

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	The Role of Executive Processes in Accounting for Prospective Memory Deficits in Ecstasy/Polydrug Users
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/8477/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.2174/1874941001104010020
Date	2011
Citation	Hadjiefthyvoulou, Florentia, Fisk, John, Montgomery, Catharine and Bridges, Nikola Jane (2011) The Role of Executive Processes in Accounting for Prospective Memory Deficits in Ecstasy/Polydrug Users. The Open Addiction Journal, 4. pp. 20-21. ISSN 1874-9410
Creators	Hadjiefthyvoulou, Florentia, Fisk, John, Montgomery, Catharine and Bridges, Nikola Jane

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874941001104010020

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

The Role of Executive Processes in Accounting for Prospective Memory Deficits in Ecstasy/Polydrug Users

Florentia Hadjiefthyvoulou^{*,1}, John E. Fisk¹, Catharine Montgomery², Nikola Bridges¹

¹University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK ²Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

Keywords: Ecstasy, prospective memory, executive functions, BRIEF-A.

INTRODUCTION

Previous research suggested that both executive functions [1-5] and prospective memory [6-9] are impaired in ecstasy/polydrug users. According to Kopp and Thone (2000) [10] central executive functions play a critical role in Prospective Memory. We would therefore expect comparable deficits in both prospective memory and executive functions within the same cohort of ecstasy/polydrug users.

METHOD

In order to investigate the role of executive processes in accounting for prospective memory deficits in ecstasy/polydrug users a sample of seventy three ecstasy/polydrug users and sixty seven polydrug/non-ecstasy users (control group) were assessed on executive functions and prospective memory measures. The self-report measure of executive function, the BRIEF-A was used to capture any possible behavioral manifestations of executive function in ecstasy/polydrug users in comparison to the control group. Three laboratory measures of prospective memory assessing short-term and long-term time based prospective memory and event based prospective memory were developed and administered. The short-term time based prospective memory task required the participants to ask for a questionnaire (measuring their level of fatigue) every 20 minutes throughout their test session. The percentage of occasions that the participant remembered to ask for the questionnaire was calculated for the first and the second half of the test session as well as the overall percentage. In the long term time based prospective memory test (PM element) participants were asked to post a delayed recall test in a prepaid envelope one, two, and three weeks after the test session. Finally, in the event based prospective memory test participants had to indicate whether two patterns appearing on the computer screen were different or the same, while at the same time remembering to press the F1 key at the end of each test segment in order to record their scores.

RESULTS

Ecstasy/polydrug users performed worse on seven out of the nine scales of the BRIEF-A. Ecstasy/polydrug related deficits were evident on the scales of inhibit, self-monitor, initiate, WM, Plan and organization, task monitor and organization of materials. Ecstasy/polydrug users also performed significantly worse on all laboratory measures of prospective memory as they posted fewer envelopes, remembered to ask for the questionnaire on fewer occasions and forgot to press the F1 button more frequently than nonecstasy users. However, further analysis revealed that the PM ecstasy-related deficits were not mediated by the deficits observed on the executive function measure.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

While executive processes do play a role in prospective memory performance in both users and nonusers, the ecstasy-related deficits in both measures do not appear to share a common basis.

REFERENCES

- Fox HC, Parrott AC, Turner JJD. Ecstasy use: cognitive deficits related to dosage rather than self-reported problematic use of the drug. J Psychopharmacol 2001; 15: 273-81.
- [2] Verdejo-Garcia A, Rivas-Perez C, Lopez-Torrecillas F, Perez-Garcia M. Differential impact of severity of drug use on frontal behavioral symptoms. Addict Behav 2006; 31: 1373-82.
- [3] Montgomery C, Fisk JE. Everyday memory deficits in ecstasypolydrug users. J Psychopharmacol 2007; 21: 709-17.
- [4] Fisk JE, Montgomery C. Real world memory and executive processes in cannabis users and nonusers. J Psychopharmacol 2008; 22: 727-36.
- [5] Fisk JE, Montgomery C. Sleep impairment in ecstasy/polydrug and cannabis-only users. Am J Addict 2009; 18: 430-7.
- [6] Heffernan TM, Jarvis H, Rodgers J, Scholey AB, Ling J. Prospective memory, everyday cognitive failure and central executive function in recreational users of Ecstasy. Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp 2001; 16: 607-12.
- [7] Rodgers J, Buchanan T, Scholey AB, Heffernan TM, Ling J, Parrott AC. Differential effects of ecstasy and cannabis on selfreports of memory ability; a web-based study. Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp 2001; 16: 619-25.
- [8] Rodgers J, Buchanan T, Scholey AB, Heffernan TM, Ling J, Parrott AC. Patterns of drug use and the influence of gender on self-reports of memory ability in ecstasy users: a web-based study. J Psychopharmacol 2003; 17: 389-96.

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK; Tel: 00441772894462; E-mail: FHadjiefthyvoulou1@uclan.ac.uk

Accounting for Prospective Memory Deficits in Ecstasy/Polydrug Users

[10] Kopp UA, Thone AIT. Role of executive functions and memory processes in delayed intentions after head injury. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Prospective Memory, University of Hertfordshire: Hatfield UK, 2000.

Received: November 4, 2010

Revised: November 13, 2010

Accepted: November 13, 2010

© Hadjiefthyvoulou et al.; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.