

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity: A Case Study for
	Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/id/eprint/17640/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2017.02.005
Date	2017
Citation	Schultz, T.W, Przybylak, P.R, Richardz, A-N, Mellor, Claire, Bradbury, S.P and Cronin, M.T.D (2017) Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity: A Case Study for Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols. Computational Toxicology.
Creators	Schultz, T.W, Przybylak, P.R, Richardz, A-N, Mellor, Claire, Bradbury, S.P and Cronin, M.T.D

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2017.02.005

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1	Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity:
2	A Case Study for Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols
3	
4	Terry W. Schultz ^{a*} , Katarzyna R. Przybylak ^b , Andrea-Nicole Richarz ^b , Claire L. Mellor ^b ,
5	Steven P Bradbury ^c and Mark T. D. Cronin ^b
6	
7	^a The University of Tennessee, College of Veterinary Medicine, 2407 River Drive, Knoxville,
8	TN 37996-4543 USA; ^b Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, L33AF Liverpool
9	United Kingdom; ^c Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management Department of
10	Entomology Toxicology Graduate Program Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
11	
12	*Corresponding author: Terry W. Schultz, email: <u>tschultz@utk.edu</u>
13	
14	Abstract: 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols offer an example whereby the category approach to read-across
15	can be used to predict repeated-dose toxicity for a variety of derivatives. Specifically, the
16	NOAELs of 125 mg/kg bw/d for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol, the source
17	substances, can be read across with confidence to untested 2-alkyl-1-alkanols in the C5 to C13
18	category based on a LOAEL of low systemic toxicity. These branched alcohols, while non-
19	reactive and exhibiting unspecific, reversible simple anaesthesia or nonpolar narcosis mode of
20	toxic action, have metabolic pathways that have significance to repeated-dose toxic potency. In
21	this case study, the chemical category is limited to the readily bioavailable analogues. The
22	read-across premise includes rapid absorption via the gastrointestinal tract, distribution in the
23	circulatory system and first-pass metabolism in the liver via Phase 2 glucuronidation prior to

24 urinary elimination. 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol, the source substances, have 25 high quality 90-day oral repeated-dose toxicity studies (OECD TG 408) that exhibit qualitative and quantitative consistency. Findings include only mild changes consistent with low-grade 26 27 effects including decreased body weight and slightly increased liver weight, which in some 28 cases is accompanied by clinical chemical and haematological changes but generally without 29 concurrent histopathological effects at the LOAEL. These findings are supported by results 30 from the TG 408 assessment of a semi-defined mixture of isotridecanols. Chemical similarity 31 between the analogues is readily defined and data uncertainty associated with toxicokinetic and 32 toxicodynamics similarities are low. Uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and 33 completeness of the read-across is reduced by the concordance of *in vivo* and *in vitro* results, as 34 well as high throughput and *in silico* methods data. As shown in detail, the 90-day rat oral 35 repeated-dose NOAEL values for the two source substances can be read across to fill the data 36 gaps of the untested analogues in this category with uncertainty deemed equivalent to results 37 from a TG 408 assessment.

Keywords: read-across, n-alkanols, repeated-dose toxicity, No Observed Adverse Effect Level
(NOAEL), Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL), weight-of-evidence (WoE),
uncertainty

41

42

43	Highlights:
44	• The category is limited to readily bioavailable 2-alkyl-1-akanols of intermediate size
45	(C5 to C13)
46	• 2-Alkyl-1-akanols are toxicants acting via a simple narcosis mechanism
47	• Toxicokinetically and toxicodynamically, the 2-alkyl-1-akanols are highly similar
48	• 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol can be read across to other analogues with
49	acceptable uncertainty
50	

51 **1 Introduction**

52 1.1 Read-across

In a toxicity based read-across, it is imperative to demonstrate that all target substances exhibit similar chemical, toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic properties so experimentally-derived information and data from the source substances may be read across to fill the data gap for the target substances [1, 2]. This type of data gap filling is particularly useful for cosmetic ingredients where *in vivo* testing in Europe is prohibited by legislation [3].
While read-across has been used by industry and regulators for decades, recent advances, especially in non-animal test methods, has resulted in read-across today being held to a higher

60 standard [4, 5].

69

61 The read-across strategy employed here focuses on assessing the similarity between target(s) 62 and source substance(s) and the uncertainties in the read-across process and ultimate 63 prediction, two fundamentals of a read-across estimation [6]. Briefly, the justification of read-64 across prediction needs to be robust, reliable and easily explicable. The crucial principles of 65 similarity are clearly documented and supported by scientific literature and data. Sources of 66 uncertainty, the uncertainty associated with the justification of similarity, and the uncertainty 67 associated with the particular application are identified and accommodated. As such, the current study describes a case that illustrates a number of issues associated with a 68

70 determining molecular similarity. Thus, establishing toxicodynamic, as well as toxicokinetic

category approach for the scenario in which metabolism, while straight forward, is important in

similarity, is critical to reducing uncertainties associated with the repeated-dose toxicitypredictions.

The present study builds on an early finding [2]. Specifically, an initial evaluation of a wide
variety of saturated alcohols revealed that, based on consideration of a common metabolic
pathway the saturated alcohols need to be sub-categorised prior to making read-across
predictions.

77 1.2 C5-C13 2-alkyl-1-alkanols: Overview of Existing Knowledge

78 As previously noted [2], intermediate chain-length primary alkanols are considered non-polar 79 narcotics which act mechanistically in a manner similar to depressant anaesthetics. Perfused rat 80 liver toxicity data from Strubelt et al. [7] for the C5 primary alkanol exposure of 65.1 mmol/l 81 for 2 hours suggests that 2-alkyl-1-alkanols may not be in the same read-across category as 82 other primary alkanols (Table 1). These data support the premise that *in vitro* toxicity (e.g., O₂ 83 consumption and ATP production) of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is due, in large part, to loss of 84 membrane integrity, as indicated by cytosolic enzyme (LDH) leakage. While it is likely that 85 enzyme leakage is the result of alteration in membrane fluidity due to partitioning in the cell 86 membrane, loss of membrane integrity as a result of soft electrophilic reactivity and indicated 87 by a 50% reduction in free glutathione (GSH) is not likely.

88 **Table 1.** *In vitro* toxicity profiles for selected alkanols.

Name	log Kow	O2 Consumption (µmol/g x min)	ATP (µmol/g)	LDH (U/l)	GSH (µmol/g)
Control		1.54 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.20	1109 ± 265	2.52 ± 0.29
2-Methyl-1-butanol	1.30	0.30 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.01	20521±1087	1.33 ± 0.29
3-Methyl-1-butanol	1.16	0.22 ± 0.07	0.27 ± 0.05	8680 ± 1216	2.27 ± 0.37
1-Pentanol	1.40	0.06 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.03	28959 ± 4142	2.82 ± 0.36

89	LDH - lactate dehydrogenase; ATP - adenosine triphosphate; GSH - reduced glutathione
90	Due to bioavailability, and distribution and mechanistic considerations, the applicability
91	domain for this case study is limited to 2-alkyl-1-alkanols with a carbon atom (C) chain length
92	range of C5 to C13. Since long-chain derivatives are typically transported via carrier
93	molecules, alcohols of C14 and greater are not included in this category. Since shorter-chain
94	derivatives (e.g., isopropyl alcohol) have the potential to volatilise, they also are not included
95	in this category.
96	Among the 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is the most widely studied [8, 9, 10, 11, 12].
97	Dermal penetration of intermediate size alkanols does not readily occur and absorption from
98	inhalation is extremely limited [13]. Thus, the primary route of exposure, which is
99	toxicologically relevant, is oral. Two-alkyl-1-alkanols within the range C5-C13 are expected to
100	be readily absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract and distributed in the blood in solution [14].
101	Metabolism of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, while highly efficient, involves processes that are more
102	complex than n-alkanol metabolism. Experimental data reveals the major pathways of
103	metabolism and fate of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols include: 1) conjugation of the alcohol group with
104	glucuronic acid; 2) oxidation of the alcohol group; 3) side-chain oxidation yielding additional
105	polar metabolites, which may be subsequently conjugated and be excreted or further oxidised,
106	and 4) excretion of the unchanged parent compound. For example, in rabbits, the glucuronide
107	of 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid was identified as the main metabolite (87%) after oral application of
108	2-ethyl-1-hexanol [15, 16]. In contrast, in the same species, only about 9% of the administered
109	dose of 2-methyl-1-butanol was found in the form of the glucuronides [15, 16].
110	Belsito et al. [14] reviewed the toxicity of branched chain saturated alcohols, including
111	secondary ones. Patocka and Kuca [17] summarized the toxicity of C1 to C6 alkanols. The

112	efficacy of alkanols to induce ataxia [18] and enzyme release from liver cells [19] has been
113	interpreted as being due to the hydrophobic property of the alkanols. Based on rat and fish
114	studies, 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, like other alkanols, act in a manner similar to depressant
115	anaesthetics [20, 21]. Koleva et al. [22] reported multiple-regression type quantitative
116	structure-toxicity relationships (QSARs) for oral log LD50 ⁻¹ data for rodents and the 1-
117	octanol/water partition coefficient (log Kow). Comparison of measured toxicity data with
118	predictions from baseline QSARs reveals that straight-chain and branched, saturated
119	monohydric alcohols consistently behave as classic nonpolar narcotics.
120	A cursory summary of the rodent oral acute and oral repeated-dose toxicity of intermediate size
121	2-alkyl-1-akanols are presented in Table 2. In general, 2-alkyl-1-akanols acute oral toxicity
122	(LD50) is very low ranging from ≈ 2000 to < 5000 mg/kg bw with an average value of ≈ 3500
123	mg/kg bw.

Alcohol	Species	Oral LD50 (mg/kg)	Reference	90-d Oral NOAEL (mg/kgbw/d)	Reference
2-Methyl-1-butanol	Rat	4010	[23]	Not determined	
2-Methyl-1-pentanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Rat	1850	[24]	Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Rat	Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Rat	>3730	[25]	125	[26, 27]
	Rat	≈2000	[27]	Not determined	
	Mouse	2500	[28]	125	[26]
2-Propyl-1-pentanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Methyl-1-octanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-octanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Rat	5400	[29]	150	[29]
2-Methyl-1-undecanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-decanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Propyl-1-decanol		Not determined		Not determined	

Table 2. Acute and repeated-dose oral toxicity of selected 2-alkyl-1-alkanols

90-day, repeated-dose No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) in mg/kg bw/d is ≥ 125
mg/kg bw/d (see Table 2). This value is characteristically based on clinical symptoms,
haematological values outside the normal range, or whole body effects different from normal.
However, if ingested in large enough quantities, alkanols may cause systemic damage to the
liver, heart, kidneys, and/or nervous system.

2-Alkyl-1-akanols are slightly toxic in oral repeated-dose testing; typically, the rodent, oral,

132 2 Method and Materials

126

133 This evaluation of selected 2-alkyl-1-akanols follows the workflow of Schultz et al. [2]. It is in 134 accord with the guidance proposed by Organization for Economic Co-Operation and 135 Development (OECD) [30] and Schultz and co-workers [6]. In vivo data used in the assessment 136 were taken from the literature, including ECHA REACH Registered Substances database [31]. 137 Mechanistic relevance, as well as toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic similarity of the category 138 analogues, was established using relevant non-animal data. 139 2.1 Target and Source Substances 140 In this case study, the analogues (listed in Table 3) include ten target and two source 141 chemicals; the latter, those with repeated-dose data derived from a 90-day OECD TG 408 142 assay, are noted in bold print. This list is not meant to be all inclusive, rather it represents 143 existing industrial organic materials that are likely to be found in a governmental or industrial 144 inventory (e.g., OECD High Production Volume Chemicals). Additional substance identifier 145 information, such as chemical structures and molecular formulas are available in Table 1 of the 146 supplemental information.

