

## Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Is there a link between neurodiversity and stalking? a systematic review
Type	Article
URL	<a href="https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/53296/">https://clock.uclan.ac.uk/53296/</a>
DOI	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/JFP-01-2024-0001">https://doi.org/10.1108/JFP-01-2024-0001</a>
Date	2024
Citation	Baciu, Andrei and Worthington, Rachel Elizabeth (2024) Is there a link between neurodiversity and stalking? a systematic review. The Journal of Forensic Practice.
Creators	Baciu, Andrei and Worthington, Rachel Elizabeth

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JFP-01-2024-0001>

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

---

**Is there a link between Neurodiversity and Stalking? A  
Systematic Review**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Forensic Practice</i>
Manuscript ID	JFP-01-2024-0001.R3
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Stalking, Autism, ADHD, Neurodiversity, perpetration, victimisation

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

TITLE: Is there a link between Neurodiversity and Stalking? A Systematic Review

## ABSTRACT:

The increasing conviction rates of stalking in the UK have prompted efforts to identify factors that may influence individuals to engage in such behaviour. Over two million people in England and Wales experience stalking every year, with estimated reoffending rates for stalking being between 25 and 55% (ONS, 2022; McEwan et al., 2017). Research has identified risk factors that may contribute towards stalking behaviours, which has included obsessive relational pursuit and online impulsivity (Post et al., 2014a; Rocheleau, 2019). This has resulted in researchers postulating a link between facets of neurodiversity and stalking behaviour (Freckelton, 2013).

The Systematic Review was performed according to the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Papers were screened for quality appraisal and risk of bias. The initial search yielded 3880 articles. 10 papers were deemed as meeting the inclusion criteria.

There is insufficient research quality regarding neurodiversity and stalking perpetration due to poor diagnostic reliability of neurodiversity and a lack of reliable tools being used in the research which do not meet the definitions of stalking. As such, the existing research about neurodiversity and stalking perpetration is inconclusive and predominantly unreliable. Tentative evidence indicated that people with neurodiversity were at greater risk of being victims of stalking and that for the minority of people with neurodiversity who engage in stalking the factors that contribute towards this mirror those of neurotypical individuals.


CUST\_RESEARCH\_LIMITATIONS/IMPLICATIONS\_\_(LIMIT\_100\_WORDS) :No data available.

Overall, the factors contributing to stalking highlighted by the included studies, such as difficulties with communication, empathy, insight into social functioning, interpersonal competence, and ways of forming relationships with others, seem to map onto the deficits of neurotypical stalking perpetrators (Canter and Youngs, 2012; Lewis et al., 2001; McEwan et al., 2009; Mullen et al., 2006). However, tools that contextualise neurodiversity in the context of risk assessments for stalking may be helpful (Al-Attar, 2019; Al-Attar, 2021).

CUST\_SOCIAL\_IMPLICATIONS\_(LIMIT\_100\_WORDS) :No data available.

Recommendations for further research are made to gain a robust understanding of any potential relationship between neurodiversity and stalking and in particular a risk of stalking victimisation.

Figure 1 – PRISMA Flowchart outlining the study selection process



Practice

Table 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criterion

	Inclusion	Exclusion
Population	Male and Female People with neurodiversity	Non-human People under the age of 10 (age of criminal responsibility in the UK)
Exposure	Stalking Cyberstalking	Focuses solely on bullying
Context/Language	English Worldwide Community and offending samples	Published in other languages
Outcomes	Frequency/Severity of behaviour Detection of vulnerability Reduction in Risk Improved intervention/support	Behaviour indicated that it could be considered a different type of offence
Type of publication	Peer reviewed Individual Studies identified from reviews Primary and Secondary Data	Literature reviews Book Chapters Opinion papers
Date restrictions	None	

Table 2 – Quality Ratings and Summary of Study Characteristics for included papers

ID	Author, Year and Title	Sample Size ASD = Autism (including traits) NT = neurotypical	Male/ Female	Sample Age	Method of measuring 'stalking'	Quality Rating (AA-E)	Convicted/ Arrested/ Apprehended for Stalking	Country
1	Stokes, M., Newton, N. and Kaur, A. (2007) 'Stalking, and social and romantic functioning among adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder.' <i>Journal of autism and developmental disorders</i> , 37(10), pp. 1969-1986.	N = 25 ASD N = 38 NT	Both	13-30	Courtship Behaviours Scale (CBS) self-report and parent report	D	No	Australia
2	Mogavero, M. C. and Hsu, K. H. (2020) 'Dating and courtship behaviours among those with autism spectrum disorder.' <i>Sexuality and Disability</i> , 38(2), pp. 355-364.	N = 46 ASD N = 88 NT	Both	Adults	Courtship Behaviour Scale (CBS)	D	No	Conducted online using Facebook users from North America, Europe and Australasia
3	Gibbs, V., Hudson, J. and Pellicano, E. (2020) 'The Extent and Nature of Autistic People's Violence Experiences During Adulthood: A Cross-sectional Study of Victimization.' <i>Journal of autism and developmental disorders</i> , pp. 1-16.	N = 118 ASD adults who either self-reported an independent clinical diagnosis of an autism spectrum condition (N = 114) or self-identified as autistic but did not have a professional diagnosis (n = 18), and 128 NT.	Both	19 and over	Participants were asked to indicate using a "yes/no" format whether they had experienced • <i>stalking and harassment.</i>	D	No	Conducted Online. Participants were from Australia, United Kingdom, USA, New Zealand, Canada.
4	Ventura, F., Areias, G., Coroa, M., Araujo, A., Borges, J., Morais, S. and Madeira, N. (2022) 'Stalking behaviour and high-functioning autism spectrum disorders – a case report.' <i>The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology</i> , 33(5), pp. 639-645.	N = 1 ASD	Male	Adult	Case study	E	Yes	Portugal
5	Hannah, L. A. and Stagg, S. D. (2016) 'Experiences of sex education and sexual	N = 20 ASD N = 20 NT	Both	18-25	Qualitative Interview	D	No	UK

	awareness in young adults with autism spectrum disorder.' <i>Journal of autism and developmental disorders</i> , 46(12), pp. 3678-3687.							
6	Mintah, K. (2014) <i>I cannot see it in their eyes: How autism symptoms hamper dating</i> (Doctoral dissertation, Carleton University).	N = 124 (mixed)	Both	Adult	Courtship Behaviour Scale (CBS)	D	No	Canada
7	Wheatley, R., Winder, B. and Kuss, D. (2021) 'It's so Hard to Get Out of that Bubble.' A Phenomenological Analysis with Men Who Have Stalked.' <i>Journal of Forensic Psychology Research and Practice</i> , 21(3), pp. 249-282.	N = 7 (mixed)	Male	Adult	Qualitative interview	E	Yes	UK
8	Shields, K. and Beversdorf, D. (2021) 'A dilemma for neurodiversity.' <i>Neuroethics</i> , 14(2), pp. 125-141.	N = 3 ASD	Both	Adult	Case Study	E	Yes	USA
9	Reyns, B. W. and Scherer, H. (2018) 'Stalking victimisation among college students: The role of disability within a lifestyle-routine activity framework.' <i>Crime and Delinquency</i> , 64(5), pp. 650-673.	N = 284 ADHD N = 43, 307 Total Sample	Both	18-25	Respondents were asked "within the last 12 months: were you a victim of stalking (e.g., waiting for you outside your classroom, residence, or other; repeated emails/phone calls)?" Any respondent who reported yes to this survey item was coded as stalking victim (0 = no; 1 = yes);	D	No	USA
10	Myles, B. S. and Simpson, R. L. (2002) 'Students with Asperger	N = 1 ASD	Male	Under 18	Case study	E	No	USA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	syndrome: Implications for counsellors.' <i>Counselling and Human Development</i> , 34(7), p. 1.							
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Journal of Forensic Practice



