutes,
- in a Hospital Trust Education Centre. The focus group was facilitated by a researcher
- 11 (qualified OT) with previous experience in facilitating groups (KJ) and attended by a
- 12 co-facilitator who observed the group processes, took field notes and identified any
- additional areas for discussion. The focus group was audio-recorded and subsequently
- 14 transcribed verbatim.
- During the focus group, participants were invited to consider and discuss their
- own needs and those of the organisation in implementing CIMT. The facilitator
- presented the range of CIMT protocols (Appendix A), which had been identified from a
- systematic review (Jarvis, 2015). Participants were invited to consider each protocol and
- respond to questions developed from the study objectives (table 1).

20

21

Table 1 about here

1 Interviews with stroke survivors

Demographics and stroke history were recorded from the medical notes of consenting stroke survivor participants. Validated measures of anxiety and depression, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score (Zigmond and Snaith, 1983), and cognition, the Montreal

5 Cognitive Assessment (Nasreddine et al., 2005) were also completed prior to interview.

6 This information was used to describe the sample and gain a deeper understanding of

response to CIMT. Participants were interviewed, by a researcher (KJ), twice: a) prior

to and b) following participation in an agreed CIMT protocol. The interview schedules

(table 2) were shaped by patient advisors who were formally consulted twice during the

10 planning of the study.

In the pre-CIMT semi-structured interview (maximum 60 minutes), participants were asked to consider and discuss their perceptions of and attitudes towards CIMT. The range of potential CIMT protocols were presented in written format, supported by a verbal explanation, to enable participants to consider the feasibility and acceptability of each.

16

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

Table 2 about here

18

19

20

21

22

23

17

Following participation in an individually selected, pre-agreed CIMT protocol, participants were interviewed for a second time (maximum duration 60 minutes), and invited to discuss their experience of CIMT, and to describe any barriers and enablers to undertaking the CIMT protocol. This interview was undertaken the day after the CIMT was completed.

Both interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim unless speech

difficulties indicated it more appropriate for the interviewer to document the interview

3 using written notes.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

4 The CIMT protocol

5 Following the pre-CIMT interview, members of the research team (therapist, lead

6 researcher) met with the participant to discuss and select a CIMT protocol, according to

their preference, from a range of protocols published in the literature for which some

evidence of effectiveness had been established (Appendix 1). The most intensive

protocol comprised constraint for 90% of waking hours and practice activities for six

hours per day, for 10 days over two weeks. The least intensive protocol comprised

constraint for five hours each day, and practice activities in therapy for one hour per day

for three days each week for 10 weeks. Each participant selected their preferred

protocol. Training included an approximately equal amount of functional and task-based

activities. Each activity was selected by the treating therapist to provide a meaningful

challenge to each participant. During the training, feedback was provided by the

therapist to 'shape' the participant's response. The activities undertaken were

documented using the Occupational Therapy Stroke Arm and Hand Treatment Record

(OT-STAR) (Jarvis et al., 2014). The ipsilesional arm was constrained with a C-MIT®

(Odstock Medical Limited).

Data Analysis

21 The focus group and interview transcripts were analysed separately using Thematic

Analysis described by Braun and Clarke (2006). Two members of the research team (KJ

and SH) familiarised themselves with the text, developing a feel for the data and the

broad concepts encompassed within. Next, they worked through the text line by line

- and, supported by NVivo 10 (QSR International, 2015) (KJ) and a paper-based system
- 2 (SH), used open coding to extract data and capture meaning. Strings of text were
- 3 allocated an initial label or code, which were then analysed for shared reference points.
- 4 Where there was a perceived sharing of meaning, these codes were clustered to develop
- 5 themes. The researchers (KJ and SH) worked independently, and met to compare,
- 6 discuss and agree the initial codes and, subsequently, themes and final interpretation.
- 7 The pre- and post- CIMT interviews, and the focus group were analysed separately.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The relationship between the staff focus group and the pre- and post-CIMT stroke survivor interviews was explored using the principles of meta-ethnography (Atkins et al., 2008). This approach was selected as there was no expectation that the data sets would validate each other disincentivising the use of triangulation. Instead, the aim was to draw together the two data sets to reveal deeper insights. This approach has previously been used successfully in health care to explore qualitative data collected using a variety of study designs (Sattar et al., 2021). The meta-ethnographic sythesis was undertaken by one researcher (KJ) supported by regular discussions with a second researcher (SH) to ensure a reflexive and rigorous process. The analysis followed an established guidance (Noblit and Hare, 1988; Sattar et al., 2021) incorporating 'translations' to compare concepts in one data set with the other data set. First a reciprocal translation was undertaken. Concepts contained within the themes were compared for aspects that were incorporated in both data sets. This was followed by a refutational translation which sought to identify dissimilar or contrasting concepts in the data sets. Finally, a 'lines of argument' synthesis was undertaken, bringing together the reciprocal and refutational translation to provide new insights into therapist and stroke survivor perceptions and experiences of evidence-based CIMT protocols. These translations and the lines of argument synthesis resulted in over-arching themes and

- sub-themes with exemplar quotations. All participants were allocated pseudonyms and
- 2 these are used in the reporting of the findings to preserve anonymity.