ID	Name	CAS	Molecular formula
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	137-32-6	C5H12O
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	105-30-6	C6H14O
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	97-95-0	C6H14O
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	27522-11-8	C7H16O
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	C8H18O
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	58175-57-8	C8H18O
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	818-81-5	C9H20O
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	20592-10-3	C10H22O
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	10042-59-8	C10H22O
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	10522-26-6	C12H26O
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	21078-65-9	C12H26O
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	60671-35-4	C13H28O

147 **Table 3.** 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols considered part of the chemical category. The source chemicals148 are in bold.

149

150 2.2 Endpoint

151 The NOAEL for the 90-day rat oral repeated-dose is the single endpoint for which this

152 category approach is applied. The 90-day oral repeated-dose data for 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-

153 propyl-1-heptanol are particularly well-suited for read-across; the NOAELs are based on

154 experimental results from a 4-dose exposure scenario (0, <100, between 100 and 200 and >

155 500 mg/kg bw/d) following a standard test guideline (OECD TG 408) where the LOAEL

- 156 symptoms are reported.
- 157 2.3 Hypothesis of the category

158 The premise for this read-across case study is:

- 2-Alkyl-1-akanols of intermediate chain length (i.e., C5 to C13) are direct-acting
- 160 toxicants (i.e., metabolic activation and detoxification is not a major factor in toxicity)
- 161 with a similar reversible mode of action (i.e., non-polar narcosis or simple anaesthesia).
- The chemical category is based on simple structure similarities- C-atom chain length

and 2-alkan-1-ol hydrocarbon scaffolding.

164	• With C5 to C13 2-alkanol-1-ol derivatives, C-atom chain length affects most physico-				
165	chemical properties with property values increasing with increasing chain length.				
166	However, this trend is not toxicologically significant and does not significantly affect				
167	bioavailability in sub-chronic oral exposure.				
168	• These 2-alkyl-1-akanols are rapidly and nearly completely absorbed from the gut and				
169	distributed in the blood in solution; first past metabolism leads to glucuronidation with				
170	subsequent elimination in the urine and/or oxidative metabolism in the liver resulting in				
171	a carboxylic acid, which subsequently undergoes mitochondrial β -oxidation, and/or				
172	resulting in additional polar metabolites which are glucuronidated prior to excretion in				
173	the urine.				
174	• Toxicodynamically, these 2-alkyl-1-akanols are highly similar. Briefly, <i>in vivo</i> they				
175	exhibit low systemic toxicity and in vitro they exhibit no chemical reactivity or				
176	receptor-mediated interactions.				
177	• Repeated-dose tested NOAEL data for 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol can be				
178	read across to other category members listed in Table 3 with acceptable uncertainty.				
179	3. Results				
180	3.1. Read-across Justification				
181	3.1.1 Rodent repeated-dose toxicity for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol				
182	From a repeated-dose perspective, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is well-studied. More specifically, in a				
183	90-day study similar in design to an OECD TG408, Fischer F344 rats were administered doses				
184	of 0, 25, 125, 250 or 500 mg 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw/d by gavage [32]. A NOAEL of 125				

185 mg/kg bw/d based on reduced body weight and body weight gain, changes in blood chemistry
186 were reported.

187 A second sub-chronic gavage study is reported by the same authors [33] in which Fischer rats 188 were exposed to doses of 0, 25, 250 and 500 mg/kg bw/d. Relative weight changes are reported 189 for kidney and liver, as well as a decrease of alanine aminotransferase at 250 mg/kg bw/d. 190 Further weight changes occurred in brain, testes and stomach at highest dose, together with a 191 slight decrease in body weight. Changes in clinical chemistry parameters were reported, 192 including an increased activity of the enzyme palmitoyl coenzyme A activity (pCoA), decrease 193 of cholesterol, total protein and albumin, as well as an increase in reticulocytes. Since no doses 194 between 25 and 250 were tested, the NOAEL of this study is 25 mg/kg bw/d. 195 In a chronic Fischer F344 rat study, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was administered by gavage at doses of 196 0, 50, 150 or 500 mg/kg bw/d, 5 days per week for 2 years [34]. Food consumption, body 197 weights, and haematological parameters were examined at specific intervals during the study. 198 At the end of the study, gross and histopathological examinations were conducted. No 199 treatment-related adverse effects were observed at the 50 mg/kg bw/d dose level. At the 150 200 mg/kg bw/d dose level, rats exhibited a body weight gain reduction of approximately 16% in 201 males and 12% in females. An increase of brain and liver weight also is reported. However, no 202 histopathological changes were observed at same or higher doses. In addition, the rats also 203 displayed a slightly increased incidence of clinical signs, such as poor general condition and 204 laboured breathing. We conclude that the NOAEL for this study is 150 mg/kg bw/d. 205 Shorter-term repeated dose studies are also available for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. In an 11-day study, 206 Fischer 344 rats were exposed by gavage at doses of 0, 100, 330, 1000 and 1500 mg/kg bw/d 207 [35]. From 330 mg/kg bw/d on, atrophy of the thymus was reported being most pronounced at

1500 mg/kg bw/d. At 1000 mg/kg bw/d a decrease in reticulocytes and clinical chemistry
parameters such as cholesterol, glucose and ALAT was reported, as well as a marked
inflammation of the forestomach. At highest tested dose, additional adverse effects were
reported, including focal hepatocellular necrosis, hepatocellular hypertrophy and several organ
weight changes. Transient clinical signs were reported at 1000 and 1500 mg/kg bw/d, namely
ataxia, lethargia and lateral and abdominal posturing. A NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d was
determined.

A second short-term gavage study was done with Fischer rats exposed to doses of 0, 100, 320 and 950 mg/kg bw/d for 28 days [36]. At the highest dose of 950 mg/kg bw/d body weight gain was reduced and kidney and liver weight and triglycerides were increased. At 320 mg/kg bw/d an induction of peroxisome proliferation was observed, as well as hepatic cyanide-insensitive palmitoyl coenzyme A activity (pCoA). At 100 mg/kg bw/d a reduction of neutral lipids in liver is reported; however, we do not consider this toxicologically relevant and, thus, we conclude the NOAEL for this study to be 100 mg/kg bw/d.

222 In a 90-day study, B6C3F1 mice received doses of 0, 25, 125, 250 or 500 mg 2-ethyl-1-

hexanol/kg bw/d [26] and the 90-day oral NOEL was noted as 125 mg/kg bw/d.

In another B6C3F1 mouse study, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol mice were administered by gavage at doses

of 0, 50, 200 or 750 mg/kg bw/d, five days per week for 18 months [32]. Food consumption,

body weights and haematological parameters were examined at specific intervals during the

study. At the end of the study, gross and histopathological examinations were conducted.

228 While no treatment-related adverse effects were observed in the mice receiving 50 or 200 mg

229 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw/d, at the 750 mg/kg bw/d dose level, body weight gain reductions of

approximately 26 and 24% in males and females, respectively. Further high dose effects

consist of changes in haematology (lymphocytes, neutrophil increase after 12 months), weight
changes of different organs (kidney, liver), and hyperplasia in the forestomach. We conclude
the NOAEL for this study to be 200 mg/kg bw/d.

234 3.1.2 Rodent repeated-dose toxicity for 2-propyl-1-heptanol

In an OECD TG 408 test, oral 90-day repeated-dose assay, male and female Fischer 344 rats

were exposed via gavage to 0, 30, 150 and 600 mg/kg bw/d of 2-propyl-1-heptanol [29].

Histopathological findings at 600 mg/kg bw/d include diffuse liver hypertrophy, likely the

result of peroxisome proliferation, diffuse hypertrophy of follicular cells in the thyroid gland,

and vacuolation of basophilic (thyrotropic) cells in the glandular part of the pituitary gland.

Additionally, alterations based on clinical signs were observed at 600 mg/kg bw/d.

241 Disregarding peroxisomal proliferation, the NOAEL for this study was 150 mg/kg bw/d.

242 3.1.3 Other related rodent repeated-dose studies

Isotridecanol (i.e., C13-rich mixture of iso-alcohols of C11-14, CAS No. 68526-86-3) was

tested by gavage to Sprague–Dawley rats [14]. In a 90-day study, according to OECD TG 408
with doses of 0, 100, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw/d, the NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d was reported
[14].

247 While ECHA CHEM notes a reliable read-across from 3-methyl-1-butanol to 2-methyl-1-

butanol, the current study disregarded these data. This decision was based on the finding of

249 Strubelt and co-workers. [7]. Data (see Table 1) for the C5 primary alkanols exposure 65.1

250 mmol/l for 2 hours suggest that 2-methyl-1-butanol may not be in the same read-across

251 category as 3-methyl-1-butanol or n-pentanol.

252 In summary, two 2-alkyl-1-akanols (i.e., 2-ethyl-hexanol and, 2-propyl-1-heptanol) have high 253 quality quantitative (e.g., OECD TG 408) 90-day oral exposure repeated-dose test data. These 254 data exhibit qualitative and quantitative consistency between and within rodent species. 255 Specifically, results of oral repeated-dose testing for these two source substances suggest mild 256 changes consistent with low-grade effects, including decreased body weight, accompanied by 257 clinical chemical and haematological changes but generally without concurrent 258 histopathological effects. While it can be argued that these effects are not adverse, we still 259 considered them in determining the NOAEL. The 90-day oral exposure repeated-dose NOAEL 260 values ≥ 125 mg/kg bw/d are based on experimental results from a four dose exposure 261 scenario, typically 0, <100, between 100 and 200, >200 and \geq 500. While there is not repeated-262 dose toxicity data for 2-methyl derivatives, they are included in the category. 3.2. Applicability domain 263

As previously noted, the applicability domain for this case study is confined to branched
primary alkanols of intermediate size, C5 to C13. Straight-chain derivatives, which exhibit a
different toxicokinetic profile, are excluded from this chemical category. Briefly, metabolism
of straight-chain saturated alcohols resulting in the corresponding carboxylic acid, which
subsequently undergoes mitochondrial β-oxidation to CO₂ with only minor amounts of Phase 2
glucuronidation [2].

270 3.3. Purity/impurities

A purity/impurity profile for the analogues listed in Table 3 is not reported. No effort was
made to take into account impurities based on production. Since the category is structurally

limited, the impurities are expected to be similar if not the same across the members and arenot expected to significantly impact the toxicity profile of any analogue.

275 3.4 Data matrices for assessing similarity

As earlier noted, in order for a read-across prediction to be accepted, there is the requirement to

establish similarity between the source and target substance; toxicokinetic similarity, especially

278 for metabolism, and toxicodynamic similarity, especially in regard to mechanistic plausibility

is required for repeated dose-toxicity endpoints [1, 2].