## Is there a link between Neurodiversity and Stalking? A Systematic Review

**Keywords:** Stalking; Autism; ADHD; Neurodiversity; Perpetration; Victimisation.

### Abstract:

**Purpose:** The increasing conviction rates of stalking in the UK have prompted efforts to identify factors that may influence individuals to engage in such behaviour. Over two million people in England and Wales experience stalking every year, with estimated reoffending rates for stalking being between 25 and 55% (ONS, 2022; McEwan *et al.*, 2017). Research has identified risk factors that may contribute towards stalking behaviours, which has included obsessive relational pursuit and online impulsivity (Post *et al.*, 2014a; Rocheleau, 2019). This has resulted in researchers postulating a link between facets of neurodiversity and stalking behaviour (Freckelton, 2013).

**Design/Methodology:** The Systematic Review was performed according to the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Papers were screened for quality appraisal and risk of bias. The initial search yielded 3880 articles. 10 papers were deemed as meeting the inclusion criteria.

**Findings:** There is insufficient research quality regarding neurodiversity and stalking perpetration due to poor diagnostic reliability of neurodiversity and a lack of reliable tools being used in the research which do not meet the definitions of stalking. As such, the existing research about neurodiversity and stalking perpetration is inconclusive and predominantly unreliable. Tentative evidence indicated that people with neurodiversity were at greater risk of being victims of stalking and that for the minority of people with neurodiversity who engage in stalking the factors that contribute towards this mirror those of neurotypical individuals.

**Practical Implications:** Overall, the factors contributing to stalking highlighted by the included studies, such as difficulties with communication, empathy, insight into social functioning, interpersonal competence, and ways of forming relationships with others, seem to map onto the deficits of neurotypical stalking perpetrators (Canter and Youngs, 2012; Lewis *et al.*, 2001; Mullen *et al.*, 2006). However, tools that contextualise neurodiversity in the context of risk assessments for stalking may be helpful (Al-Attar, 2019; Al-Attar, 2021).

1  
2  
3 Originality: Recommendations for further research are made to gain a robust understanding of any  
4 potential relationship between neurodiversity and stalking and in particular a risk of stalking  
5 victimisation.  
6  
7

## 9 **Introduction**

### 11 *What is Stalking?*

12  
13  
14 Defining stalking unites three aspects: the frequency and duration of unsolicited intrusions;  
15 as well as the sense of fear experienced by the target (McEwan et al., 2020; Nobles et al., 2009). A  
16 period of two weeks is indicated to meaningfully differentiate self-limiting, shorter episodes of  
17 harassment from insistent patterns of stalking (Purcell *et al.*, 2004). Research findings indicate that  
18 individuals who display five or more unwanted intrusions are inclined to engage in recurrent stalking  
19 and harmful behaviour, such as threats (Thompson and Dennison, 2008). Hence, individuals who  
20 engage in a minimum of five unsolicited intrusions occurring over a minimum of two weeks that inflict  
21 a sense of fear or distress to the target may present a pattern of behaviour that defines stalking  
22 (McEwan *et al.*, 2020). This is known as the five plus two plus fear definition of stalking (McEwan *et*  
23 *al.*, 2020) which has been adopted within legislation whereby stalking and harassment are classified  
24 as offences as part of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 (UK Public General Acts, 1997).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

### 33 *Prevalence of Stalking*

34  
35 Lifetime prevalence of stalking victimisation varies (McEwan and Pathe, 2014; Spitzberg and Cupach,  
36 2014). Where the five plus two definition of stalking was used, the rate of stalking perpetration  
37 reached 22 per cent, with women being more likely to disclose stalking perpetration than men  
38 (McEwan *et al.*, 2020; Senkans *et al.*, 2017). Generally, episodes of stalking occur as a result of intimate  
39 relationships being terminated (Spitzberg and Cupach 2014; McEwan *et al.*, 2017). Cyber-stalking is  
40 argued to be fundamentally similar to in-person stalking in terms of the stalking process, the effects  
41 on victims, and the stalking process (Sheridan and Grant, 2007). However, a systematic review on  
42 cyberstalking concludes that the clarity on cyberstalking characterisation and prevalence is limited  
43 (Kaur *et al.*, 2021).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 In the UK alone stalking and harassment was noted to account for a third of all police  
52 recordings in the year ending September 2023 (House of Commons, 2024). Furthermore, people who  
53 engage in stalking are noted to have high recidivism rates with 41% of offenders reoffending within  
54 10 weeks (Bendlin *et al.*, 2020). In addition it is noted that stalking poses unique challenges for those  
55 seeking to assess risk because of the varied factors which contribute towards stalking and the  
56 heterogeneity of people who engage in this behaviour (McEwan *et al.*, 2018). It is therefore  
57  
58  
59  
60

recommended that whilst standardised risk assessment tools for stalking exist (e.g. the Stalking Risk Profile) specific factors relating to the individual being assessed should be included in the case formulation process as part of any risk assessment of stalking (McEwan *et al.*, 2018). Thus assessing the risk associated with stalking is imperative for practitioners as this can assist to inform treatment programmes and prevent reoffending. However, understanding the specific ways in which ND factors may contribute towards such risk assessment and formulation is currently unknown.

#### *Factors contributing to stalking in research*

Studies suggest that individuals who engage in stalking display: limited abilities to form and maintain appropriate relationships with others; difficulties with social skills; present as emotionally labile (Lewis *et al.*, 2001), have reduced social competence; and poor communication skills (Mullen *et al.*, 2006). These factors cause difficulties in an individuals' ability to move on to new relationships, manage social isolation and verbally express emotions (Mullen *et al.*, 2006) Underdeveloped receptive and expressive interpersonal communication skills have also been considered to stimulate stalking engagement (MacKenzie and James, 2011). In addition, due to difficulties in processing social cues, individuals who engage in stalking are suggested to be unable to identify the pursuit behaviour as frightening and unwanted (McEwan *et al.*, 2009). This may be in response to empathy deficits as the perpetrators of stalking impose unwanted feelings upon a victim without considering the adverse impact (Lewis *et al.*, 2001; Canter and Youngs, 2012).

Individuals who engage in stalking behaviours may also display high preoccupation with others in response to negative self-views, past emotionally neglectful parental experiences, and insecure attachment styles (Wheatley *et al.*, 2020b). Explorative studies reveal that people who have stalked describe ruminative thinking which is accompanied by preoccupation with the target (Davis *et al.*, 2012; Wheatley *et al.*, 2020b) whereby perpetrators of stalking are consumed by repetitive and obsessive thinking; which subsequently overwhelms their self-control ability and increase their susceptibility to impulsively intrude on the target in an attempt to manage strong emotional arousal (Wheatley, 2019).