3

4 Reflexivity

- 5 The lead researcher (KJ) kept a reflective journal throughout the analysis phase,
- 6 supported by field notes taken during data collection. As an OT experienced in stroke
- 7 rehabilitation, she recognised that her presence and her role in facilitating the focus
- 8 group and interviews potentially influenced the data collected. Therefore, as part of the
- 9 reflexive process, decisions made during data analysis were documented clearly,
- producing an audit trail. Field notes were made during the focus group discussion by the
- 11 co-facilitator, and the facilitator reflected post-interview. The reflections made during
- this process were taken into account in data reporting.

13

14

15

Results

Focus group

- 16 Eight therapists (three OTs, five PTs) took part in the focus group. The therapists had a
- breadth of experience characterised by their time working in stroke rehabilitation
- 18 (ranging from less than three years to 20 years) and level of seniority as indicated by
- 19 Agenda for Change bands (table 3) (The NHS Staff Council, 2013). All eight therapists
- were aware of CIMT as an intervention post-stroke; four reported encouraging some
- stroke survivors to use a constraint such as an oven glove or pocket to prevent use of the
- 22 ipsilesional hand whilst undertaking tasks. However, none had followed an evidenced-
- based CIMT protocol. The analysis of the focus group led to six themes: The CIMT

1 Intervention; Personal Characteristics; Setting and Support; Ethical considerations; 2 Education and Training; and Practicalities. 3 Table 3-about here 4 5 Individual interviews 6 Four stroke survivors from the Early Supported Discharge (ESD) Service participated in 7 individual interviews. A summary of these participants is provided in table 4. The data 8 analysis from the interviews led to four main themes: Undertaking the CIMT; Impact of 9 CIMT; What was important; and Barriers and Facilitators. 10 11 Table 4-about here 12 13 The meta-ethnographic synthesis enabled further analysis of the focus group and 14 interview data and led to the development of four over-arching themes: Motivation and 15 Determination to Participate in CIMT; Who Benefits; Which Protocol? and Making 16 CIMT Feasible. The final over-arching theme contained five subthemes: fatigue and 17 sleep; pain; transport; need for support; training, support and mentorship for therapists. 18 Theme: Motivation and determination to participate in CIMT 19 There was agreement amongst the therapists that motivation was an essential 20 characteristic in undertaking a CIMT protocol: 21 [service users are] keen to get on and motivated, but as soon as we go, they don't 22 pick up their home exercise programme, they do very little in between our sessions 23 ...to get them to follow one of these protocols for four or five hours every single 24 day is a big ask to get them motivated and involved with it. (Monica, focus group)

- This was supported by evidence that motivation and determination were enablers to undertaking CIMT, for the stroke survivors who participated in this study. Janet,

 Margaret and Tony described points during the process where they called upon these
- 4 personal attributes:
- As I say, steam was coming out of my ears a couple of times...But I won't give up.

 Somebody once said of me, you're like a tenacious little terrier (Margaret, postCIMT).
- 8 Tina's motivation was less clear, although she too expressed a level of 9 determination in the post-CIMT interviewer notes:
- [I] got on with it because it's the correct thing to do want my arm working but felt like hard work (Tina, post-CIMT).
 - The motivation and determination that the therapists perceived to be important was supported by the stroke survivors who further demonstrated their motivation by completing the protocol and indicating that they would take up the offer of further CIMT if it was offered.

Theme: who benefits

12

13

14

15

- The therapists indicated that they would only offer CIMT to stroke survivors with some
 activity in their contralesional hand and seemed to agree that CIMT would be
 appropriate for only a small proportion of stroke survivors. They indicated that deciding
 when to use CIMT intervention would be based on the potential benefit for a given
 person, rather than specifically the time post-stroke. However, there were suggestions
 that it might be best utilised in the early stages post-stroke:
- It might be best to start as early as possible in terms of compliance and in terms of becoming habitual in their daily routine to build it in (Nic, focus group)

1	
2	The walking wounded that we get in straight from the acute setting to ESD,
3	they're the type of people who could be using it (Jane, focus group).
4	The therapists questioned whether a stroke survivor with cognitive impairment
5	or low mood would be able to undertake CIMT.
6	If you are repeating a task and they're not really seeing it as meaningfulthey'll
7	lose concentration very quickly (Nic, focus group)
8	
9	Our patients are often low in mood and motivation, so getting them to do stuff for
10	that amount of time without a supervising body would be difficult (Ida, focus
11	group)
12	There appeared to be a mismatch between the therapist views and stroke survivor
13	experiences. All participants in this study were able to participate in the CIMT and two
14	participants (Tina and Tony) were assessed as having a potential cognitive impairment
15	(Montreal Cognitive Assessment-table 4) and three (Janet, Tina and Tony) were
16	assessed as having a potential depression (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score-table
17	4). The participants all reported benefit from the CIMT programme, and recognised
18	improved use of their arm:
19	I am trying to use it [arm] more than I was before (Margaret, post-CMT)
20	
21	I even put the scones in the oven yesterday, which I hadn't done before because I
22	didn't have the strength in my wrist to hold the thing. (Janet, post-CIMT)
23	
24	I think when I'm in company, I'm having conversation, I move my hands a little
25	bit more (Tony, post-CIMT).
26	The four participants appeared to benefit from the CIMT, regardless of whether the
27	stroke survivors met with the therapist view of who may benefit.