280 3.4.1 Structural similarity

As demonstrated in Tables 1 and 3 of the supplemental information, all the branched alkanols

included in the category are structurally highly similar. Specifically, they: 1) belong to a

common chemical class, aliphatic alcohols and the subclasses primary alkanols and 2-alkyl-1-

alkanols, and 2) possess a similar molecular scaffolding, a C-atom backbone with alkyl

branching in the 2-position. Structurally, the main variations are the length of the backbone,

286 C5-C11 and the length of the alkyl-substituent, C1-C3.

287 3.4.2 Chemical property similarity

As demonstrated in Table 2 of the supplemental information, all the primary alkanols included in the category have a large portion of their physio-chemical properties determined experimentally. Properties, with the exception of density and pKa, tread in values related to Catom number within a scaffold. Specifically, all category members exhibit molecular weights from 88 to 200 g/mol. While hydrophobicity (log Kow) increases with number of C-atoms from >1.0 to <6.0, density and pKa are constant at 0.8 g/cm³ and 15. While vapour pressure and water solubility decrease with molecular size, melting point and boiling point increase withmolecular size.

296 3.4.3 Chemical constituent similarity

As demonstrated in Table 3 of the supplemental information, all the branched primary alkanols

included in the category have common constituents in the form of: 1) a single key substituent,

299 OH, and 2) structural fragments, CH₃, CH₂ and CH.

300 3.4.4 Toxicokinetic similarity

301 As demonstrated in Table 4 of the supplemental information, while the analogues tested are 302 limited, the toxicokinetic understanding of 2-position branched primary alkanol is fairly 303 complete. Two-alkyl-1-alkanols are rapidly absorbed following oral administration [13] and 304 are rapidly excreted [37]. Data for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and to a lesser extent 2-methyl-1-butanol 305 and 2-ethyl-1-butanol demonstrate that branched primary alcohols exhibit common metabolic 306 pathways. These metabolic pathways include oxidation of the alcohol group and oxidation of 307 the side chain at various positions, glucuronidation of the oxidation products and 308 decarboxylation [37]. Glucuronidation increases with increased chain length of the alkanols 309 [38].

Two adult male CD-strain rats (300 g) were gavaged with radiolabeled 2-ethyl-1-¹⁴C-hexanol (¹⁴C- labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol; 1 μ Ci; 8.8 μ g) in cotton seed oil. Two others were given the same amount of ¹⁴C-EH and cotton seed oil but also were given 0.1 ml (0.64 mmol) of unlabeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. Following administration, rats were housed in metabolism cages and expired CO₂, urine, and faeces were collected every hour for 28 hrs. Most (99.8%) of the 315 orally administered radioactivity was accounted for by radioactivity in expired CO₂, urine,

316 faeces, an ethanol wash of the metabolism cage at the end of the experiment, heart, brain, liver,

317 kidneys, and "residual carcass". Two-ethyl-1-hexanol was efficiently absorbed following oral

administration and rapidly excreted in respired CO₂(6-7%), urine (80-82%), and faeces (8-

319 9%); elimination was essentially complete by 28 hrs [10, 27, 37].

320 Deisinger et al. [39, 40] examined the elimination of 14 C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in rats.

321 After oral administration to rats, 69-75% of a dose of 500 mg 14 C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg

322 bw was excreted in the urine within 96 hours; about 13 to 15% of the dose was excreted in the

323 faeces and about the same amount was exhaled as ¹⁴C-labeled CO₂. After intravenous

324 administration to rats, about 74% of a dose of 1 mg ¹⁴C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw was

excreted in the urine within 96 hours. About 4% of the dose was excreted in the faeces and

326 23% was exhaled. More than 50% of the dose was excreted within 8 hours and the terminal

half-life was estimated to be 60 hours [39, 40].

325

328 Haggard et al. [41] examined the metabolic fate of 2-methyl-1-butanol in rats. Specifically, intraperitoneal injection in four equal doses of 250mg/kg bw at 15-min intervals resulted in a 329 330 maximum blood concentration of 550 mg/l. Blood concentration decreased over the next nine 331 hours. Of the total dose of 1000mg/kg bw, only 5.6% was excreted in air and 2% in the urine. 332 The remainder was metabolised, first to the corresponding aldehyde and then to the acid [41]. 333 After a single oral dose of 25 mmoles of 2-methyl-1-butanol to rabbits [15], 9.6% of the dose 334 was excreted in the urine as glucuronides. Glucuronide excretion occurred within 24 hours, the 335 urine did not contain aldehydes or ketones. Iwersen and Schmoldt [42] studied the alcohol 336 dehydrogenase-independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols (oxidation and glucuronidation). 337 Briefly, male Sprague-Dawley rats were pre-treated with 10% ethanol in the drinking water for two weeks. Rats were sacrificed and microsomes were prepared for glucuronidation
experiments and trials, as well as oxidation experiments with aliphatic alcohols. *In vitro*experiments have demonstrated additional oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol by rat liver
microsomes via CYP P450 enzymes and glucuronidation. At very low ethanol concentrations
(5-10 mmo/L) competitive inhibiting effect of ethanol on oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol was
observed [42].

A rabbit was given 2.55g of 2-ethyl-1-butanol and the 24-hr urine was collected [16]. 2-Ethyl1-butanol was excreted mainly as glucuronides, along with a minor amount of methyl n-propyl
ketone.

347 3.4.5 Metabolic similarity

As demonstrated in Table 5 of Annex I with data from *in silico* predictions, it is highly likely
that all of the category members undergo successive oxidation to their corresponding aldehyde
and carboxylic acid [43, 44].

351 Kamil et al. [15, 16] examined the metabolic fate of 2-methyl-1-hexanol in rats. Via acid

extraction of urine, the major urinary metabolite of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was revealed to be 2-

353 ethyl hexanoic acid. This metabolite may undertake partial β-oxidation and decarboxylation to

354 produce ¹⁴CO₂ and 2- and 4-heptanone (in the urine). Other urinary metabolites identified in

this study were 2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-5-ketohexanoic acid, and 2-ethyl-1,6-

hexanedioic acid. Approximately 3% of the parent compound was excreted unchanged.

357 Metabolic saturation was seen with 500 mg/kg body weight applied [15, 16].

358 Typically, the presence of a side chain does not terminate the oxidation process of alkanols.

359 However, in most cases, it alters it. The position and size of the alkyl substituent plays a role in

metabolism with degradation to CO₂ decreasing and glucuronidation increasing with branching
and increasing chain length.

362 Alkyl acids formed during metabolic transformation of branched alkanols have their own set of 363 metabolic pathways. Acids with a methyl substituent located at an even-numbered carbon (e.g., 364 2-methyl pentanoic acid or 4-methyl decanoic acid) are extensively metabolised to CO₂ via β-365 oxidative cleavage in the fatty acid pathway. If the methyl group is located at the 3-position, β-366 oxidation is inhibited and omega (ω -) oxidation predominates, primarily leading to polar, 367 acidic metabolites capable of being further oxidised or conjugated and excreted in the urine [44]. As chain length and lipophilicity increase, ω-oxidation competes with β-oxidative 368 369 cleavage. Methyl substituted acids (e.g., 3-methylnonanoic acid) are, to some extent, ω-370 oxidized in animals to form diacids which can be detected in the urine [45]. 371 Oxidation of these branched fatty acids is accomplished by alpha (α -) oxidation. α -Oxidation is 372 a complex catabolic process. It initially involves hydroxylation of the α -C atom. Subsequently, 373 the terminal carboxyl group is removed, and there is a concomitant conversion of the α -374 hydroxyl group to a new terminal carboxyl group. Lastly, there is a linking of CoA to the 375 terminal carboxyl group. This new branched, fatty acyl-CoA functions in the β -oxidation. In 376 humans, α -oxidation is used in peroxisomes to break down dietary branched acids which 377 cannot undergo β -oxidation due to β -methyl branching. 378 Metabolism of methyl-substituted alcohols is determined primarily by the position of the 379 methyl group(s) on the hydrocarbon-chain. Following successive oxidation to the 380 corresponding carboxylic acids, the branched-chain acids are metabolised via β-oxidation. 381 With longer branched-chain derivatives, this is followed by cleavage to yield linear acid 382 fragments which are typically completely metabolised to CO₂. At high-dose levels, the longer

branched-chain acids may go through omega-oxidation to yield diacids, which subsequentlymay undergo further oxidation and cleavage.

385 The presence of an ethyl- or propyl-substitution at the α -position, such as in 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 386 inhibits β -oxidation [46]. Detoxication pathways of ω - and ω -1 oxidation compete with β -387 oxidation of these sterically hindered substances; the parent alcohol or corresponding 388 carboxylic acid undergoes a combination of reactions (e.g., ω - or ω -1 oxidation and functional 389 group oxidation) leading to polar, acidic metabolites capable of being excreted in the urine [40, 390 45]. When the principal pathway is saturated, the corresponding carboxylic acid conjugates 391 with glucuronic acid and is excreted in the urine [, 37, 40, 45]. 392 One of the best studied 2-postion branched carboxylic acid is 2-propyl pentanoic acid (valproic 393 acid). The toxicokinetic aspects of 2-propyl pentanoic acid have been reviewed [47, 48]. 2-394 Propyl pentanoic acid is almost entirely metabolised by the liver, so it is not surprising that the 395 liver is also the dominant target organ of toxicity. The multiple metabolic pathways involved in 396 2-propyl pentanoic acid biotransformation give rise to more than 50 known metabolites [47]. 397 Ghodke-Puranik and co-workers [48] estimate that, while 30 - 50% of 2-propyl pentanoic acid 398 is excreted in the urine as a glucuronide conjugate, 40% goes through mitochondrial β -399 oxidation and about 10% undergoes cytochrome P450-mediated oxidation. It has been 400 postulated that the hepatotoxicity of 2-propyl-pentanoic acid results from the mitochondrial β-401 oxidation of its cytochrome P450 metabolite, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid to 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-402 pentadienoic acid which, in the CoA thioester form, either depletes GSH or produces a putative 403 inhibitor of β-oxidation enzymes. Pent-4-enoate, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid and 2-propyl-(E)-404 2,4-pentadienoic acid are potent inducers of microvesicular steatosis in rats [49]. However,

405 since 2-propyl-pentanoic acid failed to induce discernible liver lesions in young rats, even at

406 near lethal doses of 700 mg/kg/day, Kesterson et al. [49] suggested that β -oxidation inhibition 407 observed in both valproic acid and unsaturated metabolite-treated rats occurred by different 408 mechanisms. Specifically, 2-propyl pentanoic acid inhibits transient sequestering of CoA, 409 while the CoA esters of some metabolites, particularly 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid, inhibit 410 specific enzyme(s) in the β -oxidation sequences [49]. 411 Ghodke-Puranik et al. [48] rationalised the involvement of 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid. 412 Specifically, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid enters the mitochondria, forms a complex with CoA 413 ester and subsequent β-oxidation forms the reactive 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-pentadienoic acid-CoA 414 ester. The latter is the putative cytotoxic metabolite that binds with glutathione to form thiol 415 conjugates. The reactive metabolite, 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-pentadienoic acid-CoA ester, has the

416 potential to deplete mitochondrial glutathione pools and form conjugates with CoA, which in
417 turn inhibits enzymes in the β-oxidation pathway [48].

418 In summary, the experimental toxicokinetic data for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols show consistency in 419 absorption, distribution and metabolic pathways. In contrast, there is less consistency in 420 excretion. In particular, derivatives with 2-position ethyl and propyl groups are more likely to 421 be excreted as a glucuronidated metabolite, while 2-position-methylated analogues are more 422 likely to be oxidized to CO₂. The latter are metabolically similar to the less toxic n-alkanols 423 [2]. The metabolic evidence supporting the idea that some 2-position branched carboxylic acids 424 are metabolised to thiol reactive metabolites is not considered toxicologically relevant to this 425 read-across, as repeated-dose toxicity through a reactive mechanism is considered unlikely as 426 long as the reactive half-life is shorter than the dosing interval (e.g., <8-hr vs. 24-hr) and the 427 Phase 2 conjugation mechanism is not saturated.