The reduced ability of perpetrators to refrain from engaging in intrusive behaviours is also associated with strong emotional arousal (Wheatley *et al.*, 2020a), impulsivity and self-control (Davis *et al.*, 2012). As a result perpetrators of stalking may find it difficult to show sufficient self-regulation to restrain themselves from repeated intrusive behaviours in times of experiencing emotional arousal (Davis *et al.*, 2012).

### *Neurodiversity*

Neurodiversity applies to people whose neurocognitive functioning falls outside the boundaries of typical neurological development, and highlights cognitive, affective, and perceptual differences (McGee, 2012). Neurotypical [NT] individuals represent a style of neurocognitive functioning that belongs to the dominant societal norms (Chapman, 2020). The most predominant representatives of neurodiversity consist of individuals who are characterised as autistic or on the autism spectrum (Den Houting, 2019). The neurodiversity paradigm also involves individuals with neurological variations such as ADHD (Dwyer, 2022).

### *Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)*

According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fifth Edition –Text Revision (APA, 2022), Autism Spectrum Disorder [299] is characterised by persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts as well as restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests, or activities. In addition, the diagnosis should note whether the person has Autism Spectrum Disorder ‘with or without accompanying intellectual impairment’. Historically a range of terms have been used to describe people with Autism, such as ‘Autism Spectrum Disorder [ASD]’, ‘Autism Spectrum Condition [ASC]’, ‘Aspergers’ and ‘High Functioning Autism’. These terms may be used interchangeably throughout this systematic review in line with the terms used in the studies identified.

Research has postulated that the core features of autism such as social naivety, reduced empathy, Theory of Mind [ToM] deficits, and special interests/obsessions may contribute towards offending behaviour (Melvin *et al.*, 2017) in a minority of people (Al-Attar, 2020). However, it should be noted that people with autism are more likely to have contact with the CJS as a victim or witness of crime (Murphy, 2017).

### *Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)*

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by a dysfunctional pattern of inattention, hyperactivity, or impulsivity, leading to negative outcomes in social, academic and occupational contexts throughout an individual’s life (APA, 2022).

Brain studies exploring performance in people with ADHD found ADHD was associated with: favouring small rewards over large delayed rewards (Marx *et al.*, 2021); impulsive decision making (Patros *et al.*, 2016); poorer response inhibition, planning and organisation (Pievesky & McGrath, 2018); poorer abilities to problem solve and generate solutions (Ros & Graziano, 2018) as well as social information processing and responding to social cues.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Systematic reviews have found that people with ADHD are at an increased risk of difficulties socializing (Faraone et al, 2021) as well as rejection from peers (Strine *et al*, 2006; Ros & Graziano, 2018). Research has also shown that people with ADHD are 2.7 time more likely to be victims of violent crime (Christoffersen, 2019) and 65% more likely to be victims of intimate partner violence (McCauley *et al.*, 2015). However, research has also shown that people with ADHD are at an increased risk of engaging in offending (Mohr-Jensen *et al.*, 2019) across both males and females (Young *et al.*, 2015). However, ADHD in itself does not cause offending behaviour (Al-Attar, 2021), rather, certain aspects of ADHD may contribute to both risk and resilience factors for offending behaviour.

### *Neurodiversity, ASD and ADHD in offending and stalking*

Previous systematic reviews have focused on other aspects of stalking (e.g., psychopathology and clinical features of stalking; Wheatley *et al.*, 2020; Linenberg, 2021) and psychosexual functioning and neurodiversity (e.g., Young and Cocallis, 2023) however these did not explore the links between neurodiversity and stalking. Research findings suggest that individuals with ASD displaying obsessive behaviours are likely to engage in problematic behaviours when such tendencies are aimed at behaviours that entail a sexual element (Allen *et al.*, 2008; Freckelton, 2013). Such behaviours may involve sexual attraction to an individual and preoccupation with their body, and escalate the risk of stalking behaviour engagement or unreciprocated advances (Higgs and Carter, 2015). In other publications, it is suggested that autism acts as a causal factor for stalking engagement (Post *et al.*, 2014a; Post *et al.*, 2014b). However, it is important to note that these are theoretical papers based on opinion and neurodiversity has also been noted to act as a responsivity factor as opposed to a risk factor for offending (Higgs and Carter, 2015). Therefore the links between neurodiversity and stalking remain unclear.

An overlap of autism and ADHD indicates that difficulties with social information processing are shared by these disorders (Heeramun *et al.*, 2017). Individuals' social perception is affected by social communication deficits irrespective of disorder (Baribeau *et al.*, 2015). Also, impulsivity in people with ADHD correlates strongly with repetitive behaviours in people with ASD (Ghirardi *et al.*, 2019). As impulsivity seem to reflect social impairments in individuals with ADHD, deficits in interpersonal functioning and social skills, such as empathy, are experienced by both people with ASD and ADHD (Antshel and Russo, 2019). Research findings propose that offending in individuals with autism may be attributed to deficits in social reciprocity (Allen *et al.*, 2008) whereby poor social-emotional reciprocity and lack of empathy act as influential factors that stimulate individuals with autism to engage in offending and display aggression (Murrie *et al.*, 2002; Ray *et al.*, 2004). Whereas

1  
2  
3 such factors along with impulsivity and obsessions appear to contribute to stalking in general  
4 population, it is understood that such factors are elevated in individuals with autism and ADHD  
5 (Mogavero, 2016; Mouridsen, 2012).  
6  
7

8  
9 However, the literature related to ASD and offending is reported to predominantly consist of  
10 case studies, which causes its validity to be uncertain (Sevlever *et al.*, 2013). In addition, other studies  
11 indicate that individuals with ASD who have engaged in offending behaviours are significantly  
12 associated with comorbid factors including personality disorder and psychosis (Langstrom *et al.*, 2009;  
13 Heeramun *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, high co-morbidity rates have been noted between ADHD and  
14 Oppositional Defiance Disorder [ODD], Conduct Disorder [CD], Anxiety Disorders and Mood Disorders  
15 (Gosh *et al.*, 2017). Children with comorbid CD and ADHD are also noted to have worse symptoms in  
16 terms of age of onset and persistence over time, which has been noted to be particularly worse for  
17 females than males and is associated with higher rates of emotional problems and rejection by peers  
18 (Ottoen *et al.*, 2019). As a result, neurodiversity as an independent risk factor for offending continues  
19 to present as uncertain (Langstrom *et al.*, 2009) and it is important that ASD and ADHD are considered  
20 in conjunction with other cognitive and psychological deficits in the context of offending (Ghirardi *et*  
21 *al.*, 2019; Mouridsen, 2012).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 Thus, the purpose of this review is to examine the link between neurodiversity and stalking  
32 with the following research aims:  
33  
34