Theme: which protocol

2	All stroke survivor participants selected a protocol that they felt able to undertake, and				
3	all four participants independently chose a two-week protocol "to get it over with"				
4	(Margaret). Three participants selected to undertake three hours training per day, and				
5	one participant (Margaret) selected four hours. The protocol selected by Margaret,				
6	included constraint for 90% of waking hours. However, Margaret reported that she did				
7	not adhere to this constraint protocol; instead, she wore the constraint for a similar time				
8	to the other three participants (approximately three to four hours in addition to the				
9	training time).				
10	This did not align with the therapist views. The therapists felt that the protocols				
11	with a smaller training time each week were more feasible. Their preference was for				
12	protocols with not more than four hours training each week. The feasibility of the CIMT				
13	protocol appeared to be based on the time the therapists had available to offer to the				
14	training sessions:				
15	The six hours [training] would go over a morning and into an afternoon, taking up				
16	most of your day. We're here seven and a half hours, some of us more, but six				
17	hours is a big chunk of your day to sustain over two weeks. (Ida, focus group)				
18	A protocol with four hours of arm training per week was discussed at length in the focus				
19	group as it was representative of the amount of therapy currently offered by the stroke				
20	services:				
21	Most of our patients will get four hours a week if they need that four hours. So				
22	they're do-able (Ida, focus group)				

- 1 Whilst the therapists could see how they could fit four hours per week training into their 2 current workload, they also agreed that it might be possible to offer a slightly more 3 intensive training over a short period: 4 Two hours [daily training] potentially could be [possible] for like a short-ish period 5 of time, like two weeks, and it might be something that you could have similar 6 level patients doing activities, two supervised by one assistant, and you can keep an 7 eye on both at the same time. (Nic, focus group) 8 The amount of constraint, additional to that incorporated within the training 9 time, was also discussed. Two therapists felt that constraint of the arm for 90% of the 10 waking hours was not feasible: 11 But then with 90% of their time restrained per day...it just seems a tad unrealistic. (Ida, 12 focus group) 13 14 That won't happen in any of our areas. (Petra, focus group) 15 16 Stroke survivors reported that they successfully completed between three and four hours of additional constraint, and this appeared to align with the therapists' perceptions who 17 18 felt four hours were feasible if this was planned: 19 The four [hours constraint], you can almost do breakfast, lunch, evening meal, 20 bedtime... because at least you can say you're restrained this amount, you can be 21 free in the afternoon. (Ida, focus group) 22 Overall, there was therapist and stroke survivor agreement about the amount of 23 additional constraint that was acceptable and feasible. However, this was not the case 24 for the amount of supervised arm training per week.
- 25 Theme: Making CIMT feasible

26 Stroke survivor participants were given choice about where the training element of the

- 1 CIMT should take place. Three of the participants, Janet, Margaret and Tony, indicated
- 2 that their homes were not suitable for undertaking therapy and, therefore, attended the
- 3 rehabilitation unit for their therapy, whilst Tina undertook some training at the
- 4 rehabilitation unit and some at home. In planning for CIMT, there were indications that
- 5 each participant needed to make adjustments to fit the protocol into their lives; this
- 6 included changes to their own occupations (Margaret and Tina), medical appointments
- 7 (Tony), and to carer routines (Margaret and Tina).
- 8 Sub-theme: Fatigue and sleep
- 9 During the pre-intervention interviews, both Janet and Tina indicated that they had a
- disrupted sleep pattern, often sleeping during the day.
- I can stay up until three or four o'clock in the morning, I can stay up all night and not go
- to bed because I'm awake, but then other times, like, I'll fall asleep on the couch. (Janet,
- pre-CIMT)

- 14 The protocol did take effort and caused fatigue, but Janet reported positive effects,
- resulting in a higher level of activity:
- 16 I'm sleeping better, I feel livelier, you know, because I'm not just going home, sitting
- down and going to sleep, I'm doing things, playing in the garden with the ball with the
- dogs" (Janet, post-CIMT)
- 20 Tina accommodated the fatigue with extra sleep and Margaret and Tony managed to
- 21 continue usual activities on most days, indicating a relatively small impact of fatigue on
- their occupations. This implied that CIMT did lead to fatigue, but that this may have
- positive outcomes for some, whilst for others it may require careful management.