428 3.4.6 Toxicophore similarity

As shown in Table 6 of the supplemental information, 2-alkyl-1-akanols themselves do not
contain a known toxicophore. However, the carboxylic acid metabolites of the same 2-position
branched isomers (e.g., 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol) are linked to
developmental toxicity and chronic oral toxicity via the short-chain carboxylic acid pathway
[50].

434 3.4.7 Mechanistic plausibility similarity

435 It is generally accepted that the toxicity of intermediate size 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, like other 436 saturated alcohols, is the result of narcosis. While there is theoretical evidence for the 437 membrane as the site of action for anaesthetic-like 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, biochemical, cellular 438 and physiological evidence is largely restricted to 1-alkanol derivatives [20, 21]. Narcosis, in 439 the broadest sense, is the non-covalent disruption of hydrophobic interactions within 440 membranes with a particular volume fraction rather than molar fraction [51]. It is the 441 accumulation of alcohols in cell membranes which disturbs their function; however, the exact 442 mechanism is not known yet. There are three competing theories of general anaesthetic action: 443 1) the lipid solubility-anaesthetic potency correlation (i.e., the Meyer-Overton correlation); 2) 444 the modern lipid hypothesis and 3) the membrane protein hypothesis.

As shown in Table 7 of Annex I, the alkanols included in the category are associated with the
simple narcosis mechanism of toxicity that is equivalent to depressant anaesthetics. Measured
acute toxicity for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is consistent with predictions from QSAR models [52, 53]
for the nonpolar narcosis mode of action [54].

The contributions of functional groups in acute rat oral toxicity have been calculated using alkanes as the baseline [55]. The toxic contribution of alcohols is -0.108. This situation has not been observed in acute fish toxicity because the threshold of excess toxicity is too high to distinguish differences in toxicity. Critical body residues (CBRs) calculated from percentage of absorption and bioconcentration factors indicate that most of aliphatic alcohols share the same modes of toxic action between fish and rat. Specifically, fish and rat log (1/CBR) and number of alcohols are 1.65; 18 and 1.58; 348, respectively [55].

456 It should be noted that some 2-alkyl-1-alkanols are associated with development toxicity via
457 their conversion to the corresponding 2-alkyl-carboxcylic acids. The experimental evidence is

458 largely confined to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and the results are mixed.

459 In rats administrated 1600 mg/kg bw 2-ethyl-1-hexanol by gavage (but not 800 mg/kg bw) on

460 day 12 of gestation, Ritter et al. [56] reported a statistically significant increase in the number

461 of teratogenic live fetuses; malformations included hydronephrosis, tail and limb defects.



463 In another study, Ritter et al. [57] proposed that the teratogen di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate acts by 464 *in vivo* hydrolysis to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, which in turn is metabolised to the definitive teratogen 465 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid. They conducted teratological studies with Wistar rats administering 466 one of the three agents on day 12 of gestation. Briefly, it was revealed that, on an equimolar 467 basis, the phthalate derivative was least potent, the alcohol derivative was intermediate, and the 468 acid derivative was most potent. Similarity in the types of malformation induced by each 469 derivative suggests a common mechanism of action. In toto, these findings are consistent with 470 the hypothesis [57].

Two-ethyl-1-hexanol was evaluated for developmental toxicity in mice [58]. There were no effects on any gestational parameters upon exposure to dietary 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. Specifically, the number of corpora lutea, uterine implantation sites (live, dead, resorbed), pre- and postimplantation loss, sex ratio (% males), and live fetal body weight per litter (all foetuses or separately by sex) were all equivalent across all groups. Moreover, there were no maternal toxic effects observed at any of the concentrations tested [58].

Tyl et al. [59] examined the developmental toxicity of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol administered
dermally. In range-finding (8 females / treatment) and definitive investigations (25 females /
treatment), 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was administered by occluded dermal application for 6-hours per
day on gestation days 6 through 15 to pregnant Fischer 344 rats. Treatment levels for rangefinding were equivalent to 0, 420, 840, 1680, and 2520 mg/kg bw/d; treatment levels for
definitive experiments were equivalent to 0, 252, 840, and 2520 mg/kg bw/d. Controls included
negative- deionised water, dermal-positive- 2-methoxyethanol and oral reference - valproic

484 acid.

485 For 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, the findings are: 1) maternal weight gain was reduced at the two highest 486 dose levels, 2) maternal liver, kidney, thymus, spleen, adrenal and uterine weights, as well as 487 gestational and foetal parameters were unaffected by any treatment, and 3) there were no 488 treatment-related increases in the incidence of individual or pooled external, visceral, and 489 skeletal malformations or variations. The dermal NOAELs for the maternal toxicity of 2-ethyl-490 1-hexanol were 252 mg/kg/d based on skin irritation and 840 mg/kg/d based on systemic 491 toxicity. The developmental toxicity NOAEL was at least 2520 mg/kg/d, with no 492 teratogenicity. While the Fischer 344 rat is susceptible to known rodent teratogens, such as 2-493 methoxyethanol by the dermal route and valproic acid by the oral route, in the Fischer 344 rat,

494 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is not a developmental toxicant by the dermal route at and below treatment495 levels which produce maternal toxicity.

496 Narotsky et al. [60] studied the developmental toxicity and structure-activity relationships of 497 aliphatic acids in rats. 14 acids were administered by gavage to Sprague-Dawley rats once 498 daily during organogenesis. Only 2-ethyl hexanoic and 2-propyl hexanoic acid caused effects 499 similar to valproic acid (i.e., mortality, extra pre-sacral vertebrae, fused ribs, and delayed 500 parturition) on rat development. Developmental toxicity of α -branched acids is, in part, due to 501 maternal toxicity resulting in alterations in zinc (Zn) metabolism that affects the developing 502 conceptus [61]. Developmentally toxic doses of 2-ethyl hexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 503 valproic acid on Zn metabolism were investigated in the pregnant rat. At the higher dose levels 504 of 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, and at all dosages of valproic acid, the percentage of ⁶⁵Zn retained in maternal liver was higher than controls, while that in the 505 506 embryos was lower than controls. Two-ethyl-1- hexanoic acid exposed dams fed Zn-containing 507 diets during gestation exhibited a dose-dependent reduction in teratogenic effects. 508 Toxicokinetic parameters are important determinants of teratogenic outcome of α-alkyl-509 substituted carboxylic acids, which helps explain differing potencies of structurally similar 510 chemicals [62]. Valproic acid (2-propyl-1- pentanoic acid), 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid, and 1-511 octanoic acid are isomeric analogues with markedly different teratogenic potencies. Valproic 512 acid induces moderate to severe malformations after a single oral administration of 6.25 513 mmoles/kg on day 12 of rat pregnancy. Twice as much 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid (12.5 514 mmoles/kg) induces a less severe response and 1-octanoic acid is non-teratogenic, even at the 515 higher dose of 18.75 mmoles/kg [62]. While 1-octanoic acid exhibits poor intestinal 516 absorption, the peak concentration and duration of exposure to valproic acid and 2-ethyl-1hexanoic acid were very similar. A fourth agent, 2-methyl-1-hexanoic acid, which is nonteratogenic when administered orally at 14.1 mmoles/kg, exhibits peak concentration and duration of exposure intermediate to 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid and 1-octanoic acid. The differences in the severity of developmental malformations for the α-alkyl-substituted derivatives indicated higher intrinsic activity for analogues with C2 and especially, C3 α-alkylsubstituents.

In summary, there is reasonable evidence that some 2-alkyl-1-alkanols via oxidation to their
corresponding acid are probable development toxicants. However, there is no evidence that this
mechanism is related to repeated-dose toxicity.

526 3.4.8 Other endpoint similarity

In mammals, alkanols, in general, are considered baseline inhalation toxicants which model assimple narcotics [53].

529 In fish, alkanols are considered to act via the nonpolar narcosis mode of action, as first reported

530 by Veith et al. [52]. Alkanols are also represented within the USEPA DSSTox Fathead

531 Minnow Acute Toxicity (EPAFHM) database. They exhibit toxic potencies not statistically

532 different from baseline predictions. Because of concerns for aquatic toxicity, a large number of

alcohols, especially saturated ones, have been tested *in vitro* for cell population growth

534 inhibition [63]. Structure-activity results from *in vivo* and *in vitro* tests are highly consistent

535 [64]. Briefly, from a structural standpoint, the aquatic toxicity of alkanols is partition-

536 dependent, regardless of endpoint being assessed.

537 Generally, *in vitro*, alkanols ascribed to unspecific interactions with biological membranes;

such effects are directly correlated with 1-octanol/water partition coefficients [65]. The 2-

539 alkyl-1-alkanols were screened with a variety of *in silico* nuclear receptor binding predictions 540 [66]. Specifically, profilers for nuclear receptor binding were run to identify potential binding 541 to the following nuclear receptors: PPARs (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors), AR 542 (androgen receptor), AHR (aryl hydrocarbon receptor), ER (oestrogen receptor), GR 543 (glucocorticoid receptor), PR (progesterone receptor), FXR (farnesoid X receptor), LXR (liver 544 X receptor), PXR (pregnane X receptor), THR (thyroid hormone receptor), VDR (vitamin D 545 receptor), as well as RAR/RXR (retinoic acid receptor/ retinoid X receptor). The evaluation of 546 potential binding to the receptors is based on structural fragments and physico-chemical 547 features that have been identified as essential to bind to these nuclear receptors and induce a 548 response. No potential receptor binding was predicted. It is worth noting that ToxCast also 549 tested for all of these receptors, and all assays were negative. 550 HTS data from US EPA's ToxCast [67, 68] are available for a variety of saturated alcohols 551 [69]. Of the 711 assays available in ToxCast ToxCast, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol has been evaluated in

552 602 of them and 2-propyl-1-heptanol has been assessed in about 250 assays. The number of 553 active assays varies, six for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and four for 2-propyl-1-heptanol. No other 554 category members have been screened by ToxCast. However, alkanols, in general, are one of 555 the least promiscuous chemical classes with < 3% of the ToxCast assays show any activity up 556 to highest concentration tested. None of the active assay are associated with specific bioactivity 557 [2].

Taken collectively, the findings for other endpoints are not inconsistent with the previously
cited *in vivo* data and the premise that in oral repeated-dose toxicity, 2-alkyl-1-alkanols act in a
manner similar to depressant anaesthetics.

561 **4. Statement of uncertainty**

562 The categorical assessments of uncertainties along with summary comments are presented in 563 Tables 4 and 5. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols are a category with acceptable data uncertainty and robust 564 strengths-of-evidence for repeated-dose toxicity. Briefly, chemical dissimilarity has no impact 565 on repeated-dose toxicity. Data uncertainty with the fundamental aspects of toxicokinetics is 566 low. Regardless of the species of mammal, all such category members are judged to be readily 567 absorbed orally and to have similar distributions metabolism elimination as glucuronides. Data 568 uncertainty with the fundamental aspects of toxicodynamics is low, in that category members 569 exhibit a low-toxic profile with respect to *in vivo* repeated-dose NOAEL and LOAEL values. 570 The uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and completeness of the read-across is 571 acceptable. While relevant non-animal data are minimal, the in vivo WoE is high. 2-Alkyl-1-572 alkanols are thought to be associated with the nonpolar narcosis mechanisms of toxicity. While 573 well-studied, this molecular mechanism is not well-understood and no adverse outcome 574 pathway (AOP) is currently available. Moreover, it is unclear if oral repeated-dose toxicity is 575 related to this mechanism; however, there is no evidence to suggest it is not.