#### 35 *Aims of current study*

- 36  
37  
38 1. What is the prevalence of Neurodiversity in people who have engaged in stalking behaviour?  
39 2. What is the prevalence of Neurodiversity in people who have been victims of stalking behaviour?  
40 3. What are the factors that take people with neurodiversity closer towards engaging in stalking  
41 behaviour?  
42  
43 4. Do people with neurodiversity present any different requirements in relation to risk assessment,  
44 intervention or support strategies in relation to stalking behaviours?  
45  
46  
47

#### 48 **Method**

49  
50  
51  
52 This review was performed in line with the recommended guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items  
53 for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and following the standards set out by the Centre  
54 for Evidence-Based Management (CEBMA; Barends *et al.*, 2017).  
55  
56

57  
58 *Search Strategy:* The following databases were searched: Embase; Medline, EBSCO; OVID; SCOPUS;  
59 PsycINFO; Pubmed; ProQuest; and Google Scholar. Searches were limited to those published in  
60

English. Keywords used in the search strategies were predominantly generated through the official terminology operated by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fifth Edition –Text Revision (APA, 2022) and CPS (2018). The search strategies included the following:

'stalk\*' OR 'socially intrusive behav\*' OR 'obsess\*' OR 'harass\*'

AND

'adhd' OR 'attention deficit hyperactivity disorder' OR 'attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder'

'stalk\*' OR 'socially intrusive behav\*' OR 'obsess\*' OR 'harass\*'

AND

'autism' OR 'asd' OR 'autism spectrum disorder' OR 'asperger's' OR 'asperger's syndrome' OR 'autistic disorder' OR 'aspergers'

'stalk\*' OR 'socially intrusive behav\*' OR 'obsess\*' OR 'harass\*'

AND

'Neurodiversity' OR 'neurodiverse' OR 'neurodiv\*'

### Inclusion Criteria

The criteria for inclusion used the PECO (Population, Exposure, Context/Language, Outcome). See Table 1.

INSERT Table 1

### *Quality appraisal:*

Due to the mixed methodology between studies a range of appraisal tools were used: the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP); qualitative checklist (CASP, 2018); CASP cohort study checklist (CASP, 2018); and the Appraisal tool for Cross-Sectional Studies (AXIS) (Downes *et al.*, 2016). Appraisals were completed by the author and shared with a second reviewer to ensure quality in the assessments as recommended in the the Centre for Evidence-Based Management (CEBMA; Barends *et al.*, 2017).

Following the guidelines by Barends *et al.*, (2017) the screening of papers was established following a two-fold approach. First, all included studies were classified based on the six levels of appropriateness



1  
2  
3 (Shadish *et al.*, 2002; Petticrew and Roberts, 2008) that assess a study's validity. Levels range from  
4 "AA", representing the so-called "gold standard" (Barends *et al.*, 2017, p. 17) with systematic reviews  
5 or meta-analyses of randomized control trials, to the lowest level of appropriateness "E", representing  
6 case studies, case reports, and other anecdotal data. Secondly, methodological quality was assessed  
7 using the Cohort Study Checklist by the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP; 2018). The 12-item  
8 tool guides the assessor systematically through the appraisal, enabling critical reflection of each  
9 study's results. Items include the appraisal of the research question and the recruitment process,  
10 amongst other aspects. Depending on the tally of methodological weaknesses, the appraised studies  
11 were downgraded a certain number of levels (e.g. two weaknesses result in the downgrading of one  
12 level, three in two levels, etc.; Barends *et al.*, 2017).

13  
14  
15 All studies were retained in the review to reduce bias however the quality assessments allowed  
16 weighting to be given to the findings drawn from particular studies. Inter-rater reliability [IRR]  
17 between ratings for all papers was assessed using Cohen's Kappa (Hallgren, 2012) to be 0.9 (scores  
18 between 0.75-0.9 are classified as good) therefore IRR was rated as good.

19  
20  
21 *Data extraction:* Due to the number of included studies ( $n= 10$ ), each one was assigned a reference  
22 number (e.g. [1]) that allowed easier identification throughout data extraction. These reference  
23 numbers can be found in Table 2 and will be used throughout the remainder of this review. All studies  
24 were reviewed several times; this ensured all relevant data to the research questions was extracted.  
25 This included data relating to the prevalence of stalking (victimisation and perpetration) in people with  
26 neurodiversity, factors associated with pathways towards stalking behaviour and factors identified as  
27 linking to risk, interventions or support strategies associated with stalking behaviour in people with  
28 neurodiversity.

29  
30  
31 *Data Synthesis:* The characteristics of the studies were tabulated to include examination of their  
32 content and any other relevant characteristics. The studies were then grouped based on  
33 characteristics using a matrix to determine similarities worthy of grouping. This was then used to  
34 synthesize the characteristics of the studies contributing to each comparison (e.g stalking  
35 perpetration, stalking victimisation etc). Studies addressed a variety of research questions, and  
36 employed a heterogeneous range of measurement approaches and analytic techniques. As a result,  
37 meta-analysis was not feasible. Hence, a structured reporting of the effects (rather than statistical  
38 synthesis) was adopted based on the Cochrane Guidance that if the data is heterogeneous and cannot  
39 be synthesised using mathematical procedures then a narrative synthesis should be adopted. This was  
40 conducted following the MECIR standards for reporting of reviews using narrative synthesis and the  
41 Guidance on the Conduct of Systematic Reviews in (Popay *et al.*, 2006).



1  
2  
3 INSERT TABLE 2.  
4

5 **Results**  
6

7  
8 *Description of the Included Studies*

9 All potentially relevant articles were exported into EndNote ([www.endnote.com](http://www.endnote.com)). The title and  
10 abstract of articles were screened by the first and second authors to assess whether they were  
11 relevant for review. The initial search yielded 3847 articles with an additional 33 articles identified  
12 through other sources. 124 duplicates were removed leaving a total of 3756 papers which were  
13 reviewed for relevancy. 49 articles were fully read to assess if they met the inclusion criteria. Out of  
14 these, 39 papers were excluded and 10 papers were deemed as meeting the inclusion criteria (See  
15 Figure 1).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 INSERT FIGURE 1  
23

24 *Description of the study characteristics*

25  
26 Ten studies met the criteria for inclusion: Five quantitative (cross-sectional designs); one qualitative  
27 study; one mixed methods research (both quantitative and qualitative); and three case studies. A total  
28 of seven studies included individuals with autism [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10]. Only one study included  
29 individuals with ADHD [9], and two other studies made reference to participants with both autism and  
30 ADHD [1, 6]. Only three of the included studies [4, 7, 8] included participants with a diagnosis of autism  
31 who met the criteria for the five plus two plus fear definition of stalking.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

Quality Rating	Number of Papers
A	0
B	0
C	0
D	6
E	4

37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 *Key findings*

48 All of the studies included male participants and seven of the studies included females. Data was  
49 obtained from Australasia (1, 2, 3), USA (2, 3, 8, 9, 10), Europe (2, 4), the UK (3, 5, 7) and Canada (3,  
50 6). Sample sizes for the neurodiverse cohorts ranged from single case studies to N= 284. The largest  
51 sample size of neurotypical comparison participants was 43,307 (9) however this was significantly  
52 larger than the remaining NT comparison samples which ranged from N = 38 to N = 128 (1, 2, 3, 5, 6).  
53 A summary of the study characteristics can be seen in Table 2.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Question 1) What is the prevalence of neurodiversity in people who have engaged in stalking**  
4 **behaviour?**  
5