- 1 Sub-theme: Pain
- 2 In the pre-CIMT interviews, Janet and Tina articulated concerns that the CIMT would
- 3 cause pain. At post-CIMT interviews, it was reported that CIMT did have the potential
- 4 to increase pain due to the increased levels of functional activity such as baking:
- That was the first day we made scones and I said, 'oh, I can't do it, it's absolutely
- 6 killing me!' (Janet, post-CIMT)
- 7 However, where this had occurred, the pain was assessed as being due to the result of
- 8 increased activity of the muscles in the contralesional arm. In each case the pain was
- 9 monitored and managed with analgesics and by adapting the activities involved in
- training. Pain may be a feature of CIMT, and where it occurs it needs effective review
- and action where this is required.
- 12 Sub-theme: Transport
- All four stroke survivors used the study transport service and indicated that, without it,
- 14 getting to the rehabilitation unit would have been difficult or costly and may have been
- 15 a barrier to CIMT.
- By the end of the post-CIMT follow-up, Tony was considering working towards
- 17 re-gaining his driving license, and Janet was starting to use public transport, which she
- 18 attributed to an increase in confidence:
- 19 I'm trying more stuff because I feel more confident this week (Janet, post-CIMT)
- However, transport remained a potential barrier throughout the CIMT protocol
- 21 for all four stroke survivors.

- 1 Sub-theme: Need for support
- 2 Therapist participants agreed that the majority of CIMT would take place once the
- 3 stroke survivor was in a community dwelling. There was also agreement that formal
- 4 carers working in the community would not be able to support CIMT due to shortage of
- 5 time, but that informal carers may also be able to offer support:
- 6 I think we'd have more chance of getting family carers on board to help their
- 7 stroke-affected relative than formal carers. Could it be something we could link in
- 8 with [informal] carers, for example, like the OTs with washing and dressing in the
- 9 morning, to show how it could still be done in a timeframe, you know. (Nic, focus
- 10 group)
- 11 Therapists indicated this might be particularly important if a stroke survivor had
- 12 cognitive impairment:
- 13 If somebody had...cognitive impairments, then it might be okay if we had someone
- there to prompt them, like a carer (Katrina, focus group)
- Whilst therapists identified the importance of carers in supporting the CIMT protocol,
- 16 for each of the four stroke survivor participants, carer support was not required.
- Margaret seemed to see therapy as something she did without her spouse and did not
- 18 report needing any additional support at home from her husband. Moreover, the stroke
- survivors reported the importance of the therapist during the CIMT intervention, with
- 20 Janet indicating that it would have been difficult had she not had a good relationship
- 21 with the therapist, who provided her with motivation to achieve her goals, whilst Tony
- acknowledged that the therapist's support was instrumental in him achieving his goals:
- She [the therapist] pushed me to where I want to go (Tony, post-CIMT).
- 24 Sub-theme: Training, support, and mentorship for therapists
- 25 Therapists strongly expressed a need to be confident in the evidence-base and their
- 26 knowledge, and discussed the need for training, identifying a variety of training to

increase their knowledge and confidence in using CIMT. This included having someone senior to whom they could go for advice and from whom they could learn: Probably a formal training session and then a double up with a senior therapist (Orla, focus group) Someone we can take any potential problems to, like a trouble-shooter. (Nic, focus group) There was also agreement in the focus group that a short-term reduction in a therapist's caseload might help in implementing CIMT to support therapists' knowledge acquisition.

Discussion

This study has explored and provided a comparison of stroke survivor and therapist

perceptions and experiences of CIMT in a UK setting and found both incongruence

(non-agreement) and congruence (agreement) of the therapist and stroke survivor views.

These have implications for the implementation of CIMT into a sub-acute stroke

service.

Previous CIMT implementation studies have utilised the Theoretical Domains Framework and Behaviour Change Wheel to develop a behaviour change intervention for therapists (Christie et al., 2023) and to understand the experiences of stroke and brain injury survivors (Christie et al., 2022b). However, in our study, the findings aligned closely to the five domains (innovation, individual, inner setting, outer setting, and implementation) of the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) (Damschroder et al., 2022).

The CFIR 'innovation' domain (Damschroder et al., 2022), was clearly evident in our findings that addressed the CIMT protocol. Whilst current guidelines recommend

a minimum of three hours daily therapy for people with motor recovery goals (Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party, 2023), the therapists thought that the most feasible protocols were those that included less intensive training and focussed predominantly on two protocols: the first involved one hour of training, three days per week over 10 weeks; and the second comprised four hours of training on one day per week. Therapists indicated that they felt that the stroke survivors with whom they worked would not be able to tolerate the protocols that involved a longer duration of training or mitt wearing. In contrast, the stroke survivors all chose a protocol, more aligned with current guideline recommendations (Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party, 2023), comprising three or four hours training per day over two weeks with the constraint being worn for an additional three to four hours daily outside of the therapy sessions. There were indications that they perceived the short protocol duration (two weeks) to be easier to accommodate in their lives. The three hours of training could be planned into a half day, giving time in the other part of the day for other activities or rest.