576 **Table 4.** Assessment of data uncertainty and strengths-of-evidence associated with the

577	fundamentals of	chemical tr	ansformation/	toxicokinetic a	nd toxicod	vnamic similari	tv
511	Tunuamentais of	chennear, u	ansionnation/	toxicokinetie a	nu toxicou	ynanne sinnan	ιy.

Similarity	Data	Strength-of-	Comment
Parameter	Uncertainty ^a	Evidence ^b	
Substance identification, structure and chemical classifications	Low	High	All category members are discrete organic substance of simple structure. They all have CAS numbers, similar 2D structure and belong to the same chemical class and subclass.
Physio-chem & molecular properties	Empirical: Low	High	All category members are appropriately similar with respect to key physicochemical and molecular properties. Where appropriate (e.g., log Kow) changes in values are linked to

Similarity Parameter	Data Uncertainty ^a	Strength-of- Evidence ^b	Comment
	Modelled: Low		changes in C-atom number. There is a high degree of consistency between measured and model estimated values.
Substituents, functional groups, & extended structural fragments	Low	High	Substituents and functional groups are consistent across all category members. There are no extended structural fragments.
Transformation/t oxicokinetics and metabolic similarity	Empirical: <i>In vivo</i> : Medium <i>In vitro</i> : none Simulated: Medium	Medium	Based on <i>in vivo</i> data for multiple category members, there is evidence for similar toxicokinetics and metabolic pathways. It is extremely likely that absorption and distribution are consistent within the category. It is likely that the metabolic pathways are consistent with the category. Comparison of results from empirical studies and model predictions indicate similar metabolism among category members. Experimental data support the idea that 2-alkyl-alkanols often undergo oxidation of the alcohol group to an acid with degradation to CO_2 , as well as oxidation or hydroxylation of the alkyl chains at various positions, and subsequent glucuronidation prior to excretion. There is evidence the % of glucuronidation varies within the category; higher % of glucuronidation is associated with 2- position branching > C1. There is also evidence supporting the idea that some 2-position branched carboxylic acids are metabolised to thiol reactive metabolites which exhibit enhanced cellular toxicity. Bioavailability while affected by size is not considered a factor in these predictions.
Potential metabolic products	Simulated: Low	High	Based on <i>in silico</i> metabolic simulations, metabolites from hydroxylation and oxidation are predicted to be produced by any of the category members.
Toxicophores /mechanistic alerts	Medium	High	Based on <i>in silico</i> profilers, no category member contains any established toxicophores related to repeated-dose toxicity.
Mechanistic plausibility and AOP-related events	Medium	High	Although no AOP is currently available for the hypothesized mode of action, many category members have been tested for what is generally accepted as mechanistically-relevant events (i.e., anaesthesia and narcosis).
Other relevant, <i>in</i> <i>vivo</i> , <i>in vitro</i> and <i>ex vivo</i> endpoints	Low	High	Although not directly related to the repeated-dose endpoint, many category members have been tested for <i>in vivo</i> acute effects in rodents and fish. In addition, many category members have been tested <i>in vitro</i> for cellular effects. There is general agreement in the trend of the reported LD50, LC50 and EC50 values. The primary alkanols (both straight-chain and branched) are among the "least promiscuous chemical classes" (i.e., only 104 of 4412 assay are positive) within ToxCast with no positive assay being associated with specific bioactivity. None of the 2-alkyl-1-

Similarity	Data	Strength-of-	Comment
Parameter	Uncertainty ^a	Evidence ^b	

alkanols reveal any propensity for receptor binding within the SEURAT-1 suite of *in silico* profilers.

- 578 579 ^a Uncertainty associated with underlying information/data used in the exercise (empirical, modelled; low, medium,
- high)
- 580 581 ^bConsistency within the information/data used to support the similarity rational and prediction (low, medium,
- high)
- Table 5. Assessment of uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and completeness of 582
- 583 the read-across.

Factor	Uncertainty or WoE ^a	Comment
The problem and	Low	The endpoint to be read across, oral 90-day repeated-dose
premise of the read-		toxicity, for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is well-studied and fairly well-
across		understood mechanistically. The scenario of the read-across
		hinges on metabolism affecting toxic potency but not the mode of
		toxic action (i.e., reversible narcosis). 2-alkyl-1-alkanols,
		themselves, have no obvious chemical reactivity, do not bind to
		any know receptor and exhibit no specific receptor interactions.
	In v	ivo data read across
Number of analogues	Low; 2 of 12 analogues	There are two suitable category members (i.e., 2-ethyl-1-hexanol,
in the source set		2-propyl-1-heptanol) with high quality in vivo 90-day, oral
		repeated-dose data usable for read-across.
		-
Quality of the in vivo	Low	Generally, the in vivo data are consistent in regards to qualitative
apical endpoint data		description of repeated-dose effects. Lowest observed effects are
read across		typically haematological or whole body parameters and not
		organ-specific effects. High quality empirical data from accepted
		guidelines for the 90-day repeated-dose endpoint exist for 2-ethyl-
		1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol and are supported by 90-day
		oral repeated-dose toxicity data for the isotridecano1 mixture.
Severity of the apical	Low	The consensus is 2-alkyl-1-alkanols have no obvious chemical
<i>in vivo</i> hazard	2011	reactivity. do not bind to any known receptor and exhibit no
		specific mode of toxic action. Potency data for the <i>in vivo</i> 90-d
		oral repeated-dose NOAEL is $\approx 125 \text{ mg/kg bw/d based on general}$
		whole body effects for both sexes
	Evidence to the bi	ological argument for read-across
Robustness of	Low; numerous	The available data from acute <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> studies for the
analogue data set	endpoints reveal the	category members is extensive with several assays being used to
C	same structure-activity	assess most if not all the analogues, especially the source
	relationships.	analogues. The tests were judged to be reliable and conducted
	1	under the appropriate conditions.
Concordance with	Low to medium; limited	Since there is no toxicity pathway for repeated-dose effects for
regard to the	by indirect rationale	this chemical category, there are no true intermediate events.
intermediate and	(e.g., acute to chronic) of	There is agreement among the dose-response relationships of the

apical effects and potency data	mechanistic plausibility.	tested category members for relevant in vitro events.
Weight of Evidence	High/ medium for 2- methyl-1-alkanols	Overall the available information is mainly consistent with the stated premise. The structural limitations (i.e., 2-alkyl-1-alkanols) of the category strengthen the WoE. While the toxicokinetics data is limited, the consistency of the metabolic pathway adds to the WoE. Having two well-studied source substances with highly similar <i>in vivo</i> 90-day repeated-dose data that are supported by similar data for a mixture of C11 to C14 branched alkanols adds to the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. Having both 28-day repeated-dose and chronic (18-month and 2-year) studies for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol with qualitative and quantitative data similar to the 90-day repeated-dose studies for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol with qualitative and provide the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. Having repeated-dose studies for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol with qualitative similar data in both rat and mouse data adds to the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. The lack of <i>in vivo</i> repeated-dose data for 2-methyl derivatives reduced the WoE for including these analogues in the category.

584 ^aUncertainty: low, medium, high

585

586	One observed uncertainty is associated with the fact that, while 2-methyl-substituted
587	derivatives are considered with the domain of the category, there is no in vivo experimental
588	data supporting their inclusion. However, there is high quality repeated-dose data for 3-methyl-
589	1-butanol (CAS 123-51-3). In a 90-day study with rats, according to OECD Test Guideline
590	408, 3-methyl-1-butanol was administered in the drinking water in concentrations of 0, \approx 80,
591	≈340 and ≈1250 mg/kg bw/d [70]. A NOAEL of 340 mg/kg bw/d for males and 1250 mg/kg
592	bw/d for females was reported. 3-Methyl-1-butanol was also tested in a 17-week toxicity study
593	with Ash/CSE rats [71]. The test substance was administered by gavage to group of 15 rats/sex
594	at dose levels of 0, 150, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw/d in corn oil. While a variety of whole body
595	clinical pathological and histopathological endpoints were examined, the only observed effects
596	were a statistically significant reduced body weight in males and a non-statistical reduction in
597	food intake at the highest dose level. A NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/d for males and 1000 mg/kg
598	bw/d for females was reported. In addition, 3-methyl-1-butanol was administered to male and

599 female Wistar rats (≈2000 mg/kg bw/d) in drinking water for 56 weeks. No treatment-related 600 effects were observed for whole body, clinical pathology or histopathological endpoints [72]. 601 In rats, oral administration of 2000 mg 3-methyl-1-butanol /kg bw led to a peak concentration 602 of 170 mg/l blood at 1 hour [13, 73]; more than 50% of the dose was excreted within 24 hours. 603 In another study [41], rats were intraperitoneally administered of 250 mg/kg bw four times in 604 15 minute-intervals. Complete absorption of the substance was observed within 1 hr after final 605 administration. No test substance was detectable after 4 hrs. Excretion was 2% in urine and 5.6 606 in expired air. Kamil et al. [15] reported after gavage administration of a dose of 25 mmol per 607 rabbit (corresponding to ≈ 735 mg/kg bw) of 1-pentanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol, and 2-methyl-1-608 butanol, approximately 7%, 9%, and 10% of the dose was excreted by the rabbits into urine as 609 glucuronides, respectively. Furthermore, the urine did not contain aldehydes or ketones. It is 610 assumed the remaining 90+% of the tested derivative was excreted as CO₂.

The collective results for 3-methyl-1-butanol show it is toxicodynamically more similar to
tested n-alkanols (i.e., NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/d) than it is to tested 2-alkyl-1-alkanols (i.e.,
NOAEL = 125 mg/kg bw/d). Toxicokinetically, 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-butanol
are highly similar to n-alkanols, especially 1-pentanol.

615 **5. Conclusions**

This is the third in a series of read-across case studies. This specific study is a result of findings which came to light during evaluations of n-alkanols [2]. *In vivo* oral repeated-dose exposure to 2-alkyl-1-alkanols gives rise to a set of non-specific symptoms, including clinical symptoms, haematological values outside the normal range, or whole body effects different from normal. The category limitation to C5 to C13 analogues assures that the impact of bioavailability on the toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic profiles is limited. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols are toxicants which act
via a reversible mode of toxic action. The main route of exposure is oral with rapid
gastrointestinal absorption, distribution via the blood, prompt Phase 2 metabolism and
eliminated in the urine.

625 Repeated-dose toxicity test results exhibit qualitative consistency between and within species. 626 While protocols vary, results of oral repeated-dose testing exhibit qualitative consistency 627 between and within mammals. Typical findings are only mild changes, including decreased 628 body weight, slightly increased liver weight, as well as clinical chemical and haematological 629 changes, but typically without concurrent histopathological effects. The 90-day rat oral 630 repeated-dose NOAEL values for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol are particularly 631 well suited for read-across. Moreover, the predictions are supported by highly similar results 632 for an isotridecanol mixture.