6  
7 *Prevalence*  
8  
9

10 Eight papers included data that explored the link between neurodiversity in people and  
11 stalking engagement [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10]. However, these papers provide limited insight as to  
12 whether stalking is more common by neurodiverse people than neurotypical people. Three papers  
13 were case studies, and thus, they did not compare neurodiversity in people who engage in stalking to  
14 the general population [4, 8, 9]. Two other papers actively selected individuals with autism as  
15 participants, lacked a randomised design, and thus, these papers were unable to be used as measures  
16 of prevalence for this theme [1, 2]. Study 6 revealed that, relative to the general population, the link  
17 between people with autism and stalking engagement was non-significant. The rest of the papers  
18 were qualitative in nature, used small sample sizes, and did not compare prevalence of stalking  
19 engagement between neurodiverse and non-neurodiverse individuals [5, 7]. Although, two  
20 participants out of seven self-reported ASD in paper 5, this study pointed out that despite the context  
21 of and the variance in the nature of their stalking, all participants' experiences of stalking engagement  
22 was **convergent with narcissistic vulnerability** and their need for validation rather than autism alone.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32 *Other diagnoses*  
33  
34

35 Across the studies included for this theme, three of these reported that participants had other  
36 diagnoses outside the domain of neurodiversity [1, 6, 7] borderline intellectual disability and learning  
37 disability. Depression and bipolar disorder accounted for just over half of the sample in study 6, being  
38 followed by anxiety disorder that accounted for less than half of the sample. Two people had comorbid  
39 ADHD [1], and in the other study just under a quarter of the sample of autism individuals had ADHD  
40 [6].  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Question 2) What is the prevalence of neurodiversity in people who have been victims of stalking**  
46 **behaviour?**  
47  
48

49 *Prevalence*  
50  
51

52 Two papers referred to the link between neurodiversity in people and stalking victimisation [3, 9].  
53 Rates of stalking victimisation were rated as significantly higher for individuals with ADHD in a sample  
54 of college students aged 18-25 years old (9.77%) when compared to neurotypical individuals (5.19%)  
55 in a sample of 2652 people [9]. People with a self-reported clinical diagnosis of autism also reported  
56 significantly higher rates of stalking victimisation (58.5%) when compared to individuals without ASD  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (27.3%) in a sample of 228 people [3]. However, this difference was only significant for females with  
4 autism as the study found no significant differences in stalking victimisation between men with and  
5 without ASD [3]. The study also noted that a co-occurring mental health condition, including anxiety  
6 disorder, PTSD, and mood disorder, was significantly more prevalent in individuals with autism than  
7 in people without [3]. Thus it is unclear to what extent neurodiversity alone may contribute towards  
8 stalking victimisation or to what extent this may be better explained by mental health conditions.  
9  
10 From the findings of these papers, it seems that stalking victimisation is more prevalent in  
11 neurodiverse than neurotypical individuals [3, 9].  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 **Question 3) What are the factors that take people with neurodiversity closer towards engaging in**  
18 **stalking behaviour?**  
19

20  
21 As noted only three papers met the criteria for stalking which included people diagnosed with autism  
22 or ADHD [4, 8, 9]. This included a total of only three individuals with autism and one with ADHD. These  
23 studies did not specifically examine whether such pathways were significantly different for individuals  
24 with ASD when compared to individuals without ASD [4, 8, 9]. As such, the findings are not suitable  
25 for generalisation. This is also valid for the findings about the pathways to engaging in stalking for  
26 people with ASD in papers 5 and 7 due to a lack of comparison between individuals with and without  
27 ASD. A pathway to engaging in stalking for the people with ASD in the case studies consisted of  
28 repetitively phoning or sending excessive letters to the victim [4, 8]. Upholding strong beliefs that the  
29 victim reciprocated the perpetrator's feelings reinforced stalking engagement for the people with ASD  
30 in the case studies [4, 8]. Other pathways to engaging in stalking for the people with ASD in the case  
31 studies involved neglecting evident protests from the victim, acting outside the boundaries of social  
32 norms, walking and sitting with others without knowing them personally, and making threats to the  
33 victim [4, 8]. Stalking engagement pathways for the people with ASD in study 7 were unclear as the  
34 research design of study 7 provides little information as to what stalking engagement pathways  
35 specifically related to the people who self-reported ASD. However, it was noted that all participants  
36 in the study (N=7) had a unanimous negative view of themselves whilst offending including low self-  
37 worth.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Papers 1, 2, and 6 mainly measured inappropriate dating behaviours that only resembled some  
52 behavioural aspects of stalking ('stalking-like behaviours'), their findings may not be completely  
53 characteristic of direct stalking perpetration. As such, it is uncertain whether the inappropriate dating  
54 behaviours, which papers 1, 2, and 6 measured, are indicative of a full pattern of behaviour that is  
55 totally representative of stalking engagement. The research nature of case studies limits the papers'  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 findings about pathways to engaging in stalking for people with neurodiversity, which means that such  
4 findings in papers 4, 8, and 9 are treated in isolation.  
5  
6

7 Victims of stalking by people with autism were noted to be strangers [4] and a known individual  
8 (therapist) [8]. Victims in paper 7 were not identified specifically for the individuals with  
9 neurodiversity. Paper 10 involved 'stalking' behaviour towards a teacher and fellow pupils by a  
10 teenage male with autism but this behaviour lasted less than one week and hence did not meet the  
11 threshold criteria of five-plus two- plus fear definition of stalking. This is also the case for paper 5  
12 where only two individuals with autism self-disclosed they had engaged in the 'stalking' of strangers  
13 but there was no clarity for how long this went on for an if it met the criteria for stalking. Study 1  
14 reported a significant difference between individuals with and without autism in the type of target  
15 they pursued, with strangers being significantly more likely to be associated with individuals with  
16 autism. However, again the 'stalking' tool used did not measure the duration of this behaviour and if  
17 it met the criteria for the victim being fearful.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 ***Question 4) Do people with neurodiversity present any different requirements in relation to risk***  
27 ***assessments, interventions and support strategies for stalking?***  
28  
29

30  
31 *Risk assessment*  
32

33 From the papers that referred to the link between neurodiversity and stalking, none of these reported  
34 findings on whether individuals with neurodiversity were more at risk of perpetrating stalking [1, 2, 4,  
35 5, 6, 7, 8, 10]. No suggestions or comments were made in relation to stalking risk assessment or the  
36 use of stalking risk assessment tools for people with neurodiversity from any of the papers.  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 *Intervention and support strategies*  
42