Although the intensity of the protocol has been regarded as a potential barrier by the therapists in this study and in the literature (Viana and Teasell, 2012), this did not appear to be the case for the four participants. Christie et al. (2022b) suggest that a clear explanation of the required intensity is important to prepare stroke survivor capability to participate in CIMT. In our study, the process of selecting a protocol necessitated an exploration of the intensity and is likely to have provided this explanation. Data from the interviews indicated that stroke survivors enjoyed the intensive CIMT protocol they selected, although the intensity could be challenging. In this study and others (Christie et al., 2022b), the short intensive protocol appeared to be well received, with each participant in this study completing the protocol; all participants indicated that would

1 undertake CIMT again if it were offered. Our study is the first to include stroke survivor

protocol selection from a range of evidence-based options. A key finding was that a

3 two-week CIMT protocol that comprised three or four hours of daily training and an

additional three to four hours constraint was both feasible and acceptable to the stroke

survivors in our study.

The characteristics of the stroke survivors undertaking the CIMT (CFIR individuals domain (Damschroder et al., 2022)) provided important insights. In our study, stroke survivor participants did not require support from a carer. It is possible that the participants reduced the need for additional support by choosing a protocol they felt able to undertake independently. Offering a range of protocols may enable participants to choose a feasible protocol and may overcome the challenges identified by Stark et al. (2019) where some non-professional CIMT coaches were unable to offer the support required by the stroke survivor.

The therapists believed that only a small number of stroke survivors accessing their services would be appropriate for CIMT. The recruitment rate for this study supports this, with 302 stroke survivors going through the ESD service during the 11 months of the study, but only four people being identified by the therapists as being appropriate for CIMT. Therapists were the gatekeepers for recruitment to the study: they made decisions about whether a stroke survivor would be appropriate for CIMT based on therapists' assessment of potential participants' cognition or perceived ability to undertake the intensive protocol. Therapists may have excluded stroke survivors based on this belief, yet the participants experiencing low mood and/or a potential mild cognitive impairment in this study pre-CIMT participated readily in CIMT. Steps must be taken in future studies to reduce gate-keeping to ensure equal access to all people

who meet the inclusion criteria; in this way potential participants can reclaim autonomy in decisions of beneficence (Sharkey et al., 2010).

Pain featured in the stroke survivor pre- and post-CIMT interviews, with two participants expressing concern about pain, or being unable to use the constrained ipsilesional arm. This perception may be a barrier to CIMT, deterring stroke survivors from agreeing to undertake the intervention. Fatigue has also been identified in previous studies as a possible barrier (Jarvis, 2015), yet neither fatigue or pain had prevented the participants from completing their CIMT protocol, a finding supported by other studies (Christie et al., 2022b).

Whilst therapists thought that the 'inner setting' (defined in CFIR as the setting in which the activity is implemented (Damschroder et al., 2022)) for the CIMT should be in the community, three of the four participants selected, in contrast, to receive all their CIMT in the rehabilitation unit. In her reflections, the Research Therapist noted benefits of undertaking some of the training in the rehabilitation unit as this made available a wider range of equipment and activities. A collaborative approach should be adopted when planning the location of the CIMT training to encourage participation and variety of occupations.

In the 'Outer Setting' (defined in CFIR as the setting in which the inner setting exists (Damschroder et al., 2022)) of the CIMT, transport was provided for stroke survivors who participated in the CIMT programme; however, this would not be the case if a CIMT intervention was integrated into the current service. Stroke survivors would have to cover their own travel costs, which may be considerable if the rehabilitation unit continued to be the favoured option as the treatment location; in line with findings from previous studies, transport may become a barrier for some (Christie et al., 2022b; Nicholson et al., 2014). Evidence-based CIMT protocols could not be

1 integrated without changes to the current ESD service. As identified by the focus group

2 therapists, these environmental issues remained a barrier and would need to be

3 considered if a CIMT service was to be commissioned. CIMT via telehealth (Christie et

4 al., 2022a) may provide some solutions to overcome this barrier for some stroke

5 survivors.

Building on previous CIMT studies, the current study provides additional evidence to address the CFIR 'Implementation' domain (Damschroder et al., 2022). This study indicates that therapist and stroke survivor pre-CIMT perceptions did not reflect the stroke survivors' experience of CIMT. CIMT is an evidence-based intervention that has the capacity to improve arm recovery outcomes for stroke survivors. If this opportunity is to be realised occupational therapists need to ensure that their perceived barriers do not erroneously limit access of stroke survivors to evidence-based interventions.

This was the first study to offer stroke survivor protocol selection. With no evidence that one CIMT protocol is more effective in improving arm outcomes (Kwakkel et al., 2015), we recommend that, where possible, a choice of evidence-based protocols is offered to stroke survivors to support acceptability and feasibility of participating in the protocol. This collaborative approach provides opportunity for stroke survivors to consider the intensity of the protocol, their personal circumstances and the 'Outer Setting' to establish if the CIMT protocol will be feasible for them.