633 A NOAEL value of 125 mg/kg bw/d can be read across to fill the data gaps among the 634 analogues in this category for the purpose of risk assessment. Specifically, the data gaps for 2-635 propyl-1-pentanol and 2-ethyl-1-octanol are filled with very low uncertainty (very high 636 confidence) by interpolation from 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol. The data gaps 637 for 2-ethyl-1-butanol, 2-ethyl-1-pentanol, 2-ethyl-1-decanol and 2-propyl-1-decanol are filled 638 with low uncertainty (high confidence) by extrapolation from 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-639 1-heptanol. The data gaps for 2-methyl-1-butanol, 2-methyl-1-pentanol, 2-methyl-1-octanol 640 and 2-methyl-1-undecanol are filled with acceptable uncertainty as worst-case scenarios. The 641 latter uncertainty results from incomplete knowledge of how a methyl group, rather than an 642 ethyl or propyl moiety, affects the ratio of excretion in respired CO₂, in urine as a conjugate 643 and in faeces, a as well as repeated-dose toxic potency.

644 **6. Acknowledgements**

645	This work was funded in part by the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine. TWS
646	acknowledges funding by Cosmetics Europe, the personal care association. KRP, ANR, CLM
647	and MTDC acknowledge funding from the COSMOS Project, which was funded by the
648	European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant
649	agreement number 266835 and Cosmetics Europe.
650	
651	7. References
652	[1] Przybylak, K.R., Schultz, T.W., Richarz, AN., Mellor, C.L., Escher, S.E., and Cronin,
653	M.T.D. 2016. Read-across of 90-day rat oral repeated-dose toxicity: A case study for
654	selected β-olefinic alcohols. Computational Toxicology.
655	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2016.11.001
656	[2] Schultz, T.W., Przybylak, K.R., Richarz, AN., Mellor, C.L., Escher, S.E., Bradbury, S.P.
657	and Cronin, M.T.D. 2017. Read-across of 90-day rat oral repeated-dose toxicity: A Case
658	Study for selected n-alkanols. Computational Toxicology.
659	[3] Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30
660	November 2009 on cosmetic products, replacing Directive 76/768/EC. Off. J. Eur. Union.
661	L 342: 59-209.
662	[4] Cronin, M.T.D., Madden, J.C., Enoch S.J., Roberts, D.W., (Eds.), 2013. Chemical Toxicity
663	Prediction: Category Formation and Read-Across Applications. The Royal Society of
664	Chemistry, Cambridge UK.

This work was funded in part by the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine. TWS

- [5] Teubner W. and Landsiedel, R., 2015. Read-across for hazard assessment: The ugly
 duckling in growing up. ATLA 43: 67-71.
- 667 [6] Schultz, T.W., Amcoff, P. Berggren, E., Gautier, F. Klaric, M., Knight, D. J. Mahony, C.
- 668 Schwarz, M., White, A. and Cronin, M.T.D. 2015. A strategy for structuring and reporting
- a read-across prediction of toxicity. Reg. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 72: 586-601.
- 670 [7] Strubelt, O., Deters, M., Pentz, R., Siegers, C.-P. and Younes, M. 1999. The toxic and
- 671 metabolic effects of 23 aliphatic alcohols in the isolated perfumed rat liver. Toxicol. Sci.672 49: 133-142.
- [8] Opdyke, D.L. 1973. Monographs on fragrance raw materials. Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 11:95-115.
- 675 [9] Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD), 1995. SIDS Initial

676 Assessment Profile (SIAP) on 2-Ethylhexanol. Available at

- 677 <u>http://webnet.oecd.org/Hpv/UI/handler.axd?id=ffab6db1-9916-48a0-833e-7de4fe550dc7</u>
- 678 [10] Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1993. Evaluation of
- 679 certain food additives and contaminants. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 41st report of the Joint
- 680 FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Geneva, WHO Technical

681 Report Series No. 837.

[11] Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1999. Evaluation of

- 683 certain food additives and contaminants. Saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain
- 684 primary alcohols, aldehydes and acids. 49th report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert
- 685 Committee on Food Additives, WHO Geneva, WHO Technical Report Series No. 884.

- [12] MAK 2012. 2-Ethylhexanol [MAK Value Documentation, 2003]. The MAK Collection
 for Occupational Health and Safety. 136–178.
- 688 [13] Belsito, D., Bickers, D., Bruze, M., Calow, P., Greim, H., Hanifin, J.M., Rogers, A.E.,
- 689 Saurat, J.H., Sipes, I.G. and Tagami, H. 2010. A safety assessment of branched chain
- saturated alcohols when used as fragrance ingredients. Food Chem. Toxicol. 48(S4): S1-S46.
- 692 [14] Gaillard, D. and Derache, R. 1965. Metabolisation de different alcools, present dans les
 693 buissons alcooliques, chez le rat. Trav. Soc. Pharm. Montp. 25: 51-62.
- [15] Kamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953a. Studies in detoxication. 46. The
- 695 metabolism of aliphatic alcohols. The glucuronic acid conjugation of acyclic aliphatic696 alcohols. Biochem. J. 53: 129-136.
- [16] Kamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953b. Studies in detoxication. 47. The
- 698 formation of ester glucuronides of aliphatic acids during the metabolism of 2-
- 699 ethylbutanol and 2-ethylhexanol. Biochem. J. 53: 137-140.
- [17] Patocka, J. and Kuca, K. 2012. Toxic alcohols: Aliphatic saturated alcohols. Mil. Med.
 Sci. Lett. (Voj. Zdrav. Listy) 81: 142-163.
- 702 [18] McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A. 1978. Physico-chemical correlates of alcohol
- intoxication. Neuropharmacology 17: 451-461.
- 704 [19] McKarns, S.C., Hansch, C., Caldwell, W.S., Morgan, W.T., Moore, S.K. and Doolittle,
- 705D.J. 1997. Correlations between hydrophobicity of short-chain aliphatic alcohols and
- their ability to alter plasma membrane integrity. Fundam. Applied Toxicol. 36: 62-70.

707	[20] Fang, Z., Ionescu, P., Chortkoff, B.S., Kandel, L., Sonner, J., Laster, M.J. and Eger, E.I.
708	1997. Anesthetic potencies of n-alkanols: Results of additivity and solubility studies
709	suggest a mechanism of action similar to that for conventional inhaled anesthetics.
710	Anesth. Analgesia 84: 1042-1048.
711	[21] McKim, J.M., Bradbury, S.P. and Niemi, G.J. 1987. Fish acute toxicity syndromes and
712	their use in the QSAR approach to hazard assessment. Environ. Health Perspect. 71: 171-
713	186.
714	[22] Koleva, Y.K., Cronin, M.T., Madden, J.C. and Schwöbel, J.A. 2011. Modelling acute oral
715	mammalian toxicity. 1. Definition of a quantifiable baseline effect. Toxicol. In Vitro 25:
716	1281-1293.
717	[23] Rowe, V.K. and McCollister, S.B. 1982. Alcohols. In: Clayton, G.D. & Clayton, F.E., eds,
718	Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 3rd Revised Ed., Vol. 2C, New York: John
719	Wiley & Sons, chapter 35, pp. 4527-4708.
720	[24] Smyth, H.F.J., Carpenter, C.P., Weil, C.S. and Pozzani, U.C. 1954. Range-finding toxicity
721	data. List V. Arch. Indust. Hyg. Occup. Med.10: 61-68.
722	[25] Scala, R.A., Burtis, E.G. 1973. Acute toxicity of a homologous series of branched-chain
723	primary alcohols. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 34, 493–499.
724	[26] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1993.
725	Subacute and subchronic oral toxicity of 2-ethylhexanol to Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1
726	mice. Toxicologist 13: 70.
727	[27] ECHA CHEM A for 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
728	/registered-dossier/15194 (accessed 28.06.2016).

729	[28] Chvapil, M., Zahradnik, R. and Cmuchalová, B. 1962. Influence of alcohols and
730	potassium salts of xanthogenic acids on various biological objects. Arch. Int.
731	Pharmacodyn. Ther. 135: 330-343.
732	[29] ECHA CHEM B for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
733	/registered-dossier/13788 (accessed 28.06.2016).
734	[30] Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) 2015. Guidance
735	Document on the Reporting of Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment
736	(IATA). ENV/JM/HA(2015)7.
737	[31] European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) Registered substances. Available from:
738	https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances.
739	[32] Astill, B.D., Deckardt, K., Gembardt, Chr., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Mellert,
740	W., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1996a. Prechronic toxicity studies on 2-ethylhexanol in
741	F334 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 29: 31-39.
742	[33] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W.,
743	Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996b. Oncogenicity testing of 2-
744	ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 31: 29-41.
745	[34] BASF AG, Department of Toxicology. 1992. Report on the study of the oncogenic
746	potential of 2-ethylhexanol in rats after administration by gavage (aqueous emulsion), 24
747	months study duration, satellite study. Unpublished report, project No. 99C0622/88045.
748	The Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA.
749	[35] BASF AG, Department of Toxicology. 1991. Report on the study of the oral toxicity of 2-
750	ethylhexanol in rats after administration by gavage for 11 days (9 applications; solution

- in corn oil). Unpublished report, project No. 11C0631/87104. The Chemical
- 752 Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA.
- 753 [36] Hodgson JR. 1987. Results of peroxisome induction studies on tri(2-
- ethylhexyl)trimellitate and 2-ethylhexanol. Toxicol Ind Health. 3(2):49-61.
- [37] Albro, P.W. 1975. The metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol in rats. Xenobiotica 5: 625-636.
- [38] Jurowich, S., Sticht, G. and Käferstein, H. 2004. Glucuronidation of aliphatic alcohols in
 human liver microsomes in vitro. Alcohol 32: 187-194.
- [39] Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1993. Pharmacokinetic studies with 2-
- ethylhexanol in the female Fischer 344 rat. Toxicologist 13: 179.
- [40] Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1994. Metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol
- administered orally and dermally to the female Fischer 344 rat. Xenobiotica 24: 429-440.
- 762 [41] Haggard, H.W., Miller, D.P. and Greenberg, L.A. 1945. The amyl alcohols and their
- ketones: their metabolic fates and comparative toxicities. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 27: 1-14.
- [42] Iwersen, S. and Schmoldt, A. 1995. ADH independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols:
- 765 Comparisons of oxidation and glucuronidation. Advan. Forsenic Sci. 4: 19-22.
- [43] Bosron, W.F. and Ting-Kai, L. 1980. Alcohol dehydrogenase. In: Jacoby, W.B. ed.
- 767 Enzymatic Basis of Detoxification. Academic Press, New York, Vol. I, pp. 231-248.
- [44] Levi, E. and Hodgson, E. 1989. Metabolites resulting from oxidative and reductive
- 769 processes. In: Hutson, D.H., Caldwell, J., and Paulson, G.D. ed. Intermediary Xenobiotic
- 770 Metabolism in Animals. Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 119-138.

771	[45] Williams, R.T. 1959. The metabolism of some aliphatic aldehydes, ketones and acids. In:
772	Detoxication mechanisms. The metabolism and detoxication of drugs, toxic substances
773	and other organic compounds, 2nd Ed., London: Chapman & Hall, Ltd., chapter four, pp.
774	88-113.
775	[46] Deuel, H.J. 1957. The Lipids, Their Chemistry and Biochemistry - Volume III. Wiley
776	Interscience, New York.
777	[47] Silva, M.F.B., Aires, C.C.P., Luis, P.B.M., Ruiter, J.P.N. IJlst, L., Duran, M., Wanders,
778	R.J.A. and Tavares de Almeida, I. 2008. Valproic acid metabolism and its effects on
779	mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation: A review. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 31: 205-216.

Altman, R.B. and Klein, T.E. 2013. Valproic acid pathway: pharmacokinetics and
pharmacodynamics, Pharmacogenet. Genomics 23: 236–241.