43 Six papers displayed relevant findings as to whether people with neurodiversity presented different  
44 requirements in relation to interventions and support strategies [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10]. Two stalking  
45 perpetrators with autism benefitted from individual cognitive behavioural intervention and social skill  
46 instruction, with them showing improved social-cognitive functioning and an understanding that  
47 stalking behaviours were inappropriate [4, 10]. However, these were based on single case studies, and  
48 thus, these findings are considered unfit for generalisation due to a high risk of bias and subjectivity.  
49 In addition, these findings are similar to the study by Mullen *et al.*, (2006) which found that  
50 neurotypical stalking perpetrators benefitted from cognitive behavioural interventions concentrated  
51 on attitudes towards, and beliefs about, the victim that sustained stalking, and social skills training  
52 aimed at improving self-efficacy and social competence.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A significant correlation was found between communication difficulties, autism and inappropriate  
4 dating behaviours associated with stalking [6]. The authors postulated this was due to delays in  
5 understanding social cues and misperceiving body language [6]. Samples of individuals with autism  
6 who were associated with behaviours suggestive of stalking were reported to experience difficulties  
7 in empathising with others' feelings, reading social cues, and social functioning [1, 5, 6]. However,  
8 participants in these studies did not have a formal diagnosis of 'autism' with a score on the AQ-50  
9 (Baron-Cohen *et al.*, 2001) being used instead to distinguish between people with and without autism  
10 [6]. In addition, the study did not measure stalking directly as it only labelled the practice of some  
11 behaviours 'suggestive' of stalking. In addition, the interventions identified to target the factors in  
12 these papers [1, 5, 6] were noted to be those that enabling social learning and growth of pro-social  
13 skills [7] which mirror the research findings about interventions for stalking perpetrators without  
14 neurodiversity (MacKenzie and James, 2011) leading the authors to conclude that all the participants  
15 in their study presented similar interventional factors regardless of whether they had autism [7]. This  
16 is supported by existing research findings where interventions for people who engaged in stalking  
17 concentrated on victim empathy, social competence, and receptive and expressive interpersonal  
18 communication skills (MacKenzie and James, 2011; Mullen *et al.*, 2001).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 **Discussion and implications of current findings**

32  
33 As noted by Murphy (2017) the majority of people with autism lead law abiding and fulfilling lives and  
34 only a small minority may come into contact with the Criminal Justice System (CJS). However, it has  
35 been theoretically postulated that people with autism may be more likely to engage in stalking  
36 behaviours (Post *et al.*, 2014a; Post *et al.*, 2014b), and that people with ADHD may be at greater risk  
37 of engaging in offending due to higher levels of impulsivity and difficulties with social reciprocity. The  
38 purpose of this review was to evaluate the evidence for any link between neurodiversity and stalking.  
39  
40  
41  
42

#### 43 *Stalking Perpetration*

44  
45 The findings indicate there is a lack of published research that empirically examines the link between  
46 neurodiversity and stalking engagement. Furthermore, the identified research papers involve small  
47 samples of individuals with only 4 participants who had a formal diagnosis of neurodiversity who also  
48 met the criteria for stalking engagement using the five plus two plus fear criteria of stalking. As such,  
49 the current findings provide little basis for generalisation. In addition, there was a lack of research on  
50 ADHD within the context of stalking amongst the included papers.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56  
57 Based on the findings, no significant differences can be concluded in stalking engagement between  
58 neurodiverse and neurotypical individuals. The prevalence of neurodiversity in people who have  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 engaged in stalking behaviour remains widely unclear, with some indication that this is not  
4 significantly different when compared to the general population. Such findings are consistent with the  
5 Sevlever *et al.*, (2013) study which suggests that the literature on prevalence of neurodiversity in  
6 people who have engaged in offending is inconclusive and provides a sense of uncertainty. The current  
7 findings challenge hypotheses and narratives that in relation to general population, stalking  
8 engagement commonly occurs in individuals with ASD.  
9

10  
11 In addition, the summary of findings also suggests that for the case studies of people with  
12 neurodiversity who had engaged in stalking, their needs mirrored those of neurotypical participants.  
13 Thus, whilst some people with autism may engage in stalking behaviours it cannot be concluded that  
14 the reasons for this differ to people without autism. This also supports the findings of other research  
15 which suggests that autism, by itself, does not adequately explain why someone may engage in  
16 offending (Al-Attar, 2021).  
17  
18

### 19 *Stalking victimisation*

20 Preliminary findings from this review suggest that stalking victimisation may be more prevalent in  
21 neurodiverse than neurotypical individuals. This is consistent with previous literature which has  
22 suggested an increased risk of victimisation in people with autism (Murphy, 2017). However, this study  
23 also found that co-occurring mental health conditions were also noted to be significantly more  
24 prevalent in this group and hence no clear conclusions can be drawn about the link between  
25 neurodiversity and stalking victimisation alone. Therefore future research would benefit from  
26 exploring the ways in which people with neurodiversity may be at greater risk of stalking victimisation  
27 and the mechanisms that underpin this, including the interplay with comorbid mental health  
28 difficulties.  
29  
30

### 31 *Constraints*

32 It is important to note that inferences drawn from the findings of the included studies about  
33 neurodiversity and stalking engagement may be distorted due to some of the studies using self-  
34 reported engagement in stalking. This is important because research has shown that people may over-  
35 report engaging in 'stalking' behaviour and identify themselves as 'stalkers' when their behaviour  
36 would not in fact constitute stalking (Howard *et al.*, 2019). Hence, it is important to differentiate self-  
37 reported 'stalking' narratives using surveys/interviews from more formal definitions of stalking  
38 behaviour (Worthington, 2023). Labelling people as engaging in 'stalking' behaviour could pose  
39 serious implications in terms of the development of their self-concept and developmental pathway,  
40 particularly if their behaviour does not meet the threshold for stalking.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In addition, some of the studies included in this review consisted of people who were classified as  
4 having neurodiversity based on the use of screening tools such as the Autism Quotient-50 (Baron-  
5 Cohen *et al.*, 2001) [6]. However, this is a self-report measure for autism symptom severity that is no  
6 longer consistent with the set of impairments introduced in DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association,  
7 2013). This is supported by research findings suggesting that using the Autism Quotient self-report  
8 measure tool in samples of individuals without autism may provide erroneous readings of autism  
9 (Ashwood *et al.*, 2016; Sasson and Bottema-Beutel, 2022). In addition, the majority of individuals in  
10 the sample of participants in study 6 who were identified as showing ASD through the Autism  
11 Quotient-50 did not self-report a neurodiverse condition.  
12

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 Several of the included studies included participants who were deemed neurodiverse but also  
20 reported co-occurring conditions including depression, anxiety, and learning needs. Despite this, there  
21 was little investigation as to whether these comorbid conditions contributed to stalking engagement.  
22 The remainder of the included studies did not explore the presence of other potential comorbid  
23 conditions. This is important as it is indicated that there is an overlap in manifesting criteria between  
24 personality disorder and ASD (Lugnegard *et al.*, 2012). Although, such conditions may intersect in their  
25 presentation, their causal implications are different, with personality disorder being revealed to act  
26 as a predictor to stalking perpetration (McEwan *et al.*, 2017).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Across the only studies that included samples of individuals with and without neurodiversity, the  
Courting Behaviours Scale (Stokes *et al.*, 2007) was employed to measure self-reported frequencies of  
behaviours associated with 'stalking'. Therefore, these studies did not measure stalking perpetration  
in line with its formal definition, which involves the operationalisation of the five plus two definition  
of stalking (McEwan *et al.*, 2020). The reliance on the Courting Behaviours Scale may skew the findings  
in relation to victim types due to such scale investigating behaviours, which are labelled as stalking,  
only in the context of relationships. In addition, in the UK if this behaviour was occurring in a  
relationship it would not be classified as stalking but coercive control (Worthington, 2023).  
Furthermore, the majority of the studies neglected to measure whether the stalking perpetration in  
their samples induced substantial distress to the victim, which is an elementary aspect of the five plus  
two definition of stalking. This affects the validity of how stalking was measured and how stalking  
perpetration was inferred by the included studies and may conflate the findings on stalking  
perpetration.