TeleCIMT, CIMT provided remotely (Christie et al., 2022a), may also provide an alternative means to also increase accessibility of the CIMT intervention. If the CIMT protocol must be defined, a protocol involving three hours training and three to four hours constraint was considered acceptable and found to be feasible for the stroke survivors in this study. We would therefore recommend a protocol with these features.

- 1 Therapist perceptions and beliefs about CIMT protocols that do not align with those of
- 2 stroke survivors need to be addressed through pre-registration and post-registration
- 3 education. This education should include stroke survivors sharing their experience of
- 4 undertaking CIMT.
- Whilst this was a small study, the findings align and supplement previous CIMT
- 6 evidence from outside the UK. Further study should aim to develop implementation
- 7 strategies to increase adoption of CIMT in the UK. These studies should be larger and
- 8 include quantitative measurement of the impact of the CIMT on arm function outcomes.
- 9 Reducing gatekeeping in future studies will help establish who benefit from this
- 10 potentially beneficial intervention.

Limitations

- This study has gained meaningful insights into the experiences of the stroke
- survivors and therapists who participated. The experiences of the stroke survivors in this
- study are reflected in other studies (Christie et al., 2022b; Sweeney et al., 2020; Walker
- and Moore, 2016) increasing confidence in our findings; however, transferability of the
- findings from our study may have been enhanced with additional stroke survivor
- participants. The findings provide a wealth of information, with probing utilised to
- achieve data saturation at the level of each interview (Saunders et al., 2018).
- Nonetheless, it is possible that further interviews would enrich the themes.
- This study did not include a specific behavioural transfer package as part of the
- 21 CIMT intervention. Whilst this probably reflected current practice (Christie et al.,
- 22 2019), and did not seem to detrimentally influence participation in the protocol, future
- work should explore the importance of this, and the other components of CIMT.
- 24 Understanding the contribution of each component, will support the selection and

- 1 implementation of CIMT protocols that are effective, and also acceptable and feasible to
- 2 therapists and stroke survivors.

Conclusion

3

- 4 There is evidence that although CIMT has been shown to be effective in a small sub-
- 5 group of stroke survivors, it has not been widely implemented in the UK. This study
- 6 explored therapist and stroke survivor perceptions and experiences of CIMT and
- 7 identified a wide range of potential barriers and enablers of CIMT. These should be
- 8 considered where CIMT is being commissioned or implemented. It is important that
- 9 therapists do not make assumptions about stroke survivors' capacity to undertake a
- 10 CIMT protocol based on their own perceived barriers to this evidence-based
- intervention. In this study, giving stroke survivors an informed choice over the CIMT
- protocol they undertake appeared to enable participation. Three to four hours of training
- and three to four hours of additional constraint was found to be acceptable and feasible
- to all stroke survivor participants in this study. This information may inform the design
- and protocol selection in practice and future CIMT studies.

17 Key findings

- 18 Three to four hours of training and three to four hours of additional constraint over a
- 19 two-week duration was found to be acceptable and feasible to stroke survivor
- 20 participants in this study.
- 21 Therapist perceptions and stroke survivor experiences of the implementation of CIMT
- were not congruent.
- Future CIMT protocol development and implementation should incorporate the

authentic involvement and engagement of both therapists and stroke survivors.

What the study has added

Therapist perceptions may prevent the successful implementation of CIMT. This study indicates the importance of understanding stroke survivors' views of their rehabilitation interventions to ensure the potential of evidence-based interventions is appropriately harnessed.

References

1

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

- Andrews T (2012) What is social constructionism? *The Grounded Theory Review* 11(1): 3 9-46.
- 4 Atkins S, Lewin S, Smith H, et al. (2008) Conducting a meta-ethnography of qualitative literature: Lessons learnt. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 8(21): 1-10.
- 6 Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3(2): 77-101.
- Christie L, Fearn N and Lannin N (2022a) Adaption of a Behaviour Change
 Intervention to Support Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (CIMT)
 Delivery Via Telehealth. *Archives Of Physical Medicine And Rehabilitation*103(12): e134-e135.
- 12 Christie LJ, McCluskey A and Lovarini M (2019) Constraint-induced movement 13 therapy for upper limb recovery in adult neurorehabilitation: An international 14 survey of current knowledge and experience. *Australian Occupational Therapy* 15 *Journal* 66(3): 401-412.
 - Christie LJ, Rendell R, McCluskey A, et al. (2022b) Adult experiences of constraint-induced movement therapy programmes: a qualitative study using the Theoretical Domains Framework and Capability, Opportunity, Motivation Behaviour system. *Brain impairment*. DOI: 10.1017/BrImp.2022.18. 1-16.
 - Christie LJ, Rendell R, McCluskey A, et al. (2023) Development of a behaviour change intervention to increase the delivery of upper limb constraint-induced movement therapy programs to people with stroke and traumatic brain injury. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. DOI: 10.1080/09638288.2023.2290686. 1-12.
 - Corbetta D, Sirtori V, Castellini G, et al. (2015) Constraint-induced movement therapy for upper extremities in people with stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015(10): Cd004433.
- Creswell JW (2009) Research design: qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods
 approaches. London: Sage Publications Ltd.
 Damschroder LJ, Reardon CM, Widerquist MAO, et al. (2022) The updated
 - Damschroder LJ, Reardon CM, Widerquist MAO, et al. (2022) The updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research based on user feedback. *Implementation Science* 17(1): 75.
 - Department for Constitutional Affairs (2007) Mental Capacity Act 2005: code of practice. Reportno. Report Number, Date. Place Published: Institution.
 - Fleet A, Che M, Mackay-Lyons M, et al. (2014) Examining the use of constraint-induced movement therapy in canadian neurological occupational and physical therapy. *Physiotherapy Canada*. *Physiothérapie Canada* 66(1): 60-71.
 - Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party (2023) *National Clinical Guideline for Stroke for the UK and Ireland*. Available at: www.strokeguideline.org (accessed 9th June).
 - Jarvis K (2015) Occupational therapy for the upper limb after stroke: implementing evidence-based constraint induced movement therapy into practice. Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire.
 - Jarvis K, Christie L, McCluskey A, et al. (2022) Implementing complex interventions into stroke rehabilitation AHP applying constraint induced movement therapy (CIMT) as a case study. In: *United Kingdom Stroke Forum*, Liverpool. .
- Jarvis K, Reid G, Edelstyn N, et al. (2014) Development of the Occupational Therapy
 Stroke Arm and Hand Record: An upper limb treatment schedule. *British* Journal of Occupational Therapy 77(3): 126-133.
- 48 Kwakkel G, Veerbeek JM, van Wegen EE, et al. (2015) Constraint-induced movement 49 therapy after stroke. *Lancet Neurol* 14(2): 224-234.