[48] Ghodke-Puranik, Y., Thorn, C.F., Lamba, J.K., Leeder J.S., Song, W. Birnbaum, A.K.,

- [49] Kesterson, J.W., Granneman, G.R. and Machinist, J.M. 1984. The hepatotoxicity of
 valproic acid and its metabolites in rats. I. Toxicologic, biochemical and histopathologic
 studies. Hepatoxicalogy 4: 1143-1152.
- 786 [50] Przybylak, K.R. and Schultz, T.W. 2013. Informing chemical categories through
- development of adverse outcome pathway. In: Cronin, M., Madden, J., Enoch, S. and
- Roberts, D. (eds), Chemical Toxicity Prediction Category Formation and Read-Across.
- The Royal Society of Chemistry pp. 44-71.
- [51] Alifimoff, J., Firestone, L. and Miller, K. 1989. Anaesthetic potencies of primary alkanols:
- 791 implications for the molecular dimensions of the anaesthetic site. Br. J. Pharmacol. 96: 9-
- 792

16.

780

793	[52] Veith, G.D., Call, D.J. and Brooke, L.T. 1983. Structure-toxicity relationships for the
794	fathead minnow, Pimpehales promelas: narcotic industrial chemicals. Can. J. Fish.
795	Aquat. Sci. 40: 743-748.

- [53] Veith, G.D., Petkova, E.P. and Wallace, K.B. 2009. A baseline inhalation toxicity model
 for narcosis in mammals. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 20: 567-578.
- [54] Raevsky, O.A., Grigorev, V.Y., Weber, E.E. and Dearden, J.C. 2008. Classification and
 quantification of the toxicity of chemicals to guppy, fathead minnow and rainbow trout:
 Part 1. Nonpolar narcosis mode of action. QSAR Comb. Sci. 27: 1274–1281.
- 801 [55] He, J., Fu, L., Wang, Y., Li, J.J., Wang, X.H., Su, L.M., Sheng, L.X. and Zhao, Y.H.
- 802 2014. Investigation on baseline toxicity to rats based on aliphatic compounds and
- 803 comparison with toxicity to fish: Effect of exposure routes on toxicity. Reg. Toxicol.
 804 Pharmacol. 70: 98-106.
- [56] Ritter, E.J., Scott, W.J., Fradkin, R. and Ritter, J.M. 1986. Computer analysis of rat
- 806 teratology data following administration of phthalates and their metabolites. Teratology807 33(3), 93C.
- 808 [57] Ritter, E.J., Scott, W.J. Jr., Randell, J.L and Ritter, J.M. 1987. Teratogenicity of di(2-
- 809 ethylhexyl) phthalate, 2-ethylhexanol, 2-ethylhexanoic acid, and valproic acid, and
 810 potentiation by caffeine. Teratology 35: 41-46.
- 811 [58] National Toxicology Program (NTP) 1991. Final report on the developmental toxicity of 2
- 812 ethylhexanol in CD-1-Swiss mice. National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle
- 813 Park, NC, USA (PB91-185900).

814	[59] Tyl, R.W., Fisher, L.C., Kubena, M.F., Vrbanic, M.A., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson,
815	J.R., Murphy, S.R., Tyler ,T.R. and Astill, B.D. 1992. The developmental toxicity of 2-
816	ethylhexanol applied dermally to pregnant Fischer 344 rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.
817	19:176-185.
818	[60] Narotsky, M.G., Francis, E.Z., and Kavlock, R.T. 1994. Developmental toxicity and
819	structure-activity relationships of aliphatic acids, including dose-response assessment of
820	valproic acid in mice and rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 22: 251-265.
821	[61] Bui, L.M., Taubeneck, M.W., Commisso, J.F., Faber, W.D. and Keen, C.L. 1998. Altered
822	zinc metabolism contributes to the developmental toxicity of 2-ethylhexanoic acid, 2-
823	ethylhexanol and valproic acid. Toxicology 126: 9-21.
824	[62] Scott, W.J. Jr., Collins, M.D. And Nau, H. 1994. Pharmacokinetic determinants of
825	embryotoxicity in rats associated with organic acids. Environ Health Perspect. 102(S11):
826	97-101.
827	[63] Schultz, T.W., Seward-Nagel, J., Foster, K.A. and Tucker, V.A. 2004. Structure-activity
828	relationships for aliphatic alcohols and aquatic toxicity to Tetrahymena. Environ.
829	Toxicol. 19: 1-10.
830	[64] Schultz, T.W., Sinks, G.D. and Bearden, A.P. 1998. QSARs in aquatic toxicology: A
831	mechanism of action approach comparing toxic potency to Pimephales promelas,
832	Tetrahymena pyriformis, and Vibrio fischeri. In: Devillers, J. (ed.) Comparative QSAR.
833	Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 52-109.

834	[65] Benane, S.G., Richard, A.M., Blackman, C.F. and Lytle, C.D. 1993. Quantitative
835	structure-toxicity relationships for a series of primary alcohols in a mammalian viral host
836	cell reactivation assay. In Vitro Toxicol. 6: 267-277.
837	[66] Mellor, C.L, Steinmetz, F.P, Cronin, M.T.D. 2016. Using molecular initiating events to
838	develop a structural alert based screening workflow for nuclear receptor ligands
839	associated with hepatic steatosis. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 29: 203-212.
840	[67] US EPA ToxCast 2014. US EPA website with access to the ToxCast data.
841	https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/toxicity-forecasting. (Accessed 16/06/2016)
842	[68] Richard, A.M., Judson, R.S., Houck, K.A., Grulke, C.M., Volarath, P., Thillainadarajah,
843	I., Chihae Yang, C., Rathman, J., Martin, M.T., Wambaugh, J.T., Knudsen, T.B.,
844	Kancherla, J., Mansouri, K. Patlewicz, G., Williams, A.J., Little, S.B., Crofton, K.M. and
845	Thomas, R.S. 2016. ToxCast chemical landscape: Paving the road to 21st century
846	toxicology. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 29: 1225-1251
847	[69] Judson, R.S., Houck, K.A., Kavlock, R.J., Knudsen, T.B., Martin, M.T., Mortensen,
848	H.M., Reif, D.M., Richard, A.M., Rotroff, D.M., Shah, I. and Dix, D.J. 2010. Predictive
849	in vitro screening of environmental chemicals – The ToxCast project. Environ. Health
850	Perspect.118:485-492.
851	[70] Schilling, K., Kayser, M., Deckardt, K., Küttler, K. and Klimisch, H.J. 1997. Subchronic
852	toxicity studies of 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-propanol in rats. Human Exper.
853	Toxicol. 16: 722-726.

[71] Carpanini, F.M. and Gaunt, I.F. 1973. Short-term toxicity of isoamyl alcohol in rats. Food
Cosmet. Toxicol. 11: 713-724.

- 856 [72] Johannsen, E. and Purchase, I.F.H. 1969. Kaffir corn malting and brewing studies. XXI:
- 857 The effect of the fusel oils of bantu beer on rat liver. South African Med. J. 43: 326-328.
- 858 [73] ECHA CHEM C for 3-methyl-1-butanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
- 859 /registered-dossier/13936 (accessed 28.06.2016).

Supplementary material

Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity: A Case Study for Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols

Annex I Tables for Assessing Similarity of Analogues and Category Members for Read-Across

able 1. Comparison of Substance Identification, Structure and Chemical Classifications
--

ID	Name	CAS No	SMILES	2D Structure	Molecular Formula
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	137-32-6	CCC(C)CO	H ₃ C OH	C5H12O
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	105-30-6	CCCC(C)CO	H ₃ C OH	C6H14O
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	97-95-0	CCC(CC)CO	HO CH ₃	C6H14O
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	27522-11-8	CCCC(CC)CO	HO H ₃ C	C7H16O
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	CCCCC(CC)CO	H ₃ C OH CH ₃	C8H18O
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	58175-57-8	CCCC(CCC)CO	H ³ C CH ³	C8H18O

ID	Name	CAS No	SMILES	2D Structure	Molecular Formula
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	818-81-5	CCCCCCC(C)CO	HO CH ₃ CH ₃	C9H20O
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	20592-10-3	CCCCCCC(CC)CO	HO H ₃ C	C10H22O
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	10042-59-8	CCCCCC(CCC)CO	H ₃ C CH ₃	C10H22O
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	10522-26-6	CCCCCCCCC(C)CO	H ₂ C	C12H26O
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	21078-65-9	CCCCCCCCC(CC)CO	HO H ₃ C	C12H26O
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	60671-35-4	CCCCCCCCC(CCC)CO	HOCH ₃	C13H28O

ID	Name	Molecular Weight ¹	Log Kow ^{1a}	Vapor Pressure (Pa, 25 degC) ^{1b}	Density ² (g/cm ³)	Melting Point (deg C) ^{1b}	Water Solubility (mg/L, 25 degC) ^{1c}	Boiling Point (deg C) ^{1b}	pKa ³
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	88.15	1.26	606	0.8±0.1	-61.49	32200	123.17	15.24
			1.29 (M)	416 (M)			29700 (M)	128 (M)	
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	102 18	1 75	191	0 8+0 1	-49 23	11950	145.86	15.05
-		102.10	1.75	256 (M)	0.02011	0.8±0.1 -49.23	6000 (M)	149 (M)	13.05
3	2 Ethyl 1 butanol	102.18	1 75	213	0.8+0.1	-49.23	11950	145.86	15.05
5		102.18	1.75	204 (M)	0.8±0.1	<-15 (M)	4000 (M)	147 (M)	15.05
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	116.21	2.24	66.2	0.8±0.1	-37.23	4089	167.64	15.05
5	2 Ethyl 1 havenal	120.22	2.73	24.6	0.8±0.1	-25.50	1379	188.52	15.05
5	2-Euryi-1-nexailor	150.25	2.15	18.1 (M)	0.8±0.1	-70 (M)	880 (M)	184.6 (M)	15.05
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	130.23	2.73	19.5	0.8±0.1	-25.50	1379	188.52	15.05
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	144.26	3.22	5.88	0.8±0.1	-14.04	459.7	208.49	15.09
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	158.29	3.71	1.81	0 8+0 1	-2.83	151.8	227.56	15.09
		100.27	5.71	1.01	0.0±0.1	2.05	101.0	227.50	10107
9	2-Propylheptan-1-ol	158.29	3.71	3.38	0.8±0.1	-2.83	151.8	227.56	15.09
								217.5 (M)	

ID	Name	Molecular Weight ¹	Log Kow ^{1a}	Vapor Pressure (Pa, 25 degC) ^{1b}	Density ² (g/cm ³)	Melting Point (deg C) ^{1b}	Water Solubility (mg/L, 25 degC) ^{1c}	Boiling Point (deg C) ^{1b}	pKa ³
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	186.34	4.70	0.186	0.8±0.1	18.78	16.18	262.99	15.04
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	186.34	4.70	0.186	0.8±0.1	18.78	16.18	262.99	15.04
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	200.37	5.19	0.0615	0.8±0.1	29.19	5.237	279.35	15.06

M = measured value

¹Values typically derived from EPISuite v4.1, ^a KOWWIN Program (v1.68), ^b MPBPWIN v1.43, ^c at 25 deg C; (mg/L) Kow (WSKOW v1.42); ² ACD/Lab Percepta Platform - PhysChem Module (from ChemSpider); ³ Predicted by ACD (Advanced Chemistry Development Inc., Toronto, Canada)

ID	Name	Key Substituent(s)	Functional Group(s)	Extended Fragment(s)	Chemical Class	Chemical Sub-Class
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
9	2-Propylheptan-1-ol	-OH	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol

Table 3: Comparison of Substituents, Functional Groups, and Extended Structural Fragments

ID	Name	Key Substituent(s)	Functional Group(s)	Extended Fragment(s)	Chemical Class	Chemical Sub-Class
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	-OH	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	-OH	-CH3, -CH2-, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol

Table 4: Comparison of Abiotic Transformation and Toxicokinetics

п	Name	Abiotic		Г	Toxicokinetics
ID	Name	Transformation	Absorption	Half-life	Elimination
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a	< 24 hrs	 9.6% excreted in the urine as a glucuronides within 24 hrs^b 5.6% excreted in air and 2% in urine, remainder metabolized, first to the corresponding aldehyde, then to the acid ^c Additional oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol by rat liver microsomes via CYP P450 enzymes, and glucuronidation^d
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		Excreted mainly as a glucuronides ^e
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		

5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	atmospheric lifetime of 24.6 hrs	Efficiently following oral administration ^a	< 24 hrs	Rapidly excreted in respired CO ₂ (6-7%), urine mainly as glucuronides (80-82%), and faeces (8- 9%); elimination was essentially complete by 28 hrs ^f After oral administration to rats, within 96 hrs; 69-75% excreted in urine, about 13-15% in faeces, about the same amount exhaled. After intravenous administration to rats, within 96 hours about 74% excreted in urine, about 4% in
				terminal half- life 60 hours	faeces and 23% exhaled. More than 50% excreted within 8 hrs. ^g Glucuronide main metabolite (87%) in rabbits ^{b,e}
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
9	2-Propyl-1heptanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		

11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	E	Efficiently	
		fc	ollowing oral	
		ac	dministration ^a	
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	E	Efficiently	
		fc	ollowing oral	
		ac	dministration ^a	

^a Gaillard, D. and Derache, R. 1965. Metabolisation de different alcools, present dans les buissons alcooliques, chez le rat. Trav. Soc. Pharm. Montp., 25: 51-62; ^bKamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953a. Studies in detoxication. 46. The metabolism of aliphatic alcohols. The glucuronic acid conjugation of acyclic aliphatic alcohols. Biochem. J. 53: 129-136; ^c Haggard, H.W., Miller, D.P. and Greenberg, L.A. 1945. The amyl alcohols and their ketones: their metabolic fates and comparative toxicities. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 27: 1-14; ^dIwersen, S. and Schmoldt, A. 1995. ADH independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols: Comparisons of oxidation and glucuronidation. Advan. Forsenic Sci. 4: 19-22; ^cKamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953b. Studies in detoxication. 47. The formation of ester glucuronides of aliphatic acids during the metabolism of 2-ethylbutanol and 2-ethylhexanol. Biochem. J. 53: 137-140; ^fAlbro, P.W. 1975. The metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol in rats. Xenobiotica 5: 625-636, ECHA CHEM A for 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol: <u>http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/15194</u>, Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1993. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 41st report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Geneva, WHO Technical Report Series No. 837; ^g Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1993. Pharmacokinetic studies with 2-ethylhexanol in the female Fischer 344 rat. Toxicologist 13: 179, Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1994. Metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol administered orally and dermally to the female Fischer 344 rat. Xenobiotica 24: 429-440.

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	rm simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus
		Rat liver S9	Skin metabolism	software	version 2.4.2	
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (1)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (2)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (6) Oxidation (1)

Table 5: Comparison of Potential Metabolic Products as Predicted *in silico*

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	m simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus
		Rat liver S9	Skin metabolism	software	version 2.4.2	
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1) beta-Oxidation of Carboxylic Acids (1)
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Methylation Dealkylation Demethylation Alkylation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (5) Oxidation (1)
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation Alkylation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (6) Oxidation (1)
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (7) Oxidation (1)
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	Hydroxylation	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation	Possible sites of	Hydroxylation (5)

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	m simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus	
		Rat liver S9Skin metabolism		software	version 2.4.2		
		(3) Oxidation (1)		Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation Demethylation	metabolism have been identified	Oxidation (1)	
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (1)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dehydration	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	

Table 6: Comparison of Toxicophores

ID	Name	Toxicophores ¹	DNA binding by OECD ¹	Protein binding by OECD ¹	Nuclear receptor binding ²	Liver& Mitochondria toxicity ²
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert

¹ OECD QSAR Toolbox 3.3; ² COSMOS profilers available via COSMOS space: http://cosmosspace.cosmostox.eu

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol		Narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
2	2-Methyl-1- pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

Table 7: Comparison of Mechanistic Plausibility and AOP-Related Event Data

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
10	2-Methyl-1- undecanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

Table 8: Comparison of Toxicologically Relevant in vivo, in vitro and ex vivo Data

Name	2-Methyl-1-butanol	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	2-Methyl-1-octanol	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	2-Propyl-1-decanol
Endpoint: NOAEL (Repeat dose toxicity)					25-1000 (mg/kg/d) [2, 3, 5, 22]				30-150 (mg/kg/d) [4, 21]			
Endpoint: NOEL (Repeat dose toxicity)	$\geq 6400 \text{ (mg/m}^3\text{)}$											
Endpoint: NOAEL (short-term repeated dose study)	L J				100-200 (mg/kg bw/d) [5, 23-26]							
Endpoint: LOAEL (Repeat dose toxicity)					1525 (mg/kg/d) [5]				150-600 (mg/kg/d) [4]			
Endpoint: NOAEC (Repeat dose toxicity)					120-638.4 (mg/kg/d) [6]							
Endpoint: NOAEL (Reproductive toxicity)					130-2520 (mg/kg/d) [6]				50 (mg/kg/d) [7]			
Endpoint: NOAEL (Teratogenicity)					191-650 (mg/kg/d) [6]				158-600 (mg/kg/d) [7]			
Endpoint: HNEL (Carcinogenic/ Genotoxicity)					50-200 (mg/kg/d) [8]							
Endpoint: LEL					150-750 (mg/kg/d)							

()	Carcinogenic/ Genotoxicity)			[8]		
En (A	adpoint: LC50 (cute toxicity)			0.89- 5.3 (mg/Lair) [6]	>0.13(mg/L air) [7]	
Endpoint: LD50 (Acute toxicity)		1900-5000 (mg/kg) 12.53- 16.6 (mg/Lair) 3.54 (mL/kg) [1, 9]		3730 (mg/kg) [6, 10, 11]	5100-5400 (mg/kg) [7]	
Endpoint: oral LD50 (mg/kg) (Acute toxicity)		4010 mg/kg bw [17]	1850 mg/kg bw [18]	2000-3730 mg/kg bw [5, 19-20]	5400 mg/kg bw [21]	
En (A	ndpoint: LDLo (cute toxicity)	1900- 2448 (mg/kg) [1, 12]				
Geno C al	Endpoint: otoxicity (AMES, Chromosomal orration, gene mutation)	2 x Negative [13-16]		9 x Negative [5]	5 x Negative [4]	
	ATG_ERa_TRA NS			11.9		
T	ATG_ERa_TRA NS_perc			5.77		
oxca	ATG_PXRE_CI S			31.1		
st [2	ATG_PXRE_CI S_perc			31.1		
7]	OT_ERa_EREL UC_AG_1440				3.14	
	Tox21_AR_BL A_Agonist_ch1				0.00219	

Tox21_ELG1_L					F4 0		
UC_Agonist_via					54.9		
bility							

References for Table 8

- [1] ECHA CHEM A for 2-Methyl-1-butanol. http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/12040/7/6/3 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [2] Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental (Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan) 1997, Rep Dose Tox Fraunhofer.
- [3] Schilling, K., Kayser, M., Deckardt, K., Küttler, K. and Klimisch, H.J. 1997. Subchronic toxicity studies of 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2methyl-1-propanol in rats. Human Exper. Toxicol. 16: 722-726.
- [4] ECHA CHEM B for for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/13788/1 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [5] ECHA CHEM C for 2-Ehylhexanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/15194 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [6] Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental (Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan) 1997, Rep Dose Tox Fraunhofer
- [7] ChemIDplus, http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/100-51-6. Original reference given; Cancer Research 33, 3069-3085, 1973
- [8] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996. Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 31: 29-41.
- [9] ChemIDplus search, http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/71-36-3. Original reference quoted; South African Med. J. Vol. 43, Pg. 795, 1969
- [10] EPA Document No. 86-870001383, Neste Oxo AB Stenungsund (71), Microfische No OTS515545 (1940).
 (http://www.epa.govt.nz/search-databases/Pages/ccid-details.aspx?SubstanceID=1845)
- [11] Nihs search, http://dra4.nihs.go.jp/mhlw_data/jsp/SearchPageENG.jsp
- [12] European Chemicals Bureau; IUCLID Dataset, 1-Hexanol (111-27-3) (2000 CD-ROM edition). Available from: http://esis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/
- [13] Nakajima, D., Ishii, R., Kageyama, S., Onji, Y., Mineki, S., Morooka, N., Takatori, K and Goto, S (2006). Genotoxicity of microbial volatile organic compounds. J. Health Sci. 52: 148–153.
- [14] Seidel, H. and Plappert, U. 1999. Zur Toxikologie zweier häufig nachgewiesener MVOC: 1 Octen-3-ol und 3-Methyl-1-butanol. Umweltmed. Forsch. Prax. 4: 285-288, 1999
- [15] Edelfors, S. and Ravn-Jonsen, A. 1990. The effects of alcohols in vitro on the nervous cell membrane measured by changes in the (Ca2+/Mg2+) ATPase activity and fluidity of the synaptosomal membrane. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 67: 56-60.

- [16] Bacterial mutagenicity ISSSTY DATABASE; <u>http://www.iss.it/meca/index.php?lang=1&anno=2013&tipo=25</u>
- [17] Rowe, V.K. and McCollister, S.B. 1982. Alcohols. In: Clayton, G.D. & Clayton, F.E., eds, Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 3rd Revised Ed., Vol. 2C, New York: John Wiley & Sons, chapter 35, pp. 4527-4708.
- [18] Smyth, H.F.J., Carpenter, C.P., Weil, C.S. and Pozzani, U.C. 1954. Range-finding toxicity data. List V. Arch. Indust. Hyg. Occup. Med. 10: 61-68.
- [19] Scala, R.A. and Burtis, E.G. 1973. Acute toxicity of a homologous series of branched-chain primary alcohols. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 34: 493–499.
- [20] Chvapil, M., Zahradnik, R. and Cmuchalová, B. 1962. Influence of alcohols and potassium salts of xanthogenic acids on various biological objects. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. 135: 330-343.
- [21] ECH CHEM for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/13788/1
- [22] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1993. Subacute and subchronic oral toxicity of 2ethylhexanol to fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Toxicologist 13: 70.
- [23 RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1992. Oral Toxicity of 2- Ethylhexanol in Mice after Administration by Gavage for 11 days. Unpublished Report from BASF. RIFM Report Number 18665. RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA.
- [24] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996b. Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 31: 29-41.
- [25] Hodgson JR. 1987. Results of peroxisome induction studies on tri(2-ethylhexyl)trimellitate and 2-ethylhexanol. Toxicol. Ind. Health 3: 49-61.
- [26] Astill, B.D., Deckardt, K., Gembardt, Chr., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1996a. Prechronic toxicity studies on 2-ethylhexanol in F334 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 29: 31-39.
- [27] ToxcastTM data. Provider the USEPA: http://www.epa.gov/ncct/toxcast/data.html