*What can be concluded about neurodiversity and stalking?*



1  
2  
3 Whilst it cannot be concluded that having autism or ADHD places someone at greater risk of engaging  
4 in stalking perpetration, tentative evidence suggests neurodiversity may place someone at greater risk  
5 of stalking victimisation.  
6  
7

8  
9 For the minority of people with autism who may engage in stalking perpetration the research suggests  
10 that assessing their knowledge and insight into social and romantic relationships may be helpful.  
11 Overall, the factors contributing to stalking highlighted by the included studies, such as difficulties  
12 with communication, empathy, insight into social functioning, interpersonal competence, and ways of  
13 forming relationships with others, seem to map onto the deficits of neurotypical stalking perpetrators  
14 (Canter and Youngs, 2012; Lewis *et al.*, 2001; Mullen *et al.*, 2006). However, tools that contextualise  
15 neurodiversity in the context of risk assessments for stalking may be helpful (Al-Attar, 2019; Al-Attar,  
16 2021).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

### 23 *Implications for Practice and Future Recommendations*

- 24  
25 • Future research would benefit from using reliable methods of assessing stalking victimisation  
26 and stalking perpetration in clients with neurodiversity. This should include the use of  
27 measures such as the SAI-V/SAI-P (McEwan, 2020) which capture the five-plus two- plus fear  
28 criteria of stalking as well as potential differences between online and in person stalking.  
29  
30
- 31  
32 • Future research would also benefit from adopting more formal methods of identifying  
33 participants with a diagnosis of neurodiversity as opposed to the use of screening tools. These  
34 should also take into account co-occurring diagnoses (e.g. Autism and ADHD) as well as  
35 comorbid mental health and personality difficulties.  
36  
37
- 38  
39 • The use of large scale mixed methods studies which adhere to the aforementioned  
40 recommendations would also be useful to further explore any potential link between  
41 neurodiversity and stalking. For example, this could include quantitative studies using larger  
42 sample sizes to establish any potential links with ND which also control for other factors which  
43 may contribute to stalking vulnerability such as mental health conditions and attachment in  
44 relationships. Exploring specific traits which may increase stalking perpetration vulnerability  
45 (such as rumination, social skills and obsessive-intrusion) from a dimensional perspective may  
46 also assist to better differentiate individual aspects of vulnerability which may be more  
47 amenable to specific interventions rather than categorical approaches to stalking and  
48 neurodiversity. These could also be used to inform risk assessment tools for stalking.  
49  
50
- 51  
52 • Qualitative studies exploring any potential links between neurodiversity and stalking  
53 behaviours would also provide a more rich and detailed understanding of the ways in which  
54 aspects of neurodiversity may make an individual vulnerable to stalking and the complex  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



mechanisms which underpin this. Such studies could assist to inform intervention and risk assessment approaches.

- Practitioners working with clients with neurodiversity who are at risk of stalking perpetration should adopt clinical formulations on the risk and function of this behaviour by considering in what way facets of neurodiversity may contribute to 'push' and 'pull' factors that shape an individual's pathway to offending (Al-Attar, 2018). This could include supporting standardised stalking risk assessment tools with additional tools such as the Framework for the Assessment of Risk and Protection in Offenders with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder [FARAH] and Framework for the Assessment of Risk and Protection in Offenders with Autism Spectrum [FARAS].

## References

Al-Attar, Z. (2021) 'How can ADHD contextualise offending risk: The FARAH guidelines? Autism, learning disabilities, and the criminal justice system conference.' *Academic Psychiatry*, 40(4), pp. 584-591. American Psychiatric Association.

Al-Attar, Z. (2019) 'Introducing the FARAS – A framework to aid risk assessment with offenders on the autistic spectrum.' In *National Autistic Society's 18<sup>th</sup> international conference on offenders with an intellectual and/or developmental disability*, Birmingham.

Allen, D., Evans, C., Hider, A., Hawkins, S., Peckett, H. and Morgan, H. (2008) 'Offending behaviour in adults with Asperger syndrome.' *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(4), pp. 748-758.

American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2022) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5<sup>th</sup> edition – Text Revision). Washington, DC.

Antshel, K. M. and Russo, N. (2019) 'Autism spectrum disorders and ADHD: Overlapping phenomenology, diagnostic issues, and treatment consideration.' *Current psychiatry reports*, 21, pp. 1-11.

Ashwood, K. L., Gillan, N., Horder, J., Hayward, H., Woodhouse, E., McEwen, F. S., Findon, J., Eklund, H., Spain, D., Wilson, C. E. and Cadman, T. (2016) 'Predicting the diagnosis of autism in adults using the Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) questionnaire.' *Psychological medicine*, 46(12), pp. 2595-2604.

Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J. and Clubley, E. (2001) 'The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists, and mathematicians.' *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 31, pp. 5-17.

1  
2  
3 Bendlin, M., Sheridan, L. and Johnson, A., 2022. Stalking recidivism: A comparison of operational  
4 definitions. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 37(9-10), pp.NP8138-NP8160.

5  
6  
7 Canter, D. and Youngs, D. (2012) 'Sexual and violent offenders' victim role assignments: A general  
8 model of offending style.' *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 23(3), pp. 297-326.

9  
10  
11 Chapman, R. (2020) 'Defining neurodiversity for research and practice,' In *Neurodiversity studies* (pp.  
12 218-220). Routledge.

13  
14  
15 Checklists, C.A.S.P. (2018) *Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP)*. [CASP Checklists - Critical](https://casp-uk.net)  
16 [Appraisal Skills Programme \(casp-uk.net\)](https://casp-uk.net)

17  
18  
19 Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) (2018) *Stalking and Harassment, Legal Guidance, Domestic Abuse,*  
20 *Cyber/online crime*. [Stalking and Harassment | The Crown Prosecution Service \(cps.gov.uk\)](https://www.cps.gov.uk/stalking-and-harassment)

21  
22  
23 Davis, K. E., Swan, S. C. and Gambone, L. J. (2012) 'Why doesn't he just leave me alone? Persistent  
24 pursuit: A critical review of theories and evidence.' *Sex roles*, 66, pp. 328-339.

25  
26  
27 Downes, M. J., Brennan, M. L., Williams, H. C. and Dean, R. S. (2016) 'Development of a critical  
28 appraisal tool to assess the quality of cross-sectional studies (AXIS).' *BMJ open*, 6(12), p. e011458.

29  
30  
31 Dwyer, P. (2022) 'The Neurodiversity Approach (es): What are they and what do they mean for  
32 researchers?' *Human Development*, 66(2), pp. 73-92.

33  
34  
35 Freckelton, I. (2013) 'Autism spectrum disorder: forensic issues and challenges for mental health  
36 professionals and courts.' *Journal of Applied Research Intellectual Disabilities*, 26(5), pp. 420-434.