- 1 Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. (2005) The Montreal Cognitive
- 2 Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 53(4): 695-699.
- 3
- 4 National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (2003) NIH Stroke Scale. 5 Available at: https://www.ninds.nih.gov/health-information/public-6 education/know-stroke/health-professionals/nih-stroke-scale.
- 7 Nicholson SL, Donaghy M, Johnston M, et al. (2014) A qualitative theory guided 8 analysis of stroke survivors' perceived barriers and facilitators to physical 9 activity. Disability and Rehabilitation 36(22): 1857-1868.
- 10 Noblit GW and Hare RD (1988) Meta ethnography: synthesizing qualitative studies. 11 Newbury Park, C.A.: Sage.
- Pollock A, Farmer SE, Brady MC, et al. (2014) Interventions for improving upper limb 12 13 function after stroke. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 11: 14 CD010820.
- 15 OSR International (2015) Are you equiped to understand the data of the future. 16 Available at: http://www.gsrinternational.com/ (accessed 21st November).
- 17 Sattar R, Lawton R, Panagioti M, et al. (2021) Meta-ethnography in healthcare research: 18 a guide to using a meta-ethnographic approach for literature synthesis. BMC 19 health services research 21(1): 50.
- 20 Saunders B, Sim J, Kingstone T, et al. (2018) Saturation in qualitative research: 21 exploring its conceptualization and operationalization. Quality & quantity 52(4): 22 1893-1907.
- 23 Sharkey K, Savulescu J, Aranda S, et al. (2010) Clinician gate-keeping in clinical 24 research is not ethically defensible: an analysis. *Journal of Medical Ethics* 36(6): 25 363-366.
- 26 Sirtori V, Corbetta D, Moja L, et al. (2009) Constraint-induced movement therapy for 27 upper extremities in stroke patients. Cochrane Database of Systematic 28 Reviews.(4): CD004433.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

- Stark A, Färber C, Tetzlaff B, et al. (2019) Stroke patients' and non-professional coaches' experiences with home-based constraint-induced movement therapy: a qualitative study. Clinical rehabilitation 33(9): 1527-1539.
- Stockley R, Peel R, Jarvis K, et al. (2019) Current therapy for the upper limb after stroke: a cross-sectional survey of UK therapists. BMJ Open 9(9): e030262.
- Stroke Foundation (2023) Clinical Guidelines for Stroke Management. Available at: https://informme.org.au/guidelines/living-clinical-guidelines-for-strokemanagement (accessed 22nd November).
- 37 Sweeney G, Barber M and Kerr A (2020) Exploration of barriers and enablers for 38 evidence-based interventions for upper limb rehabilitation following a stroke: 39 Use of Constraint Induced Movement Therapy and Robot Assisted Therapy in 40 NHS Scotland. British Journal of Occupational Therapy 83(11): 690-700.
- 41 Taub E, Crago JE, Burgio LD, et al. (1994) An operant approach to rehabilitation 42 medicine: overcoming learned nonuse by shaping. Journal of the Experimental 43 *Analysis of Behavior* 61(2): 281-293.
- 44 The NHS Staff Council (2013) NHS job evaluation handbook. Available at: 45 http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Publications/NHS_Job_Evalu ation_Handbook.pdf (accessed 10th November). 46
- 47 Viana R and Teasell R (2012) Barriers to the implementation of constraint-induced 48 movement therapy into practice. Topics In Stroke Rehabilitation 19(2): 104-114.