37  
38  
39 Ghirardi, L., Pettersson, E., Taylor, M. J., Freitag, C. M., Franke, B., Asherson, P., Larsson, H. and Kuja-  
40 Halkola, R. (2019) 'Genetic and environmental contribution to the overlap between ADHD and ASD  
41 trait dimensions in young adults: a twin study.' *Psychological Medicine*, 49(10), pp. 1713-1721.

42  
43  
44 Hallgren, K. A. (2012) 'Computing inter-rater reliability for observational data: an overview and  
45 tutorial.' *Tutorials in quantitative methods for psychology*, 8(1), p. 23.

46  
47  
48 Heeramun, R., Magnusson, C., Gumpert, C. H., Granath, S., Lundberg, M., Dalman, C. and Rai, D. (2017)  
49 'Autism and convictions for violent crimes: Population-based cohort study in Sweden.' *Journal of the*  
50 *American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 56(6), pp. 491-497.

51  
52  
53 Higgs, T. and Carter, A. J. (2015) 'Autism spectrum disorder and sexual offending: Responsivity in  
54 forensic interventions.' *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 22, pp. 112-119.

1  
2  
3 Kaur, P., Dhir, A., Tandon, A., Alzeiby, E. A. and Abohassan, A. A. (2021) 'A systematic literature review  
4 on cyberstalking: An analysis of past achievements and future promises.' *Technological Forecasting*  
5 *and Social Change*, 163, p. 120426.

6  
7  
8  
9 Langstrom, N., Grann, M., Ruchkin, V., Sjostedt, G. and Fazel, S. (2009) 'Risk factors for violent  
10 offending in autism spectrum disorder: a national study of hospitalised individuals.' *Journal of*  
11 *interpersonal violence*, 24(8), pp. 1358-1370.

12  
13  
14 Lewis, S. F., Fremouw, W. J., Del Ben, K. and Farr, C. (2001) 'An investigation of the psychological  
15 characteristics of stalkers: empathy, problem-solving, attachment and borderline personality  
16 features.' *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 46(1), pp. 80-84.

17  
18  
19  
20 Lugnegard, T., Hallerback, M. U. and Gillberg, C. (2012) 'Personality disorders and autism spectrum  
21 disorders: what are the connections?' *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 53(4), pp. 333-340.

22  
23  
24 MacKenzie, R. D. and James, D. V. (2011) 'Management and treatment of stalkers: Problems, options,  
25 and solutions.' *Behavioural Sciences and the Law*, 29(2), pp. 220-239.

26  
27  
28 McEwan, T. E., Daffern, M., MacKenzie, R. D. and Ogloff, J. R. P. (2017) 'Risk factors for stalking  
29 violence, persistence, and recurrence.' *The journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 28(1), pp.  
30 38-56.

31  
32  
33 McEwan, T. E. and Pathe, M. (2014) 'Stalking.' In Bruinsma, G. and Weisburd, D. (Eds.), *Encyclopaedia*  
34 *of criminology and criminal justice*, Springer.

35  
36  
37 McGee, M. (2012) 'Neurodiversity.' *Contexts*, 11(3), pp. 12-13.

38  
39  
40 Mogavero, M. C. (2016) 'Autism, sexual offending, and the criminal justice system.' *Journal of*  
41 *Intellectual Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 7(3), pp. 116-126.

42  
43  
44 Mouridsen, S. E. (2012) 'Current status of research on autism spectrum disorders and offending.'  
45 *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 6(1), pp. 79-86.

46  
47  
48 Mullen, P. E., Mackenzie, R., Ogloff, J. R., Pathe, M., McEwan, T. and Purcell, R. (2006) 'Assessing and  
49 managing the risks in the stalking situation.' *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the*  
50 *Law Online*, 34(4), pp. 439-450.

51  
52  
53 Mullen, P. E., Pathe, M. and Purcell, R. (2001) 'The management of stalkers.' *Advances in Psychiatric*  
54 *Treatment*, 7(5), pp. 335-342.

55  
56  
57  
58 Murphy, D. (2013) 'Risk assessment of offenders with an autism spectrum disorder.' *Journal of*  
59 *Intellectual Disabilities and Offending Behaviour*, 4(1/2), pp. 33-41.

1  
2  
3 Murrie, D. C., Warren, J. I., Kristiansson, M. and Dietz, P. E. (2002) 'Asperger's syndrome in forensic  
4 settings.' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 1(1), pp. 59-70.

6  
7 Nobles, M. R., Fox, K. A., Piquero, N. and Piquero, A. R. (2009) 'Career dimensions of stalking  
8 victimisation and perpetration.' *Justice Quarterly*, 26(3), pp. 476-503.

10  
11 Nobles, M. R., Reyns, B. W., Fox, K. A. and Fisher, B. S. (2014) 'Protection against pursuit: A conceptual  
12 and empirical comparison of cyberstalking and stalking victimisation among a national sample.' *Justice*  
13 *Quarterly*, 31(6), pp. 986-1014.

15  
16 Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2022) *Crime in England and Wales: Year ending March 2022.*  
17 [Stalking: findings from the Crime Survey for England and Wales - Office for National Statistics](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/mentalhealth/articles/stalking-findings-from-the-crime-survey-for-england-and-wales-2022)  
18 [\(ons.gov.uk\)](https://www.ons.gov.uk)

20  
21 Petticrew, M. and Roberts, H. (2008) *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. John  
22 Wiley and Sons.

24  
25 Post, M., Haymes, L., Storey, K., Loughrey, T. and Campbell, C. (2014a) 'Understanding stalking  
26 behaviours by individuals with autism spectrum disorders and recommended prevention strategies  
27 for school settings.' *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(11), pp. 2698-2706.

29  
30 Post, M., Storey, K., Haymes, L., Campbell, C. and Loughrey, T. (2014b) 'Stalking behaviours by  
31 individuals with autism spectrum disorders in employment settings: Understanding stalking behaviour  
32 and developing appropriate supports.' *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental*  
33 *Disabilities*, 49, pp. 102-110.

35  
36 Purcell, R., Pathe, M. and Mullen, P. E. (2004) 'Editorial: When do repeated intrusions become  
37 stalking?' *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 15(4), pp. 571-583.

39  
40 Ray, F., Marks, C. and Bray-Garretson, H. (2004) 'Challenges to treating adolescents with Asperger's  
41 Syndrome who are sexually abusive.' *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 11(4), pp. 265-285.

43  
44 Rocheleau, J. N. (2019) *Privacy Attitudes and Behaviours of Autistic and Non-Autistic Teenagers on*  
45 *Social Networking Sites* (Doctoral dissertation, Carleton University).

47  
48 Sasson, N. J. and Bottema-Beutel, K. (2022) 'Studies of autistic traits in the general population are not  
49 studies of autism.' *Autism*, 26(4), pp. 1007-1008.

51  
52 Senkans, S., McEwan, T. E. and Ogloff, J. R. P. (2017) 'Assessing the link between intimate partner  
53 violence and post-relationship stalking: A gender-inclusive study.' *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*,  
54 36(1-2), pp. NP772-NP802.