1	Walker J and Moore M (2016) Adherence to modified constraint-induced movement
2	therapy: the case for meaningful occupation. Journal of Primary Health Care
3	8(3): 263-266.
4	Willig C (2001) Interpretative phenomenology. In: Willig C (ed) Introducing qualitative
5	research in psychology: adventures in theory and method. Maidenhead: Open
6	University Press, pp.50-69.
7	Zigmond AS and Snaith RP (1983) The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta
8	Psychiatrica Scandinavica 67(6): 361-370.
9	

Appendices

Appendix 1: Summary of protocols for discussion with stroke survivors

Protocol (P)	Length of protocol	No. of training days per week	Training	Restraint	No. of restraint days per week	
P1	2 weeks	5	Up to 6hrs per day Average 4.5hrs	90% of waking hours	7	
P2	2 weeks	5	4hrs per day	90% of waking hours	5	
Р3	2 weeks	12 consecutive days	3hrs	90% of waking hours	12 consecutive days	
P4	2 weeks	5	1 hr	4hrs per day	7	
P5	2 weeks	5	3hr per day	6 hrs per day	5	
P6	3 weeks	5	5hrs per day	5hrs per day	'Each day' not stated if this included weekends	
P7	4 weeks	Not reported	4hrs per week	4hrs per day	7	
P8	4 weeks	5	3hrs	90% of waking hours	5	
Р9	10 weeks	3	1hr	5hrs per day	5	

Tables

Table 1: Focus group schedule-key questions (therapists)

Focus Group Schedule (key questions)

Facilitator presentation of an overview of the evidence-based CIMT protocols

Main questions to be addressed:

- 1. Do you think any of the protocols could be provided in the stroke service? If so, which ones?
- 2. When (time-post stroke) should/could a CIMT protocol be provided?
- 3. Where (in what therapeutic setting, home, hospital, clinic, other) should/could a CIMT protocol be provided?
- 4. With whom should/could a CIMT protocol be provided?
- 5. Do you think there are factors that would help the provision of CIMT protocol?
- 6. Do you think there are factors that would hinder the provision of CIMT protocol?

Table 2: Interview schedules-key questions (stroke survivor interviews)

Pre-CIMT Interview Schedule

- 1. Do you think you have experienced CIMT as part of the therapy you have received? If so, what did it entail? Can you tell me what you thought about the CIMT intervention?
- 2. Do you think you would be able to undertake a full CIMT protocol (as described earlier)? If no, why do you feel you would be unable to undertake a CIMT protocol?
- 3. Which protocol/s do you feel you would be able to undertake and why?
- 4. Are there factors that would help you to undertake a CIMT protocol?
- 5. Are there factors that would make it harder for you to undertake a CIMT protocol?
- 6. Is there anything that would make undertaking a CIMT protocol more acceptable?

Post CIMT Interview Questions

- 1. Can you tell me about the CIMT you experienced?
- 2. How did it feel to have your hand restrained?
- 3. How did it feel to undertake the practice of activities?
- 4. Were you able to carry out the CIMT as it was planned?
- 5. Were there benefits in undertaking CIMT?
- 6. Were there disadvantages to undertaking CIMT?
- 7. Did you feel your ability to use your arm and hand changed with the CIMT?
- 8. How did you feel during the CIMT?
- 9. Were there factors that helped you to undertake the CIMT protocol?
- 10. Were there factors that made it harder for you to undertake a CIMT protocol?
- 11. If you were offered CIMT again, would you take it?

Table 3: Summary of therapist characteristics

Pseudonym	Therapy profession (OT or PT)	Agenda for Change Job Band *	Time working with stroke survivors
Ida	ОТ	7	6-10 yrs
Jane	ОТ	6	3-5 yrs
Katrina OT		6	< 3 yrs
Lena	PT	6	< 3 yrs
Monica	PT	7	11-15 yrs
Nic	PT	6	3-5 yrs
Orla	PT	5	< 3 yrs
Petra PT		8a	16-20 yrs

^{*}Further details can be found at: https://www.nhsemployers.org/topics-networks/pay-pensions-and-reward/nhs-terms-and-conditions-service-agenda-change

Table 4: Summary of stroke survivor characteristics

Pseudonym	Age	Lived alone?	Type/location of stroke	Dominant hand	Pre-CIMT Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score # potential anxiety \$ potential depression	Pre-CIMT Montreal Cognitive Assessment * potential cognitive impairment	Time since stroke
Janet	58	no	Ischaemic/right hemisphere	Right	Anxiety: 11 # Depression: 15 \$	27	6 months
Margaret	69	no	Ischaemic/right hemisphere	Right	Anxiety: 1 Depression: 4	28	6 months
Tina	37	yes	Haemorrhagic transformation of an ischaemic stroke/left hemisphere	Right	Anxiety:12 # Depression:15 \$	22 *	7 months
Tony	55	no	Ischaemic/left hemisphere	Right	Anxiety:7 Depression:10 \$	15 *	6 months